

Szymon Głąb, Mathematical Institute, Polish Academy of Science,  
Śniadeckich 8, 00-956 Warszawa, Poland.email: [szymon\\_glab@yahoo.com](mailto:szymon_glab@yahoo.com)

## ON PARAMETRIC LIMIT SUPERIOR OF A SEQUENCE OF ANALYTIC SETS

### Abstract

Let  $A_x$  stand for  $x$ -section of a set  $A \subset 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ . We prove that any sequence  $A^j \subset 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$ ,  $j \in \omega$ , of analytic sets, with uncountable  $\limsup_{j \in H} A_x^j$  for all  $x \in 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$ , admits a perfect set  $P \subset 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  with uncountable  $\bigcap_{j \in H} A_x^j$  for all  $x \in P$ . This is a parametric version of the Komjáth theorem [2].

### 1 Main Result.

In [2] Komjáth proved that if the sets  $A^0, A^1, \dots$  are analytic sets in a Polish space, and  $\limsup_{j \in H} A^j$  is uncountable for each  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$ , then there exists a set  $G \in [\omega]^\omega$  for which the intersection  $\bigcap_{j \in G} A^j$  is uncountable. The previous version of this statement was proved by Laczkovich in [3] for a sequence of Borel sets. Komjáth, assuming  $MA(\omega_1)$ , proved that this statement holds if the analyticity of sets  $A^j$  is skipped, but assuming the axiom of constructibility, he proved that it is false for a sequence of coanalytic sets.

In this paper we prove a parametric version of the Komjáth result. The parametrized Ellentuck theorem due to Pawlikowski [4] is our basic tool in the proof. We discuss examples which show that some stronger versions of our theorem are impossible.

We use standard set theoretical notation (see [1]). A subset  $P$  of a Polish space is called *perfect* if it is nonempty, closed, and dense in itself. For  $\alpha \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$ , let  $[\alpha, H]$  be an *Ellentuck neighbourhood*; i.e., a set of the form  $\{G \in [\omega]^\omega : \alpha \subset G \subset \alpha \cup (H \setminus \max(\alpha))\}$ . A set  $A \subset 2^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega$  is called *perfectly Ramsey* if for any perfect set  $P \subset 2^\omega$  and any Ellentuck neighbourhood  $[\alpha, H]$ , there exists a perfect set  $Q \subset P$  and an infinite set  $G \subset H$  such that either  $Q \times [\alpha, G] \subset A$  or  $(Q \times [\alpha, G]) \cap A = \emptyset$ . For  $A \subset 2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  and  $x \in 2^\omega$ , put  $A_x = \{y \in 2^\omega : (x, y) \in A\}$ ; this is called  $x$ -section of  $A$ .

---

Key Words: Analytic set, Borel set, limit superior, parametrized Ellentuck theorem.  
Mathematical Reviews subject classification: Primary 03E15, Secondary 54H05  
Received by the editors December 13, 2004  
Communicated by: Steve Jackson

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(A^j)_{j \in \omega}$  be a sequence of analytic subsets of  $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  such that*

$$\forall x \in 2^\omega \forall H \in [\omega]^\omega \text{ card}(\limsup_{j \in H} A_x^j) > \omega.$$

*Then there exist a perfect set  $P \subset 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that*

$$\forall x \in P \text{ card}(\bigcap_{j \in H} A_x^j) > \omega.$$

PROOF. We treat  $[\omega]^\omega$  as a Polish subspace of  $2^\omega$ , identifying  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  with its characteristic function. Define

$$A = \{(x, H) \in 2^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega : \text{card}(\bigcap_{j \in H} A_x^j) > \omega\}.$$

Consider

$$B = \{(x, H, y) \in 2^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega \times 2^\omega : (x, y) \in \bigcap_{j \in H} A^j\} =$$

$$\{(x, H, y) \in 2^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega \times 2^\omega : \forall j \in \omega (j \notin H \text{ or } (x, y) \in A^j)\}$$

and note that  $B$  is analytic. Thus

$$A = \{(x, H) \in 2^\omega \times [\omega]^\omega : \text{card}(B_{(x, H)}) > \omega\}$$

is analytic, by the Mazurkiewicz–Sierpiński theorem [1, 29.19]. Now by [4], the set  $A$  is perfectly Ramsey. Hence, there exist a perfect set  $P \subset 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that either  $P \times [\emptyset, H] \subset A$  or  $(P \times [\emptyset, H]) \cap A = \emptyset$ . This last case is impossible since for each  $x \in P$ , there is  $G \in [H]^\omega$  such that  $\text{card}(\bigcap_{j \in G} A_x^j) > \omega$  (see [2, Theorem 1]). Finally we obtain that

$$\forall x \in P \text{ card}(\bigcap_{j \in H} A_x^j) > \omega.$$

□

## 2 Examples.

Note that it is impossible to improve Theorem 1 (in ZFC) assuming that sets  $A^j$  are coanalytic (see [2, Theorem 4]). The following examples show that we also can not improve it assuming only that all sections of  $A^j$  are analytic (even clopen).

**Example 1.** We will construct a sequence  $(A^j)_{j \in \omega}$  of subsets of  $2^\omega \times 2^\omega$  such that

- $\forall H \in [\omega]^\omega \forall x \in 2^\omega \text{ card}(\limsup_{j \in H} A^j_x) > \omega,$
- $A^j_x$  is clopen for all  $x \in 2^\omega,$

and there is no perfect set  $P \subset 2^\omega,$  and no  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that

$$\forall x \in P \text{ card}\left(\bigcap_{j \in H} A^j_x\right) > \omega.$$

Let  $\{N_i : i \in \omega\}$  be a family of almost disjoint infinite subsets of  $\omega$  such that for every  $s \in [\omega]^{<\omega},$  there exists  $i, j \in \omega$  with  $N_i \cap N_j = s.$  Let  $\{B_i : i \in \omega\}$  be a partition of  $2^\omega$  into pairwise disjoint Bernstein subsets. Fix two disjoint clopen sets  $C^0, C^1 \subset 2^\omega.$  Put

$$A^j = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} B_i \times C^{\chi_{N_i}(j)},$$

where  $\chi_{N_i}$  is the characteristic function of  $N_i.$  Immediately from the definition of  $A^j$  we obtain that for every  $x \in 2^\omega, A^j_x$  is a clopen set, and for any  $x \in 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega,$  there exists  $G \in [H]^\omega$  such that  $\text{card}\left(\bigcap_{j \in G} A^j_x\right) > \omega.$

Suppose that there exists a perfect set  $P$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that

$$\forall x \in P \text{ card}\left(\bigcap_{j \in H} A^j_x\right) > \omega.$$

Then  $P$  intersects every set  $B_i, i \in \omega.$  For each  $i,$  since  $P$  intersects  $B_i,$  it follows that either  $H \subset N_i$  or  $H \subset \omega \setminus N_i.$  Since  $N_i \cap N_j$  is finite for  $i \neq j,$  there exists  $i_0 \in \omega$  such that  $H \subset \omega \setminus N_i$  for all  $i \neq i_0.$  Let  $s \subset H$  be finite and nonempty. There exists  $i, j \in \omega$  such that  $N_i \cap N_j = s.$  Then  $H \cap N_i \neq \emptyset,$  and  $H \cap N_j \neq \emptyset.$  This implies that  $i = j = i_0,$  which is a contradiction.

**Remark.** If the axiom of constructibility holds that there is a partition  $\{B_i : i \in \omega\}$  of  $2^\omega$  into pairwise disjoint Bernstein sets, such that  $B_i \in \Delta^1_2(2^\omega)$  for all  $i \in \omega.$  Hence, we can construct a sequence  $(A^j)_{j \in \omega}$  of  $\Delta^1_2$  sets in  $2^\omega$  with the same properties as in Example 1.

Now we will show that under CH there is a more pathological example.

**Lemma 2.** *Assume CH and list all sets in  $[\omega]^\omega$  as  $H_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Then there are sets  $G_\alpha \in [\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta]^\omega$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , such that for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  we have*

$$\forall \beta < \alpha \ (G_\alpha \cap H_\beta \neq \emptyset \text{ and } H_\beta \setminus G_\alpha \neq \emptyset).$$

PROOF. Let  $G_0 \in [\omega]^\omega$  be such that  $G_0 \subset H_0$  and  $H_0 \setminus G_0 \neq \emptyset$ . For  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $(F_n)_{n \in \omega}$  be an enumeration of  $\{H_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ . For  $n \in \omega$ , let  $F_n = \{a_n^0, a_n^1, a_n^2, \dots\}$  and fix  $m_n \in F_n \setminus \{a_i^j : i, j < 2n\}$ . Put  $G_\alpha = \{m_n : n \in \omega\}$  and notice that

$$\forall n \in \omega \ (G_\alpha \cap F_n \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F_n \setminus G_\alpha \neq \emptyset).$$

□

**Example 2.** Assume CH. Let  $G_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , be sets from Lemma 2. Let  $\{r_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of  $2^\omega$ . Fix two disjoint clopen sets  $C^0, C^1 \subset 2^\omega$ . Put

$$A^j = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \{r_\alpha\} \times C^{\chi_{G_\alpha}(j)}.$$

Suppose that there is an uncountable set  $E \subset \omega_1$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$  such that for all  $\alpha \in E$ , we have

$$\text{card}\left(\bigcap_{j \in H} A_{r_\alpha}^j\right) = \omega_1.$$

Since  $A_r^j = C^0$  or  $A_r^j = C^1$ , we obtain

$$[\forall \alpha \in E \ \forall j \in H \ (A_{r_\alpha}^j = C^0)] \text{ or } [\forall \alpha \in E \ \forall j \in H \ (A_{r_\alpha}^j = C^1)].$$

There exists  $\alpha_0 < \omega_1$  for which  $H = H_{\alpha_0}$ . Let  $\alpha \in E$  be such that  $\alpha_0 < \alpha$ . Since  $G_\alpha \cap H \neq \emptyset$  and  $H \setminus G_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ , pick  $j_1 \in G_\alpha \cap H$  and  $j_0 \in H \setminus G_\alpha$ . See that  $A_{r_\alpha}^{j_1} = C^1$  and  $A_{r_\alpha}^{j_0} = C^0$ , which yields a contradiction. Hence for every uncountable  $P \subset 2^\omega$  and  $H \in [\omega]^\omega$ , there is  $r \in P$  with  $\bigcap_{j \in H} A_r^j = \emptyset$ .

The Referee claims that "it might be interesting to have a direct forcing proof of the Theorem 1. For example, is it true that if  $\mathbb{S}$  denotes Sacks forcing and  $\mathbb{M}$  denotes Mathias forcing, and if  $(x, H)$  is generic for  $\mathbb{S} \times \mathbb{M}$ , then  $\bigcap_{j \in H} A_x^j$  is uncountable?"

**Acknowledgement.** I would like to thank Professor G. Debs who has found an error in a former version of the proof of Theorem 1.

**References**

- [1] A. S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer, New York, 1998.
- [2] P. Komjáth, *On the limit superior of analytic sets*, *Anal. Math.*, **10** (1984), 283–293.
- [3] M. Laczkovich, *On the limit superior of sequence of sets*, *Anal. Math.*, **3** (1977), 199–206.
- [4] J. Pawlikowski, *Parametrized Ellentuck theorem*, *Topology Appl.*, **37** (1990), 65–73.

