

## DIVISION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

ELEMÉR E. ROSINGER

**This paper deals with division in an associative commutative algebra containing the distributions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .**

**1. Introduction.** In [5] and [6], a family  $(A_{p,\lambda} \mid p \in \bar{N}^n, \lambda \in \Lambda)$  of associative, commutative algebras with unit element were constructed, with the following main properties:

- (1)  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset A_{p,\lambda}, \forall p \in \bar{N}^n, \lambda \in \Lambda,$   
 (here,  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \bar{N} = N \cup \{\infty\}$  and  $n \in N, n \geq 1$ );
- (2) The multiplication in each of the algebras  $A_{p,\lambda}, p \in \bar{N}^n, \lambda \in \Lambda,$  induces on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the usual multiplication of functions and the function  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$  with  $\psi(x) = 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$  is the unit element in the algebras;
- (3) for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda,$  there exist linear mappings  $D^p: A_{q+p,\lambda} \rightarrow A_{q,\lambda},$  with  $p \in N^n, q \in \bar{N}^n,$  such that

(3.1)  $D^p$  satisfies on  $A_{q+p,\lambda}$  the Leibnitz rule of product derivative.

(3.2)  $D^p$  is the usual distribution derivative on  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \oplus \mathcal{D}'_s(\mathbb{R}^n),$  where  $\mathcal{D}'_s(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \text{supp } S \text{ is finite}\};$

(4) The following relations hold for the Dirac  $\delta_{x_0}$  distribution, concentrated in  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$(x - x_0)^r \cdot D^q \delta_{x_0} = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}, \quad \forall p \in N^n, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

if  $q, r \in N^n, r \geq p + e, r \geq q + e,$  where  $e = (1, \dots, 1) \in N^n.$

In the present paper, within the one dimensional case  $n = 1,$  necessary or sufficient conditions are given for  $T \in A_{p,\lambda},$  in order to be a solution of one of the equations  $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$  and  $x^m \cdot T = S \in A_{p,\lambda},$  with  $m \in N, m \geq 1.$

**2. Notations.** Several classes of sequences of complex valued smooth functions (see [5] and [6]) will be needed.

(1)  $\mathcal{W} = N \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1);$  if  $s \in \mathcal{W}, \nu \in N, x \in \mathbb{R}^1,$  then  $s(\nu) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1), s(\nu)(x) \in C^1;$  for  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  denote  $u(\psi) \in \mathcal{W},$  where  $u(\psi)(\nu) = \psi, \forall \nu \in N;$   $\mathcal{W}$  is in a natural way an associative, commutative algebra (the vector spaces and algebras are considered over the field  $C^1$  of

complex numbers), with the unit element  $u(1)$  and zero element  $u(0)$ ; thus,  $\mathcal{O} = \{u(0)\}$  is the null space in  $\mathcal{W}$ ;

(2)  $D: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  is defined by  $(Ds)(\nu)(x) = (D\nu)(x)$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\nu \in N$ ,  $x \in R^1$ ; for given  $x_0 \in R^1$ , define  $\tau_{x_0}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  by  $(\tau_{x_0}s)(\nu)(x) = s(\nu)(x - x_0)$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $\nu \in N$ ,  $x \in R^1$ ;

(3)  $\mathcal{U} = \{u(\psi) \mid \psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)\}$ ;

(4)  $\mathcal{S}_0$  is the set of  $s \in \mathcal{W}$ , weakly convergent in  $\mathcal{D}'(R^1)$ ;  $\mathcal{V}_0$  is the kernel of the linear surjection:

$$\mathcal{S}_0 \ni s \rightarrow \langle s, \cdot \rangle \in \mathcal{D}'(R^1),$$

where

$$\langle s, \psi \rangle = \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R^1} s(\nu)(x)\psi(x)dx, \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{D}(R^1);$$

One of the basic ideas in the construction of the associative and commutative distribution multiplication in [5] and [6], is the way the weakly convergent sequences of smooth functions representing the Dirac  $\delta$  distribution are chosen:

(5)  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^0$  is the set of  $s \in \mathcal{S}_0$ , satisfying the conditions:

(5.1)  $\langle s, \cdot \rangle = \delta,$

(5.2)  $\forall \epsilon > 0: \exists \nu_\epsilon \in N: \forall \nu \in N,$   
 $\nu \geq \nu_\epsilon, x \in R^1, |x| \geq \epsilon: s(\nu)(x) = 0$

(5.3)  $\forall p \in N: \exists \nu_p \in N: \forall \nu \in N,$   
 $\nu \geq \nu_p: W(s(\nu), \dots, s(\nu + p))(0) \neq 0.$

where  $W(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m)(x)$ ,  $x \in R^1$ , denotes the Wronskian function of  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_m \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ .

The condition (5.3), called “strong local presence of  $s$  in  $x = 0$ ” and replaced in [6] by a weaker form, plays a central role in the associative, commutative distribution multiplication presented in [5] and [6].

(6) for  $p \in \bar{N}$ , denote by  $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}^0$  the set of  $v \in \mathcal{V}_0$ , satisfying the above condition (5.2), as well as

(6.1)  $\forall q \in N, q \leq p: \exists \nu_q \in N: \forall \nu \in N: \nu \geq \nu_q \Rightarrow D^q v(\nu)(0) = 0;$

(7)  $\mathcal{S}_\delta^0 = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_0 \mid \text{supp } \langle s, \cdot \rangle \subset \{0\}\};$

(8)  $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}$ , with  $p \in \bar{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_\delta$  are the vector subspaces generated in  $\mathcal{W}$  by  $\bigcup_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}^0$ , respectively  $\bigcup_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{S}_\delta^0$ ;

(9)  $\mathcal{X}_\delta = \bigtimes_{x \in R^1} \tau_x \mathcal{X}_\delta^0;$

(10) for  $\Sigma = (s_x \mid x \in R^1) \in \mathcal{X}_\delta$ , denote by  $\mathcal{S}(\Sigma)$  the vector subspace generated in  $\mathcal{S}_0$  by the sequences  $D^p s_x$ , with  $x \in R^1$ ,  $p \in N$ .

And now, the definition of the associative, commutative algebras

$(A_{p,\lambda} \mid p \in \bar{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the set of all  $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_1)$  with  $\Sigma \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$  and  $\mathcal{S}_1$  vector subspace in  $\mathcal{S}_0$ , such that  $(\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{S}_\delta) \cap \mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{S}_\delta + \mathcal{S}_1$ .

Suppose  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_1) \in \Lambda$  and denote

(11)  $\mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda} = \mathcal{V}_{\delta,p} \oplus \mathcal{U} \oplus \mathcal{S}(\Sigma) \oplus \mathcal{S}_1$ ;

(12)  $\mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$  the smallest subalgebra in  $\mathcal{W}$ , containing  $\mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$  and invariant of the mapping  $D: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ ;

(13)  $\mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$  the vector subspace generated in  $\mathcal{W}$  by  $\mathcal{V}_{\delta,p} \cdot \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ .

Then (see [5] and [6])

(1)  $A_{p,\lambda} = \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} / \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ ,

(2)  $D: A_{p+1,\lambda} \rightarrow A_{p,\lambda}$  is given by

$$D(t + \mathcal{I}_{p+1,\lambda}) = Dt + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{A}_{p+1,\lambda}.$$

**3. Multiplication by  $1/x^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ .** It is shown (see Corollary 2) that in the algebras  $A_{p,\lambda}$ , the multiplication by  $1/x^m$  does not represent the division by  $x^m$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , with given  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Suppose  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  such that for a certain  $m \in \bar{N}$*

$$D^q \psi(0) = 0, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q \leq m.$$

*If there exists  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  such that  $\psi \cdot T = \chi$  in  $A_{p,\lambda}$ , then:*

$$D^q \chi(0) = 0, \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad q \leq \min\{p, m\}.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ . Then  $\psi \cdot T = \chi$  in  $A_{p,\lambda}$  implies  $u(\chi) = u(\psi) \cdot t + w$ , with  $w \in \mathcal{I}_{p,\lambda}$ . Therefore,

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N}, q \leq p: \exists \nu_q \in \mathbb{N}: \forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \geq \nu_q: D^q w(\nu)(0) = 0.$$

Since  $\chi = \psi \cdot t(\nu) + w(\nu)$ ,  $\forall \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , the proof is completed.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Suppose  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , with given  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

*If  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^1)$  such that  $\psi(0) \neq 0$ , then,  $x^m \cdot T \neq \psi$  in  $A_{p,\lambda}$ ,  $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , then,  $x^m \cdot (1/x^m) \neq 1$ , in each of the algebras  $A_{p,\lambda}$ ,  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*

**4. Division by  $x^m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ .** First, in Theorem 2, a

sufficient condition is given for  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , in order to be a solution of the equation  $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .

For  $p \in \bar{N}$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , denote by  $B_{p,\lambda}^0$  all the elements  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$  of the form  $T = t + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} \cap \mathcal{V}_0$  and satisfies also (5.2) in §2.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose given  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1)$ , such that, for a certain  $q \in \bar{N}$ ,  $q \geq p$ :*

$$D^r \psi(0) = 0, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \leq q.$$

*Then,  $\psi \cdot B_{p,\lambda}^0 = \{0\} \subset A_{p,\lambda}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $T \in B_{p,\lambda}^0$  and  $T = t + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda} \cap \mathcal{V}_0$  and satisfying (5.2) in §2. Then,  $\psi \cdot T = u(\psi) \cdot t + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ . But, obviously,  $u(\psi) \cdot t \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta,q}^0 \subset \mathcal{V}_{\delta,p}^0 \subset \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ , hence,  $T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose given  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ . Then, any*

$$T_0 = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} x^{r_i} \cdot T_{1i} \cdot T_{2i} + \sum_{0 \leq j \leq h} x^{q_j} \cdot D^{p_j} \delta \cdot T_{3j},$$

*with  $k, h, r_i, q_j, p_j \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $r_i > p - m$ ,  $q_j > \max\{p, p_j\} - m$ , and  $T_{1i} \in B_{p,\lambda}^0$ ,  $T_{2i}, T_{3j} \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , will be a solution in  $A_{p,\lambda}$  of the equation  $x^m \cdot T = 0$ .*

*Proof.* According to Proposition 1,  $x^m \cdot x^{r_i} \cdot T_{1i} = x^{m+r_i} \cdot T_{1i} = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , since  $m + r_i > p$ . According to (4) in §1 (see also 3) in Theorem 6, §8 [5]),  $x^m \cdot x^{q_j} \cdot D^{p_j} \delta = x^{m+q_j} \cdot D^{p_j} \delta = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , since  $m + q_j > \max\{p, p_j\}$ .

It results the following sufficient condition on  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , solution of the equation  $x^m \cdot T = S \in A_{p,\lambda}$ .

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose  $S \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  given and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ .*

If  $T_1$  is any solution in  $A_{p,\lambda}$  of the equation  $x^m \cdot T = S$  and  $T_0$  is given as in Theorem 2, then  $T = T_1 + T_0$  will be again a solution of that equation.

Before a necessary condition is given on  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , solution of the equation  $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , the notion of *support* of the elements in  $A_{p,\lambda}$  will be defined.

Suppose  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $p \in \bar{N}$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  given and  $E \subset R^1$ . Then,

(1)  $T$  vanishes on  $E$ , only if  $T = t + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ , such that  $t(\nu)(x) = 0, \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geq \nu_0, x \in E$ .

(2)  $T$  strictly vanishes on  $E$ , only if  $T$  vanishes on a certain open set  $G \subset R^1$ , containing  $E$ .

(3)  $T$  is supported by  $E$ , only if for every open set  $G \subset R^1$ , containing  $E$ , one can write  $T = t + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$ , with  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ , such that  $\text{supp } t(\nu) \subset G, \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geq \nu_0$ .

The support of  $T$  is defined as the closed set

$$\text{supp } T = R^1 \setminus \{x \in R^1 \mid T \text{ strictly vanishes on } \{x\}\}.$$

Obviously, for the distributions in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(R^1) \oplus \mathcal{D}'_\delta(R^1)$ , the above notion of support is identical with the usual one for distributions.

PROPOSITION 2. Suppose  $x_0 \in R^1$  and  $q \in N$ , then,  $D^q \delta_{x_0} \in A_{p,\lambda}$ , for  $p \in \bar{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda$ , and

- (1)  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  is supported by  $\{x_0\}$  and  $\text{supp } D^q \delta_{x_0} = \{x_0\}$ ,
- (2) if  $E \subset R^1$  and  $x_0 \notin \text{closure } E$ , then  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  strictly vanishes on  $E$ ,
- (3)  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  does not vanish on  $R^1 \setminus \{x_0\}$ ,
- (4)  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  does not vanish on  $\{x_0\}$ .

Proof. (1), (2) and (3) follow easily.

(4) Assume  $\lambda = (\Sigma, \mathcal{S}_1)$  and  $\Sigma = (s_x \mid x \in R^1)$ , then,  $D^q \delta_{x_0} = D^q s_{x_0} + \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$  and  $s_{x_0} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{L}_\delta^0$ . Suppose,  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  vanishes on  $\{x_0\}$ , then, there exists  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p,\lambda}$ , such that  $t - D^q s_{x_0} \in \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$  and  $t(\nu)(x_0) = 0, \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geq \nu_0$ . Denoting  $v = t - D^q s_{x_0}$ , the relation  $v \in \mathcal{J}_{p,\lambda}$  implies  $v(\nu)(x_0) = 0, \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geq \nu_1$ . Therefore, it results

$$D^q s_{x_0}(\nu)(x_0) = t(\nu)(x_0) - v(\nu)(x_0) = 0, \quad \forall \nu \in N, \quad \nu \geq \nu_2.$$

But, that relation implies  $W(s_{x_0}(\nu), \dots, s_{x_0}(\nu + q))(x_0) = 0, \forall \nu \in N, \nu \geq \nu_2$ , which contradicts the assumption  $s_{x_0} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{L}_\delta^0$ .

REMARK. The property of the Dirac distributions that  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  does not vanish on  $\{x_0\}, \forall x_0 \in R^1, q \in N$ , is a direct consequence of the "condition of strong local presence" (see (5.3) in §2) and it is proper for the distribution multiplication presented in [5] and [6]. The "delta sequences" generally used (see [2]) do not necessarily prevent the vanishing of  $D^q \delta_{x_0}$  on  $\{x_0\}$ .

THEOREM 3. Suppose  $T \in A_{p,\lambda}$  with  $p \in \bar{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda$  given.

If  $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}$ , for a certain  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , then  $T$  is supported by  $\{0\}$ , hence  $\text{supp } T \subset \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $T = t + \mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$ , with  $t \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}$ . Then  $x^m \cdot T = 0 \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}$  implies  $u(x^m) \cdot t \in \mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$ , therefore, according to the definition of  $\mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$  (see (13), §2), it results

$$u(x^m) \cdot t = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} v_i \cdot a_i$$

with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $v_i \in \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}$ .

Now, due to the definition  $\mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}$  (see (8) and (6), §2), it follows that:  $\forall i \in \{0, \dots, k\}$ :  $\exists X_i \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $X_i$  finite:  $v_i = \sum_{x \in X_i} v_{ix}$ , where  $v_{ix} \in \tau_x \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}^0$ .

Concluding, there exists  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $X$  finite, such that

$$u(x^m) \cdot t = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq h} v_{xj} \cdot b_{xj} \quad \text{with } h \in \mathbb{N}, \quad v_{xj} \in \tau_x \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}^0, \quad b_{xj} \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}.$$

It will be shown now, that in the above relation, one can consider  $X = \{0\}$ . Indeed, suppose  $x_0 \in X \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $v_{x_0j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}^0$  with  $0 \leq j \leq h$ . The condition (5.2) in §2, results in the existence of  $w_{x_0j} \in \mathcal{W}$ , with  $0 \leq j \leq h$ , such that  $v_{x_0j}(\nu)(x) = x^m \cdot w_{x_0j}(\nu)(x)$ ,  $\forall 0 \leq j \leq h$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\nu \geq \nu_0$ . Moreover,  $w_{x_0j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}^0$ ,  $\forall 0 \leq j \leq h$ , since  $v_{x_0j} \in \tau_{x_0} \mathcal{V}_{\delta, p}^0$  with  $0 \leq j \leq h$ , and  $x_0 \neq 0$ .

Denoting

$$v = \sum_{\substack{x_0 \in X \\ x_0 \neq 0}} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq h} w_{x_0j} \cdot b_{x_0j}$$

it results  $v \in \mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$ , hence,  $T = t_1 + \mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$ , where  $t_1 = t - v \in \mathcal{A}_{p, \lambda}$ . But  $u(x^m) \cdot t_1 = u(x^m) \cdot t - u(x^m) \cdot v = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq h} v_{0j} \cdot b_{0j}$ .

Since  $v_{0j}$ , with  $0 \leq j \leq h$ , satisfy (5.2) in §2, it follows that  $u(x^m) \cdot t_1$  and, therefore  $t_1$  satisfy the same condition. Thus,  $T = t_1 + \mathcal{I}_{p, \lambda}$  is supported by  $\{0\}$ , which obviously results in  $\text{supp } T \subset \{0\}$ .

## REFERENCES

1. H. Kang, J. Richards, *A general definition of convolution for distributions*, (to appear).
2. J. Mikusinski, *On the square of the Dirac delta distribution*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., **14**, 9, (1966), 511–513.
3. E. Rosinger, *Embedding the  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  distributions in pseudotopological algebras*, Stud. Cerc. Mat., **18**, 5, (1966), 687–729.
4. ———, *Pseudotopological spaces. Embedding the  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  distributions into algebras*, Stud. Cerc. Mat., **20**, 4, (1968), 553–582.

5. ———, *A distribution multiplication theory*, Haifa Technion's Preprint Series, AMT-31, October 1974 (to appear).
6. ———, *An associative, commutative distribution multiplication*, Technical Report, Haifa Technion, March 1976 (to appear).
7. L. Schwartz, *Sur l'impossibilité de la multiplication des distributions*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **239** (1954), 847–848.

Received June 23, 1975 and in revised form April 12, 1976.

TECHNION — ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

