

## GENERALIZED QUASICENTER AND HYPERQUASICENTER OF A FINITE GROUP

J. B. DERR AND N. P. MUKHERJEE

**The notion of quasicentral element is generalized to  $p$ -quasicentral element and the  $p$ -quasicenter and the  $p$ -hyperquasicenter are defined. It is shown that the  $p$ -quasicenter is  $p$ -supersolvable and the  $p$ -hyperquasicenter is  $p$ -solvable.**

The quasicenter  $Q(G)$  of a group  $G$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all quasicentral elements of  $G$ , where an element  $x$  of  $G$  is called a quasicentral element ( $QC$ -element) when the cyclic subgroup  $\langle x \rangle$  generated by  $x$  satisfies  $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$  for all elements  $y$  of  $G$ . The hyperquasicenter  $Q^*(G)$  of a group  $G$  is the terminal member of the upper quasicentral series  $1 = Q_0 \subset Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \cdots \subset Q_n = Q_{n+1} = Q^*(G)$  of  $G$ , where  $Q_{i+1}$  is defined by  $Q_{i+1}/Q_i = Q(G/Q_i)$ . Mukherjee has shown [3, 4] that the quasicenter of a group is nilpotent and the hyperquasicenter is the largest supersolvably immersed subgroup of a group. The proofs of these structure theorems rely on the fact that the powers of  $QC$ -elements are again  $QC$ -elements.

In this paper we generalize the notion of a quasicentral element in a way which allows the results about the quasicenter and the hyperquasicenter [3, 4] to be extended. All groups mentioned are assumed to be finite.

For a given group  $G$  and a fixed prime  $p$ , the definition of  $QC$ -element might suggest that an element  $x$  of  $G$  be called a  $p$ -quasicentral element provided  $\langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x \rangle$  holds for all  $p$ -elements  $y$  of  $G$ . An apparent difficulty with this definition is that the powers of  $p$ -quasicentral elements need not again be  $p$ -quasicentral elements. For example, consider the group of order 18 defined by  $G = \langle a, b, x \mid a^3 = b^3 = 1 = x^2, [a, b] = 1 = [a, x], [b, x] = a \rangle$ . A simple calculation shows that  $ax$  is 3-quasicentral while  $x = (ax)^3$  is not 3-quasicentral—otherwise  $\langle x \rangle \langle b \rangle = \langle b \rangle \langle x \rangle$  shall imply that  $x$  normalizes  $\langle b \rangle$ , which is not the case however. Because of this example we choose to generalize the notion of a  $QC$ -element as follows.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $G$  be a given group and  $p$  a fixed prime. Suppose  $x$  is an element of  $G$  and let the order of  $x$  be written as  $|x| = p^r m$  where  $(p, m) = 1$ . Then  $x$  is called a  $p$ -quasicentral ( $p$ - $QC$ ) element of  $G$  provided  $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$  and  $\langle x^{p^r} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^r} \rangle$  hold for all  $p$ -elements  $y$  of  $G$ . (It should be noted that every element of a  $p'$ -group is  $p$ - $QC$ .)

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $x$  is a  $p$ -QC element of a group  $G$  and  $k$  is a fixed integer, then  $x^k$  is also a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $|x| = p^b m$  where  $(p, m) = 1$ . Since  $|x^m| = p^b$ ,  $|x^{p^b}| = m$  and  $x^{p^b}$  commutes with  $x^m$ ,  $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle x^m \rangle = \langle x^m \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$ . If  $|x^k| = p^c n$  where  $(p, c) = 1$ , then  $(x^k)^{p^c}$  is a  $p^c$ -element of  $\langle x \rangle$  and  $(x^k)^n$  is a  $p$ -element of  $\langle x \rangle$ . It follows that  $(x^k)^{p^c}$  is some power of  $x^{p^b}$  and  $(x^k)^n$  is some power of  $x^m$ . To show that  $x^k$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ , it will suffice to show that  $\langle (x^m)^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^m)^i \rangle$  and  $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$  hold for all integers  $i$  and all  $p$ -elements  $y$  of  $G$ .

Let  $y$  be any  $p$ -element in  $G$ . Since  $x$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ ,  $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$ . Therefore  $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle$  is some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  whose order divides  $|x^m| \cdot |y|$ . Since  $x^m$  is then a  $p$ -QC element of the  $p$ -group  $H$ ,  $x^m$  is a QC-element of  $H$ . It follows [3, 4] that every power of  $x^m$  is a QC-element of  $H$ . In particular,  $\langle (x^m)^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^m)^i \rangle$  holds for every integer  $i$ .

Now proceed by induction on the order of  $G$  to show that  $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$  holds for every integer  $i$  and every  $p$ -element  $y$ . Let  $y$  be a fixed  $p$ -element of  $G$  of order  $p^r$ . If  $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , induction completes the argument. Assume therefore that  $G = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b}) \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a supersolvable group (Theorem 13.3.1, [5]).

Let  $\pi$  denote the set of prime divisors of  $|x^{p^b}| = m$  which are larger than  $p$ . Since  $G$  is supersolvable with order  $|G| = p^r m$ ,  $G$  has a normal Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup  $K$ . Distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\pi$  is empty. Then  $p$  is the largest prime dividing  $|G|$ . Since  $\langle y \rangle$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\langle y \rangle$  must be normal in  $G$ . Clearly  $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle$  holds for all integers  $i$  in this case.

*Case 2.*  $\pi$  is nonempty. Let  $s$  and  $t$  denote integers such that  $x_1 = (x^{p^b})^s$  is a  $\pi$ -element,  $x_2 = (x^{p^b})^t$  is a  $\pi'$ -element and  $x^{p^b} = x_1 x_2 = x_2 x_1$  (Theorem 4, [2], p. 23). Then  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  is a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is supersolvable,  $\langle x_1 \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ . It follows that  $\langle x_1^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_1^i \rangle$  holds for every integer  $i$ . Since  $\langle (x^{p^b})^i \rangle = \langle x_1^i \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$  for all integers  $i$ , the argument will be complete if we show  $\langle x_2^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$  holds for all  $i$ . Since  $\langle x_1 \rangle$  is a normal Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ , the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem shows that  $G$  possesses a  $\pi$ -complement  $R$ . Since  $y$  is a  $\pi'$ -element of  $G$ , we may choose  $R$  so that  $y \in R$ . Then  $\langle y \rangle$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is supersolvable and  $p$  is the

largest prime dividing  $|R|$ ,  $\langle y \rangle \trianglelefteq R$ . We now use the fact that  $x_2$  is a  $\pi'$ -element. Since  $R$  is a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of the solvable group  $G$ , some conjugate  $x_2^g$  of  $x_2$  lies in  $R$ . It now follows from  $G = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle$  that  $x_2 \in R$ , since every element  $g$  in  $G$  can be written as  $(x^{p^b})^u y^v$  for some integers  $u, v$ . Therefore  $\langle x_2^i \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x_2^i \rangle$  holds for every integer  $i$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\theta$  be a homomorphism from a group  $G$  onto a group  $\bar{G}$ . If  $x$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ , the image  $x^\theta$  of  $x$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $\bar{G}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $|x| = p^b m$  where  $(p, m) = 1$  and let  $|x^\theta| = p^c n$  where  $(p, n) = 1$ . It follows that  $\langle x \rangle = \langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$  and  $\langle x^\theta \rangle = \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$ . Now  $\langle x^\theta \rangle = \langle x \rangle^\theta$  implies  $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta = \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle$  and  $\langle x^m \rangle^\theta = \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$ .

Let  $\bar{u}$  be any  $p$ -element of  $\bar{G}$ . Then there is a  $p$ -element  $y$  of  $G$  with  $y^\theta = \bar{u}$ . Since  $x$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ ,  $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^{p^b} \rangle$  and  $\langle x^m \rangle \langle y \rangle = \langle y \rangle \langle x^m \rangle$ . This shows  $\langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta \langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y \rangle^\theta \langle x^{p^b} \rangle^\theta$  and  $\langle x^m \rangle^\theta \langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y \rangle^\theta \langle x^m \rangle^\theta$ . Now  $\langle y \rangle^\theta = \langle y^\theta \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle$  implies  $\langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle \langle \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^{p^c} \rangle$  and  $\langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle \langle \bar{u} \rangle = \langle \bar{u} \rangle \langle (x^\theta)^n \rangle$ . The proof of the lemma is therefore complete.

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $G$  be a given group and  $p$  a fixed prime. The  $p$ -quasicenter  $Q_p(G)$  is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all  $p$ -QC elements of  $G$ .

We mention a few simple consequences of the definition of the  $p$ -quasicenter. For any group  $G$  and any prime  $p$ , the quasicenter of  $G$  is contained in the  $p$ -quasicenter of  $G$ . The  $p$ -quasicenter of a group is always a characteristic subgroup of the group. It should be noted that if a prime  $p$  does not divide the order of a group  $G$  then  $Q_p(G) = G$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For any group  $G$  and every prime  $p$ , the  $p$ -quasicenter  $Q_p(G)$  is  $p$ -supersolvable.*

*Proof.* First we notice that  $Q_p(G) = G$  is  $p$ -supersolvable if  $p$  does not divide  $|G|$ . Consequently we assume that  $p$  divides  $|G|$ . The proof is by induction on  $|G|$ .

It suffices to show that  $G$  contains a nontrivial normal subgroup  $N$  of order  $p$  or of order prime to  $p$ . For, by induction,  $Q_p(G/N)$  is then  $p$ -supersolvable. Since Lemma 1 shows  $Q_p(G)N/N \cong Q_p(G/N)$  it will follow that  $Q_p(G)$  is  $p$ -supersolvable. (This is because of the fact that normal subgroups of  $p$ -supersolvable groups are  $p$ -supersolvable and  $N$  being of order  $p$  or prime to  $p$ , the  $p$ -supersolvability of  $Q_p(G)N/N$  implies  $Q_p(G)N$  is  $p$ -supersolvable.) Since  $Q_p(Q_p(G)) = Q_p(G)$ , induction lets us assume that  $Q_p(G) = G$ . Thus  $G$  is generated by  $p$ -QC

elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ . First we show that  $G$  contains a proper normal subgroup. Distinguish two cases.

*Case 1:* Some  $x_i$  has order divisible by  $p$ . Assume  $p$  divides the order of  $x_i$ . Then there is an integer  $d$  such that  $|x_i^d| = p$ . Since  $x_i^d$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ ,  $\langle x_i^d \rangle$  permutes with each Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore  $\langle x_i^d \rangle$  lies in the maximum normal  $p$ -subgroup  $O_p(G)$  of  $G$ . Therefore  $O_p(G)$  is a proper normal subgroup of  $G$  or  $O_p(G) = G$  and  $G$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $G$  is a  $p$ -group, the theorem is trivially true.

*Case 2:* No  $x_i$  has order divisible by  $p$ . Then  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are  $p$ -QC elements of  $G$  with  $p'$ -orders. Since  $|G|$  is divisible by  $p$ ,  $G$  must contain nonidentity  $p$ -elements. Let  $T$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all the  $p$ -elements of  $G$ . Since  $T \trianglelefteq G$ , we can assume  $T = G$ . Therefore  $G$  contains nonidentity  $p$ -elements  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  with  $\langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle = G$ . Let  $q$  be the largest prime dividing the product  $|x_1| \cdot |x_2| \cdots |x_n|$ . First suppose  $p > q$ . Since  $x_i$  is a  $p$ -QC element and  $y_1$  is a  $p$ -element,  $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle = \langle y_1 \rangle \langle x_i \rangle$  holds for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows (theorem 13.3.1, [5]) that  $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle$  is supersolvable of order  $|x_i| \cdot |y_1|$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $x_i$  is a  $p'$ -element and  $p > q$ ,  $\langle y_1 \rangle$  is a normal Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of each group  $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_1 \rangle$ . Then  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  normalize  $\langle y_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle y_1 \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ . Now suppose  $p < q$  and let  $|x_i|$  be divisible by  $q$ . Let  $s$  be an integer such that  $\langle x_i^s \rangle$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $\langle x_i \rangle$ . Since  $\langle x_i \rangle \langle y_j \rangle = \langle y_j \rangle \langle x_i \rangle$  is a supersolvable group and  $q$  is the largest prime dividing  $|y_j| \cdot |x_i|$ ,  $y_j$  normalizes  $\langle x_i^s \rangle$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Therefore  $\langle x_i^s \rangle \trianglelefteq G = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \rangle$ . This shows that in every case  $G$  contains a proper normal subgroup  $M$ . If  $M$  has order prime to  $p$ , we are finished. Assume now that  $M$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $p$  divides  $|M|$ . We will show that  $|M| = p$ .

Since  $Q_p(G) = G$ ,  $G$  is generated by  $p$ -QC elements  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of  $G$ . For each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\langle x_i \rangle = \langle v_1 \rangle \langle v_2 \rangle \cdots \langle v_{d_i} \rangle$  where  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_i}$  are powers of  $x_i$ ,  $v_1$  is a  $p$ -element, and  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{d_i}$  are  $p'$ -elements of prime power orders. Since powers of  $p$ -QC elements are also  $p$ -QC elements, it follows that  $G$  can be written as  $G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k \rangle$  where each  $a_i$  is a  $p$ -QC  $p$ -element of  $G$  and each  $b_j$  is a  $p$ -QC  $p'$ -element of  $G$  having prime power order.

Let  $P$  denote the subgroup of  $G$  generated by all  $p$ -QC  $p$ -elements of  $G$ . Clearly  $P$  is a characteristic  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  with  $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_h \rangle \subseteq P$ . Since  $M$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ ,  $P \cap M = 1$  or  $P \cap M = M$ . First suppose that  $P \cap M = 1$ . Then  $[P, M] \subseteq P \cap M = 1$  and  $P$  centralizes  $M$ . Let  $w \in M$  with  $|w| = p$ . Clearly  $a_i$  normalizes  $\langle w \rangle$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h$ . Since each  $b_j$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ ,  $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle = \langle w \rangle \langle b_j \rangle$  holds for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . It follows that each group  $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle$  is supersolvable of order  $|b_j| \cdot |w|$ .

Since  $|b_j|$  is a power of a prime other than  $p$ ,  $\langle b_j \rangle$  is a Sylow subgroup of  $\langle b_j \rangle \langle w \rangle$ . Hence  $b_j$  normalizes  $\langle w \rangle$  or  $w$  normalizes  $\langle b_j \rangle$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M = 1$  implies  $b_j$  normalizes  $\langle w \rangle$ ,  $\langle w \rangle \trianglelefteq G$  unless  $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M \neq 1$  for some  $j$ . Assume that  $\langle b_d \rangle \cap M \neq 1$  for some integer  $d, 1 \leq d \leq k$ . This implies that some prime different from  $p$  divides the order of  $M$ . Since every power of  $b_d$  is a  $p$ -QC element of  $G$ ,  $Q_p(M) \neq 1$ . From the minimality of  $M$  it follows that  $Q_p(M) = M$ , since  $Q_p(M)$  is characteristic in  $M$  and  $M$  is normal in  $G$ . Induction applied to  $M$  then shows that  $M$  is  $p$ -supersolvable. If  $N$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $M$  then  $|N|$  is either  $p$  or is prime to  $p$ . Then  $T = \langle N^g | g \in G \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $M$  and  $T = N^{g_1} \dots N^{g_t}$  where  $g_1, \dots, g_t$  are elements of  $G$ . But  $M$  being minimal normal in  $G$  it follows that  $T = M$ . Therefore  $M$  is either a  $p$ -group or a  $p'$ -group, since  $T$  is so. But  $p$  divides the order of  $M$  and therefore  $M$  must be a  $p$ -group. This however contradicts the assumption that  $\langle b_d \rangle \cap M \neq 1$ . Thus  $\langle w \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $\langle w \rangle \subseteq M$ ,  $M = \langle w \rangle$  and  $M$  has order  $|w| = p$ . Now suppose  $P \cap M = M$ . Then  $M$  is a normal subgroup of the  $p$ -group  $P$  and  $M \cap Z(P) \neq 1$ . Let  $z$  be a nonidentity element of  $M \cap Z(P)$  with  $|z| = p$ . Since  $z \in Z(P)$ , surely  $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$  normalizes  $\langle z \rangle$ . On the other hand,  $M$  being a  $p$ -group it is evident that  $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M = 1$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . As before,  $\langle z \rangle \trianglelefteq G$  unless  $\langle b_j \rangle \cap M \neq 1$  for some  $j$ . Therefore  $\langle z \rangle \trianglelefteq G$ . Since  $1 \neq \langle z \rangle \subseteq M$ , the minimality of  $M$  shows  $M = \langle z \rangle$ . Therefore  $M$  has order  $|z| = p$  and the proof is complete.

Since the quasicycenter of a group is nilpotent it is natural to ask if the  $p$ -quasicycenter of a group must be  $p$ -nilpotent. We give an example to show that this need not be the case. Let  $S_3$  denote the symmetric group of degree 3. The 3-quasicycenter of  $S_3$  is  $S_3$  itself. Clearly  $Q_3(S_3) = S_3$  is not 3-nilpotent.

**DEFINITION 3.** Let  $G$  be a given group and  $p$  a fixed prime. The upper  $p$ -quasicycentral series  $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \dots \subset H_n = H_{n+1}$  of  $G$  is the characteristic series where  $H_{i+1}$  is defined by  $H_{i+1}/H_i = Q_p(G/H_i)$ . The number of distinct nontrivial terms in the upper  $p$ -quasicycentral series of  $G$  is called the  $p$ -quasicycentral length of  $G$ . The terminal member of the upper  $p$ -quasicycentral series of  $G$  is called the  $p$ -hyperquasicycenter of  $G$ . We denote this characteristic subgroup of  $G$  by  $Q_p^*(G)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** In any group  $G$ , the  $p$ -hyperquasicycenter  $Q_p^*(G)$  is the intersection of all normal subgroups  $N$  with  $Q_p(G/N) = N/N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S = \bigcap \{N \mid N \trianglelefteq G \text{ and } Q_p(G/N) = N/N\}$ . Clearly

$S \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ . We now show that  $Q_p^*(G)$  is included in every normal subgroup  $N$  for which  $Q_p(G/N) = N/N$ . Let  $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_m = Q_p^*(G)$  be the upper  $p$ -quasicentral series of  $G$ . Trivially  $H_0 \subseteq N$ . Assume that  $H_i \subseteq N$  and  $H_{i+1} \not\subseteq N$ . Then for some  $p$ -QC element  $yH_i$  of  $G/H_i$ ,  $y \notin N$ . This implies that under the natural homomorphism of  $G/H_i$  to  $G/N$ , the  $p$ -QC element  $yH_i$  is mapped onto the  $p$ -QC element  $yN$  of  $G/N$ . Therefore  $Q_p(G/N)$  is nontrivial, a contradiction. Hence  $H_{i+1} \subseteq N$  and  $Q_p^*(G) \subseteq N$  follows by induction.

We shall now investigate the structure of the  $p$ -hyperquasicenter  $Q_p^*(G)$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a fixed prime. If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  and  $N \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$  then  $Q_p^*(G/N) = Q_p^*(G)/N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_n = Q_p^*(G)$  be the upper  $p$ -quasicentral series of  $G$  and let  $N/N = L_0/N \subset L_1/N \subset \dots \subset L_k/N = Q_p^*(G/N)$  be the upper  $p$ -quasicentral series of  $G/N$ . By Lemma 1,  $H_1N/N = Q_p(G)N/N \subseteq Q_p(G/N) = L_1/N$ . Thus  $H_1 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq L_k$ . Now assume  $H_i \subseteq L_k$  and deduce  $H_{i+1} \subseteq L_k$ . Since  $H_i \subseteq L_k$ ,  $G/L_k$  is a homomorphic image of  $G/H_i$ . Let  $\theta$  be the natural homomorphism described by  $(xH_i)^\theta = xL_k$ . Then Lemma 1 shows that  $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\theta \subseteq Q_p(G/L_k) = L_k/L_k$ . Since  $Q_p(G/H_i) = H_{i+1}/H_i$ ,  $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\theta = H_{i+1}L_k/L_k \subseteq L_k/L_k$ . Therefore  $H_{i+1} \subseteq L_k$  and by induction  $H_n \subseteq L_k$ . We complete, the proof by showing  $L_i \subseteq H_n = Q_p^*(G)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . By hypothesis  $L_0 = N \subseteq Q_p(G)$ . Now assume  $L_i \subseteq Q_p(G)$  and deduce  $L_{i+1} \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ . Since  $L_i \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ ,  $G/Q_p^*(G)$  is a homomorphic image of  $G/L_i$ . The argument above can be repeated to obtain  $L_{i+1} \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *For any group  $G$  and any prime  $p$ ,  $Q_p^*(G)$  is  $p$ -solvable.*

*Proof.* If  $Q_p^*(G) = Q_p(G)$ ,  $Q_p^*(G)$  is  $p$ -supersolvable and the theorem is proved. Assume now that  $Q_p(G) \subsetneq Q_p^*(G)$ . Let  $N$  denote any minimal normal subgroup of  $Q_p(G)$ . Since  $Q_p(G)$  is  $p$ -supersolvable,  $N$  has  $p'$ -order or  $|N| = p$ . Set  $S = \langle N^g \mid g \in G \rangle$ . Since  $N \trianglelefteq Q_p(G) \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $N^g \trianglelefteq Q_p(G)$  for each  $g \in G$ . It follows that  $S$  has order prime to  $p$  or order a power of  $p$ . Since  $S \trianglelefteq G$  and  $S \subseteq Q_p(G) \subseteq Q_p^*(G)$  induction shows that  $Q_p^*(G/S) = Q_p^*(G)/S$  is  $p$ -solvable. Therefore  $Q_p^*(G)$  is  $p$ -solvable.

It is possible to characterize the  $p$ -hyperquasicenter in terms of the normal subgroups included in it. We begin with the following definition.

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a fixed prime. A normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  is called  $p$ -hyperquasicentral ( $p$ -HQ) if  $N/M \cap$

$Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$  holds for each normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  which is properly contained in  $N$ .

The lemmas proved next will be useful for the proof of Theorem 5.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $G$  be any group and  $p$  a fixed prime. If  $N \trianglelefteq G$  then  $Q_p^*(G)N/N \cong Q_p^*(G/N)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $1 = H_0 \subset H_1 \subset H_2 \subset \dots \subset H_n = Q_p^*(G)$  be the upper  $p$ -quasicentral series of  $G$ . By Lemma 1,  $H_1N/N = Q_p(G)N/N \cong Q_p(G/N) \cong Q_p^*(G/N) = L/N$ . Thus  $H_1N \subseteq L$ . Now assume  $H_iN \subseteq L$  and deduce that  $H_{i+1}N \subseteq L$ . Since  $H_i \subseteq H_iN$ ,  $G/H_iN$  is a homomorphic image of  $G/H_i$ . Let  $\phi$  be the natural homomorphism of  $G/H_i$  onto  $G/H_iN$  described by  $(xH_i)^\phi = xH_iN$ . Then Lemma 1 shows  $(Q_p(G/H_i))^\phi \cong Q_p(G/H_iN)$ . Since  $Q_p(G/H_i) = H_{i+1}/H_i$ ,  $H_{i+1}N/H_iN = (H_{i+1}/H_i)^\phi \cong Q_p(G/H_iN)$ . Next let  $\theta$  be the natural homomorphism of  $G/H_iN$  onto  $G/L$  given by  $(xH_iN)^\theta = xL$ . By Lemma 1,  $(Q_p(G/H_iN))^\theta \cong Q_p(G/L) = L/L$ . Since  $H_{i+1}N/H_iN \subseteq Q_p(G/H_iN)$ ,  $(H_{i+1}N/H_iN)^\theta = H_{i+1}NL/L \subseteq L/L$ . Therefore  $H_{i+1}N \subseteq L$  and the assertion follows.

**LEMMA 4.** *If any two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic under a map  $\theta$  then  $(Q_p(G_1))^\theta = Q_p(G_2)$ .*

**LEMMA 5.** *For any group  $G$  and any prime  $p$ , the product of  $p$ -HQ subgroups of  $G$  is a  $p$ -HQ subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that for any  $p$ -HQ subgroups  $A$  and  $B$  of  $G$ , the product  $AB$  is a  $p$ -HQ subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $M$  be any normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $M \subseteq AB$ . If  $M \subseteq A$  or  $M \subseteq B$  then  $AB/M \cap Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$ . Now suppose  $M$  is not a proper subgroup of either  $A$  or  $B$ . Since  $A \cap M = A$  and  $B \cap M = B$  together imply  $AB \subseteq M$ , we may assume  $R = A \cap M \subseteq A$ . Since  $A$  is  $p$ -HQ,  $A/R \cap Q_p^*(G/R) \neq R/R$ . Let  $yR$  be any nonidentity element of  $A/R \cap Q_p^*(G/R)$ . Then  $y \in A$  and  $y \notin R$  show  $y \notin M$ . Since  $M/R \trianglelefteq G/R$ , Lemma 3 shows  $Q_p^*(G/R) \cdot M/R/M/R \cong Q_p^*(G/R/M/R)$ . It now follows from the isomorphism of  $G/R/M/R$  and  $G/M$  that  $yM$  is a nonidentity element of  $Q_p^*(G/M)$ . Therefore  $AB/M \cap Q_p^*(G/M) \neq M/M$  and the assertion is proved.

**THEOREM 5.** *For any group  $G$  and any prime  $p$ ,  $Q_p^*(G)$  is the product of all  $p$ -HQ subgroups of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  denote the product of all  $p$ -HQ subgroups of  $G$ . From Lemma 2 and the definition of  $p$ -HQ subgroup it is easily seen that  $Q_p^*(G)$  is a  $p$ -HQ subgroup of  $G$ . Therefore  $Q_p^*(G) \subseteq S$ .

Assume for the sake of contradiction that  $Q_p^*(G) \cong S$ . Since  $S$  is a  $p$ - $HQ$  subgroup of  $G$  (Lemma 5)  $S/Q_p^*(G) \cap Q_p^*(G/Q_p^*(G)) \neq Q_p^*(G)/Q_p^*(G)$ . Since  $Q_p^*(G/Q_p^*(G)) = Q_p^*(G)/Q_p^*(G)$ , this is the desired contradiction.

It should be remarked that for a set of primes  $\pi$ ,  $\pi$ -quasicentrality can be defined in a manner analogous to  $p$ -quasicentrality. The  $p$ -quasicenter and  $p$ -hyperquasicenter can be extended in the natural way to obtain the notions of  $\pi$ -quasicenter and  $\pi$ -hyperquasicenter. It is easily checked that the results about the  $p$ -quasicenter and the  $p$ -hyperquasicenter of a group remain valid when  $p$  is replaced by  $\pi$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag (1967).
2. W. Ledermann, *Introduction to the Theory of Finite Groups*, Interscience Publishers, Inc. (1964).
3. N. P. Mukherjee, *The hyperquasicenter of a finite group I*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **26**, No. 2 (1970), 239-243.
4. ———, *The hyperquasicenter of a finite group II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **32**, No. 1 (1972), 24-28.
5. W. R. Scott, *Group Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc. (1964).

Received April 12, 1971 and in revised form January 13, 1972.

WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY