# BLOCKS AND F-CLASS ALGEBRAS OF FINITE GROUPS 

William F. Reynolds

For an arbitrary field $F$ of characteristic $p \geqq 0$, the usual partitioning of the $p$-regular elements of a finite group $G$ into $F$-classes ( $F$-conjugacy classes) is extended to all of $G$ in such a way that the $F$-classes form a basis of a subalgebra $Y$ of the class algebra $Z$ of $G$ over $F$. The primitive idempotents of $E \otimes_{F} Y$, where $E$ is an algebraic closure of $F$, are the same as those of $Z$. By means of this fact it is shown that if $p>0$ the number of blocks of $G$ over $F$ with a given defect group $D$ is not greater than the number of $p$-regular $F$-classes $L$ of $G$ with defect group $D$ such that the $F$-class sum of $L$ in $Z$ is not nilpotent; equality holds if $O_{p, p^{\prime}, p}(G)=G$ or if $D$ is Sylow in $G$. The results are generalized to arbitrary twisted group algebras of $G$ over $F$.

1. Introduction. The representation theory of a finite group $G$ over an arbitrary field $F$ involves certain subsets of $G$ called $F$ conjugacy classes or simply $F$-classes [6, p. 164], [9, p. 306]. In this paper we show (Theorem 4) that the $F$-class sums in the group algebra $A$ of $G$ over $F$ form a basis of a subalgebra $Y(A)$ of the center $Z(A)$ of $A$; we may call $Y(A)$ the $F$-class algebra of $G$. (If $F$ has prime characteristic $p$, the definition of the $p$-singular $F$-classes requires some care.) The crucial property of $Y(A)$, from our standpoint, is that its extension $Y(A)^{E}$ to an algebra over an algebraic closure $E$ of $F$ has precisely the same primitive idempotents as the $F$-algebra $Z(A)$ (Theorem 4); thus the blocks of $G$ over $F$ correspond to the primitive idempotents of an algebra over an algebraically closed field. Furthermore we obtain a corresponding result for any twisted group algebra (without any normalization of the factor set) of $G$ over $F$ by the methods of [16].

We make use of $F$-class algebras in conjunction with methods of Berman and Bovdi (Bódi) [2], [3] to obtain results about the number of blocks of twisted group algebras. In the group-algebra case these results (Theorems 6, 8, and 9) can be summarized as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $F$ have prime characteristic $p$. For any psubgroup $D$ of $G$, the number of blocks of $G$ over $F$ with $D$ as a defect group is less than or equal to the number of $p$-regular $F$-classes $L$ of $G$ with $D$ as a defect group such that the $F$-class sum of $L$ is not a nilpotent element of $A$. Equality holds here if $O_{p, p^{\prime}, p}(G)=G$
or if $D$ is a $p$-Sylow subgroup of $G$; in the latter case the nonnilpotence condition can be omitted.

Theorem 1 incorporates generalizations of results of Brauer and Nesbitt [4, Corollaries 1 and 2], [5, (6 D)] as well as of [2] and [3] concerning the case where $F$ is a splitting field for $G$. In [2, Theorem 2] part of the result for $O_{p, p^{\prime}, p}(G)=G$ is stated for arbitrary $F$, but without proof. The $p$-Sylow, or "highest defect", result for group algebras over arbitrary $F$ has been obtained independently by Hubbart [10]; Bovdi's proof of this result is of interest even in the splittingfield case. Treatments of Brauer's results by Rosenberg [17] and Conlon [8] will be referred to frequently. Further references are given below.

In Corollary 2 we generalize a result of Brauer [5, (13A)] on blocks of defect 0 . We remark that there is a connection between $F$-class algebras and the notion of $S$-rings (see [18] for example).

Added in proof. L. G. Kovács discovered most of Theorem 1 using vertices and sources, but his proof has appeared only in some unpublished notes written by Andrew Hopkins [9a]. Michler [11a] has independently obtained some interesting related results.

Terminology. We have attempted to help a reader interested only in the group-algebra case to skip over the complications caused by twisting. Standard notations, such as $N_{G}(H), O_{p^{\prime}}(G), Z(G)$, and the vertical line symbol for restrictions of mappings will be used without comment. A $p^{\prime}$-group is one of order not divisible by $p$, i.e. such that all its elements are $p$-regular; if $p=0$, every finite group is a $p^{\prime}$-group, and a $p$-group has order 1. The center and Jacobson radical of an algebra $X$ are called $Z(X)$ and $J(X)$ respectively. We shall follow the notation of [16] except for its categorical machinery.
2. Representations of a Galois group. Throughout the paper $A$ denotes a twisted group algebra of a finite group $G$ over an arbitrary field $F$ of characteristic $p \geqq 0$; thus $A$ has a basis $\left\{a_{g}: g \in G\right\}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{g} a_{g^{\prime}}=f\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) a_{g g^{\prime}}, \quad g, g^{\prime} \in G \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some nonzero $f\left(g, g^{\prime}\right) \in F$. For any subset $H$ of $G, A_{H}$ denotes the subspace of $A$ with basis $\left\{a_{h}: h \in H\right\}$; if $H$ is a subgroup, $A_{H}$ is a twisted group algebra of $H . \quad E$ is a fixed algebraic closure of $F$, and $\mathscr{G}$ is the (untopologized) Galois group of $E$ over $F$. For any $F$-space ( $F$-algebra) $X, \quad X^{E}=E \otimes_{F} X$ is the $E$-space ( $E$-algebra) obtained from $X$ by extension of the ground field. We regard $X$ as
embedded in $X^{E}$ in the usual way; thus $\left(A_{H}\right)^{E}=\left(A^{E}\right)_{H}=A_{H}^{E}$.
We consider two representations of $\mathscr{G}$ on the $E$-space $A^{E}$. First there is the well-known canonical semilinear representation of $\mathscr{G}$ on $A^{E}$, which we shall call $\boldsymbol{P}_{A}$ : for each $\sigma \in \mathscr{G}$,

$$
\left[\sum_{g \in G} w(g) a_{g}\right] \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)=\sum_{g \in G} w(g)^{\sigma} a_{g}, \quad w(g) \in E,
$$

where $w(g)^{\sigma}$ denotes the image of $w(g)$ under $\sigma . \quad \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)$ is a ringautomorphism of $A^{E}$. (The existence of $\boldsymbol{P}_{A}$ does not depend on the fact that $A$ is a twisted group algebra.)

The second representation of $\mathscr{G}$ on $A^{E}$ is the linear representation $S_{A}$ of [16, Theorem 5]. We can describe $S_{A}(\sigma)$ by the following restatement of [16, Corollary to Theorem 4].

Theorem 2. For each $\sigma \in \mathscr{G}$, there is a unique E-linear transformation $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$ of $A^{E}$ to $A^{E}$ such that:
(2.2) For each cyclic subgroup $\langle g\rangle$ of $G$, the restriction of $\mathbf{S}_{A}(\sigma)$ to $A_{\langle g\rangle}^{E}$ is an algebra-automorphism of $A_{\langle g\rangle}^{E}$.

For each cyclic $p^{\prime}$-subgroup $\langle g\rangle$ of $G, \psi_{j}\left(a \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)\right)=$ $\left[\psi_{j}\left(a \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)\right)\right]^{\sigma^{-1}}$ whenever $a \in A_{\langle g\rangle}^{E}$ and $\psi_{j}$ is an irreducible character of $A_{\langle\xi\rangle}^{E}$.

For each cyclic p-subgroup $\langle g\rangle$ of $G, \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$ fixes every element of $A_{\langle g\rangle}^{E}$.

Here $\psi_{j}$ is defined with values in $E$. By Theorem 2, the analogue of $S_{A}(\sigma)$ for any subgroup $H$ of $G$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{A_{H}}(\sigma)=S_{A}(\sigma) \mid A_{H}^{E} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(cf. [16, Theorem 4, (a)]). The group $\left\{S_{A}(\sigma): \sigma \in \mathscr{G}\right\}$ is finite [16, §6]. More explicitly: choose any $n$ divisible by the exponent of $G$ and write $n=n_{p} n_{p^{\prime}}$ where $n_{p}$ is a power of $p$ and $n_{p^{\prime}}$ is not divisible by $p$. (If $p=0, n=n_{p^{\prime}}$.) Choose $m(\sigma)$ so that $\omega^{\sigma}=\omega^{m(\sigma)}$ for every $n_{p^{\prime}}$ th root $\omega$ of 1 in $E$ and $m(\sigma) \equiv 1\left(\bmod n_{p}\right)$. Then $\mathscr{G}$ has a permutation representation $s_{G}$ on $G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \boldsymbol{s}_{G}(\sigma)=g^{m(\sigma-1)}, \quad g \in G \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a_{g} \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$ is a scalar multiple of $a_{g^{\prime}}$ in $A^{E}$ where $g^{\prime}=g s_{G}(\sigma)$ ( $[16,(6.4)]$ gives a formula for the scalar); thus $S_{A}$ acts monomially, with $s_{G}$ as the associated permutation representation (cf. [16, §3]). In particular if $A$ is a group algebra, we can take $\alpha_{g}=g$; then $g S_{A}(\sigma)=g s_{G}(\sigma)[16,(9.2)]$.
$G$ acts by conjugation both on itself and on $A^{E}$ by automorphisms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(x)=a_{x}^{-1} a a_{x}, g \boldsymbol{k}_{G}(x)=x^{-1} g x, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a \in A^{E}, g \in G, x \in G\left[16,(4.1)\right.$ and (4.2)]; $K_{A}$ is a monomial representation of $G$ with $\boldsymbol{k}_{G}$ associated to it. The fixed-point space of $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$ is clearly the center $Z\left(A^{E}\right)=Z(A)^{E}$ of $A^{E}$.

In the next proof, and throughout the paper, we shall make tacit use of the basic properties of idempotents of commutative algebras (for example, see [11], especially pp. 54-55). We refer to the primitive idempotents of a commutative algebra as block idempotents.

Theorem 3. If $\sigma \in \mathscr{G}$, then: $S_{A}(\sigma) \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ is an algebra-automorphism of $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$.
(2.9) $\quad$ For every block idempotent $d$ of $Z\left(A^{E}\right), d \mathbf{S}_{A}(\sigma)=d \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)$.

Proof. By [16, (8.1)], $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma) \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(x)=\boldsymbol{K}_{A}(x) \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$; this is obvious in the group-algebra case. Hence $S_{A}(\sigma)$ maps $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ onto itself. Observe that since $\boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)$ permutes the block idempotents, (2.9) says that $\boldsymbol{S}_{\mathbf{A}}(\sigma)$ permutes them in the same way. We prove this theorem in three cases of increasing generality.

Case I. Suppose that $A$ is a group algebra. If also $p=0$, the theorem is due to Burnside [7, p. 317, Theorem VII]; our argument generalizes his. To each block idempotent $d$ of $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ there corresponds a "block" $B[d]$ of $A^{E}$ to which are assigned certain irreducible representations $F_{j}$ of $A^{E}$, their traces or characters $\varphi_{j}$, and the corresponding principal indecomposable representations $\boldsymbol{U}_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\sum_{g} \sum_{j} \frac{\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{U}_{j}}{|G|} \varphi_{j}\left(g^{-1}\right) g \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ runs over the $p$-regular elements of $G$ and $\varphi_{i}$ over the irreducible characters of $B[d]$ : this is Osima's formula [12, § 2] written in characteristic $p$; for $p>0$ we interpret $\left(\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{U}_{j}\right) /|G|$, which can be written with denominator not divisible by $p$ [5, (3F)], as an element of the prime subfield of $F$. A consideration of characteristic roots shows that $\varphi_{j}\left(g^{m(o)}\right)=\varphi_{j}(g)^{o}$ (cf. [16, Theorem 3]) and (2.9) follows. If $p=0, Z\left(A^{T}\right)$ is the direct sum of the fields $d E$; since $S_{A}(\sigma) \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ permutes the $d$ 's, (2.8) holds. In particular this is true when $F=\boldsymbol{Q}$, in which case any integer relatively prime to the exponent of $G$ can serve as $m(\sigma)$; an easy reduction modulo $p$ yields (2.8) for prime characteristic.

Case II. Suppose that there is a positive integer $l$ such that
$f\left(g, g^{\prime}\right)^{l}=1$ for all $g, g^{\prime}$ in (2.1). Then there exists a finite central extension $G^{*}$ of $G$ such that $A$ is (up to isomorphism) a direct summand of the group algebra $A^{*}$ of $G^{*}$ over $F$ [8, pp. 155-156]; then $A=A^{*} e^{*}$ for an idempotent $e^{*}$ of $Z\left(A^{*}\right)$. Let $M: a^{*} \mapsto a^{*} e^{*}$ be the projection of $A^{*}$ onto $A$, and let $M^{E}$ be its extension to a projection of $\left(A^{*}\right)^{E}$ onto $A^{E}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathscr{G}$, set

$$
S=\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma), S^{*}=\boldsymbol{S}_{A} *(\sigma), P=\boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma), P^{*}=\boldsymbol{P}_{A} *(\sigma)
$$

By [16, Theorem 4, (a)], $S^{*} M^{E}=M^{E} S$. Using Case I we find that $e^{*} S^{*}=e^{*} P^{*}=e^{*}$, and that for any $z \in Z\left(A^{E}\right)$,

$$
z S=\left(x M^{E}\right) S=\left(z S^{*}\right) M^{E}=\left(z S^{*}\right) e^{*}=\left(z S^{*}\right)\left(e^{*} S^{*}\right)=\left(z e^{*}\right) S^{*}=z S^{*}
$$

hence $S \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ is a restriction of $S^{*} \mid Z\left(\left(A^{*}\right)^{E}\right)$ and (2.8) holds. As for (2.9), if $d$ is any block idempotent of $Z\left(A^{E}\right), d S=d S^{*}=d P^{*}=d P$, using Case I and the fact that $P=P^{*} \mid A^{E}$ by canonicity.

Case III. Let $A$ be arbitrary. By [16, § 9] there exist elements $c(g)$ of $E$ such that if we set $a_{g}^{\sharp}=c(g) a_{g}$, then $\left\{a_{g}^{\ddagger}: g \in G\right\}$ is an $F$-basis of a twisted group algebra $A^{*}$ for $G$ over $F$ such that Case II holds for $A^{\#}$. We have $\left(A^{\#}\right)^{E}=A^{E}$. For a fixed $\sigma \in \mathscr{G}$, set $S=\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$, $S^{\ddagger}=\boldsymbol{S}_{A^{\ddagger}}(\sigma), P=\boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma), P^{\ddagger}=\boldsymbol{P}_{A^{\sharp}}(\sigma)$. At once $P=P^{\sharp} T$ where $T$ is the $E$-linear transformation of $A^{E}$ onto $A^{E}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{g} T=\frac{c(g)^{\sigma}}{c(g)} a_{g}, \quad g \in G \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the proof of $[16,(9.3)]$, the mapping $g \mapsto c(g)^{\sigma} / c(g)$ is a 1-cocycle, i.e., a homomorphism of $G$ into the group of roots of unity of $E$; hence $T$ is an algebra-automorphism.

We claim that $S=S^{\sharp} T$. In proving this we can replace $G$ by its cyclic subgroups $\langle g\rangle$ by (2.5). By (2.2) we can suppose that $\langle g\rangle$ is either a $p$-group or a $p^{\prime}$-group. In the first case $S$ and $S^{\ddagger}$ are the identity by (2.4), and so is $T$ since the homomorphism in (2.11) is trivial. Suppose then that $G$ is a cyclic $p^{\prime}$-group. Then $A^{E}=Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ (see the proof of [16, Theorem 4]) and $A^{E}$ is the direct sum of the fields $d E[8, \mathrm{p} .156]$. By (2.3) $\psi_{j}(d S)=\left[\psi_{j}(d P)\right]^{\sigma-1}=\psi_{j}(d P)$ for each $j$ since $\psi_{j}(d P)$ is 0 or 1 ; hence $d S=d P$ in this case. Similarly $d S^{\ddagger}=d P^{\sharp}$, and $\left[d\left(S^{\#}\right)^{-1}\right] S=\left[d\left(P^{*}\right)^{-1}\right] P=d T$; then $S=S^{\ddagger} T$ for cyclic $p^{\prime}$-groups and hence for all $G$.

Now Case II implies the general case: for since $S^{\#} \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ and $T \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ are algebra-automorphisms, so is $S \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$, while $d S=$ $\left(d S^{*}\right) T=\left(d P^{*}\right) T=d P$ 。

Remark 1. The argument in Case III shows that (2.3) is equiva-
lent to the condition:
(2.12) $\quad$ For each cyclic $p^{\prime}$-subgroup $\langle g\rangle$ of $G, d \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)=d \boldsymbol{P}_{A}(\sigma)$ for every block idempotent $d$ of $A_{\langle\varphi\rangle}^{E}$.
Hence in Theorem 4 of [16], we can replace condition (b) by our condition (2.9), which is roughly dual to (b). Also condition (c) can be replaced by our stronger condition (2.8).

Remark 2. Theorem 3 can also be proved using the generalization of (2.10) for twisted group algebras; without proof we state that this formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d=\sum_{g} \sum_{j} \frac{\operatorname{deg} \boldsymbol{U}_{j}}{|G|} \varphi_{j}\left(a_{g}^{-1}\right) \alpha_{g} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with summations as in (2.9). Since $d \in Z\left(A^{E}\right)$, the coefficient of $a_{g}$ vanishes unless $g$ is in a $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$-regular conjugacy class of $G$ (see §3). Passman [13] has shown that only p-regular $g$ are needed without deriving (2.13).
3. $F$-class algebras. As in $[16, \S 8]$, we can combine $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$ to form a monomial representation $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}}$ of the abstract direct product $\mathscr{G} \times G$ on $A^{E}$ by setting

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma) \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(x) & =\boldsymbol{K}_{A}(x) \boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma),  \tag{3.1}\\
\boldsymbol{d}_{G}(\sigma, x)=\boldsymbol{s}_{G}(\sigma) \boldsymbol{k}_{G}(x) & =\boldsymbol{k}_{G}(x) \boldsymbol{s}_{G}(\sigma) \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The following result was suggested by a lemma of Berman [1, Lemma 3.1].

Theorem 4. The fixed-point space of $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ is an E-subalgebra of $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ with identity. Its block idempotents are identical with those of $Z(A)$.

Proof. Temporarily denote this space by $X$. The first sentence follows from (2.8), for since $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ is the fixed-point space of $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}, X$ is the fixed-point space of the subrepresentation of $S_{A}$ on $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$. There is a finite normal (not necessarily separable) extension field $N$ of $E$ such that every block idempotent $d$ of $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ lies in $N \otimes_{F} Z(A)$. $\boldsymbol{P}_{A}$ permutes the $d$ 's, and by [15, Lemma 2] the block idempotents of $Z(A)$ are the sums $\sum d$ over the various orbits. By (2.9) these are also orbits under $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}$; then the sums $\sum d$ are the block idempotents of $X$.

We shall call the orbits of $\boldsymbol{d}_{G}$ the $F$-conjugacy classes, or $F$-classes, of $G$. Since $g d_{G}(\sigma, x)=x^{-1} g^{m\left(\sigma^{-1)}\right.} x$ by (2.6), this agrees with the usual
definition [9, p. 306], [1] for the p-regular elements of $G$ (cf. the proof of [16, Theorem 6]). The monomial representation $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ distinguishes certain $F$-classes: as in [16, § 3] we say that an $F$-class $L$ is $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular provided that there exist nonzero $q(g) \in E, g \in L$, such that $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ acts as a permutation representation on the elements $q(g) a_{g}$ of $A^{E}$. By [16, Lemma 2] if $g \in L$, then $L$ is $D_{A}$-regular if and only if the stabilizer $\left\{(\sigma, x) \in \mathscr{G} \times G: a_{g} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=a_{g}\right\}$ of $a_{g}$ under $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ equals the stabilizer of $g$ under $\boldsymbol{d}_{G}$. (In the group-algebra case, all $F$-classes are $D_{A}$-regular.) By [16, Lemma 1] the dimension of the fixed-point space of $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ is the number of $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes. In fact an $E$-basis is formed by the elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{L}=\sum_{g \in L} q(g) a_{g} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $L$ ranges over the $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes.
Analogous considerations apply to $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$ : thus we have elements $z_{K}$ as $K$ ranges over the $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$-regular conjugacy classes of $G$ which form a well-known basis of the fixed-point space $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ as well as of $Z(A)$ [8, p. 155].

In the group-algebra case we can choose all $q(g)=1$ in (3.3) so that the $y_{L}$ are the $F$-class sums in $A$. For general $A$ it is interesting, although not essential for our later arguments, that we can choose all $q(g)$ in the ground field $F$, so that still $y_{L} \in A$. This statement is equivalent to the following theorem.

Theorem 5. The fixed-point space $X$ of $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ has the form $Y(A)^{E}$ for a unique $F$-subalgebra $Y(A)$ of $Z(A)$.

Proof. It will suffice to show that the fixed-point space of $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}$ has form $W^{E}$ for an $F$-subspace $W$ of $A$, since this will imply that $X=W^{E} \cap Z\left(A^{E}\right)=[W \cap Z(A)]^{E}$. By (2.5), (2.2), and (2.5) we can reduce to the case that $G$ is a cyclic $p^{\prime}$-group. As in Case III of Theorem $3, A^{E}=\oplus d E$ and the fixed-point space of $S_{A}$ is $X$. By Theorem 4 the block idempotents $e$ of $X$ are all in $A$; then $X=\bigoplus e E=(\Theta e F)^{E}$ as required. For general $G, Y(A)$ is unique since $Y(A)=X \cap A=X \cap Z(A)$. The statement about the $y_{L}$ is true since $X=\bigoplus_{L}\left[Y(A)^{E} \cap A_{L}^{E}\right]=\bigoplus_{L}\left[Y(A) \cap A_{L}\right]^{E}$.

Henceforth the symbol $Y(A)$ always denotes this $F$-algebra, and the $y_{L}$ are chosen in $A$, so that they form an $F$-basis of it. $Y(A)$ may be called the $F$-class algebra of $A$. We could "normalize" the basis $\left\{a_{g}\right\}$ of $A$, changing it so that all $q(g)=1$ in (3.3); however we shall not do this in order to avoid conflicting normalizations for subgroups and for conjugacy classes.

We say that an $F$-class $L$ is $A$-nonnilpotent provided that (a) $L$
is $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular and (b) $y_{L}$ is not a nilpotent element of $Y(A)$. Here (b) makes sense since $y_{L}$ is determined up to a scalar multiple; in terms of radicals it is equivalent to saying that $y_{L} \notin J(Y(A))$ or that $y_{L} \notin J\left(Y(A)^{E}\right)$. (It is not always true that $J\left(Y(A)^{E}\right)=J(Y(A))^{E}$ : see the example of [15, pp. 12-13].)

Remark 3. I have not been able to answer the following question even in the group-algebra case: does $\boldsymbol{S}_{A}(\sigma)$ map $J(A)$ into itself?
4. Counting blocks. From now on $p$ will always be prime. For each $F$-class $L$, call any $p$-Sylow subgroup of $C_{G}(g)$ for any $g \in L$ a defect group of $L$; this is determined up to conjugacy in $G$ since $C_{G}\left(g^{m(\sigma)}\right)=C_{G}(g)$. In other words, the defect groups of $L$ are the same as the defect groups of the conjugacy classes within $L$. Each block idempotent $e$ of $Z(A)$, i.e., of $Y(A)^{E}$ or of $Y(A)$, has form $e=\sum r[L] y_{L}, r[L] \in F$, summed over the $p$-regular $D_{A}$-regular $F$-classes $L$ (cf. Remark 2). By [17, §2] and [8, §3], the largest of the defect groups of those $L$ for which $r[L] \neq 0$ form a single conjugacy class of subgroups of $G$, called the defect groups of $e$ (in A).

The following result is a generalization of the lemma of Brauer that is quoted in its proof.

Lemma 1. Let $D$ be any p-subgroup of $G$, and let $H=N_{G}(D)$. Then there is a bijection of the set of all $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes of $G$ with defect group $D$ and the set of all $\boldsymbol{D}_{A_{H}}$-regular $F$-classes of $H$ with (unique) defect group $D$, given by $L \mapsto L \cap H$.

Proof. By a lemma of Brauer [5, (10A)], [17, Lemma 3.4], there is a bijection $K \mapsto K \cap H$ of all conjugate classes of $G$ with defect group $D$ to all conjugate classes of $H$ with unique defect group $D$. For each $F$-class $L$ of $G$ with defect group $D, L=\bigcup_{\sigma \in \mathscr{\mathscr { O }}} K^{[m(o)]}$ where $K^{[m(\sigma)]}=\left\{g^{m(\sigma)}: g \in K\right\}$, and $L \cap H=\bigcup(K \cap H)^{[m(\sigma)]}$; hence there is a bijection $L \mapsto L \cap H$ of all $F$-classes of $G$ with defect group $D$ to all $F$-classes of $H$ with defect group $D$. If $L$ is $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular and $h \in L \cap H$, the stabilizers of $a_{h}$ under $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$ and of $h$ under $\boldsymbol{d}_{G}$ are equal; then the stabilizers of $a_{h}$ under $\boldsymbol{D}_{A_{H}}$ and of $h$ under $\boldsymbol{d}_{H}$ are equal, so that $L \cap H$ is $\boldsymbol{D}_{A_{H}}$-regular.

Conversely suppose that $L \cap H$ is $\boldsymbol{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}_{H}}$-regular with defect group $D$. The following argument is a refinement of the proof of the Lemma of [14]. Let $h \in K \cap H \subseteq L \cap H$, and suppose that $(\sigma, x) \in \mathscr{G} \times G$ is such that $h d_{G}(\sigma, x)=h$; we must show that $a_{h} D_{A}(\sigma, x)=a_{h}$. Let $T=\left\{t \in G: a_{h} \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(t)=a_{h}\right\}$ be the stabilizer of $a_{h}$ under $\boldsymbol{K}_{A} . K \cap H$ is $\boldsymbol{K}_{A_{H}}$-regular, i.e., $T \cap H=C_{H}(h)$. By Brauer's lemma, $D$ is $p$-Sylow in $C_{G}(h)$ as well as in $C_{H}(h)$. Since $C_{H}(h) \subseteq T \subseteq C_{G}(h), D$ is $p$-Sylow
in T. Now $a_{h} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=c a_{h}$ for some $c \in E$; if $t \in T$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{h} \boldsymbol{K}_{A}\left(x^{-1} t x\right) & =c^{-1} a_{h} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x) \boldsymbol{K}_{A}\left(x^{-1} t x\right) \\
& =c^{-1} a_{h} \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(t) \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=c^{-1} a_{h} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=a_{h},
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $x^{-1} T x \subseteq T$; similarly $x T x^{-1} \subseteq T$, so that $x^{-1} D x$ is $p$-Sylow in $T$. Then $x^{-1} D x=t^{-1} D t$ for some $t \in T$, and $x t^{-1} \in N_{G}(D)=H$. Now

$$
h \boldsymbol{d}_{H}\left(\sigma, x t^{-1}\right)=h \boldsymbol{d}_{G}\left(\sigma, x t^{-1}\right)=h \boldsymbol{d}_{G}(\sigma, x) \boldsymbol{k}_{G}(t)^{-1}=h \boldsymbol{k}_{G}(t)^{-1}=h .
$$

Since $L \cap H$ is $\boldsymbol{D}_{A_{H}}$-regular, $a_{h} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}\left(\sigma, x t^{-1}\right)=a_{h}$; and then $a_{h} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=$ $a_{h} \boldsymbol{K}_{A}(t)=a_{h}$ as required.

Lemma 2 (cf. [3, Lemma 4]). Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, the number of p-regular A-nonnilpotent $F$-classes of $G$ with defect group $D$ is not less than the number of $p$-regular $A_{H}$-nonnilpotent $F$-classes of $H$ with defect group $D$.

Proof. The mapping $R$ of $A^{E}$ into $A_{H}^{E}$ defined by

$$
\left[\sum_{g \in G} w(g) a_{g}\right] R=\sum_{g \in C} w(g) a_{g}
$$

where $C=C_{G}(D)$, satisfies $S_{A}(\sigma) R=R S_{A_{H}}(\sigma)$; hence the Brauer homomorphism $R \mid Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ of $Z\left(A^{E}\right)$ into $Z\left(A_{H}^{E}\right)$ [5, (7B)], [17, Lemma 3.3], [8, §3] carries $Y(A)$ into $Y\left(A_{H}\right)$. For the basis element $y_{L}$ of $Y(A)$ in (3.3), $y_{L} R$ is an analogous element of $Y\left(A_{H}\right)$ for the $F$-class $L \cap H=L \cap C$; if $y_{L}$ is nilpotent so is $y_{L} R$. Since $L$ is $p$-regular if and only if $L \cap H$ is, Lemma 1 implies the result.

The next theorem generalizes [3, Theorem 1], which in turn strengthens [4, Corollary 1] and [12, Corollary 2 to Theorem 9].

Theorem 6. For any p-subgroup $D$ of $G$, the number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ with defect group $D$ is not greater than the number of $p$-regular $A$-nonnilpotent $F$-classes of $G$ with defect group D.

Proof. By Brauer's first main theorem on blocks, suitably generalized [5, (10B)], [17, Theorem 5.3], [14, Theorem 1] and by Lemma 2, we reduce at once to the case $G=N_{G}(D)$. In this case, let $V$ be the $F$-subspace of $Z(A)$ with a basis consisting of the elements $z_{K}$ (see the paragraph after (3.3)) for the $\boldsymbol{K}_{A}$-regular conjugacy classes $K$ of $G$ with defect group $D$. By [17, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4], [8, p. 166] and [14, p. 281], $V$ is a (commutative) subalgebra of $Z(A)$ (possibly without an identity) and the idempotents $e$ mentioned in the statement are precisely the block idempotents of $V$. By Theorem

4 they are the block idempotents of $U=V \cap Y(A)$, which is a subalgebra of $Z(A)$ with a basis consisting of the elements $y_{L}$ for the $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes $L$ of $G$ with defect group $D$. The block idempotents of $U / J(U)$ are the elements $e+J(U)$. Since these are linear combinations of the elements $y_{L}+J(U)$ for the $F$-classes $L$ mentioned in the statement, the theorem is proved.

Corollary 1 (cf. [2, Lemma 1]). The number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ is not greater than the number of p-regular $A$ nonnilpotent $F$-classes of $G$.

Theorem 6 and its proof, together with the theory of commutative algebras [11], yield the following corollaries, which generalize results of Brauer [5, (13A)] and Bovdi [3, Theorem 3] concerning the case $D=\{1\}$.

Corollary 2. For any p-subgroup $D$ of $G$, the number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ with defect group $D$ is the E-dimension of $U^{E} / J\left(U^{E}\right)$, where $U$ is defined for $D$ in $N_{G}(D)$. This equals the $F$-dimension of $U^{i}$ for sufficiently large $i$.

Corollary 3. The following conditions are equivalent, where $H=N_{G}(D)$ :
(4.1) There exists a block idempotent of $Z(A)$ with defect group D.
(4.2) There exists an $A_{H}$-nonnilpotent $F$-class of $H$ with defect group $D$.
(4.3) $\quad$ There exists a p-regular $A_{H}$-nonnilpotent $F$-class of $H$ with defect group $D$.

Now we obtain some sufficient conditions for equality in Theorem 6. First we consider groups such that $O_{p, p^{\prime}, p}(G)=G$.

Theorem 7 (cf. [2, Theorems 1 and 2], [3, Theorem 2]). Assume that $G$ has normal subgroups $P$ and $M, P \subseteq M$, such that $P$ and $G / M$ are $p$-groups while $M / P$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group. Then the number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ is equal to the number of $p$-regular $A$-nonnilpotent $F$-classes of $G$. These coincide with the $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes of $G$ which are contained in $O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$, and also with the p-regular $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes of $G$ with a defect group which contains $P$.

Proof. By Burnside's theorem $Z(P)$ has a normal complement $Q$ in $C=C_{M}(P)$. Then $C=Z(P) \times Q$, and easily $Q=O_{p^{\prime}}(M)=O_{p^{\prime}}(G)$.

Let $L$ be any $p$-regular $D_{A}$-regular $F$-class of $G$; then $L \cong M$.

We claim that the following conditions on $L$ are equivalent: (a) $L \subseteq Q$; (b) $L \subseteq C$; (c) $L$ has a defect group which contains $P$; (d) the $F$-classes of $M$ contained in $L$ have defect group $P$; (e) $L$ is $A$-nonnilpotent;
(f) the conjugacy classes of $M$ contained in $L$ are $A_{M}$-nonnilpotent. It is straightforward that $(\mathrm{a}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{b}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{d}) \Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{c})$. Since $A_{Q}$ is semisimple [8, p. 156], $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{e})$. Suppose now that (e) holds; let $K_{1}$ be a fixed conjugacy class of $M$ contained in $L$. Then $L$ is a disjoint union of classes of form $K=\left\{g d_{G}(\sigma, x): g \in K_{1}\right\}$ for suitable choices of $(\sigma, x) \in \mathscr{G} \times G$. For the element $y_{L}=\sum_{g \in L} q(g) a_{g}$ of (3.3), let $z_{K}=$ $\sum_{g \in K} q(g) a_{g}$. Then $y_{L}=\sum z_{K}$, and $z_{K}$ is a choice for the basis element of $Z\left(A_{Q}\right)$ corresponding to $K$. Since $y_{L} \boldsymbol{D}_{A}(\sigma, x)=y_{L}, z_{K_{1}} \boldsymbol{d}_{G}(\sigma, x)=z_{K}$. By (2.8) the elements $z_{K}$ are either all nilpotent or all nonnilpotent; since their sum is nonnilpotent, so are they; hence (e) $\Rightarrow$ (f). Finally $(f) \Rightarrow$ (b) by the twisted generalization [8, p. 166] of [17, Lemma 4.2].

Let $e$ be any block idempotent of $Z(A)$. Since the expression for $e$ involves only $p$-regular elements, $e \in Z\left(A_{m}\right)$. By [15, Lemma 3], $e \in Z\left(A_{C}\right)$; then $e \in Z\left(A_{Q}\right)$ since (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). (Alternatively: by the twisted generalization of [17, Proposition 4.4] which is implicit in [8, §3], every block idempotent of $Z\left(A_{M}\right)$ has defect group $P$. The proof of Theorem 6 shows that $e$ is in the algebra $V$ defined for $P$ in $M$; then $e \in Z\left(A_{Q}\right)$ since $\left.(\mathrm{d}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{a}).\right) \quad$ Therefore the block idempotents of $Z(A)$ are identical with those of $Z(A) \cap Z\left(A_{Q}\right)$, and with those of $Y(A)^{E} \cap Y\left(A_{Q}\right)^{E} . \quad Z\left(A_{Q}^{E}\right)$, being semisimple, is a direct sum of copies of $E$; then so is $Y(A)^{E} \cap Y\left(A_{Q}\right)^{E}$, and the number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ equals the dimension of that algebra, namely the number of $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes of $G$ which are contained in $Q$. Since (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (c) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{e})$, the theorem is proved.

Together with [16, Theorem 6], Theorem 7 implies:

Corollary 4. If $G$ has a normal p-complement, each block of $A$ contains exactly one irreducible representation of $A$ over $F$.

Combining Theorems 6 and 7 we obtain:

Theorem 8 (cf. [3, Corollary 3]). If $G$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 7, then for every p-subgroup $D$ of $G$ we have equality in Theorem 6.

We conclude by treating the case of highest defect [5, (6D)], [17, Theorem 6.1], [8, p. 166], [3, Theorem 4], [10]. Our argument, based on [3], differs from that of [17] and [8] in using subalgebras of $Z\left(A_{H}\right)$ instead of a quotient algebra, and thus avoids counting $p$-singular classes.

Theorem 9. If $P$ is a p-Sylow subgroup of $G$, the number of block idempotents of $Z(A)$ with defect group $P$ is equal to the number of $p$-regular $\boldsymbol{D}_{A}$-regular $F$-classes of $G$ with defect group $P$. All such $F$-classes are $A$-nonnilpotent.

Proof. By the first main theorem on blocks, the number of block idempotents in question is equal to the number of block idempotents of $Z\left(A_{H}\right)$ with defect group $P$, where $H=N_{G}(P)$. These are all the block idempotents of $Z\left(A_{H}\right)$, as in the proof of Theorem 7; by that theorem, for $H$, the number of such block idempotents equals the number of $p$-regular $\boldsymbol{D}_{A_{H}}$-regular $F$-classes of $H$ with defect group $P$. By the bijection of Lemma 1, this equals the number of the $F$-classes of $G$ mentioned in the first sentence. The $F$-classes of $H$ in question here are all $A_{H}$-nonnilpotent since (c) $\Rightarrow$ (e) in the proof of Theorem 7; then Lemma 2 implies the second sentence.
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