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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be an integral domain containing the rational numbers, $K$ its quotient field and $\Omega$ an algebraic closure of $K$; let $D$ be a derivation on $R$ such that $R$ is $D$-simple. The valuation rings $V$ such that $R \subseteq V \subseteq \Omega$ on which $D$ is regular are determined.


Introduction. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the complete integral closure of $R$ in K. Seidenberg has shown that $D$ is regular on $R^{\prime}$ [3]. We want here to continue his work and determine all the valuation rings $V$ such that $R \subseteq V \subseteq \Omega$ on which $D$ is regular.

First we determine in paragraph 2 the valuation rings of $K$ that have property, and we show that they are in 1-1 correspondence with the proper prime ideals of $R$.

Then, in paragraph 4 we show that if $V$ is a valuation ring such that $R \subseteq V \subseteq \Omega$, then $D$ is regular on $V$ if and only if $V$ is unramified over $K$ and $D$ is regular on $V \cap K$. To do that, we have to show first in paragraph 3 that if $B$ is a valuation ring of $\Omega$ such that $B \cap$ $K$ is rank- 1 discrete and contains the rational numbers, then its inertia field over $K$ can be obtained as the intersection of a formal power series field with $\Omega$.

1. Preliminaries. Let $R$ be a commutative ring with identity. A derivation $D$ of $R$ is a map from $R$ into $R$ such that $D(a+b)=$ $D(a)+D(b)$ and $D(a b)=a D(b)+b D(a)$ for all $a, b \in R$. An ideal $I$ of $R$ is a $D$-ideal if $D(I) \subseteq I ; R$ is $D$-simple if it has no $D$-ideal other than (0) and (1). If $R$ is a $D$-simple ring of characteristic $p \neq 0, R$ is a primary ring [2, Theorem 1.4], hence is equal to its total quotient ring; this case will not be of interest in our considerations.

Thus, let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring of characteristic 0 , which is then a domain containing the rational numbers [2, Corollary 1.5]; let $K$ be its quotient field and $\Omega$ an algebraic closure of $K$. The derivation $D$ can be uniquely extended to a derivation of $\Omega$, which we also call $D$, and if $N$ is any field between $K$ and $\Omega$, we have $D(N) \subseteq N$ [6, Corollary 2 ', p. 125]. If $S$ is a ring with quotient field $N$ such that $D(S) \subseteq$ $S$, we shall say that $D$ is regular on $S$, or that ( $N, S$ ) is $D$-regular, or that $D$ can be extended to $S$.

We note that if $D$ is regular on a ring $S$ and if $M$ is a multiplicative system of $S$, then $D$ is regular on $S_{M}$. We note also that if $R$ is $D$-simple, and if $S$ is a ring such that $R \subseteq S \subseteq \Omega$, then to say that
$D$ is regular on $S$ is equivalent to saying that $S$ is $D$-simple, indeed:
Proposition 1.1. Let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring with quotient field $K$; let $\Omega$ be an algebraic closure of $K$, and $S$ a ring such that $R \subseteq$ $S \subseteq \Omega$. If $D$ is regular on $S$, then $S$ is $D$-simple.

Proof. It will be enough to show that if $I$ is a nonzero ideal of $S$, then $I \cap R$ is a nonzero ideal of $R$. Let $0 \neq x \in I$, and let $X^{n}+$ $k_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+k_{n} \in K[X]$ be its minimal polynomial over $K$ where we note that $k_{n} \neq 0$; then, from the equality $x^{n}+k_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+k_{n}=0$, we can get $r_{0} x^{n}+r_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+r_{n}=0$ with $r_{i} \in R \subseteq S$ for $i=0,1, \cdots, n$, and $r_{n} \neq 0$, so that we have $0 \neq-r_{n}=r_{0} x^{n}+r_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+r_{n-1} x \in I \cap R$.

Let $L$ be a field, $N$ an algebraic extension of $L$, and $V$ a valuation ring of $N$. We shall denote the inertia degree of $V$ over $L$ by $f(V \mid L)$, and the ramification index of $V$ over $L$ by $e(V \mid L)$. If $A$ is a valuation ring of $L$, following Endler's terminology in [1], we shall say that $A$ is indecomposed in $N$ if there is only one valuation ring of $N$ lying over $A$, and, when $N$ is a finite extension of $L$, we shall say that $A$ is defectless in $N$ if $[N: L]=\sum_{i=1}^{m} e\left(V_{i} \mid L\right) f\left(V_{i} \mid L\right)$ where $\left\{V_{1}, \cdots, V_{m}\right\}$ is the set of valuation rings of $N$ lying over $A$.

An ideal $I$ of a ring $S$ will be said to be proper if it is different from $S$. We shall use $D^{(0)}(x)$ to denote $x$, and for $n \geqq 1, D^{(n)}(x)$ to denote $D\left(D^{(n-1)}(x)\right)$, i.e., the $n$th derivative of $x$.
2. Extensions of the derivation in the quotient field.

Lemma 2.1. Let $R$ be a ring, $D$ a derivation on $R, P$ a prime ideal of $R$ containing no $D$-ideal other than (0). Define $v: R \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow$ $\{$ nonnegative integers $\}$ by $v(x)=n$ if $D^{(i)}(x) \in P$ for $i=0, \cdots, n-1$ and $D^{(n)}(x) \notin P$. Then,
(i) $R$ is a domain.
(ii) $v$ is the trivial valuation if $P=(0)$, and is a rank-1 discrete valuation if $P \neq(0)$.
(iii) The valuation ring $R_{v}$ of $v$ contains $R$, and its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}_{v}$ lies over $P$.

Proof. See [2, Theorem 3.1]. Note that for $x \in R \backslash\{0\}$ we indeed have $v(x)<\infty$ for otherwise the ideal generated by $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} D^{(i)}(x)$ would be a nonzero $D$-ideal contained in $P$, which cannot be. Note also that the property for $P$ to contain no $D$-ideal other than (0) is equivalent to $R_{P}$ being $D$-simple.

Lemma 2.2. Let $R, D, P, v, R_{v}, \mathfrak{M}_{v}$ be as in 2.1. Let $K$ be the
quotient field of $R$. Let $S$ be a ring between $R$ and $K$ such that $D$ is regular on $S$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $S \subseteq R_{v}$.
(ii) There is a prime ideal $Q$ of $S$ lying over $P$.

In this case, $Q$ is the only prime ideal of $S$ lying over $P$ and is equal to $\mathfrak{M}_{v} \cap S$.

Proof. If $S \subseteq R_{v}$, take $Q=\mathfrak{M}_{v} \cap S$. Conversely, suppose there exists a prime ideal $Q$ of $S$ such that $Q \cap R=P$. Being regular on $S, D$ is also regular on $S_{Q}$; furthermore, $S_{Q} \supseteq R_{P}$, and $R_{P}$ is $D$-simple, thus by $1.1 S_{Q}$ is $D$-simple. Then, by 2.1 , we can define a valuation $w: S \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow$ \{nonnegative integers $\}$ by $w(y)=m$ if $D^{(j)}(y) \in Q$ for $j=$ $0, \cdots, m-1$ and $D^{(m)}(y) \notin Q$; calling $S_{w}$ the valuation ring of $w$, we have $S \subseteq S_{w}$. At the same time, we will have the valuation $v$ defined with the prime ideal $P$ of $R$, and for an element $x \in R \backslash\{0\}$ we have $D^{(i)}(x) \in P$ if and only if $D^{(i)}(x) \in Q$ since $P=Q \cap R$; thus, $v=w$ on $R$, hence also $v=w$ on $K$, and $S \subseteq S_{w}=R_{v}$. Furthermore, by 2.1, we have $Q=\mathfrak{M}_{w} \cap S$, hence also $Q=\mathfrak{M}_{v} \cap S$, so that $\mathfrak{M}_{v} \cap S$ is the unique prime ideal of $S$ lying over $P$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $A$ be a $D$-simple valuation ring. Then, $A$ is a field or is a rank-1 discrete valuation ring.

Proof. If $A$ is not a field, and $\mathfrak{X} \neq(1)$ is any ideal of $A$, then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{U}^{n} \neq(1)$ is a $D$-ideal; thus, $A$ being $D$-simple, we have $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{Y}^{n}=$ (0) and $S$ is a rank-1 discrete valuation ring.

Theorem 2.4. Let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring with quotient field $K$. Let $\mathscr{P}=\{$ proper prime ideals of $R\}$, and $\mathscr{V}=\{$ valuation rings of $K$ containing $R$ to which $D$ can be extended\}. Define $\varphi: \mathscr{P} \rightarrow \mathscr{Y}$ by $\varphi(P)=R_{v}$ where $v$ is the valuation associated to $P$ by 2.1. Then, $\varphi$ is a bijection.

Proof. Let us show first that $D$ is regular on $R_{v}$. Let $a b^{-1}$ be any element of $R_{v}$ with $a, b \in R, b \neq 0, v(a) \geqq v(b)$; then $D\left(a b^{-1}\right)=$ $[b D(a)-a D(b)] b^{-2}$. If $v(a)>v(b)$, then $v(D(a))=v(a)-1 \geqq v(b)$ and $v(D(b)) \geqq v(b)-1$, so that $v(b D(a)-a D(b)) \geqq \inf \{v(b)+v(D(a)), v(a)+$ $v(D(b))\} \geqq 2 v(b)$ and $D\left(a b^{-1}\right) \in R_{v}$. If $v(a)=v(b)=0$, then $v(b D(a)-$ $a D(b)) \geqq 0=2 v(b)$ and $D\left(a b^{-1}\right) \in R_{v}$. If $v(a)=v(b)=n>0$, then $v(b D(a))=$ $v(a D(b))=2 n-1$ so that $v(b D(a)-a D(b)) \geqq 2 n-1$; furthermore we have $D^{(2 n-1)}(b D(a))=\sum_{i=0}^{2 n-1} C_{2 n-1}^{i} D^{(i)}(b) D^{(2 n-i)}(a)=\alpha_{1}+C_{2 n-1}^{n} D^{(n)}(b) D^{(n)}(a)$ with $\alpha_{1} \in P$, and similarly $D^{(2 n-1)}(a D(b))=\alpha_{2}+C_{2 n-1}^{n} D^{(n)}(a) D^{(n)}(b)$ with $\alpha_{2} \in P$, so that $D^{(2 n-1)}(b D(a)-a D(b))=\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \in P$; hence $v(b D(a)-$
$a D(b)) \geqq 2 n$ and $D\left(a b^{-1}\right) \in R_{v}$. Thus, $D$ is regular on $R_{v}$.
If $\mathfrak{M}_{v}$ is the maximal ideal of $R_{v}$, we have $P=\mathfrak{M}_{v} \cap R$ by 2.1, thus $\varphi$ is injective.

Now, let $A$ be a valuation ring of $K$ containing $R$ to which $D$ can be extended. If $A=K$, we clearly have $A=\varphi((0))$. If $A \neq K$, let $Q$ be its maximal ideal. Let $P=Q \cap R$, let $v$ be the valuation associated to $P$ by 2.1, and let $R_{v}$ be the valuation ring of $v$. Since $P$ is different from (0), $R_{v}$ is different from $K$; by 2.2 , we have $A \subseteq$ $R_{r}$; by $1.1 A$ is $D$-simple, and hence has rank-1 by 2.3 . Thus $A=$ $R_{v}, A=\varphi(Q \cap R)$, and $\varphi$ is surjective.

Corollary 2.5. Let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring with quotient field $K$. Let $A$ be a valuation ring of $K$ which contains $R, Q$ its maximal ideal, $P$ its center over $R$, and $v$ the valuation associated to $P$ by 2.1. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $D$ can be extended to $A$.
(ii) For any $a, b \in P$ such that $v(a) \geqq v(b)$, then $a b^{-1} \in A$.
(iii) For any $x \in A$, there exists $a, b \in R$, such that $x=a / b$ and $v(a) \geqq v(b)$.
Remember that for an element $a$ of $R, v(a)$ is the number of successive applications of the derivation $D$ necessary to get a out of the center $P$.

Proof. The condition (ii) is equivalent to $R_{v} \subseteq A$; the condition (iii) is equivalent to $A \cong R_{v}$. But in both cases $A$ and $R_{v}$ have the same center on $R$; thus, both conditions (ii) and (iii) are equivalent to $A=R_{v}$, i.e., equivalent to (i).
3. On the inertia field. Let $N$ be a normal algebraic extension of $K$ (possibly infinite), and $G$ its Galois group. Let $B$ be a valuation ring of $N, \mathfrak{M}_{B}$ its maximal ideal; let $\pi$ be a place of $N$ corresponding to $B$ and $\mu$ its residue field; let $v$ be a valuation of $N$ corresponding to $B$ and $\Delta$ its value group. Let $A=B \cap K, \Lambda$ its residue field and $\Gamma$ its value group; $\mu$ is a normal algebraic extension of $\Lambda[1,(14.5)]$. The inertia group of $B$ over $K$ is $G^{T}(B \mid K)=$ $\left\{\sigma \in G / \sigma x-x \in \mathfrak{M}_{B} \forall x \in B\right\}=\{\sigma \in G / \pi \circ \sigma=\pi\}$; it is a closed subgroup of $G[1,(19.2)]$; its fixed field $K^{T}(B \mid K)=\left\{y \in N / \sigma y=y \forall \sigma \in G^{T}(B / K)\right.$ is the inertia field of $B$ over $K$.

In this section, we shall only be concerned with the case of $A=$ $B \cap K$ being a rank-1 discrete valuation ring which contains the rational numbers. Note that $B$ has to be of rank-1 too [1, (13.14)]. We have:

Proposition 3.1. $K^{T}(B / K)$ is the smallest field $L$ between $K$ and $N$ such that $B \cap L$ is indecomposed in $N$ and such that $\mu$ is purely inseparable over the residue field $\Lambda^{L}$ of $B \cap L$.

Proof. See [1, (19.11)].
Proposition 3.2. $K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is the unique field $L$ between $K$ and $N$ such that $B \cap L$ is indecomposed in $N, f(B \mid L)=1$ and $e(B \cap L \mid K)=$ 1.

Proof. Since $A$ contains the rational numbers, $\Lambda$ has characteristic zero, $\mu$ is a separable extension of $\Lambda$, and, by $3.1, K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is the smallest field $L$ between $K$ and $N$ such that $B \cap L$ is indecomposed in $N$ and $f(B \mid L)=1$. Now, $N$ is also separable over $K$ so that $\Gamma^{T}=\Gamma$, and $B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is a rank-1 discrete valution ring; then $B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is defectless in all the finite extensions of $K^{T}(B \mid K)$ contained in $N$ [6, Corollary, p. 287], and $K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is maximal among the fields $L$ that have the property $f(B \mid L)=1$ and $e(B \cap L \mid K)=1$.

Proposition 3.3. $K^{T}(B \mid K)$ is the biggest field $L$ between $K$ and $N$ such that $e(B \cap L \mid K)=1$.

Proof. Let $L$ be a field between $K$ and $N$ such that $e(B \cap L \mid K)=$ 1. Let $L^{T}(B \mid L)$ be the inertia field of $B$ over $L$; by $3.2, B \cap L^{T}(B / L)$ is indecomposed in $N, f\left(B \mid L^{T}(B \mid L)\right)=1$ and $e\left(B \cap L^{T}(B \mid L) \mid L\right)=1$, hence also $e\left(B \cap L^{T}(B \mid L) \mid K\right)=1$ since $e(B \cap L \mid K)=1$. Thus, by 3.2, $L^{T}(B \mid L)=K^{T}(B \mid K)$ and $L \cong K^{T}(B \mid K)$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $V$ be a valuation ring contained in $N$ lying over $A$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $e(V / K)=1$.
(ii) There exists a valuation ring $E$ of $N$ lying over $V$ such that $V \sqsubseteq K^{T}(E / K)$ 。
(iii) For every valuationring $E$ of $N$ lying over $V, V \subseteq K^{T}(E / K)$.

Now, let $\left(N^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ be a completion of $(N, B)$; by this, we mean that $N^{*}$ is a $B$-completion of $N\left[5,(1-7-1)\right.$, p. 27], and $B^{*}$ the topological closure of $B$ in $N^{*}$; let ( $K^{*}, A^{*}$ ) be the completion of $(K, A)$ contained in $\left(N^{*}, B^{*}\right)$. $A$ being a rank-1 discrete valuation ring, we let $t$ be a generator of the maximal ideal of $A$. Let $\sigma^{\prime}$ be an algebraic closure of $N^{*}$, and $\tilde{O}$ the algebraic closure of $K^{*}$ contained in $\tilde{O}^{\prime}$. Let $C$ be a field of representatives of $A^{*}$ and $\bar{C}$ the algebraic closure of $C$ contained in $\tilde{\sigma}$; by [7, Theorem 27, p. 304], we have $A^{*}=C[[t]]$ and $K^{*}=C((t))$. Let $F$ be the unique valuation ring of $O$ which lies over $A^{*}[5,(2-1-3)$, p. 44]. The situation can be resumed by the fol-
lowing diagram:


Proposition 3.5. $\quad K^{T}(B / K)=\bar{C}((t)) \cap N$ and $B \cap K^{T}(B / K)=\bar{C}[[t]] \cap$ $N$.

Proof. We shall do it in several steps.
Step 1. $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \tilde{\sigma}$ is the inertia field of $F$ over $K^{*}$ and $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap$ $\tilde{O}=F \cap(\bar{C}((t)) \cap \bar{O})$.

Proof. $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap \tilde{O}$ is a valuation ring of $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \tilde{O}$ which lies over $A^{*}=C[[t]]$; thus it is indecomposed in $\tilde{O}$ and is equal to $F \cap(\bar{C}((t)) \cap \tilde{O})$. Let $\xi$ (respectively $w$-) be a place (respectively a valuation) of $\tilde{O}$ corresponding to the valuation ring $F$; since $\bar{C} \cong \tilde{O}$, we have $\xi(C)=$ $\xi(C[[t]]) \cong \xi(\bar{C}) \cong \xi(\bar{C}[[t]] \cap \widetilde{O}) \subseteq \xi(F)$; furthermore $\xi(F)$ is algebraic over $\xi(C[[t]])$ by [1, (14.5)], and $\xi(\bar{C}) \cong \bar{C}$ is algebraically closed; thus $\xi(\bar{C}[[t]] \cap \tilde{\sigma})=\tilde{\xi}(F)$. On the other hand we have clearly $w(C[[t]])=$ $w\left(\bar{C}[[t]] \cap O^{*}\right)$. Thus by 3.2, $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \bar{O}$ is the inertia field of $F$ over $K^{*}$.

Step 2. Let $N_{\alpha}$ be a finite normal extension of $K$ contained in $N$. Let $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ be the completion of $N_{\alpha}$ contained in $N^{*}$. Then $\bar{C}((t)) \cap$ $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ is the inertia field of $B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ over $K^{*}$ and $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}=\left(B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \cap$ $\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$.

Proof. $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ is a finite normal extension of $K^{*}$ [4, Corollary 4, p. 41]; hence $N_{\alpha}^{*} \cong \overparen{O} . \quad B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ is a valuation ring of $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ which lies over $A^{*}$; hence it has to be equal to $F \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$. Now, the inertia field of $F \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ over $K^{*}$ is equal to the intersection of the inertia field of $F$ over $K^{*}$ with $N_{\alpha}^{*}[1,(19.10)]$, i.e., is equal to $(\bar{C}((t)) \cap \widetilde{O}) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}=$
$\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$. Finally, $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ is a valuation ring of $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ which lies over $A^{*}$, thus it has to lie under $B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$, i.e., we need to have $\left.\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}=\left(B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}\right) \cap \bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$.

Step 3. $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}$ is the inertia field of $B \cap N_{\alpha}$ over $K$ and $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}=\left(B \cap N_{\alpha}\right) \cap\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}\right)$.

Proof. $\quad B \cap N_{\alpha} \cap \bar{C}((t)) \cong B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*} \cap \bar{C}((t))=\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ by Step 2; then, being contained in $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}, B \cap N_{\alpha} \cap \bar{C}((t))$ has also to be contained in $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}$; being a rank-1 valuation ring, $B \cap N_{\alpha} \cap \bar{C}((t))$ has to be equal to $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}$.

Now, if we still call $w$ the valuation of $\tilde{O}$ corresponding to $F$, we have $w(K) \subseteq w\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq w\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}\right)$; but $w\left(K^{*}\right)=w(\bar{C}((t)) \cap$ $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ ) by Step 2, and $w(K)=w\left(K^{*}\right)$ because, by [5, (1-7-5), p. 31], the completion is an immediate extension; hence $w(K)=w\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}\right)$, and $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha} \subseteq K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} / K\right)$ by 3.3. Then, $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}=K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} / K\right)$, because if not, the completion $L$ of $K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} / K\right)$ contained in $N_{\alpha}^{*}$ would be such that $L \nsubseteq C((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ and $e\left(B^{*} \cap L / K^{*}\right)=1$, which is impossible by 3.3 , since $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ is the inertia field of $B^{*} \cap N_{\alpha}^{*}$ over $K^{*}$ by Step 2.

Step 4. $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N$ is the inertia field of $B$ over $K$ and $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N=$ $B \cap(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{N_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in J\right\}$ be the set of all the finite normal subextensions of $N$ over $K$. Let us show that $K^{T}(B \mid K)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$. For any $\alpha \in J$, the homomorphism $\theta_{\alpha}^{T}: G^{T}(B \mid K) \rightarrow G^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$ defined by $\theta_{\alpha}^{T}(\rho)=\left.\rho\right|_{N_{\alpha}}=$ the restriction of $\rho$ to $N_{\alpha}$, is surjective [1, (19.7]]. Let $x \in K^{T}(B \mid K), N_{\alpha}$ a finite normal extension of $K$ containing $x$ and $\sigma \in G^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$; since $\theta_{\alpha}^{T}$ is surjective, there exists $\rho \in G^{T}(B \mid K)$ such that $\left.\rho\right|_{N_{\alpha}}=\sigma$, so that $\sigma(x)=\rho(x)=x$ and $x \in K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$. Conversely, let $\alpha \in J$, and $x \in K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$; for any $\rho \in G^{T}(B \mid K)$ we have $\left.\rho\right|_{N_{\alpha}} \in G^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)$, so that $\rho(x)=\left.\rho\right|_{N_{\alpha}}(x)=x$ and $x \in K^{T}(B \mid K)$. Hence, $K^{T}(B \mid K)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in J}\left(\bar{C}((t)) \cap N_{\alpha}\right)=\bar{C}((t)) \cap\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} N_{\alpha}\right)=$ $\bar{C}((t)) \cap N$, and $B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)=B \cap\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in J} K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in J}(B \cap$ $\left.K^{T}\left(B \cap N_{\alpha} \mid K\right)\right)=\bigcup_{\alpha \in J}\left(\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N_{\alpha}\right)=\bar{C}[[t]] \cap N$.
4. Extensions of the derivation in the algebraic closure of the quotient field.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A$ be a ring, $I$ a finitely generated ideal of $A$ such that $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I^{n}=(0), A^{*}$ the I-adic completion of $A$. Let $D: A \rightarrow$ $A^{*}$ be a map such that $D(x+y)=D(x)+D(y)$ and $D(x y)=x D(y)+$ $y D(x)$. Then,
(i) $D$ can be extended to a derivation $D^{\prime}$ on $A^{*}$ by $D^{\prime}\left(\lim _{n} x_{n}\right)=$ $\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right)$, where $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A$.
(ii) $D^{\prime}$ is the only derivation of $A^{*}$ that extends $D$.

Proof. (i) Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $A$; for any positive integer $m$, there exists $q$ such that $r, s>q \Rightarrow x_{r}-x_{s} \in I^{m} ; x_{r}-x_{s} \in$ $I^{m} \Rightarrow x_{r}-x_{s}=\sum_{i} u_{i 1} \cdots u_{i m}$ with $u_{i j} \in I$, hence $D x_{r}-D x_{s}=D\left(x_{r}-x_{s}\right)=$ $\sum_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} u_{i j} \cdots u_{i(j-1)} D\left(u_{i 1}\right) u_{i(j+1)} \cdots u_{i m} \in\left(I A^{*}\right)^{m-1}$; then as $I$ is finitely generated, the topology of $A^{*}$ is the $\left(I A^{*}\right)$-adic topology [7, Corollary 1, p. 257], and $\left\{D x_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A^{*}$; set $D^{\prime}\left(\lim _{n} x_{n}\right)=$ $\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right)$. Defined that way, $D^{\prime}$ is a function of $A^{*}$ for if $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ is another Cauchy sequence such that $\lim _{n} x_{n}=\lim _{n} z_{n}$, then for any positive integer $m$, there exists $q$ such that $n>q \Rightarrow\left(x_{n}-z_{n}\right) \in I^{m}$, so that $D\left(x_{n}\right)-D\left(z_{n}\right)=D\left(x_{n}-z_{n}\right) \in\left(I A^{*}\right)^{m-1}$, and $\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} D\left(z_{n}\right)$. Furthermore, $D^{\prime}$ is a derivation of $A^{*}$ for if $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{n \geqq 0}$ are two Cauchy sequences of $A$, then $\lim _{n} \mathrm{D}\left(x_{n}+z_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} D\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n} \cdot z_{n}\right)=\lim _{n} x_{n} \cdot \lim _{n} D\left(z_{n}\right)+\lim _{n} D\left(x_{n}\right) \cdot \lim _{n} z_{n}$ since, for every $n$, we have $D\left(x_{n}+z_{n}\right)=D\left(x_{n}\right)+D\left(z_{n}\right)$ and $D\left(x_{n} \cdot z_{n}\right)=x_{n} \cdot D\left(z_{n}\right)+$ $D\left(x_{n}\right) \cdot z_{n}$. Finally, for any $y \in A$, we clearly have $D^{\prime}(y)=D(y)$.
(ii) Let $D^{\prime \prime}$ be a derivation of $A^{*}$ which extends $D$. Let $y$ be any element of $A^{*}$, and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ a Cauchy sequence in $A$ such that $y=\lim _{n} x_{n}$; then, for any positive integer $m$, there exists $q$ such that $n>q \Rightarrow y-y_{n} \in\left(I A^{*}\right)^{m}$, so that $D^{\prime \prime}(y)-D\left(y_{n}\right)=D^{\prime \prime}(y)-D^{\prime \prime}\left(y_{n}\right)=$ $D^{\prime \prime}\left(y-y_{n}\right) \in\left(I A^{*}\right)^{m-1}$, and $D^{\prime \prime}(y)=\lim _{n} D\left(y_{n}\right)=D^{\prime}(y)$.

Remark. In the case of $D$ being a derivation of $A$, the procedure used in the preceding lemma allows to extend $D$ to a derivation $D^{\prime}$ of $A^{*}$ even if $I$ is not finitely generated. To get the uniqueness property however, we again need $I$ to be finitely generated.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A$ be a rank-1 discrete valuation ring containing the rational numbers with quotient field $K$; let $\Omega$ be an algebraic closure of $K$ and $D$ a derivation of $A$. Let $B$ be a valuation ring of $\Omega$ lying over $A$; let $V$ be a valuation ring contained in $\Omega$, lying over $A$ and unramified over $K$. Then,
(i) $\quad\left(K^{T}(B \mid K), B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)\right)$ is a D-regular extension of $(K, A)$ contained in $(\Omega, B)$.
(ii) $(N, B \cap N)$ is $D$-regular for any field $N$ between $K$ and $K^{T}(B \mid K)$.
(iii) $D$ is regular on $V$.

Proof. (i) Let $\left(\Omega^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ be a completion of $(\Omega, B)$ and $\left(K^{*}, A^{*}\right)$
the completion of $(K, A)$ contained in $\left(\Omega^{*}, B^{*}\right)$; let $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ be an algebraic closure of $\Omega^{*}$ and $\tilde{\delta}$ the algebraic closure of $K^{*}$ contained in $\tilde{\sigma}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $t$ be a generator of the maximal ideal of $A$; let $C$ be a field of representatives of $A^{*}$, and $\bar{C}$ the algebraic closure of $C$ in $\bar{\sigma}$; of course we have $A^{*}=C[[t]]$ and $K^{*}=C((t))$ [7, Corollary, p. 307]. By 4.1, let $D^{\prime}$ be the unique derivation of $A^{*}$ which is an extension of $D$, and, as usual, call again $D^{\prime}$ its extension to $\bar{\sigma}$. For an element $y$ of $\bar{C}$, we have $D^{\prime}(y) \in \bar{C}[[t]]$; indeed, if $X^{n}+c_{1} X^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n} \in C[X]$ is the minimal polynomial of $y$ over $C$, differentiating the equation $y^{n}+c_{1} y^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n}=$ 0 , we get $\left(n y^{n-1}+c_{1}(n-1) y^{n-2}+\cdots+c_{n-1}\right) D^{\prime}(y)+\left(D\left(c_{1}\right) y^{n-1}+\cdots+\right.$ $\left.D\left(c_{n}\right)\right)=0$; the first factor of the first term is an element of $\bar{C}$, different from zero since $y$ is separable over $C$; the second term is an element of $\bar{C}[[t]]$; thus $D^{\prime}(y) \in \bar{C}[[t]]$. We also have $D^{\prime}(t) \in \bar{C}[[t]]$, so that the restriction $D^{\prime \prime}$ of $D^{\prime}$ to $\bar{C}[t]$ is a function with values in $\bar{C}[[t]]$ which satisfies the properties $D^{\prime \prime}(x+z)=D^{\prime \prime}(x)+D^{\prime \prime}(z)$ and $D^{\prime \prime}(x z)=x D^{\prime \prime}(z)+z D^{\prime \prime}(x)$; furthermore, $\bar{C}[[t]]$ is the $(t)$-adic completion of $\bar{C}[t]$; thus, by $4.1, D^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended to a derivation of $\bar{C}[[t]]$, which we call $D^{\prime \prime}$ again, by $D^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} d_{i} t^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{i} t^{i}\right)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^{\prime}\left(d_{i} t^{i}\right)$. As $C[[t]]$ is the completion of $C[t]$ for the $(t)$-adic topology, by 4.1 also, we know that for an element $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} t^{i}$ of $C[[t]]$ we must have $D^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_{i} t^{i}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} D^{\prime}\left(c_{i} t^{i}\right)$, so that $D^{\prime}=D^{\prime \prime}$ on $A^{*}=$ $C[[t]]$; thus $D=D^{\prime \prime}$ on $A$, hence also on $K$. But we can even see that $D=D^{\prime \prime}$ on $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \Omega$; indeed, if $X^{m}+k_{1} X^{m-1}+\cdots+k_{m} \in K[X]$ is the minimal polynomial over $K$ of an element $z$ of $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \Omega$, we have $z^{m}+k_{1} z^{m-1}+\cdots k_{m}=0$, thus $D(z)=\left[D\left(k_{1}\right) z^{m-1}+\cdots+D\left(k_{m}\right)\right] \times$ $\left[m z^{m-1}+\cdots+k_{m-1}\right]^{-1}=\left[D^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{1}\right) z^{m-1}+\cdots+D^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{m}\right)\right]\left[m z^{m-1}+\cdots+k_{m-1}\right]^{-1}=$ $D^{\prime \prime}(z)$. Then, since $D$ is regular on $\Omega$, since $D^{\prime \prime}$ is regular on $\bar{C}[[t]]$, and since $D=D^{\prime \prime}$ on $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \Omega$, we get that $(\bar{C}((t)) \cap \Omega, \bar{C}[[t]] \cap \Omega)$ is $D$-regular; but by 3.5 we know that $\bar{C}((t)) \cap \Omega=K^{T}(B \mid K)$ and $\bar{C}[[t]] \cap$ $\Omega=B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$; thus ( $K^{T}(B \mid K), B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$ ) is $D$-regular.
(ii) Let $N$ be any field between $K$ and $K^{T}(B \mid K) . \quad D$ is regular on $N$ and is regular on $B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$; thus $D$ is regular on ( $B \cap$ $\left.K^{T}(B \mid K)\right) \cap N=B \cap N$.
(iii) Let $B^{\prime}$ be a valuation ring of $\Omega$ lying over $V$; by 3.4 we have $V \subseteq K^{T}\left(B^{\prime} \mid K\right)$, so that $D$ is regular on $V$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $A$ be a $D$-simple valuation ring with quotient field $K$; let $\Omega$ be an algebraic closure of $K$, and $B$ a valuation ring of $\Omega$ lying over $A$. Then, $\left(K^{T}(B \mid K), B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)\right)$ is the biggest $D$ regular extension of $(K, A)$ contained in $(\Omega, B)$.

Proof. Being $D$-simple, $A$ contains the rational numbers; thus, by 4.2 , we know that ( $K^{T}(B \mid K), B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)$ ) is $D$-regular. Now let $(L, E)$ be a $D$-regular extension of $(K, A)$ contained in $(\Omega, B)$; of
course $E$ is rank-1, and thus $B$ lies over $E$; also $E$ is $D$-simple by 1.1. If $t$ is a generator of the maximal ideal of $A$, then $t$ is also a generator of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}_{E}$ of $E$; indeed, otherwise we would have $t \in \mathfrak{M}_{E}^{2}$, hence also $D(t) \in \mathfrak{M}_{E}$ which cannot be since $D(t)$ is a unit in $A$. Thus, the index of ramification of $E$ over $K$ is equal to 1, and by $3.3(L, E) \subseteq\left(K^{T}(B \mid K), B \cap K^{T}(B \mid K)\right)$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $R$ be a $D$-simple ring with quotient field $K$; let $\Omega$ be an algebraic closure of $K$. Let $V$ be a valuation ring which contains $R$ and is contained in $\Omega$; let $e(V \mid K)$ be its ramification index over $K$. Then, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $D$ is regular on $V$.
(ii) $e(V \mid K)=1$ and $D$ is regular on $V \cap K$.

Proof. If $D$ is regular on $V$, then $D$ is regular on $V \cap K$ since $D$ is also regular on $K$. Furthermore, $V \cap K$ contains $R$ which is $D$-simple; thus, by 1.1, $V \cap K$ is $D$-simple and, as already noticed in the proof of 4.3 , this implies that $e(V \mid K)=1$. Conversely, if $D$ is regular on $V \cap K$ and if $e(V \mid K)=1$ we know that $D$ is regular on $V$ by 4.2 .
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