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We study surfaces defined as graph of the function $z=f(x, y)$ in the product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. In particular, we completely classify flat or minimal surfaces given by $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$, where $u(x)$ and $v(y)$ are smooth functions.

## 1. Introduction

Homogenous geometries have main roles in the modern theory of manifolds. Homogenous spaces are, in a sense, the nicest examples of Riemannian manifolds and have applications in physics [1]. To underline their importance from the mathematical point of view we roughly cite the famous Thurston conjecture. This conjecture asserts that every compact orientable 3 -dimensional manifold has a canonical decomposition into pieces, each of which admits a canonical geometric structure from among the eight maximal simple connected homogenous Riemannian 3-dimensional geometries [2]. The Riemannian product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is one of the eight model spaces.

Constant mean curvature and constant Gaussian curvature surfaces are one of the main objects which have drawn geometers' interest for a very long time. Recently, the study of the geometry of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is growing very rapidly, and the interest is mainly focused on minimal and constant mean curvature surfaces [3-9].

The purpose of this paper is to study surfaces defined as graph of the function $z=f(x, y)$ in the product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times$ $\mathbb{R}$. In Sections 4 and 5 we classify minimal and flat surfaces defined as $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$, where $u(x)$ and $v(y)$ are smooth functions.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{H}^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid y>0\right\}$ be the upper half plane model of the hyperbolic plane endowed with the metric, of constant

Gaussian curvature -1 , given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\circledast \Vdash}=\frac{\left(d x^{2}+d y^{2}\right)}{y^{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{2}$, with the group structure derived by the composition of proper affine maps, is a Lie group and the metric $g_{H-H}$ is left invariant. Therefore, the product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a Lie group with the left invariant product metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{d x^{2}+d y^{2}}{y^{2}}+d z^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, an orthonormal basis of left invariant vector fields on $\mathbb{-}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad E_{2}=y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_{3}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the only nontrivial commutator relation $\left[E_{1}, E_{2}\right]=-E_{1}$. It follows that the Levi-Civita connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$ of $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{1}=E_{2}, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{2}=-E_{1}, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{1}} E_{3}=0 \\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{1}=0, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{2}=0, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{2}} E_{3}=0  \tag{4}\\
\widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{1}=0, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{2}=0, & \widetilde{\nabla}_{E_{3}} E_{3}=0
\end{array}
$$

For any vectors $X=x_{1} E_{1}+y_{1} E_{2}+z_{1} E_{3}$ and $Y=x_{2} E_{1}+$ $y_{2} E_{2}+z_{2} E_{3}$ in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ the cross-product $\times$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
X \times Y= & \left(y_{1} z_{2}-y_{2} z_{1}\right) E_{1}+\left(x_{2} z_{1}-x_{1} z_{2}\right) E_{2} \\
& +\left(x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right) E_{3} . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Graphs in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$

Let us consider a surface $\Sigma$ parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=(x, y, f(x, y)), \quad(x, y) \in \Omega \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a domain in $\mathbb{W}^{2}$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function. Then $\Sigma$ is a surface defined as graph of the function $f$ defined on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^{2}$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{1}:=\phi_{x}=\left(1,0, f_{x}\right)=\frac{1}{y} E_{1}+f_{x} E_{3}, \\
& e_{2}:=\phi_{y}=\left(0,1, f_{y}\right)=\frac{1}{y} E_{2}+f_{y} E_{3} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that the coefficients of the first fundamental form of $\Sigma$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=g\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{x}\right)=f_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{y^{2}} \\
& F=g\left(\phi_{x}, \phi_{y}\right)=f_{x} f_{y}  \tag{8}\\
& G=g\left(\phi_{y}, \phi_{y}\right)=f_{y}^{2}+\frac{1}{y^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, the unit normal vector field $U$ to $\Sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x, y)=-\frac{f_{x}}{\omega y} E_{1}-\frac{f_{y}}{\omega y} E_{2}+\frac{1}{\omega y^{2}} E_{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{y^{2}} \sqrt{y^{2}\left(f_{x}^{2}+f_{y}^{2}\right)+1} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a straightforward calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{1}=\frac{1}{y^{2}} E_{2}+f_{x x} E_{3}, \\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{2}=-\frac{1}{y^{2}} E_{1}+f_{x x} E_{3},  \tag{11}\\
& \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} e_{2}=-\frac{1}{y^{2}} E_{2}+f_{y y} E_{3},
\end{align*}
$$

which imply that the coefficients of the second fundamental form of $\Sigma$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=g\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{1}, U\right)=\frac{y f_{x x}-f_{y}}{\omega y^{3}}, \\
& M=g\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}} e_{2}, U\right)=\frac{y f_{x y}+f_{x}}{\omega y^{3}}  \tag{12}\\
& N=g\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{2}} e_{2}, U\right)=\frac{y f_{y y}+f_{y}}{\omega y^{3}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (8) and (12) the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
K=\frac{1}{\omega^{4} y^{6}}\left(\left(y f_{x x}-f_{y}\right)\left(y f_{y y}+f_{y}\right)-\left(y f_{x y}+f_{x}\right)^{2}\right), \\
H=\frac{1}{2 \omega^{3} y^{4}}\left(\left(1+y^{2} f_{y}^{2}\right) f_{x x}-y\left(f_{x}^{2}+f_{y}^{2}\right) f_{y}\right.  \tag{13}\\
\left.\quad-2 y^{2} f_{x} f_{y} f_{x y}+\left(1+y^{2} f_{x}^{2}\right) f_{y y}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proposition 1. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface defined as graph of the function $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Sigma$ is a minimal surface if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+ & \left.y^{2} f_{y}^{2}\right) f_{x x}-y\left(f_{x}^{2}+f_{y}^{2}\right) f_{y}-2 y^{2} f_{x} f_{y} f_{x y} \\
& +\left(1+y^{2} f_{x}^{2}\right) f_{y y}=0 \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 2. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface defined as graph of the function $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $\Sigma$ is flat if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y f_{x x}-f_{y}\right)\left(y f_{y y}+f_{y}\right)-\left(y f_{x y}+f_{x}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3. Some examples are satisfying the ODE (14) studied in [7]. Also, examples in Lorentz product space $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{1}$ can be found in [10].

## 4. Minimal Surfaces Defined

by $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$
Let $\Sigma$ be a surface in $\mathbb{H}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ parametrized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=(x, y, u(x)+v(y)) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y>0$, where $u(x)$ and $v(y)$ are smooth functions. We suppose that $\Sigma$ is a minimal surface. Then, from (14) we have the following minimal surface equation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime}-y\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) v^{\prime} \\
+\left(1+y^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) v^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

In order to solve it, divide first by $1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2} \neq 0$; then we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}-\frac{y\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)}{1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}} v^{\prime}+\frac{1+y^{2}\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}} v^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \Omega$. Differentiating with respect to $x$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+2\left(\frac{y^{2} v^{\prime \prime}-y v^{\prime}}{1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, we suppose that $u^{\prime \prime}=0$ on an open interval; that is, $u(x)=a x+b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. In this case, from (17) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}-\frac{a^{2} y}{1+a^{2} y^{2}} v^{\prime}-\frac{y}{1+a^{2} y^{2}}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{3}=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $v^{\prime}(y)=p(y)$. Then the last equation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}-\frac{y}{1+a^{2} y^{2}}\left(a^{2} p+p^{3}\right)=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p= \pm \frac{c_{1} a \sqrt{1+a^{2} y^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-c_{1}^{2}\left(1+a^{2} y^{2}\right)}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y)= \pm \int \frac{c_{1} a \sqrt{1+a^{2} y^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-c_{1}^{2}\left(1+a^{2} y^{2}\right)}} d y \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Now, we assume that $u^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ on an open interval, and divide (19) by $u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u^{\prime \prime \prime}}{u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}}+2 \frac{y^{2} v^{\prime \prime}-y v^{\prime}}{1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we deduce the existence of a real number $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 k u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}, \quad y^{2} v^{\prime \prime}-y v^{\prime}=-k\left(1+y^{2}\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us distinguish the following cases according to $k$.
Case 1. If $k=0$, then $u^{\prime \prime \prime}=0$ and $y v^{\prime \prime}-v^{\prime}=0$. It follows that $u(x)=a_{1} x^{2}+b_{1} x+c_{1}\left(a_{1} \neq 0, b_{1}, c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. If $v^{\prime}=0$, then $v(y)=a_{2}\left(a_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. In this case, from (17) we obtain $a_{1}=0$; it is a contradiction. If $v^{\prime} \neq 0$, then we get $v(y)=(1 / 2) b_{2} y^{2}+$ $c_{2}\left(b_{2} \neq 0, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}\right)$. In such case, (17) is polynomial equation on $x$ and $y$. From the coefficients of $y^{4}$ and the constant term we have $2 a_{1}-b_{2}=0$ and $2 a_{1}+b_{2}=0$, which imply $a_{1}=0$ and $b_{2}=0$. It is a contradiction.

Case 2. If $k \neq 0$, then from the first equation in (25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=e^{2 k u+d_{1}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{2 k}\left(-d_{1}+\ln g\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

be any solution of (26), where $g$ is a smooth function. Then (26) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g g^{\prime \prime}-\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}=2 k g^{3} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $p=g^{\prime}$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d g}-\frac{1}{g} p=2 k g^{2} p^{-1} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We again put $t=p^{2}$. In this case the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d t}{d g}-\frac{2}{g} t=4 k g^{2} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=g^{2}\left(4 k g+c_{1}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d g}{d x}= \pm g \sqrt{4 k g+c_{1}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

After an integration, we can find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{c_{1}}{4 k} \tan ^{2}\left(8 k^{2} \sqrt{c_{1}}\left( \pm x+c_{2}\right)\right)-\frac{c_{1}}{4 k}, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. By combining (27) and (33), we thus have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\frac{1}{2 k}\left[-d_{1}+\ln \left(\frac{c_{1}}{4 k} \tan ^{2}\left(8 k^{2} \sqrt{c_{1}}\left( \pm x+c_{2}\right)\right)-\frac{c_{1}}{4 k}\right)\right] . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider the second equation in (25). Since $y>$ 0 , we yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+\frac{k}{y^{2}}-\frac{1}{y} v^{\prime}+k\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $p=v^{\prime}$. Then, the above equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{\prime}+\frac{k}{y^{2}}-\frac{1}{y} p+k p^{2}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $k \neq 0$, without loss of generality we take $k=1$ or $k=-1$.
Subcase $i$. Let $k=1$. We do the change

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{h(y)} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a nonzero smooth function. Then, (36) can be rewritten as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}-\frac{1}{y} h=1 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, its general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y)=y\left(\ln y+c_{1}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $p=(1 / y)+\left(1 / y\left(\ln y+c_{1}\right)\right)$ and from its integration we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y)=\ln \left(c_{2} y \ln \left(y+c_{1}\right)\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
Subcase ii. Let $k=-1$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{h(y)} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: A minimal surface defined by (34) and (44).
where $h$ is a nonzero smooth function. Then, (36) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}-\frac{1}{y} h=-1 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(y)=-y\left(\ln y+c_{1}\right) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y)=-\ln \left(c_{2} y \ln \left(y+c_{1}\right)\right) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. The surface given by (34) and (44) is shown in Figure 1.

Consequently, we have the following.
Theorem 4. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface defined as graph of the function $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$. If $\Sigma$ is a minimal surface, then $\Sigma$ is parametrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=(x, y, u(x)+v(y)), \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
(1) $u(x)=a x+b$ and $v(y)=$ $\pm \int\left(c_{1} a \sqrt{1+a^{2} y^{2}} / \sqrt{1-c_{1}^{2}\left(1+a^{2} y^{2}\right)}\right) d y \quad$ with $a, b, c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, or
(2) $u(x)=(1 / 2 k)\left[-c_{3}+\ln \left(\left(c_{1} / 4 k\right) \tan ^{2}\left(8 k^{2} \sqrt{c_{1}}( \pm x+\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left.\left.c_{2}\right)\right)-\left(c_{1} / 4 k\right)\right)\right]$ and $v(y)= \pm \ln \left(d_{1} y \ln \left(y+d_{2}\right)\right)$ with $k \neq 0, c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.


Figure 2: A flat surface defined by (52) and (55).

## 5. Flat Surfaces Defined by $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$

Let $\Sigma$ be a surface defined by (16). Assume that $\Sigma$ is a flat surface. Then, from (15) we have the following flat surface equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(y v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime}\right) u^{\prime \prime}-\left(y v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime}\right) v^{\prime}-\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve it, differentiating with respect to $x$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(y v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)-\frac{d}{d x}\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there exists a nonzero real number $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x}\left(u^{\prime \prime}\right)=k \frac{d}{d x}\left(\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right), \quad y\left(y v^{\prime \prime}+v^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{k} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation in (48), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}=k\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{2}+c_{1} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. We put $p=u^{\prime}$, and it follows that we yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d u}=\frac{k p^{2}+c_{1}}{p} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this, the general solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p= \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{k} e^{2 k\left(u+c_{2}\right)}-\frac{c_{1}}{k}}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. We can assume that $c_{1}=0$. From the last equation we can easily obtain (see Figure 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)= \pm \frac{1}{k}\left(\ln \left(-\sqrt{k}\left(x+c_{3}\right)\right)+k c_{2}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$.

In order to solve the second equation in (48), divide by $y^{2}$ and put $q=v^{\prime}$. Then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}+\frac{1}{y} q=\frac{1}{k y^{2}} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its general solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{1}{y}\left(\frac{1}{k} \ln y+d_{1}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. From this, we thus obtain (see Figure 2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(y)=\frac{1}{2 k}(\ln y)^{2}+d_{1} \ln y+d_{2} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
As a conclusion, we have the following.
Theorem 5. Let $\Sigma$ be a surface defined as graph of the function $f(x, y)=u(x)+v(y)$. If $\Sigma$ is a flat surface, then $\Sigma$ is parametrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=(x, y, u(x)+v(y)), \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x)= \pm(1 / k)\left(\ln \left(-\sqrt{k}\left(x+c_{1}\right)\right)+k c_{2}\right)$ and $v(y)=$ $(1 / 2 k)(\ln y)^{2}+d_{1} \ln y+d_{1}$ with $k \neq 0, c_{1}, c_{2}, d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$.
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