STRICT LOCAL INCLUSION RESULTS BETWEEN SPACES OF FOURIER TRANSFORMS

WALTER R. BLOOM

Let G denote a noncompact Hausdorff locally compact abelian group, Γ its character group, and write $(L^s, l^t)^{\wedge}$ for the space of Fourier transforms of functions in the amalgam (L^s, l^t) . We show that for $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ the local inclusion $(L^1, l^p)^{\wedge} \subset (L^{\infty}, l^q)^{\wedge}$ is strict, that is, given any nonvoid open subset Ω of Γ there exists $f \in (L^{\infty}, l^q)$ such that $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ does not vanish on Ω for any $g \in (L^1, l^p)$. If in addition G is assumed to be second countable then we show there exists such an f independent of the choice of Ω . Of special interest is the case, included in the above results, where the amalgams (L^1, l^q) , (L^{∞}, l^p) are replaced by $L^p(G)$, $L^q(G)$ respectively.

Throughout G will denote a noncompact Hausdorff locally compact abelian group, with Haar measure λ and character group Γ . $A(\Gamma)$ will denote the space of Fourier transforms of functions integrable over G, and $A_c(\Gamma)$ the subspace formed of functions whose supports are compact. For each compact set $\mathcal{E} \subset \Gamma$, write $A_{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma) = \{h \in A(\Gamma): \text{supp}(h) \subset \mathcal{E}\}$. We give each space $A_{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma)$ its normed topology as $\|\hat{f}\| = \|f\|_1$, $\hat{f} \in A_{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma)$, and topologise $A_c(\Gamma)$ as the internal inductive limit of the spaces $A_{\mathcal{E}}(\Gamma)$.

For each $s,t\in[1,\infty]$ the amalgam (L^s,l^t) is defined in the following way. Using the structure theorem ([6], (24.30)) we write $G=R^a\times G_0$, where a is a nonnegative integer and G_0 contains a compact open subgroup H. We put $J=Z^a\times G_0/H$, $L=[0,1)^a\times H$ and write G as the disjoint union $\bigcup_a L_a$ where, for each $\alpha=(n_1,\cdots,n_a,y+H)\in J$, $L_\alpha=(n_1,\cdots,n_a,y)+L$. Given $f\in L^s_{loc}(G)$ write

$$\|f\|_{s,t}=\Big(\sum_lpha\Big(\!\!\int_{L_lpha}\!|f|^sd\lambda)^{t/s}\Big)^{\!1/t}$$
 ,

with the usual modification if $\max\{s, t\} = \infty$, and

$$(L^s, l^t) = \{ f \in L^s_{loc}(G) \colon ||f||_{s,t} < \infty \}$$
.

Each amalgam (L^s, l^t) is a Banach space and, provided $s, t < \infty$, its dual space is isometrically isomorphic to $(L^{s'}, l^{t'})$ (where s', t' denote the indices conjugate to s, t respectively); for this and other results on amalgams see [9], § 3.

Here we give three results for amalgams, which will be referred to in the sequel.

THEOREM A. The translation operators τ_b defined by $\tau_b f: x \to f(x-b)$ are uniformly bounded on (L^s, l^t) for all $s, t \in [1, \infty]$.

THEOREM B. For any compact set $\Xi \subset \Gamma$ there exists $k \in (L^{\infty}, l^{1})$ with $\hat{k} = 1$ on Ξ .

THEOREM C. Let $f \in (L^{p_1}, \, l^{p_2}), \, g \in (L^{q_1}, \, l^{q_2}) \, and \, suppose \, that \, 1/r_i = 1/p_i + 1/q_i - 1 \geq 0 \, for \, \, i = 1, \, 2. \, \, Then \, \, f *g \in (L^{r_1}, \, l^{r_2}) \, \, and \, \, \|f *g\|_{r_1, r_2} \leq K \|f\|_{p_1, p_2} \|g\|_{q_1, q_2}, \, \, where \, \, K \, \, is \, \, a \, \, constant.$

The proof of Theorem 3.3 in [9] applies equally well to give Theorem A, and Theorem B is just [9], Theorem 3.1. Theorem C follows from Theorem A and the results of [1], § 7(i).

For each $f \in (L^1, l^{\infty})$ we define the Fourier transform \hat{f} as the continuous linear functional on $A_c(\Gamma)$ given by

$$\hat{f}(h) = f(\hat{h}_{\vee}), h \in A_c(\Gamma)$$

where \hat{h}_{\vee} is the reflection of the inverse Fourier transform of h and $f(g) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} fg d\lambda$ (for a similar definition of the Fourier transform see Bertrandias and Dupuis ([2], § 4(a))). That \hat{f} is linear is clear, and continuity can be shown as follows. Since $A_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ is the inductive limit of the spaces $A_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ we need only prove that \hat{f} is continuous on each $A_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$. First note that if $h \in A_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ then $\hat{h}_{\vee} = k * \hat{h}_{\vee} \in (L^{\infty}, l^{1})$ by Theorem C, where $k \in (L^{\infty}, l^{1})$ is chosen as in Theorem B with $\hat{k} = 1$ on $-\mathcal{E}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} |\hat{f}(h)| &= |f(\hat{h}_{\vee})| = \left| \int_{a} f \hat{h}_{\vee} d\lambda \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha} \int_{L_{\alpha}} |f \hat{h}_{\vee}| d\lambda \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha} \left(\int_{L_{\alpha}} |f| d\lambda \max_{L_{\alpha}} |\hat{h}_{\vee}| \right) \\ &\leq \left(\max_{\alpha} \int_{L_{\alpha}} |f| d\lambda \right) \sum_{\alpha} \max_{L_{\alpha}} |\hat{h}_{\vee}| \\ &= \|f\|_{1,\infty} \|\hat{h}_{\vee}\|_{\infty,1} \\ &\leq K \|f\|_{1,\infty} \|k\|_{\infty,1} \|\hat{h}_{\vee}\|_{1} \\ &= K \|f\|_{1,\infty} \|k\|_{\infty,1} \|h\|_{1}, \end{split}$$

so that \hat{f} is bounded, hence continuous, on $A_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$.

It follows from [3], Theorem 3.1 (and the remarks at the beginning of [3], §3) that this definition of the Fourier transform agrees with that given by Gaudry in [5], §1 (who defines the Fourier transform as a suitable quasimeasure) for functions in $L^p(G)$, and in particular with that usually taken when $1 . It will also be convenient to think of the Fourier transform of <math>f \in L^1(G)$ as a

linear functional on $A_{\mathfrak{o}}(\Gamma)$. We write $(L^s,\,l^t)^{\hat{}}=\{\hat{f}\colon f\in (L^s,\,l^t)\}.$

Let Ω be a nonvoid open subset of Γ . We say that \hat{f} vanishes on Ω if $\hat{f}(h)=0$ for all $h\in A_c(\Gamma)$ with supp $(h)\subset\Omega$. Suppose that $1\leq p\leq q\leq\infty$, $g\in (L^1, l^p)$, and take Ω to be any nonvoid relatively compact open subset of Γ . By Theorem B we have the existence of $k\in (L^\infty, l^1)$ with $\hat{k}=1$ on Ω . Writing f=k*g it is seen that $f\in (L^\infty, l^q)$ and $\hat{f}-\hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω . Thus we have that $(L^1, l^p)^{\circ}\subset (L^\infty, l^2)^{\circ}$, where the inclusion is to be interpreted as holding locally. This has already been observed for the Lebesgue spaces when G is the real line; see [8], Chapter VI, § 4.12.

Our main result (Theorem 1), which we prove with the aid of an extension to amalgams of a multiplier theorem of Hörmander, implies that this local inclusion is strict whenever p < q. In the case $q \in (1, 2]$, with the amalgams (L^1, l^p) , (L^∞, l^q) replaced by $L^p(G)$, $L^q(G)$ respectively, this result has been given previously by Fournier ([4], Theorem 1) with Ω only required to be a set with positive Haar measure. Fournier's proof is based on the construction of certain positive definite functions on Γ .

Theorem 1. Let $1 < q \leq \infty$ and suppose Ω is a nonvoid open subset of Γ . Then there exists $f \in (L^{\infty}, l^{q})$ such that for any $p \in [1, q)$ and $g \in (L^{1}, l^{p})$, $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ does not vanish on Ω .

Proof. We first show that if $h \in L^1(G)$ with $h \ge 0$, $h \ne 0$, then there exists nonnegative $f \in (L^\infty, l^q)$ such that $h*f \notin (L^1, l^p)$ for any $p \in [1, q)$. This is easy to see if $q = \infty$, since in this case f = 1 satisfies the stated conditions.

For $q<\infty$ fix $p\in [1,q)$ and assume that $h*f\in (L^1,l^p)$ for all $f\in (L^\infty,l^q)$. Consider the map T, defined on (L^∞,l^q) by Tf=h*f. By assumption T maps (L^∞,l^q) into (L^1,l^p) . T is obviously linear and commutes with translations. Furthermore the Closed Graph Theorem shows that T is continuous. Now the proof of Hörmander's theorem ([7], Theorem 1.1), which holds for all noncompact locally compact abelian groups, can be modified to show that T=0. This is clearly impossible if h is nonzero, so there exists $f\in (L^\infty,l^q)$ such that $h*f\notin (L^1,l^p)$. Since $h\geqq 0$ the same is true if f is replaced by |f|. Now let (p_n) , $p_n\geqq 1$, be any strictly increasing sequence of numbers converging to q. Choose a corresponding sequence (f_n) of nonnegative functions in (L^∞,l^q) such that for each $n\in\{1,2,\cdots\}$, $h*f_n\notin (L^1,l^p)$. We assert that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-2} \|f_n\|_{\infty,q}^{-1} f_n$$

is a suitable choice of f; indeed, if there exists $p \in [1, q)$ such that $h * f \in (L^1, l^p)$ then, choosing n_0 such that $p_{n_0} \in [p, q)$, we would have (recall that for each $n, h * f_n \ge 0$)

$$h*f_{n_0}\in (L^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\ l^{\scriptscriptstyle p})\subset (L^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\ l^{^{\scriptscriptstyle p}n_0})$$
 ,

contradicting the choice of f_{n_0} .

Now choose $\gamma \in \Omega$ and nonzero $h \in A_c(\Gamma)$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(h) \subset -\gamma + \Omega$ and $\hat{h} \geq 0$ (this is possible using [6], (31.34) and the fact that $-\gamma + \Omega$ is a neighbourhood of 0). From the first part of the proof there exists $f_0 \in (L^\infty, l^q)$ such that $\hat{h} * f_0 \notin (L^1, l^p)$ for any $p \in [1, q)$. Then $f = \gamma f_0$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem, for if there exists $p \in [1, q)$ and $g \in (L^1, l^p)$ such that $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω then, since $(\bar{\gamma}f - \bar{\gamma}g)^{\hat{\gamma}}$ vanishes on $-\gamma + \Omega$, we would have

$$\hat{h}*(\bar{\gamma}f - \bar{\gamma}g)(x) = (\bar{\gamma}f - \bar{\gamma}g)(xh) = 0$$

for all $x \in G$ (where $(xh)(\gamma) = \gamma(x)h(\gamma)$). But this gives $\hat{h} * f_0 = \hat{h} * \overline{\gamma} g \in (L^1, l^p)$, a contradiction of our choice of f_0 .

In the case where G is second countable f in Theorem 1 can be chosen independently of the nonvoid open set Ω .

THEOREM 2. Let G be a second countable noncompact locally compact abelian group. If $1 \leq p < q \leq \infty$ then there exists $f \in (L^{\infty}, l^{q})$ such that, for any nonvoid open set $\Omega \subset \Gamma$, there is no $g \in (L^{1}, l^{p})$ for which $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω .

Proof. Since G is second countable so is Γ (see [6], (24.14)). Suppose to the contrary that no such f exists when g is restricted to lie in $L^p(G)$. We consider p>1 and make use of Baire's category theorem to derive a contradiction.

For each pair of positive integers m,n define $T_m(\Omega_n)=\{f\in (L^\infty,l^q):\ \widehat{f}-\widehat{g}\ \text{ vanishes on }\ \Omega_n\ \text{ for some }\ g\in L^p(G),\ \|g\|_p\le m\},\ \text{ where }\ \{\Omega_n:\ n=1,2,\cdots\}\ \text{ is a base for the topology of }\ \Gamma\ \text{ with each }\ \Omega_n\ \text{ nonvoid.}$ Our assumption in the previous paragraph just says that $\bigcup_{m,n=1}^\infty T_m(\Omega_n)=(L^\infty,l^q).$ We shall show that for each $m,n\in\{1,2,\cdots\},\ T_m(\Omega_n)$ is closed.

Let (f_s) be a sequence of functions in $T_m(\Omega_n)$ converging in $(L^\infty,\,l^g)$ to f, say. Now for each $s\in\{1,\,2,\,\cdots\}$ there exists $g_s\in L^p(G)$ such that $\|g_s\|_p\leq m$ and $\hat{f}_s-\hat{g}_s$ vanishes on Ω_n . Using the theorem of Alaoglu we can deduce the existence of $g\in L^p(G)$ with $\|g\|_p\leq m$, a weak*-cluster point of the sequence (g_s) .

Now let $\varepsilon>0$ and $h\in A_{\varepsilon}(\varGamma)$ with supp $(h)\subset \varOmega_n$ be given. Choose s such that $|g(\hat{h}_{\vee})-g_s(\hat{h}_{\vee})|<\varepsilon/2$ and $|f(\hat{h}_{\vee})-f_s(\hat{h}_{\vee})|<\varepsilon/2$ (note that $\hat{h}_{\vee}\in (L^{\infty},\,l^1)$). Then

$$egin{aligned} |(\hat{f}-\hat{g})(h)| & \leq |f(\hat{h}_ee) - f_s(\hat{h}_ee)| + |f_s(\hat{h}_ee) - g_s(\hat{h}_ee)| \ & + |g_s(\hat{h}_ee) - g(\hat{h}_ee)| \ & < arepsilon + |(\hat{f}_s - \hat{g}_s)(h)| \;. \end{aligned}$$

But $\hat{f}_s - \hat{g}_s$ vanishes on Ω_n and thus $|(\hat{f} - \hat{g})(h)| < \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h \in A_c(\Gamma)$ with supp $(h) \subset \Omega_n$ were chosen arbitrarily we deduce that $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω_n , so that $f \in T_m(\Omega_n)$. Hence $T_m(\Omega_n)$ is closed.

Now (L^{∞}, l^q) is a complete metric space and thus we can apply Baire's category theorem which gives us the existence of positive integers m_0 , n_0 such that $T_{m_0}(\Omega_{n_0})$ has nonvoid interior. This means we can find $\delta>0$ and $f_0\in T_{m_0}(\Omega_{n_0})$ such that

$$V=\{f\in (L^\infty,\, l^q)\colon\, \|\, f-f_0\|_{\infty,q}<\delta\}\subset T_{m_0}(\varOmega_{n_0})\,\,.$$

Let $k \in (L^{\infty}, l^q)$ and choose nonzero α such that $\|\alpha k\|_{\infty,q} < \delta$. Then f_0 , $\alpha k + f_0$ belong to V and so there exist g_0 , $g_1 \in L^p(G)$ with $\hat{f}_0 - \hat{g}_0$ and $(\alpha k + f_0)^{\hat{}} - \hat{g}_1$ vanishing on Ω_{n_0} . The linearity of the Fourier transform entails that

$$\hat{k} - lpha^{-1}(g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - g_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})^{\hat{}} = lpha^{-1}((lpha k + f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} - g_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})^{\hat{}} - (f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} - g_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})^{\hat{}})$$

vanishes on Ω_{n_0} . But $\alpha^{-1}(g_1 - g_0) \in L^p(G)$; since $k \in (L^{\infty}, l^q)$ was chosen arbitrarily we have a contradiction of Theorem 1.

Hence our initial assumption was false and, for $p_0 \in (p,q)$ with p,q as in the statement of the theorem, we have the existence of $f \in (L^{\infty}, l^q)$ such that, for any nonvoid open set $\Omega \subset \Gamma$, there is no $g \in L^{p_0}(G)$ for which $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω . Then the same is true with $L^{p_0}(G)$ replaced by (L^1, l^p) . For suppose to the contrary that $\Omega \subset \Gamma$ and $g \in (L^1, l^p)$ exist such that $\hat{f} - \hat{g}$ vanishes on Ω ; without loss of generality we may assume that Ω is relatively compact. Then, choosing $k \in (L^{p_0}, l^1)$ with $\hat{k} = 1$ on Ω , we have that

$$\hat{f} - (k*g)^{\hat{}} = (\hat{f} - \hat{g}) + (\hat{g} - (k*g)^{\hat{}})$$

vanishes on Ω and $k*g \in L^{p_0}(G)$, contradicting our choice of f. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The author would like to thank the referee for some helpful suggestions on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- 1. J.-P. Bertrandias, C. Datry et C. Dupuis, Unions et intersections d'espaces L^p invariantes par translation ou convolution, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 28 (1978), 53-84.
- 2. J.-P. Bertrandias et C. Dupuis, Transformation de Fourier sur les espaces $l^p(L^{p'})$, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **29** (1979), 189-206.
- 3. Michael Cowling, Some applications of Grothendieck's theory of topological tensor products in harmonic analysis, Math. Ann., 232 (1978), 273-285.
- 4. John J. F. Fournier, Local complements to the Hausdorff-Young theorem, Michigan Math. J., 20 (1973), 263-276.
- 5. G. I. Gaudry, Multipliers of type (p, q), Pacific J. Math., 18 (1966), 477-488.
- 6. Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vols. I, II, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bände 115, 152, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1963, 1970.
- 7. Lars Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L^p spaces, Acta Math., 104 (1960), 93-140.
- 8. Yitzhak Katznelson, An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis, John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1968.
- 9. James Stewart, Fourier transforms of unbounded measures, Canad. J. Math., 31 (1979), 1281-1292.

Received December 5, 1979 and in revised form December 31, 1980.

MURDOCH UNIVERSITY
PERTH
WESTERN AUSTRALIA, 6150, AUSTRALIA