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#### Abstract

A generalization of the Schwarzian derivative and a sufficient condition for disconjugacy of the $n$ th-order differential equation with analytic coefficients are obtained. These results are then used to establish a multivalence criterion for a certain family of analytic functions.


Let $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ be linearly independent solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+p(z) y=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by a classical formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{1}{2}\{w, z\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{w, z\}$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $w$, i.e.,

$$
\{w, z\}=\left(\frac{w^{\prime \prime}}{w^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{w^{\prime \prime}}{w^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
$$

Conversely, the general solution $w$ of (1.3) is of the form (1.2).
Utilizing the above relations, Nehari [5] proved that for an analytic function $f$ to be univalent in the unit disk $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$ it is necessary that

$$
|\{f, z\}| \leqq \frac{6}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad z \in D
$$

and sufficient that

$$
|\{f, z\}| \leqq \frac{2}{\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad z \in D
$$

Generalizations of formula (1.3) for higher-order differential equations have recently been obtained. Vodička [9] considered the $n$ thorder equation of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+p(z) y=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and derived a relation between the coefficient $p$ and the function $w=$
$y_{2} / y_{1}$, where $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are any two linearly independent solutions of (1.4). In a recent paper, Lavie [4] established relations between the coefficients of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+p_{n-1}(z) y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{0}(z) y=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the function $w=y_{2} / y_{1}$, where $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are certain linearly independent solutions of (1.5).

In $\S 2$ we shall consider the $n$ th-order differential equation (1.5) and derive relations in which each coefficient $p_{i}$ is expressed as a function of the ratios $y_{i} / y_{n}, i=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, where $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$ are linearly independent solutions of (1.5).

In § 3, using the relations derived in § 2, we establish a sufficient condition for $p$-valence of a $p$-parameter family of analytic functions.
2. In this section we shall obtain some invariants which play a role in the study of differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+p_{n-2}(z) y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+p_{0}(z) y=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is analogous to that played by (1.3) in the study of (1.1). We remark that there is no loss of generality in considering (2.1) because any homogeneous $n$ th-order linear differential equation can be put into the form (2.1) by a standard transformation.

Let $y_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, be linearly independent solutions of (2.1) and set

$$
f_{1}=\frac{y_{1}}{y_{n}}, \cdots, f_{n-1}=\frac{y_{n-1}}{y_{n}}
$$

We seek relations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\Phi_{i}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right), i=0,1, \cdots, n-2 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left-hand side in (2.2) is independent of the particular choice of $n$ linearly independent solutions, the right-hand side must remain invariant under the transformation

$$
f_{i} \longrightarrow \frac{a_{i 0}+a_{i 1} f_{1}+\cdots+a_{i n-1} f_{n-1}}{b_{0}+b_{1} f_{1}+\cdots+b_{n-1} f_{n-1}}, i=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

where the $a$ 's and $b$ 's are constants.
Theorem 2.1. Let $y_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, be linearly independent solutions of (2.1), let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{y_{1}}{y_{n}}, \cdots, f_{n-1}=\frac{y_{n-1}}{y_{n}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $W_{i}$ be the determinant defined by

$$
W_{i}=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
f_{1}^{\prime} & f_{2}^{\prime} & \cdots & f_{n-1}^{\prime} \\
& \cdots & \\
f_{1}^{(i-1)} & f_{2}^{(i-1)} & \cdots & f_{n-1}^{(i-1)} \\
f_{1}^{(i+1)} & f_{2}^{(i+1)} & \cdots & f_{n-1}^{(i+1)} \\
& \cdots & & \\
f_{1}^{(n)} & f_{2}^{(n)} & \cdots & f_{n-1}^{(n)}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i}=\frac{1}{W_{n} \sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i}(-1)^{2 n-j}\left(1-\delta_{n j}\right)\binom{n-j}{n-j-i} W_{n-j}\left(\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}\right)^{(n-j \rightarrow i)}\right], \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$ $i=0,1, \cdots, n-2$, where $\delta_{n n}=1$ and $\delta_{n j}=0$ otherwise.

Conversely, the general solution $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}\right)$ of the system (2.4) of differential equations is of the form (2.3).

Proof. It is easily confirmed that $1, f_{1}, \cdots, f_{n-1}$ are linearly independent solutions of the differential equation

$$
y^{(n)}-\frac{W_{n-1}}{W_{n}} y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+(-1)^{n+1} \frac{W_{1}}{W_{n}} y^{\prime}=0
$$

and that $W_{n-1}=W_{n}^{\prime}$. Put

$$
y=Y \cdot \exp \left(\frac{1}{n} \int \frac{W_{n-1}}{W_{n}} d z\right)=Y \cdot \sqrt[n]{W_{n}}
$$

Then the function $Y$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{(n)}+q_{n-2}(z) Y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+q_{0}(z) Y=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
q_{i}=\frac{1}{W_{n} \sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-i}(-1)^{2 n-j}\left(1-\delta_{n j}\right)\binom{n-j}{n-j-i} W_{n-j}\left(\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}\right)^{(n-j-i)}\right]
$$

$i=0,1, \cdots, n-2$. Furthermore, it is evident that

$$
\frac{f_{1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}
$$

are linearly independent solutions of (2.5).
We now assert that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f_{1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}=K y_{1}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}=K y_{n-1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}=K y_{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $K$. But, if this assertion is true, it would imply that the differential equations (2.1) and (2.5) have the same set of linearly independent solutions $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$. In other words, (2.1) and (2.5) are identical, i.e., $p_{i}=q_{i}, i=0,1, \cdots, n-2$, which proves the theorem. To prove the equalities in (2.6), it suffices to prove only the last equality. It is easily confirmed that

$$
(-1)^{n-1} W_{n}=\frac{W}{y_{n}^{n}}
$$

where $W$ is the Wronskian of $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ (see, e.g., [7]). Since the Wronskian $W$ is constant, we may set $K=-1 / \sqrt[n]{W}$ to obtain the last equality in (2.6).

The converse is easy to prove; it follows from the fact that

$$
\frac{f_{1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}, \cdots, \frac{f_{n-1}}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt[n]{W_{n}}}
$$

are linearly independent solutions of (2.1).
For the second-order equation (1.1), the formulas in (2.4) yield the familiar relation (1.3); and for the third-order equation $y^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{1}(z) y^{\prime}+$ $p_{0}(z) y=0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}=\frac{-1}{3}\left[\frac{2}{9}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{3}-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right. \\
\\
\left.-\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{2}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)\right] \\
p_{1}=\frac{f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}+\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}{f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}-f_{1}^{\prime \prime} f_{2}^{\prime}}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

3. Let $p_{0}, \cdots, p_{n-2}$ in (2.1) be analytic functions which are regular in a domain $D$ of the complex plane. The differential equation (2.1) is said to be disconjugate in $D$ if no nontrivial solution of (2.1) has more than $n-1$ zeros (where the zeros are counted with their multiplicities) in $D$. We now state an elementary principle which relates disconjugacy with a certain function-theoretic aspect of (2.1), as a theorem for convenient reference.

Theorem 3.1. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{n}$ be linearly independent solutions of (2.1), and let $f_{i}=y_{i} / y_{n}, i=1,2, \cdots, n-1$. Then the differential equation (2.1) is disconjugate in $D$ if and only if every nontrivial linear combination of $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}$ is $(n-1)$-valent in $D$, i.e., it does not take on any one value more than $n-1$ times in $D$.

Proof. If (2.1) is not disconjugate in $D$, then there exists a
nontrivial solution $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} y_{i}$, for some constants $a_{i} \neq 0, i=1,2, \cdots, n$, which has more than $n-1$ zeros in $D$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that none of the zeros of $y_{n}$ coincide with the zeros of $y$. Thus, we find that $a_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} f_{i}$ has more than $n-1$ zeros in $D$, i.e., the linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} f_{i}$ assumes the value $-a_{n}$ more than $n-1$ times in $D$. Conversely, if some nontrivial linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i} f_{i}$ takes on the value $-a_{n}$ more than $n-1$ times in $D$, the nontrivial solution $y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} y_{i}$ has more than $n-1$ zeros in $D$.

Next we shall establish a sufficient condition for disconjugacy of (2.1). We first require the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $y$ be analytic in a region $R$. If $y\left(a_{i}\right)=0, a_{i} \in R$, $i=1,2, \cdots, n$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(k)}(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\binom{k}{j-1} P_{n-j)}^{(k+1-j)}(z) I_{j}(z)\left(a_{j}-z\right)^{-j+1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k=0,1, \cdots, n-1$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{n}(z)=\int_{a_{n}}^{z}\left(a_{n}-\zeta\right)^{n-1} y^{(n)}(\zeta) d \zeta, \\
I_{j}(z)=\int_{a_{j}}^{z} \frac{\left(a_{j}-\zeta\right)^{j-1}}{\left(a_{j+1}-\zeta\right)^{j+1}} I_{j+1}(\zeta) d \zeta, j=1,2, \cdots, n-1,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
P_{n-j}(z)=\prod_{i=j+1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-z\right)
$$

Proof. It is easily confirmed that $y=P_{n-1} I_{1}$, which proves (3.1) for $k=0[1,3]$. The rest follows from induction on $k$.

We remark that the $a_{i}$ 's in the above lemma are not necessarily distinct; we may put $a_{k}=a_{k+1}=\cdots=a_{k+m-1}$ if the $y$ has a zero of order $m$ at $a_{k}$.

THEOREM 3.2. Let $p_{0}, \cdots, p_{n-1}$ be analytic in the unit disk $D=$ $\{z:|z|<1\}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1+|z|)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|p_{k}(z)\right|+\frac{(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{n-1}}{n!}\left|p_{0}(z)\right| \leqq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}+p_{n-1}(z) y^{(n-1)}+\cdots+p_{0}(z) y=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is disconjugate in $D$.

Proof. Suppose that (3.3) has a nontrivial solution $y$ with $n$ zeros, i.e., $y\left(a_{i}\right)=0, a_{i} \in D, i=1,2, \cdots, n$. Then from Lemma 3.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& y(z)=\left(a_{n}-z\right) \cdots\left(a_{2}-z\right) \int_{a_{1}}^{z} \frac{1}{\left(a_{2}-\zeta_{1}\right)^{2}} \int_{a_{2}}^{\zeta_{1}} \frac{a_{2}-\zeta_{2}}{\left(a_{3}-\zeta_{2}\right)^{3}} \\
& \cdots \int_{a_{n-1}}^{\zeta_{n-2}} \frac{\left(a_{n-1}-\zeta_{n-1}\right)^{n-2}}{\left(a_{n}-\zeta_{n-1}\right)^{n}} \int_{a_{n}}^{\zeta_{n-1}}\left(a_{n}-\zeta_{n}\right)^{n-1} y^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{n}\right) d \zeta_{n} \cdots d \zeta_{1} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $H$ be the convex hull of $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$. Since $\left|y^{(n)}(z)\right|$ is continuous in $H$, it attains its maximum in $H$ at some point $z=z_{0} \in H$. Taking the absolute values in (3.4) and performing the $n$-fold integration along the straight line segments connecting $\alpha_{k}$ and $\zeta_{k-1}$, we arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
|y(z)| & \leqq \frac{1}{n!}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-z\right| \\
& <\frac{1}{n!}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|(1+|z|)^{n}, z \in H \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{(k)}(z)\right|<\frac{(1+|z|)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|, z \in H, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$. It is easily confirmed that

$$
\left|I_{j}\right| \leqq \frac{(j-1)!}{n!}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|\left|a_{j}-z\right|^{j}
$$

and that $P_{n-j}^{(k+1-j)}(z)$ is the sum of $(n-j)!/(n-k-1)$ ! terms of the form $\prod_{l=1}^{n-k-1}\left(a_{i l}-z\right)$. Therefore, we obtain from (3.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{(k)}(z)\right| & <\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \sum_{j=1}^{k+1}\binom{k}{j-1} \frac{(n-j)!}{(n-k-1)!} \frac{(j-1)!}{n!}(1+|z|)^{n-k} \\
& =\frac{(1+|z|)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|, z \in H,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (3.6).
We remark that the second inequality in (3.5) may be improved; by a result of Schwarz [8],

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}-z\right|<(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{n-1}, z \in H
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(z)|<\frac{1}{n!}(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{n-1}\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|, z \in H \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we deduce from (3.3), (3.6), and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{(n)}(z)\right|<\left|y^{(n)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| & {\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1+|z|)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|p_{k}(z)\right|\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{n!}(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{n-1}\left|p_{0}(z)\right|\right], z \in H,
\end{aligned}
$$

which, for $z=z_{0} \in H$, yields

$$
1<\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|\right)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|p_{k}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{n!}\left(1-\left|z_{0}\right|\right)\left(1+\left|z_{0}\right|\right)^{n-1}\left|p_{0}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|,
$$

contrary to (3.2). This contradiction proves the theorem.
We add two remarks. A slight modification of the above proof will establish the following statements: Let $R$ be a convex region with diameter $\delta$. If

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\delta^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|p_{k}(z)\right| \leqq 1, z \in R
$$

then (3.3) is disconjugate in $R$. Theorem 3.2 generalizes a result recently obtained by Hadass [2, Th. 2].

There are known to the author a few other disconjugacy criteria for higher-order equations with analytic coefficients [4, 6].

We are now ready to state the disconjugacy condition (Theorem 3.2) as a multivalence criterion. From Theorems 2.1 and 3.1 we see that every nontrivial linear combination of $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}$ is $(n-1)$ valent if the equation

$$
y^{(n)}+p_{n-2}(z) y^{(n-2)}+\cdots+p_{0}(z) y=0,
$$

where $p_{0}, \cdots, p_{n-2}$ are defined as in (2.4), is disconjugate. In view of this relation and Theorem 3.2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}$ be analytic in the unit disk $D=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Define $p_{0}, p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n-2}$ as in (2.4). If $\operatorname{det}\left(f_{j}^{(i)}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n-1}$ does not vanish in $D$, and if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1+|z|)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}\left|p_{k}(z)\right| \\
& \quad \quad+\frac{1}{n!}(1-|z|)(1+|z|)^{n-1}\left|p_{0}(z)\right| \leqq 1, z \in D,
\end{aligned}
$$

then every nontrivial linear combination of $f_{1}, f_{2}, \cdots, f_{n-1}$ is $(n-1)$ valent in $D$.
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