

## ON THE DEGREE OF THE MINIMAL POLYNOMIAL OF A COMMUTATOR OPERATOR

M. SHAFQAT ALI AND MARVIN MARCUS

Let  $A$  be an  $n$ -square matrix over a field  $F$  of characteristic 0. The additive commutator operator defined by  $A$ ,  $T_A X = AX - XA$ , can be regarded as a linear transformation on the space of all  $n$ -square matrices  $X$  over  $F$ . Following earlier papers by O. Taussky and H. Wielandt and one of the present authors, we show that the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $T_A$  is always odd and at least

$$2[m + E + (k - 2)e - k] + 1$$

where  $m$  is the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $A$ ,  $k$  is the number of distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ , and  $E(e)$  is the largest (least) integer among the degrees of the distinct highest degree elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of  $A$ .

The purpose of this paper is two-fold: first we obtain a count of the number of distinct differences of the form  $z_i - z_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , where  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  are distinct elements of a field  $F$  of characteristic 0; second we apply this to prove a result on the parity and magnitude of the degree of the minimal polynomial of a matrix commutator. Annihilating polynomials for commutators were originally considered by Taussky and Wielandt in a paper in 1962 [5] and then again by one of the present authors in 1964 [2] (see also [1] and [6]).

To be precise let  $A$  be an  $n$ -square matrix over  $F$  and consider the linear transformation  $T_A$  defined on the space  $M_n(F)$  of  $n$ -square matrices over  $F$ :

$$(1) \quad T_A X = AX - XA, \quad X \in M_n(F).$$

Then  $T_A$  is called the commutator operator defined by  $A$ . The transformation  $T_A$  has a matrix representation  $A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A$  where  $\otimes$  indicates Kronecker product [3, p. 8]. The minimal polynomial of  $T_A$  is called the minimal polynomial of the commutator operator (1).

In an appropriate algebraic extension field  $K$  of  $F$  the elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of  $A$  are powers of binomials. Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ , let  $e_i$  be the degree of the highest degree elementary divisor of the characteristic matrix of  $A$  involving  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $E = \max_i e_i$ ,  $e = \min_i e_i$ , and let  $m$  be the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $A$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $F$  is a field of characteristic zero then the degree*

of the minimal polynomial of the commutator operator  $T_A$  is always odd and at least

$$2[m + E + (k - 2)e - k] + 1.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we shall find it necessary to consider the following problem: given  $n$  distinct numbers  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  in  $F$  how many distinct differences are there of the form  $z_i - z_j, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ? Of course, the number can be as small as  $2n - 2$  by simply taking  $z_i = i, i = 1, \dots, n$ . As an application of the Perron-Frobenius theorem on nonnegative matrices the following result, used to prove Theorem 1, may be of some independent interest.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  be  $n$  distinct element in a field  $F$  of characteristic 0. Then there are always at least  $2n - 2$  distinct non-zero differences of the form  $z_i - z_j, i \neq j, i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .*

II. *Proofs.* We begin with the proof of Theorem 2. We shall show in fact that there exists a permutation  $\varphi \in S_n$  (the symmetric group of degree  $n$ ) for which the  $2n - 2$  elements

$$(2) \quad \pm(z_{\varphi(1)} - z_{\varphi(2)}), \dots, \pm(z_{\varphi(1)} - z_{\varphi(n)})$$

are distinct. If this is not the case then for every  $\varphi \in S_n$  there must exist integers  $p$  and  $q, p \neq q$ , such that

$$(3) \quad z_{\varphi(1)} - z_{\varphi(p)} = z_{\varphi(q)} - z_{\varphi(1)}.$$

For, obviously the two sets of numbers (2) obtained by choosing first the + signs and then the - signs each consist of  $n - 1$  distinct differences. Thus if there is to be an overlap, (3) must hold and we have  $z_{\varphi(1)} = \frac{1}{2} z_{\varphi(p)} + \frac{1}{2} z_{\varphi(q)}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is arbitrary we can write  $z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_j, i = 1, \dots, n$ , where for each  $i$ , there are precisely two values of  $j$  for which  $a_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}$ , and otherwise  $a_{ij} = 0$ . Let  $A = (a_{ij}), z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  so that

$$(4) \quad Az = z.$$

The matrix  $A$  may or may not be reducible but in any event there exists an  $n$ -square permutation matrix  $P$  such that

$$(5) \quad P^T A P = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & A_2 & & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \cdot \\ * & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & * & A_m \end{bmatrix}$$

and moreover each of the square matrices appearing along the main

diagonal in (5) is irreducible or 1-square. Now suppose  $A_1$  is  $k$ -square. Since each row of  $A$  (and hence of  $P^TAP$ ) has precisely two nonzero entries in it, it follows that  $k \geq 2$ . From (4) we have

$$(6) \quad P^TAPx = x$$

where  $x = P^Tz$ . Let  $y = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$  and we see that (5) and (6) imply that

$$(7) \quad (A_1 - I_k)y = 0.$$

Since  $F$  has characteristic 0 it contains the rationals and  $A_1$  can be regarded as a nonnegative, irreducible, row-stochastic matrix. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem [3, p. 124] we can immediately conclude that 1 is a simple eigenvalue of  $A_1$  and hence the nullity of  $A_1 - I_k$  over the rationals is  $k - 1$ . But the nullity is unchanged by regarding  $A_1 - I_k$  as a matrix over any extension field of  $F$ . Now  $e = (1, \dots, 1)$  is in the null space of  $A_1 - I_k$  and hence any vector  $y$  satisfying (7) must be a multiple of  $e$ . Since  $k \geq 2$  we conclude that at least two of the  $y_i$  are the same and hence that at least two of the  $z_i$  are the same. This contradiction completes the proof.

The preceding result has an immediate corollary. We let  $\nu(\mathfrak{A})$  denote the cardinality of a set  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the set of all distinct non-zero differences of the form  $z_i - z_j, i \neq j$ . Then  $\nu(\mathfrak{A})$  is even and at least  $2n - 2$ .*

*Proof.* According to the preceding argument there exists a permutation  $\varphi \in S_n$  such that the  $2n - 2$  differences  $\pm(z_{\varphi(i)} - z_{\varphi(j)})$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, n$ , are distinct. We can assume without loss of generality that  $\varphi$  is the identity. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \{z_1 - z_i, i = 2, \dots, n\}, \\ \beta &= \{z_i - z_1, i = 2, \dots, n\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\nu(\alpha) = \nu(\beta) = n - 1$ . If  $\mathfrak{A} = \alpha \cup \beta$  then we are finished. So assume that there exist integers  $i, j, 1 < i \leq n, 1 < j \leq n, i \neq j$  such that  $z_{i_1} - z_{j_1} \in \alpha \cup \beta$ . But then clearly  $z_{j_1} - z_{i_1} \in \alpha \cup \beta$ . For if  $z_{j_1} - z_{i_1} \in \alpha$ , say, then  $z_{j_1} - z_{i_1} = z_1 - z_i$  and hence  $z_{i_1} - z_{j_1} = z_i - z_1$  in contradiction to the assumption that  $z_{i_1} - z_{j_1} \in \alpha \cup \beta$ . Now set

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha \cup \{z_{i_1} - z_{j_1}\}, \quad \beta_1 = \beta \cup \{z_{j_1} - z_{i_1}\}.$$

Clearly  $\nu(\alpha_1 \cup \beta_1) = \nu(\alpha \cup \beta) + 2$  and if  $\alpha_1 \cup \beta_1 \neq \mathfrak{A}$  we can repeat the preceding argument with  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  replacing  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to obtain  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  such that  $\nu(\alpha_2 \cup \beta_2) = \nu(\alpha_1 \cup \beta_1) + 2 = \nu(\alpha \cup \beta) + 4 = (2n - 2) + 4$ . This procedure can obviously be continued until  $\mathfrak{A}$  is exhausted.

To prove Theorem 1 we use a well known theorem of Roth [4]: if  $(\lambda - \gamma_i)^p$  and  $(\lambda - \gamma_j)^q$  are a pair of elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of  $A$  then corresponding to these is a list of elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of  $A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A$ :

$$(\lambda - (\gamma_i - \gamma_j))^t,$$

where  $t \leq p + q - 1$ . According to Theorem 2 there are at least  $(2k - 2)$  distinct nonzero differences of the form  $\pm(\gamma_{\varphi(i)} - \gamma_{\varphi(j)})$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, k$ , and it is simply a matter of notational convenience to assume that these  $2k - 2$  differences are  $\pm(\gamma_1 - \gamma_i)$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . The highest degree elementary divisor involving the zero eigenvalue of the characteristic matrix of  $A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A$  is

$$(8) \quad \lambda^{2E-1}.$$

By the corollary, the set  $\mathfrak{A}$  of all nonzero distinct eigenvalues of  $T_A$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{A} = & \{ \pm(\gamma_1 - \gamma_i), i = 2, \dots, k \} \\ & \cup \{ \pm(\gamma_{i_t} - \gamma_{j_t}), t = 1, \dots, p \}. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose the highest degree elementary divisors of the characteristic matrix of  $A \otimes I_n - I_n \otimes A$  involving the nonzero distinct eigenvalues of  $T_A$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda - (\gamma_1 - \gamma_i))^{e_{r_i} + e_{s_i} - 1}, (\lambda - (\gamma_i - \gamma_1))^{e_{r_i} + e_{s_i} - 1}, i = 2, \dots, k, \\ & (\lambda - (\gamma_{i_t} - \gamma_{j_t}))^{e_{m_t} + e_{q_t} - 1}, (\lambda - (\gamma_{j_t} - \gamma_{i_t}))^{e_{m_t} + e_{q_t} - 1}, t = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the degree of the minimal polynomial of  $T_A$  is

$$(9) \quad d = 2E - 1 + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k (e_{r_i} + e_{s_i} - 1) + 2 \sum_{t=1}^p (e_{m_t} + e_{q_t} - 1),$$

an odd integer. Observe that

$$d \geq (2E - 1) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k (e_1 + e_i - 1) + 2 \sum_{t=1}^p (e_{i_t} + e_{j_t} - 1),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d & \geq (2E - 1) + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k e_i + 2(k - 1)(e_1 - 1) \\ & = 2E - 1 + 2(m - e_1) + 2(k - 1)(e_1 - 1) \\ & \geq 2[m + E + (k - 2)e - k] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## REFERENCES

1. Fergus Gaines, *Kato-Taussky-Wielandt commutator relations*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, **1** (1968), 127-138.
2. Marvin Marcus, *The minimal polynomial of a commutator*, Portugaliae Math., **25**, (1964), 73-76.
3. Marvin Marcus and Henryk Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Prindle, Weber and Schmidt, Boston, 1969.
4. W. E. Roth, *On direct product matrices*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **40** (1934), 461-468.
5. O. Taussky and H. Wielandt, *Linear relations between higher additive commutators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **13** (1962), 732-735.
6. O. Taussky, *A generalization of matrix commutativity*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, **2** (1969), 349-353.

Received June 4, 1970. The research of both authors was supported in part by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research under grant AFOSR 698-67.

UNIVERSITY OF ISLAMABAD, ISLAMABAD, PAKISTAN AND  
CALIFORNIA STATE COLLEGE, LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

