

## ON GENERALIZED TRANSLATED QUASI-CESÀRO SUMMABILITY

B. KWEE

Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > -1$ . The  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  transformation of the sequence  $\{s_k\}$  is defined by

$$t_n = \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n+2)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)\Gamma(k+n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+k+2)} s_k,$$

and the  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  transformation of the function  $s(x)$  is defined by

$$g(y) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta+1)} y^{\beta+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha-1} s(x)}{(x+y)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dx.$$

Some properties of the above two transformations are given in this paper and the relation between the summability methods defined by these transformations is discussed.

1. For any sequence  $\{\mu_n\}$  the Hausdorff summability  $(H, \mu_n)$  is defined by the transformation

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Delta^{n-k} \mu_k) s_k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^0 \mu_k &= \mu_k, \\ \Delta^1 \mu_k &= \mu_k - \mu_{k+1}, \\ \Delta^m \mu_k &= \Delta \Delta^{m-1} \mu_k. \end{aligned}$$

Transposing the matrix of the  $(H, \mu_n)$  transformation we get the matrix of the quasi-Hausdorff transformation

$$t_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \binom{k}{n} (\Delta^{k-n} \mu_n) s_k,$$

which will be denoted by  $(H^*, \mu_n)$ . Ramanujan [8] introduced the  $(S, \mu_n)$  summability, which is defined by the transformation

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+n}{n} (\Delta^k \mu_n) s_k.$$

Thus the elements of row  $n$  of the matrix of the  $(S, \mu_n)$  transformation are those of the corresponding row of the  $(H^*, \mu_n)$  transformation moved  $n$  places to the left.

It is known [8] that if  $(H, \mu_n)$  is regular and if  $\mu_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $(S, \mu_{n+1})$  is regular; conversely, if  $(S, \mu_{n+1})$  is regular, then  $(H, \mu_n)$

can be made regular by a suitable choice of  $\mu_0$ .

When

$$\mu_n = \frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha}{n}},$$

$(H, \mu_n)$  reduces to the Cesàro summability  $(C, \alpha)$ . Borwein [3] introduced the generalized Cesàro summability  $(C, \alpha, \beta)$  which is  $(H, \mu_n)$  with

$$(1) \quad \mu_n = \frac{\binom{n+\beta}{n}}{\binom{n+\alpha+\beta}{n}}.$$

The aim of this paper is to discuss properties of the  $(S, \mu_{n+1})$  summability with  $\mu_n$  given by (1) for  $\alpha > 0, \beta > -1$  and of the analogous functional transformation. We shall denote this summability by  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$ . The case in which  $\beta = 0$  has been considered by Kuttner [6] and a summability method similar to  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  has been discussed by me [7].

A straightforward calculation shows that the  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  transformation is given by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} t_n = t(n, \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{(\beta+1)(\beta+2)\cdots(\beta+n+1)}{n!} \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+k-1)(k+1)(k+2)\cdots(k+n)}{(\alpha+\beta+1)(\alpha+\beta+2)\cdots(\alpha+\beta+n+1+k)} s_k \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n+2)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+k)\Gamma(k+n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+k+2)} s_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, if (2) converges for one value of  $n$ , then it converges for all  $n$ . Further, a necessary and sufficient condition for this to happen is that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{s_k}{k^{\beta+2}}$$

should converge.

Let  $s(x)$  be any function  $L$ -integrable in any finite interval of  $x \geq 0$  and bounded in some right-hand neighbourhood of the origin. Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > -1$ , and let

$$(4) \quad g(y) = g(y, \alpha, \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta+1)} y^{\beta+1} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x^{\alpha-1} s(x)}{(x+y)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dx.$$

If  $g(y)$  exists for  $y > 0$  and if

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} g(y) = s ,$$

we say that  $s(x)$  is summable  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  to  $s$ .

It is clear that a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of (4) is that

$$(5) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{s(x)}{x^{\beta+2}} dx$$

should converge.

2. The relationship between sequence-to-sequence and function-to-functions transformations. Given any sequence  $\{s_n\}$ , let the function  $f(x)$  be defined by

$$f(x) = s_n \quad (n \leqq x < n + 1; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) .$$

Then the  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  summability of  $\{s_n\}$  is equivalent to the  $(C_t, \alpha, \beta)$  summability of  $f(x)$  for  $\alpha > 0, \beta = 0$  (see [6] Theorem 4). However, the proof breaks down when  $\beta > 0$ . We can prove that they are equivalent for  $-1 < \beta \leqq 0$  as follows. Write

$$a(n, k) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + k)\Gamma(k + n + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + k + 2)}$$

$$b(y, k) = \int_k^{k+1} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}}{(x + y)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dx .$$

As in [6], we may suppose that  $s_0 = 0$ . Then the result would follow if, corresponding to equation (11) of [6], we proved that, if (3) converges, then uniformly for  $0 \leqq \theta < 1$ ,

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty [a(n, k) - b(n + \theta, k)]s_k = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\right) .$$

Choose an integer  $Q$  such that  $Q \geqq \beta + 3$ . From equations analogous to those of the last line and line 6 from bottom of p. 709 of [6], we find that

$$(7) \quad a(n, k) - b(n + \theta, k) = \Sigma p(\theta) \frac{k^{\alpha-q}}{(n + k)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} + O\left(\frac{k^{\alpha-Q}}{(k + n)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}\right) ,$$

where  $p(\theta)$  is a polynomial in  $\theta$  (which may be different for each term in the sum), and the sum is taken over those integers  $q, r$  which are such that

$$q \geqq 1, r \geqq 1, \quad q, r \text{ not both } 1, \quad q + r \leqq Q .$$

Since the convergence of (3) implies that

$$s_k = o(k^{\beta+2}) ,$$

and since  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $Q \geq \beta + 3$ , we see that the contribution to the expression on the left of (6) of the "0" term in (7) is

$$o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\right).$$

Hence the result would follow if (corresponding to Lemma 2 of [6]) we could prove that the convergence of (3) implied that, for relevant  $q, r$ ,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{\alpha-q}}{(k+n)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} s_k = o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta+1}}\right).$$

Now write

$$v_k = \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{s_m}{m^{\beta+2}}$$

so that  $v_k \rightarrow 0$  (and this is all we know). The sum on the left of (8) is

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{\alpha+\beta+2-q}}{(k+n)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} (v_k - v_{k+1}) \\ = \frac{v_1}{(n+1)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} v_k \left\{ \frac{k^{\alpha+\beta+2-q}}{(k+n)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} - \frac{(k-1)^{\alpha+\beta+2-q}}{(k-1+n)^{\alpha+\beta+r}} \right\}.$$

The first term on the right of (9) is  $o(1/n^{\beta+1})$  (since  $r \geq 1, \alpha > 0$ ). The expression in curly brackets in the second term is

$$O\left(\frac{k^{\alpha+\beta+1-q}}{(k+n)^{\alpha+\beta+r}}\right)$$

(and this result is best possible). This gives the required result when  $\beta \leq 0$ ; but if  $\beta > 0$ , all that we can deduce in the "worst" cases (which are  $q = 1, r = 2$  or  $q = 2, r = 1$ ) is that the sum (9) is  $o(1/n)$ .

Of course, the fact that the proof breaks down does not imply that the theorem itself is false. My guess is that the theorem probably is false for  $p > 0$ ; but I have not actually got a counter example.

**3. Theorems.** The following two theorems with  $\beta = 0$  are Theorem 1' and Theorem 2' given by Kuttner [6]. The proof of Theorem 1 is similar to that of Theorem 1' in [6], and Theorem 2 follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > -1$  and  $r \geq 0$  and let  $s(x)$  be summable  $(C, r)^1$  to  $s$  and (4) converge. Then  $s(x)$  is summable  $(C_i, \alpha, \beta)$  to  $s$ .*

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\alpha > \alpha' > 0, \beta > -1$ , and let  $s(x)$  be summable  $(C_i, \alpha, \beta)$  to  $s$ . Then  $s(x)$  is summable  $(C_i, \alpha', \beta)$  to  $s$ .*

<sup>1</sup> For definition of the  $(C, r)$  summability of  $s(v)$ , see [7].

In § 5, we shall prove

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\alpha > 0, \beta > \beta' > -1$ . Suppose that  $s(x)$  is summable  $(C_i, \alpha, \beta)$  to  $s$  and the integral*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{s(x)}{x^{\beta'+2}} dx$$

*converges. Then  $s(x)$  is summable  $(C_i, \alpha, \beta')$  to  $s$ .*

The sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is said to be summable  $A_\lambda$  to  $s$  if

$$f_\lambda(x) = (1-x)^{\lambda+1} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{n+\lambda}{n} s_n x^n$$

converges for all  $x$  in the interval  $0 \leq x < 1$  and tend to a finite limit  $s$  as  $x \rightarrow 1-$ . The  $A_0$  method is the ordinary Abel method.

It is known (see [1] and [2]) that  $A_\mu \supset A_\lambda$  for  $\lambda > \mu > -1$ . For other properties of this summability method, see [1] and [6]. We shall prove

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\lambda > -1, \beta > -1$ . Suppose that the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  is summable  $A_\lambda$  to  $s$  and that (3) converges. Then the sequence is summable  $(C_i, \lambda + 1, \beta)$  to  $s$ .*

#### 4. Lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\alpha > \alpha' > 0, \beta > -1$ . Suppose that (5) converges. Then*

$$y^{\alpha-1}g(y, \alpha', \beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\alpha')\Gamma(\alpha-\alpha')} \int_0^y t^{\alpha'-1}(y-t)^{\alpha-\alpha'-1}g(t, \alpha, \beta)dt.$$

The proof of this lemma is similar to that of Lemma 4 in [6].

**LEMMA 2.** *Let*

$$t(x) = \int_0^\infty c(x, y)s(y)dy.$$

*Then in order that*

$$s(y) \rightarrow s \qquad (y \rightarrow \infty)$$

*should imply*

$$t(x) \rightarrow s \qquad (x \rightarrow \infty)$$

*for every bounded  $s(y)$ , it is sufficient that*

$$\int_0^\infty |c(x, y)|dy < H,$$

where  $H$  is independent of  $x$ , that

$$\int_0^Y |c(x, y)| dy \rightarrow 0$$

when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for every finite  $Y$ , and that

$$\int_0^\infty c(x, y) dy \rightarrow 1$$

when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

This Theorem 6 in [4].

5. Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$\phi(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{s(u)}{u^{\beta+2}} du$$

for  $x > 0$ . Then  $\phi(x)$  is continuous in  $(0, \infty)$ , and  $\phi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ; hence  $\phi(x)$  is bounded in  $(B, \infty)$  for any  $B > 0$ , say

$$|\phi(x)| \leq M$$

for  $x \geq B$ , where  $M$  may depend on  $B$  if  $B$  is small, but may be taken as an absolute constant for large  $B$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_B^\infty \frac{x^{\alpha-1}s(x)}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dx \right| &= \left| \int_B^\infty \left( \frac{x}{x+t} \right)^{\alpha+\beta+1} d\phi(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \left( \frac{B}{B+t} \right)^{\alpha+\beta+1} \phi(B) \right. \\ (10) \quad &+ (\alpha + \beta + 1)t \int_B^\infty \frac{1}{(x+t)^2} \left( \frac{x}{x+t} \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \phi(x) dx \left. \right| \\ &\leq |\phi(B)| + (\alpha + \beta + 1)tM \int_B^\infty \frac{dx}{(x+t)^2} \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta + 2)M. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s(x)$  is bounded in some right-hand neighbourhood of the origin, there exists  $B_0 > 0$  such that

$$|s(x)| \leq K$$

for  $0 < x < B_0$ . By partial integration, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \left| t^{\beta+1} \int_0^{B_0} \frac{x^{\alpha-1}s(x)}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dx \right| \leq \frac{K(\alpha + 2\beta + 2)}{\alpha(\beta + 1)}.$$

By combining (10) and (11) it follows that  $g(t, \alpha, \beta)$  is bounded in any finite interval  $(0, T)$ . Since it tends to  $s$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $g(t, \alpha, \beta)$  is bounded in  $(0, \infty)$ . Thus, for  $y > 0$ , the integral

$$I = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \int_y^\infty t^{-\beta-1}(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}g(t, \alpha, \beta)dt$$

converges. In view of the definition of  $g(t, \alpha, \beta)$  it follows that

$$(12) \quad I = \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} I(A)$$

where

$$I(A) = \int_y^A (t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}dt \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\alpha-1}s(x)}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dx .$$

It follows from (10) by dominated convergence that, for fixed  $A$ ,

$$\int_y^A (t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}dt \int_B^\infty \frac{x^{\alpha-1}s(x)}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dx \rightarrow 0$$

as  $B \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, by Fubini's theorem

$$(13) \quad I(A) = \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1}s(x)dx \int_y^A \frac{(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dt .$$

We will now show that, for fixed  $y$ ,

$$(14) \quad \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1}s(x)dx \int_A^\infty \frac{(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dt \rightarrow 0$$

as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ . It is clear that for large  $A$  the inner integral in (14) is  $O(A^{-\alpha-\beta'-1})$  uniformly in  $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ , so that the contribution to (14) of the range  $0 < x < 1$  tends to 0 as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ . Now write

$$\psi(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{s(u)}{u^{\beta'+2}}du;$$

thus we are given that  $\psi(x)$  exists and that it tends to 0 as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . The contribution to (14) of  $x > 1$  may now be written

$$(15) \quad - \int_1^\infty x^{\alpha+\beta'+1}d\psi(x) \int_A^\infty \frac{(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dt .$$

It is easily seen that, for fixed  $y, A$  and large  $x$ , the inner integral in (15) is  $O(x^{-\alpha-\beta'-1})$ ; thus, integrating by parts, (15) becomes

$$(16) \quad \psi(1) \int_A^\infty \frac{(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}}{(1 + t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}}dt + \int_1^\infty x^{\alpha+\beta'}\psi(x)dx \int_A^\infty \frac{(t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}[(\alpha + \beta' + 1)t - (\beta - \beta')x]}{(x + t)^{\alpha+\beta+2}}dt .$$

Now for fixed  $y$  and large  $A$ , uniformly in  $0 \leqq x \leqq A$ , the inner integral in (16) is

$$O\left\{\int_A^\infty t^{-\alpha-\beta'-2} dt\right\} = O(A^{-\alpha-\beta'-1}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^A x^{\alpha+\beta'} \psi(x) dx \int_A^\infty \frac{(t-y)^{\beta-\beta'-1} [(\alpha+\beta'+1)t - (\beta-\beta')x]}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+2}} dt \\ &= \left( \int_1^{A/\log A} + \int_{A/\log A}^A \right) x^{\alpha+\beta'} \psi(x) O(A^{-\alpha-\beta'-1}) dx \\ &= O\left(A^{-\alpha-\beta'-1} \int_1^{A/\log A} x^{\alpha+\beta'} dx\right) \\ & \quad + O\left(A^{-\alpha-\beta'-1} \sup_{x \geq A/\log A} |\psi(x)| \int_{A/\log A}^A x^{\alpha+\beta'} dx\right) = O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Nothing that for fixed  $y$  and large  $t$

$$(t-y)^{\beta-\beta'-1} = t^{\beta-\beta'-1} + O(t^{\beta-\beta'-2}),$$

and also that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\beta-\beta'-1} [(\alpha+\beta'+1)t - (\beta-\beta')x]}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+2}} dt = 0,$$

we see that, for large  $A$  uniformly in  $x \geq A$ , the inner integral in (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^A \frac{t^{\beta-\beta'-1} [(\alpha+\beta'+1)t - (\beta-\beta')x]}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+2}} dt \\ & + O\left\{\int_A^\infty \frac{t^{\beta-\beta'-2} |(\alpha+\beta'+1)t - (\beta-\beta')x|}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+2}} dt\right\} \\ &= O\left\{x^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \int_0^A t^{\beta-\beta'-1} dt\right\} + O\left\{x^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \int_A^x t^{\beta-\beta'-2} dt\right\} + O\left\{\int_x^\infty t^{-\alpha-\beta'-3} dt\right\} \\ &= O(x^{-\alpha-\beta-1} A^{\beta-\beta'}) + O(x^{-\alpha-\beta'-2}) \end{aligned}$$

(except that, in the case  $\beta - \beta' = 1$ , we must insert an extra term  $O(x^{-\alpha-\beta-1} \log x)$ ). It is now clear that the expression (16) tends to 0 as  $A \rightarrow \infty$ , and this completes the proof of (14). We deduce from (12), (13) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^\infty x^{\alpha-1} s(x) dx \int_y^\infty \frac{(t-y)^{\beta-\beta'-1}}{(x+t)^{\alpha+\beta+1}} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\beta')\Gamma(\alpha+\beta'+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\alpha-1} s(x)}{(x+y)^{\alpha+\beta'+1}} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta-\beta')\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta'+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)} y^{-\beta'-1} g(y, \alpha, \beta'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of the definition of  $I$ , we have

$$g(y, \alpha, \beta') = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)}{\Gamma(\beta - \beta')\Gamma(\beta' + 1)} y^{\beta'+1} \int_y^\infty t^{-\beta-1} (t - y)^{\beta-\beta'-1} g(t, \alpha, \beta) dt .$$

The kernel of this last transformation can easily be verified to satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2, and the theorem now follows.

**6. Proof of Theorem 4.** It follows from the convergence of (3) that for  $\beta > -1$ ,  $s_\nu = o(\nu^{\beta+2})$ . We can easily prove that the function  $t^{n+k}(1 - t)^{\lambda+\beta'+1}$  has a maximum when

$$t = \frac{k + n}{k + n + \lambda + \beta' + 1} .$$

For large  $k + n$ , this maximum is  $O((k + n)^{-\lambda-\beta'-1})$ . Hence, if  $\beta' > \beta + 2$ , we have, the inversion in the order of integration and summation being justified by absolute convergence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\Gamma(\beta' + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta' + 1)} \int_0^1 t^n (1 - t)^{\lambda+\beta'+1} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\lambda + k}{k} s_k t^k \right\} dt \\ (17) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta' + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta' + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\lambda + k}{k} s_k \int_0^1 t^{k+n} (1 - t)^{\lambda+\beta'+1} dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta' + n + 2)\Gamma(\lambda + \beta' + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta' + 1)\Gamma(\lambda + 1)} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + k + 1)\Gamma(k + n + 1)}{\Gamma(k + 1)\Gamma(\lambda + \beta' + n + k + 3)} s_k \\ &= t(n, \lambda + 1, \beta') . \end{aligned}$$

By analytic continuation, (17) holds for  $\beta' \geq \beta$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t(n, \lambda + 1, \beta) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \int_0^1 t^n (1 - t)^\beta f_\lambda(t) dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-y})^n e^{-(\beta+1)y} f_\lambda(1 - e^{-y}) dy . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 the result with follow if

$$(i) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-y})^n e^{-(\beta+1)y} dy < H$$

where  $H$  is independent of  $n$ ,

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \int_0^Y (1 - e^{-y})^n e^{-(\beta+1)y} dy \rightarrow 0$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for every finite  $Y$ , and

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\Gamma(\beta + n + 2)}{\Gamma(n + 1)\Gamma(\beta + 1)} \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-y})^n e^{-(\beta+1)y} dy \rightarrow 1 ,$$

when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since

$$\int_0^{\infty} (1 - e^{-y})^n e^{-(\beta+1)y} dy = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\beta+n+2)},$$

(i) and (iii) are satisfied. We have  $\Gamma(n+\beta+2) \sim n^{\beta+1}\Gamma(n+1)$ , and the integral in (ii) is, by changing the variable,

$$\int_0^{1-e^{-Y}} t^n (1-t)^{\beta} dt.$$

Hence (ii) is satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Borwein, *On a scale of Abel-type summability methods*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **53** (1957), 318-322.
2. ———, *On methods of summability based on power series*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edin., **64** (1957), 342-349.
3. ———, *Theorems on some methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math., (Oxford), (2) **9** (1958), 310-316.
4. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*.
5. A. Jakimovski, *Some relations between the methods of summability of Abel, Borel, Cesàro, Hölder and Hausdorff*, J. Anal. Math., **3** (1954), 346-381.
6. B. Kuttner, *On translated quasi-Cesàro summability*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **62** (1966), 705-712.
7. B. Kwee, *On Perron's method of summation*, *ibid.*, **63** (1967), 1033-1040.
8. M. S. Ramanujan, *On Hausdorff and quasi-Hausdorff methods of summability*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford), (2) **8** (1957), 197-213.

Received December 1, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF MALAYA,  
KUALA LUMPUR, MALAYSIA.