

ON SOME REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS CONSTRUCTED FROM TWO SETS OF CLOSED OPERATORS AND FROM A SET OF REFLEXIVE OPERATOR ALGEBRAS

E. V. KISSIN

In an earlier article by Kissin a new class of reflexive algebras possessing non-inner derivations implemented by bounded operators was introduced. Its method supplies us with many examples of reflexive algebras which have non-inner derivations implemented by bounded operators and for which effective analysis appears to be possible.

0. Introduction. It is generally well-known that all the derivations of W^* -algebras are inner. Christensen [1] and Wagner [5] have proved that the same is true of nest and quasitriangular algebras. Furthermore, although Gilfeather, Hopenwasser and Larson [2] have shown that some CSL-algebras may have non-inner derivations, none of these derivations are implemented by bounded operators. The present paper extends the approach adopted in the earlier article [3] and considers a new method of constructing reflexive operator algebras \mathcal{A} from two given sets of closed operators $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$, $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$ and from a given set of reflexive operator algebras $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ (n can be a finite number or infinity).

The structure of these algebras and their properties are very interesting. For example, one can show that, if certain conditions are applied to the operators $\{F_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$, then the algebras \mathcal{A} are semi-simple and totally symmetric without, however, becoming C^* -algebras [4]. These algebras also possess the following property: if A is reversible and belongs to \mathcal{A} , then A^{-1} also belongs to \mathcal{A} . But in this paper we shall confine our discussion to two subjects:

- (i) Under what conditions on $\{F_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$ are the algebras \mathcal{A} reflexive?
- (ii) What is the structure of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$?

Usually, when studying CSL-algebras, one considers the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A})$ in the same way as one considers the pairs $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$ when studying W^* -algebras. However, it has been suggested [3] that in the general case of operator algebras \mathcal{A} it would be more useful to consider

the triplets $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}, \text{Ad } \mathcal{A})$ where $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ consists of all bounded operators which generate derivations on \mathcal{A} . As well as the obvious connection between \mathcal{A} and $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$, there is also a close link between $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$:

- (i) All operators A in $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ generate one-parameter groups of homeomorphisms of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ ($M \rightarrow \exp(tA)M$).
- (ii) For every subspace M in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, the set $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M = \{B \in \text{Ad } \mathcal{A} : BM \subseteq M\}$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcap_{M \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}} \text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M$$

if \mathcal{A} is reflexive.

A knowledge of the structure of $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ enables us to obtain a clearer description of the nature of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. This can be done by establishing the structure of the orbits in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$.

In many cases, however, these triplets degenerate into pairs. For example, if \mathcal{A} is a W^* -algebra, then $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is the set of all projections in \mathcal{A}' , and $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$; as a result the triplet turns into the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$. If \mathcal{A} is a CSL-algebra, then $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ and the triplet becomes the pair $(\mathcal{A}, \text{Lat } \mathcal{A})$. But, in the case of an arbitrary operator algebra, $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ is not usually equal to $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}'$ and $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ does not contain $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$; in this case, therefore, the triplet does not degenerate into a pair.

One of the simplest classes of this type of algebras is \mathcal{R}_1 [3]. This class consists of all the reflexive algebras \mathcal{A} which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) The quotient Lie algebra $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$ is non-trivial;
- (b) For every M in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ the codimension of $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}_M$ in $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ is less than or equal to 1.

According to these conditions, no CSL- or W^* -algebras (except for the factors $B(H) \otimes I_2$) belong to \mathcal{R}_1 . For algebras from \mathcal{R}_1 , effective analysis appears to be possible. The structure of the quotient Lie algebra $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}$, for $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}_1$, is quite simple and enables us to obtain a description of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ in terms of the orbits in $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ with respect to $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ [3].

The new method introduced in the article provides us with a wide variety of algebras from \mathcal{R}_1 , although not all the algebras obtained by this method belong to \mathcal{R}_1 (see Example 2). There is reason to think that this method may in fact provide us with all the algebras from \mathcal{R}_1 which satisfy some extra conditions on $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 2.4 investigates the structure of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and Theorem 2.5 considers some sufficient conditions for the algebras \mathcal{A} to be reflexive. Section 3 deals with a particular case when all $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$ and a detailed

description of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is obtained in Theorem 3.5. Two examples of algebras \mathcal{A} when $n = 2$ are also considered. In Example 1, $\dim(\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}) = 2$ and all operators from $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ which do not belong to \mathcal{A} generate non-inner derivations on \mathcal{A} . In Example 2, $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, although the structure of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is the same as in Example 1.

I would like to thank the referee of this article for his helpful suggestions, and am grateful to Dr. J. A. Erdos for his useful advice.

1. Preliminaries and notation. Let n be an integer or infinity, let H_i , for $1 \leq i \leq n$ ($1 \leq i < \infty$, if $n = \infty$), be Hilbert spaces and let \mathcal{T}_i be reflexive operator algebras on H_i . (A subalgebra \mathcal{T} of $B(H)$ is reflexive if $\mathcal{T} = \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{T}$, where $\text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$ is the set of all closed subspaces invariant under operators from \mathcal{T} , and $\text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{T}$ is the algebra of all operators in $B(H)$ which leave every member of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{T}$ invariant.) Let F_i and G_i , for $1 \leq i < n$, be closed operators from H_{i+1} into H_i . By $D(F_i)$ and $D(G_i)$ we shall denote their domains in H_{i+1} . Let F_i^* and G_i^* be the adjoint operators from H_i into H_{i+1} and let $D(F_i^*)$ and $D(G_i^*)$ be their domains in H_i . Set $D_1 = H_1$, $D_n^* = H_n$ (if $n < \infty$)

$$D_{i+1} = D(F_i) \cap D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad D_i^* = D(F_i^*) \cap D(G_i^*)$$

for $1 \leq i < n$. Then $D_i \subseteq H_i$ and $D_i^* \subseteq H_i$.

Let us impose some restrictions on the operators $\{F_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$.

(R₁) D_i and D_i^* are dense in H_i for all i .

(R₂) $G_i \neq 0$ for all i .

By \mathcal{U} we shall denote the set of all sequences $T = \{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that

(A₁) $T_i \in \mathcal{T}_i$, $T_{i+1}D(G_i) \subseteq D(G_i)$ and $T_{i+1}D(F_i) \subseteq D(F_i)$;

(A₂) $T_i G_i|_{D(G_i)} = G_i T_{i+1}|_{D(G_i)}$;

(A₃) the operators $(F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i)|_{D(F_i)}$ extend to bounded operators T_{F_i} from H_{i+1} into H_i ;

(A₄) $\sup \|T_i\| < \infty$ and $\sup \|T_{F_i}\| < \infty$.

From (R₁) it follows that for every i there only exists one bounded operator T_{F_i} which extends $(F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i)|_{D(F_i)}$. For every i let \mathcal{U}_i be a subalgebra of \mathcal{T}_i such that an operator B belongs to \mathcal{U}_i if and only if there exists a sequence $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ for which $B = T_i$.

Let \mathcal{H} be the direct sum of all H_i . For every sequence $T = \{T_i\}$ from \mathcal{U} let $A^T = (A_{ij})$ be the operator on \mathcal{H} such that

$$(1) \quad A_{ii} = T_i, \quad A_{ii+1} = T_{F_i} \quad \text{and all other } A_{ij} = 0.$$

By (A₄), A^T is bounded. Put

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \{A^T: T \in \mathcal{U}\};$$

$$I(\mathcal{H}) = \{A = (A_{ij}) \in B(\mathcal{H}): A_{ij} = 0 \text{ if } i \geq j - 1\}.$$

By \mathcal{A} we shall denote the set of operators on \mathcal{H} generated by all sums of operators from $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ and from $I(\mathcal{H})$.

For example, if $n = 2$, then F and G are closed operators from H_2 into H_1 , $\mathcal{H} = H_1 \oplus H_2$, \mathcal{T}_i , for $i = 1, 2$, are reflexive subalgebras of $B(H_i)$, $I(\mathcal{H}) = \{0\}$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_F \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix} \in B(\mathcal{H}) : (1) T_i \in \mathcal{T}_i, T_2 D(G) \subseteq D(G) \right.$$

$$\text{and } T_2 D(F) \subseteq D(F); (2) T_1 G|_{D(G)} = G T_2|_{D(G)};$$

$$\left. (3) T_F|_{D(F)} = (F T_2 - T_1 F)|_{D(F)} \right\}$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a subalgebra of $B(H)$. Then

$$\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \{ B \in B(H) : [B, A] = BA - AB \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

Operators from $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ generate bounded derivations on \mathcal{A} . It can be easily checked that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ is a Lie algebra and that \mathcal{A} and its commutant \mathcal{A}' are Lie ideals in $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$.

The rank one operator $z \mapsto (z, x)y$ will be denoted by $x \otimes y$.

2. Reflexivity of \mathcal{A} . In this section, in Theorem 2.4 we shall obtain some information about $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and in Theorem 2.5 we shall state some sufficient conditions for an algebra \mathcal{A} to be reflexive.

LEMMA 2.1. *\mathcal{A} is an algebra and $I(\mathcal{H})$ is a weakly closed ideal in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. It is obvious that $I(\mathcal{H})$ is a weakly closed ideal in \mathcal{A} . Let $T = \{T_i\}$ and $T' = \{T'_i\}$ belong to \mathcal{U} . It is easy to see that their linear combinations also belong to \mathcal{U} . Therefore linear combinations of operators A^T and $A^{T'}$ belong to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $B = \{B_i\}$ where $B_i = T_i T'_i$. Then B satisfies conditions (A_1) and (A_2) . Since the operators

$$\begin{aligned} & (F_i B_{i+1} - B_i F_i)|_{D(F_i)} \\ &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) T'_{i+1}|_{D(F_i)} + T_i (F_i T'_{i+1} - T'_i F_i)|_{D(F_i)} \end{aligned}$$

extend to the bounded operators $T_{F_i} T'_{i+1} + T_i T'_{F_i}$, we get that B satisfies (A_3) and that

$$(2) \quad B_{F_i} = T_{F_i} T'_{i+1} + T_i T'_{F_i}.$$

From (2) it follows immediately that B satisfies (A_4) and hence $B \in \mathcal{U}$. From simple computations and from (1) and (2) it follows that

$$A^T A^{T'} \equiv A^B \pmod{I(\mathcal{H})}.$$

Therefore \mathcal{A} is an algebra and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.2. (i) *The operators $F_i + tG_i$ and $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$ are closable for every complex t .*

(ii) *For every $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$*

$$(A_1^*) \quad T_i^* D(F_i^*) \subseteq D(F_i^*) \text{ and } T_i^* D(G_i^*) \subseteq D(G_i^*);$$

$$(A_2^*) \quad G_i^* T_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)} = T_{i+1}^* G_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)};$$

$$(A_3^*) \quad (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - F_i^* T_i^*) |_{D(F_i^*)} = T_{F_i}^* |_{D(F_i^*)}.$$

Proof. For every complex t the domain of the operator $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$ is D_i^* . Since D_i^* is dense in H_i , there exists the adjoint operator $(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^*$. We also have that

$$(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^* |_{D_{i+1}} = (F_i + tG_i) |_{D_{i+1}}.$$

Since $(F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)^*$ is closed, the operator $F_i + tG_i$ is closable. Similarly we can prove that the operator $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$ is closable. Thus (i) is proved.

From (A_2) it follows that for every $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $y \in D(G_i)$ and for every $x \in D(G_i^*)$

$$(3) \quad (G_i y, T_i^* x) = (T_i G_i y, x) = (G_i T_{i+1} y, x) = (y, T_{i+1}^* G_i^* x).$$

Hence for every $x \in D(G_i^*)$

$$(4) \quad T_i^* x \in D(G_i^*) \text{ and } G_i^* T_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)} = T_{i+1}^* G_i^* |_{D(G_i^*)}.$$

Thus (A_2^*) is proved.

From (A_3) it follows that for every $y \in D(F_i)$ and every $x \in D(F_i^*)$

$$(5) \quad (F_i y, T_i^* x) = (T_i F_i y, x) \\ = ((F_i T_{i+1} - T_{F_i}) y, x) = (y, (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - T_{F_i}^*) x).$$

Therefore for every $x \in D(F_i^*)$

$$(6) \quad T_i^* x \in D(F_i^*) \text{ and } T_{F_i}^* |_{D(F_i^*)} = (T_{i+1}^* F_i^* - F_i^* T_i^*) |_{D(F_i^*)}$$

Thus (A_3^*) is proved. From (4) and (6) it follows that (A_1^*) holds which concludes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. By S_i^i we shall denote the closure of the operator $F_i + tG_i$ which is defined on D_{i+1} and by R_i^i we shall denote the closure of the operator $F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*$ which is defined on D_i^* . By $D(S_i^i)$ and by $D(R_i^i)$ we shall denote their domains.

It is easy to see that $(R_i^i)^*|_{D_{i+1}} = F_i + tG_i$. Since $(R_i^i)^*$ is closed, we get that

$$(7) \quad S_i^i \subseteq (R_i^i)^*.$$

Since S_0^i is the closure of $F_i|_{D_{i+1}}$ and $(R_0^i)^* = (F_i^*|_{D_i^*})^*$, it follows that

$$(8) \quad S_0^i \subseteq F_i \subseteq (R_0^i)^*.$$

By \mathcal{H}_0 we shall denote the null subspace in \mathcal{H} . For every $0 < i < n$ let \mathcal{H}_i be the direct sum of H_1, \dots, H_i . We shall consider \mathcal{H}_i as a subspace in \mathcal{H} . It is easy to see that $\mathcal{H}_i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

For every $K \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}_i$ let \mathcal{K} be the direct sum of \mathcal{H}_{i-1} and K . Then \mathcal{K} can be considered as a subspace in \mathcal{H} , so that $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$ and $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Let S be a closed operator from H_{i+1} into H_i . Put

$$M_S^i = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in D(S) \text{ and } y = Sx \right\}.$$

Then M_S^i is a closed subspace in $H_i \oplus H_{i+1}$ which can be considered as a closed subspace in \mathcal{H} . Therefore M_S^i is a closed subspace in \mathcal{H} . By \mathcal{M}_S^i we shall denote the direct sum of \mathcal{H}_{i-1} and M_S^i , and we shall consider \mathcal{M}_S^i as a closed subspace in \mathcal{H} .

LEMMA 2.3. (i) Let S be a closed operator from H_{i+1} into H_i and let D be a linear manifold in $D(S)$ such that

- 1) S is the closure of the operator $S|_D$;
- 2) $TD \subseteq D$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$;
- 3) $T_{F_i}|_D = (ST_{i+1} - T_i S)|_D$ for every $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Then $\mathcal{M}_S^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

(ii) Let S be a closed operator from H_i into H_{i+1} and let D be a linear manifold in $D(S)$ such that

- 1) D is dense in H_i ;
- 2) S is the closure of the operator $S|_D$;
- 3) $T^*D \subseteq D$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$;
- 4) $(T_{i+1}^* S - S T_i^*)|_D = T_{F_i}^*|_D$ for every $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$.

Then $\mathcal{M}_{S^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. If an operator A belongs to $I(\mathcal{H})$, then it is easy to see that $A\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$.

Let $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ and $A^T \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$. Then $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$ for every $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_{i-1}$. Suppose that $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_S^i$. Then

$$A^T\xi \equiv \xi' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$$

where

$$\xi' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \in H_i \oplus H_{i+1}, \quad x' = T_{i+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad y' = T_i y + T_{F_i} x.$$

Let $x \in D$. Then, by 2), $x' \in D$. Since $y = Sx$, we get, by 3), that

$$y' = T_i Sx + (ST_{i+1} - T_i S)x = ST_{i+1}x.$$

Hence $\xi' \in M_S^i$. Thus, if $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_S^i$, and if $x \in D$, then $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$. But, by 1), the elements $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix}$, where $x \in D$, are dense in M_S^i . Therefore $A^T\xi \in \mathcal{M}_S^i$ for every $\xi \in M_S^i$ which completes the proof of (i).

Now let S be a closed operator from H_i into H_{i+1} . We only need condition 3) for condition 4) to be defined correctly. By 1), S^* is a closed operator from H_{i+1} into H_i . Let $x \in D$ and $y \in D(S^*)$. Then for every $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$, by 4),

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{i+1}y, Sx) &= (y, T_{i+1}^* Sx) \\ &= (y, [ST_i^* + T_{F_i}^*]x) = ([T_i S^* + T_{F_i}]y, x). \end{aligned}$$

By 2),

$$T_{i+1}y \in D(S^*) \quad \text{and} \quad S^*T_{i+1}|_{D(S^*)} = (T_i S^* + T_{F_i})|_{D(S^*)}.$$

Applying (i) to S^* we obtain that $\mathcal{M}_{S^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.4. *Subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{S_i^i}^i$, $\mathcal{M}_{(R_i)^*}^i$ and $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i$ belong to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ for $1 \leq i < n$ and for all complex t .*

Proof. Put $D = D_{i+1}$. Then $D \subseteq D(S_i^i)$ and it follows from the definition of S_i^i that S_i^i is the closure of $S_i^i|_D$. It follows from (A₁) that $TD_{i+1} \subseteq D_{i+1}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$. Finally, by (A₂), and by (A₃), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (S_i^i T_{i+1} - T_i S_i^i)|_{D_{i+1}} &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i + t(G_i T_{i+1} - T_i G_i))|_{D_{i+1}} \\ &= (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i)|_{D_{i+1}} = T_{F_i}|_{D_{i+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3, $\mathcal{M}_{S_i^i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Now put $D = D_i^*$. By the definition of R_i^i , we have that $D \subseteq D(R_i^i)$ and that the closure of $R_i^i|_D$ is R_i^i . By (R_1) , D is dense in H_i . It follows from Lemma 2.2 (A_1^*) that $T^*D \subseteq D$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Thus, conditions 1), 2) and 3) of Lemma 2.3 (ii) hold. By Lemma 2.2 (A_2) and (A_3),

$$\begin{aligned} & (T_{i+1}^*R_i^i - R_i^iT_i^*)|_{D_i^*} \\ &= (T_{i+1}^*F_i^* - F_i^*T_i^* + \bar{i}(T_{i+1}^*G_i^* - G_i^*T_i^*))|_{D_i^*} = T_{F_i}^*|_{D_i^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore condition 4) of Lemma 2.3(ii) holds and $\mathcal{M}_{(R_i^i)^*}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

At last, if $S = F_i$ and $D = D(F_i)$, then it can be easily seen that conditions 2) and 3) of Lemma 2.3(i) follows from (A_1) and (A_3) . Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.

Now we shall prove the main result of the section.

THEOREM 2.5. *If for every $i, 1 \leq i < n$, either*

(a) $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_t^i) = D_{i+1}$ *and the closure of $G_i|_{D_{i+1}}$ is G_i ,*

or

(b) $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(R_t^i) = D_i^*$ *and the closure of $G_i^*|_{D_i^*}$ is G_i^* ,*

then \mathcal{A} is reflexive.

Proof. Let $B = (B_{ij}) \in \text{Alg Lat } \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{H}_i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, we obtain that $B_{ij} = 0$ if $i > j$. For every $K \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{T}_i$ the subspace $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus K$ is contained in \mathcal{H}_i and belongs to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. Since all algebras \mathcal{T}_i are reflexive, we obtain that

$$(9) \quad B_{ii} \in \mathcal{T}_i.$$

Now let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_i x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_{F_i}^i$$

where $x \in D(F_i)$. Considering $M_{F_i}^i$ as a subspace in \mathcal{H} we obtain that $Bz \equiv z' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$ where

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix} \in H_i \oplus H_{i+1}, \quad x' = B_{i+1i+1}x$$

and $y' = B_{ii}y + B_{ii+1}x$.

Since $M_{F_i}^i \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i$ and since, by Theorem 2.4, $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, we have that $z' \in M_{F_i}^i$. Therefore

$$(10) \quad x' = B_{i+1i+1}x \in D(F_i),$$

$$y' = B_{ii}F_i x + B_{ii+1}x = F_i x' = F_i B_{i+1i+1}x.$$

Thus

$$(11) \quad B_{ii+1}|_{D(F_i)} = (F_i B_{i+1i+1} - B_{ii} F_i)|_{D(F_i)}.$$

Now let (a) hold for some i and let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} S_i^i x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_{S_i^i}^i \quad \text{where } x \in D(S_i^i).$$

Then repeating the argument above we obtain that

$$B_{i+1i+1}x \in D(S_i^i),$$

$$B_{ii} S_i^i x + B_{i+1i+1}x = S_i^i B_{i+1i+1}x.$$

If $x \in D_{i+1}$, then $x \in D(S_i^i)$ and, by (a),

$$B_{i+1i+1}x \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_i^i) = D_{i+1}.$$

Therefore

$$B_{ii}(F_i + tG_i)x + B_{i+1i+1}x = (F_i + tG_i)B_{i+1i+1}x.$$

From this and from (11) we immediately obtain that

$$(12) \quad B_{ii}G_i|_{D_{i+1}} = G_i B_{i+1i+1}|_{D_{i+1}}.$$

Let $x \in D(G_i)$. Since, by (a), the closure of $G_i|_{D_{i+1}}$ is G_i , there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_n \in D_{i+1}$, $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and $\{G_i x_n\}$ converges to $G_i x$. Then, by (12),

$$B_{ii}G_i x = \lim B_{ii}G_i x_n = \lim G_i B_{i+1i+1}x_n.$$

Since the sequence $\{B_{i+1i+1}x_n\}$ converges to $B_{i+1i+1}x$ and since G_i is closed, we obtain that

$$(13) \quad B_{i+1i+1}x \in D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{ii}G_i x = G_i B_{i+1i+1}x.$$

Now let (b) hold for some i and let

$$z = \begin{pmatrix} (R_i^i)^* x \\ x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } x \in D((R_i^i)^*).$$

Repeating the same argument as for F_i we obtain that

$$B_{i+1i+1}x \in D((R_i^i)^*),$$

$$B_{ii}(R_i^i)^* x + B_{i+1i+1}x = (R_i^i)^* B_{i+1i+1}x.$$

Therefore for every $y \in D_i^*$

$$\begin{aligned} (B_{ii}^* y, (R_i^i)^* x) &= (y, B_{ii}(R_i^i)^* x) \\ &= (y, [-B_{ii+1} + (R_i^i)^* B_{i+1i+1}]x) = ([-B_{ii+1}^* + B_{i+1i+1}^* R_i^i]y, x) \\ &= ([-B_{ii+1}^* + B_{i+1i+1}^* (F_i^* + \bar{t}G_i^*)]y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the same argument as in Lemma 2.2 we obtain from (11) that

$$B_{ii}^* D(F_i^*) \subseteq D(F_i^*)$$

and that

$$B_{ii+1}^* |_{D(F_i^*)} = (B_{i+1i+1}^* F_i^* - F_i^* B_{ii}^*) |_{D(F_i^*)}.$$

Taking this into account and since $D_i^* \subseteq D(F_i^*)$, we obtain

$$(B_{ii}^* y, (R_t^i)^* x) = ([F_i^* B_{ii}^* + \bar{t} B_{i+1i+1}^* G_i^*] y, x).$$

From this formula it follows that

$$B_{ii}^* y \in D(R_t^i) \quad \text{and} \quad R_t^i B_{ii}^* y = (F_i^* B_{ii}^* + \bar{t} B_{i+1i+1}^* G_i^*) y.$$

Therefore, by (b), for every $y \in D_i^*$

$$B_{ii}^* y \in \bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(R_t^i) = D_i^*$$

and

$$(F_i^* + \bar{t} G_i^*) B_{ii}^* y = (F_i^* B_{ii}^* + \bar{t} B_{i+1i+1}^* G_i^*) y.$$

Thus

$$G_i^* B_{ii}^* |_{D_i^*} = B_{i+1i+1}^* G_i^* |_{D_i^*}.$$

Let $y \in D_i^*$ and $z \in D(G_i)$. Then

$$(G_i^* y, B_{i+1i+1} z) = (B_{i+1i+1}^* G_i^* y, z) = (G_i^* B_{ii}^* y, z) = (y, B_{ii} G_i z).$$

Since, by (b), the closure of $G_i^* |_{D_i^*}$ is G_i^* , we obtain from this formula that

$$(13') \quad B_{i+1i+1} D(G_i) \subseteq D(G_i) \quad \text{and} \quad B_{ii} G_i |_{D(G_i)} = G_i B_{i+1i+1} |_{D(G_i)}.$$

Put $T_i = B_{ii}$. It follows from (9), (10), (11), (13) and (13') that conditions (A_1) , (A_2) and (A_3) hold for the sequence $T = \{T_i\}$ and that $B_{ii+1} = T_{F_i}$. Since B is bounded, T also satisfies condition (A_4) . Therefore the sequence $T = \{T_i\}$ belongs to \mathcal{U} and $B - A^T \in I(\mathcal{A})$. Thus $B \in \mathcal{A}$ which concludes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 2.6. *If for every i at least one of the operators F_i or G_i is bounded, then \mathcal{A} is reflexive.*

Proof. We obtain easily that $D_{i+1} = D(S_t^i)$ for every i and for $t \neq 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.5(a), \mathcal{A} is reflexive.

3. Structure of Lat \mathcal{A} . In Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 we obtained some information about the structure of Lat \mathcal{A} . But further investigation of its structure in the general case of arbitrary reflexive algebras $\{\mathcal{T}_i\}$ is very difficult. Therefore in this section we shall consider the simplest case when all $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$. In Lemma 3.1 we shall show that if all \mathcal{U}_i are weakly dense in $B(H_i)$, then the sufficient conditions of Lemma 2.3 for a subspace \mathcal{M} to belong to Lat \mathcal{A} are also necessary. Imposing some further restriction (R_3) on the operators $\{F_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$ we shall obtain the main result of the section (Theorem 3.5) which describes the structure of Lat \mathcal{A} .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let all $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$ and let all \mathcal{U}_i be weakly dense in $B(H_i)$. If $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, then \mathcal{M} is either \mathcal{H} or one of the subspaces \mathcal{H}_i for $0 \leq i < n$, or there exist an integer $1 \leq i < n$ and a closed operator S from H_{i+1} into H_i such that*

- (1) $D(S)$ is dense in H_{i+1} ;
 - (2) $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$;
 - (3) $T_{F_i}|_{D(S)} = (ST_{i+1} - T_i S)|_{D(S)}$ for every sequence $\{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$;
- and that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_S^i$.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathcal{M}$. If $z \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ but $z \notin \mathcal{H}_i$, then $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{M}$, since $I(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{A}$. Therefore if $n = \infty$ and if for every i there exists $z_i \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $z_i \in \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ but $z_i \notin \mathcal{H}_i$, then $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}$. Then there exists an integer i such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ but $\mathcal{M} \not\subseteq \mathcal{H}_i$. (If $n < \infty$, then it is obvious. If $n = \infty$, then it follows from the argument above.) Hence $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ and we get that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus M$, where M is a closed subspace in $H_i \oplus H_{i+1}$ which is considered as a subspace in \mathcal{H} .

Suppose that $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Let us show that $M \cap H_i = \{0\}$. Let $z \neq 0$ belong to $M \cap H_i$. Then for every $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ we have that

$$A^T z \equiv T_i z \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, we obtain that $T_i z \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $Tz \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_i$. Since \mathcal{U}_i is weakly dense in $B(H_i)$, the set $\{Tz: T \in \mathcal{U}_i\}$ is dense in H_i . Therefore, since \mathcal{M} is closed, we obtain that $H_i \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_{i-1} \oplus H_i$ is contained in \mathcal{M} . Since $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_i$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $x \in H_{i+1}$. Using that \mathcal{U}_{i+1} is weakly dense in $B(H_{i+1})$ and repeating the above argument we obtain that $H_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Hence $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$ which contradicts the assumption that $\mathcal{M} \neq \mathcal{H}_{i+1}$. Thus $M \cap H_i = \{0\}$.

Since M is closed, there exists a closed operator S from H_{i+1} into H_i such that

$$M = M_S^i = \left\{ z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} : x \in D(S) \subseteq H_{i+1} \text{ and } y = Sx \in H_i \right\}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_S^i$.

Now for every $T = \{T_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$ and for every $z = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ x \end{pmatrix} \in M_S^i$ we have that $A^T z \equiv z' \pmod{\mathcal{H}_{i-1}}$, where

$$z' = \begin{pmatrix} y' \\ x' \end{pmatrix}, \quad x' = T_{i+1}x \quad \text{and} \quad y' = T_i y + T_{F_i} x.$$

Since $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and since $\mathcal{H}_{i-1} \subset \mathcal{M}$, we have that $z' \in M_S^i$. Hence

$$(14) \quad T_{i+1}x \in D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad T_i Sx + T_{F_i} x = S T_{i+1}x$$

for every $x \in D(S)$. Thus conditions (2) and (3) of the lemma hold. From weak density of \mathcal{U}_{i+1} in $B(H_{i+1})$ and from (14) it follows that $D(S)$ is dense in H_{i+1} . Hence condition (1) holds and the lemma is proved.

From this lemma and from Lemma 2.3 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let all $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$ and let all \mathcal{U}_i be weakly dense in $B(H_i)$. Then $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ consists of \mathcal{H} , of all subspaces \mathcal{H}_i for $0 \leq i < n$, and of all subspaces \mathcal{M}_S^i for $1 \leq i < n$, where S are closed operators from H_{i+1} into H_i which satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.1.*

Now let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be sequences such that

$$(B_1) \quad y_i \in D_i \subseteq H_i, \quad (B_1^*) \quad x_i \in D_i^* \subseteq H_i,$$

$$(B_2) \quad y_i = G_i y_{i+1}, \quad (B_2^*) \quad x_{i+1} = G_i^* x_i,$$

$$(B_3) \quad \sup \|y_i\| < \infty, \quad \sup \|F_i y_{i+1}\| < \infty;$$

$$(B_3^*) \quad \sup \|x_i\| < \infty, \quad \sup \|F_i^* x_i\| < \infty.$$

By X we shall denote the set of sequences $\{x_i\}$ which satisfy conditions (B_1^*) – (B_3^*) , and by Y we shall denote the set of sequences $\{y_i\}$ which satisfy conditions (B_1) – (B_3) . It is obvious that X and Y are linear manifolds.

LEMMA 3.3. *Let all $\mathcal{T}_i = B(H_i)$. If $\{x_i\} \in X$ and $\{y_i\} \in Y$, then the sequence of operators $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}$ belongs to \mathcal{U} .*

Proof. Put $T_i = x_i \otimes y_i$. For every $x \in H_i$, by (B_1) , we have that

$$T_i x = (x, x_i) y_i \in D_i.$$

Hence condition (A₁) holds. By (B₂) and by (B₂^{*}), for every $x \in D(G_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} T_i G_i x &= (G_i x, x_i) y_i = (x, G_i^* x_i) G_i y_{i+1} \\ &= (x, x_{i+1}) G_i y_{i+1} = G_i T_{i+1} x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence condition (A₂) holds. Next, for every $x \in D(F_i)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (F_i T_{i+1} - T_i F_i) x &= (x, x_{i+1}) F_i y_{i+1} - (F_i x, x_i) y_i \\ &= (x, x_{i+1}) F_i y_{i+1} - (x, F_i^* x_i) y_i = T_{F_i} x, \end{aligned}$$

where the operator

$$(15) \quad T_{F_i} = x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1} - F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i$$

is bounded. Hence condition (A₃) holds. Finally, by (B₃), (B₃^{*}) and (15),

$$\sup \|T_i\| = \sup \|x_i \otimes y_i\| \leq \sup \|x_i\| \sup \|y_i\| < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \|T_{F_i}\| &= \sup \|x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1} - F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i\| \\ &\leq \sup \|x_{i+1}\| \sup \|F_i y_{i+1}\| + \sup \|y_i\| \sup \|F_i^* x_i\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus condition (A₄) holds and therefore the sequence $\{x_i \otimes y_i\}$ belongs to \mathcal{U} . The lemma is proved.

DEFINITION. For every k let $Y_k(X_k)$ be the set of elements in $D_k(D_k^*)$ such that $y \in Y_k(x \in X_k)$ if there exists a sequence $\{y_i\} \in Y$ ($\{x_i\} \in X$) for which $y = y_k$ ($x = x_k$).

Since X and Y are linear manifolds, X_k and Y_k are also linear manifolds.

LEMMA 3.4. (i) If $\{x_i\} \in X$ and $\{y_i\} \in Y$ and if $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$, then $\{T_i^* x_i\} \in X$ and $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$.

(ii) If all \mathcal{U}_i are weakly dense in $B(H_i)$ and if $X \neq \{0\}$ and $Y \neq \{0\}$, then all X_i and Y_i are dense in H_i .

Proof. Let us prove that $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$. Since $y_i \in D_i$, we have, by (A₁), that $T_i y_i \in D_i$. Hence (B₁) holds. By (A₂) and by (B₂),

$$G_i(T_{i+1} y_{i+1}) = T_i(G_i y_{i+1}) = T_i y_i.$$

Thus (B₂) holds for $\{T_i y_i\}$. By (A₃), by (A₄) and by (B₃),

$$\sup \|T_i y_i\| \leq \sup \|T_i\| \sup \|y_i\| < \infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\|F_i T_{i+1} y_{i+1}\| &= \sup\|(T_i F_i + T_{F_i}) y_{i+1}\| \\ &\leq \sup\|T_i\| \sup\|F_i y_{i+1}\| + \sup\|T_{F_i}\| \sup\|y_{i+1}\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (B_3) holds for $\{T_i y_i\}$. Thus the sequence $\{T_i y_i\}$ satisfies conditions (B_1) – (B_3) and therefore $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$. In the same way, using conditions (A_1^*) – (A_3^*) and (B_1^*) – (B_3^*) , we obtain that $\{T_i x_i\} \in X$, and (i) is proved.

Now suppose that $Y \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists a sequence $\{y_i\} \in Y$ and the smallest k such that $y_k \neq 0$. It follows from (B_2) that $y_i \neq 0$ for $i \geq k$. By (i), $\{T_i y_i\} \in Y$ for every $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U}_i are weakly dense in $B(H_i)$ and since $y_i \neq 0$ for $i \geq k$, the linear manifolds Y_i are dense in H_i for $i \geq k$. Suppose that $1 < k$. Then $y_{k-1} = G_{k-1} y_k = 0$. Hence, by (A_2) ,

$$G_{k-1} T_k y_k = T_{k-1} G_{k-1} y_k = 0,$$

and therefore $T_k y_k \in \text{Ker } G_{k-1}$ for every $\{T_i\} \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U}_k is weakly dense in $B(H_k)$, $\text{Ker } G_{k-1}$ is dense in $B(H_k)$. Hence $G_{k-1} = 0$ which contradicts (R_2) . Therefore $y_{k-1} \neq 0$ which contradicts the assumption that $1 < k$ is the smallest number such that $y_k \neq 0$. Hence $k = 1$ and all Y_i are dense in H_i . In the same we obtain that if $X \neq \{0\}$, then all X_i are dense in H_i , and the lemma is proved.

Let us impose further restrictions on the operators $\{F_i\}$ and $\{G_i\}$.

(R_3) Let all X_i and Y_i are dense in H_i .

Since the operators S_i^t are closed, the operators $S_i^t|_{Y_{i+1}}$ are closable.

DEFINITION. By Q_i^t we shall denote the closed operator $(R_i^t|_{X_i})^*$ and by P_i^t we shall denote the closure of $S_i^t|_{Y_{i+1}}$.

Then $P_i^t \subseteq S_i^t$ and, since $R_i^t|_{X_i} \subseteq R_i^t$, we have that $(R_i^t)^* \subseteq Q_i^t$. Taking (7) into account we obtain that

$$(16) \quad P_i^t \subseteq S_i^t \subseteq (R_i^t)^* \subseteq Q_i^t.$$

THEOREM 3.5. *Let (R_3) hold. Then Lat \mathcal{A} consists of \mathcal{H} , of all subspaces \mathcal{H}_i^t for $0 \leq i < n$, and of all subspaces \mathcal{M}_S^i for $1 \leq i < n$, where S can be P_i^t , S_i^t , F_i , $(R_i^t)^*$, Q_i^t or any closed operator from H_{i+1} into H_i such that*

- (1) $P_i^t \subseteq S \subseteq Q_i^t$ for some t ;
- (2) $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{U}_{i+1}$.

Proof. It was already proved in Theorem 2.4 that subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{S_i^i}^i$, $\mathcal{M}_{(R_i^i)^*}^i$ and $\mathcal{M}_{F_i}^i$ belong to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. Repeating the same argument and using Lemma 2.3 we obtain that the subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{P_i^i}^i$ and $\mathcal{M}_{Q_i^i}^i$ also belong to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. Now let S be a closed operator which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. Since $Y_{i+1} \subseteq D(P_i^i) \subseteq D(S)$, condition (1) of Lemma 3.1 holds. Condition (2) of Lemma 3.1 follows from condition (2) of the theorem. Since $\mathcal{M}_{Q_i^i}^i$ belongs to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$, Q_i^i satisfies condition (3) of Lemma 3.1. Therefore taking into account that $S = Q_i^i|_{D(S)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (T_i S + T_{F_i})|_{D(S)} &= (T_i Q_i^i + T_{F_i})|_{D(S)} \\ &= Q_i^i T_{i+1}|_{D(S)} = S T_{i+1}|_{D(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that condition (3) of Lemma 3.1 holds. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_S^i \in \text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Now let S be a closed operator from H_{i+1} into H_i which satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 and let us prove that it satisfies the conditions of this theorem. It obviously satisfies condition (2) of the theorem.

Let $\{x_k\} \in X$ and $\{y_k\} \in Y$. Then, by Lemma 3.3, the operator $x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1}$ belongs to \mathcal{U}_{i+1} . It follows from condition (2) of Lemma 3.1 that for every $z \in D(S)$

$$(x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1})z = (z, x_{i+1})y_{i+1} \in D(S).$$

Since, by condition (1) of Lemma 3.1, $D(S)$ is dense in H_{i+1} , we get that $Y_{i+1} \subseteq D(S)$. It follows from condition (3) of Lemma 3.1 and from (15) that for every $z \in D(S)$

$$(x_i \otimes y_i)Sz + (x_{i+1} \otimes F_i y_{i+1})z - (F_i^* x_i \otimes y_i)z = S(x_{i+1} \otimes y_{i+1})z.$$

Hence

$$(17) \quad (Sz, x_i)y_i + (z, x_{i+1})F_i y_{i+1} - (z, F_i^* x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})S y_{i+1}$$

Let $z \in Y_{i+1}$. Then $(z, F_i^* x_i) = (F_i z, x_i)$. Put $V = S - F_i$. We obtain from (17) that

$$(18) \quad (Vz, x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})V y_{i+1}$$

By (B_2) , $y_i = G_i y_{i+1}$. Since X_{i+1} is dense in H_{i+1} , we can choose x_{i+1} such that $(z, x_{i+1}) \neq 0$. Then it follows from (18) that for every $y \in Y_{i+1}$

$$Vy = tG_i y,$$

where $t = (Vz, x_i)/(z, x_{i+1})$. Therefore we obtain that

$$(19) \quad S|_{Y_{i+1}} = (F_i + tG_i)|_{Y_{i+1}} = S_i^i|_{Y_{i+1}}.$$

Thus $P_i^i \subseteq S$. Using (19) we obtain from (17) that for every $z \in D(S)$

$$(Sz, x_i)y_i - (z, F_i^* x_i)y_i = (z, x_{i+1})tG_i y_{i+1}.$$

By (B_2) , $y_i = G_i y_{i+1}$ and, by (B_2^*) , $x_{i+1} = G_i^* x_i$. Hence

$$(Sz, x_i) - (z, F_i^* x_i) = t(z, G_i^* x_i).$$

Therefore $(Sz, x_i) = (z, R_i^* x_i)$ which means that

$$S \subseteq (R_i^* |_{X_i})^* = Q_i^i.$$

Thus $P_i^i \subseteq S \subseteq Q_i^i$ and S satisfies condition (1) of this theorem which completes the proof.

Now suppose that $n < \infty$, that all $H_i = H$, that all $G_i = I$ and that all $\mathcal{F}_i = B(H)$. Then

$$D_{i+1} = D(F_i), \quad D_i^* = D(F_i^*),$$

all $Y_i = D = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} D_{i+1}$ and all $X_i = D^* = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i^*$. If D and D^* are dense in H , then \mathcal{U} consists of all sequences $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $T_1 = \dots = T_n = T$, where T belongs to

$$\mathbf{A} = \{T \in B(H): (a) TD_i \subseteq D_i;$$

(b) the operators $(F_i T - T F_i) |_{D_{i+1}}$ extend to bounded operators $T_{F_i}\}$.

From Corollary 2.6 it follows that \mathcal{A} is reflexive. We also have that the operators P_i^i are the closures of the operators $(F_i + tI)_D = F_i |_D + tI$, that $S_i^i = F_i + tI$, that $R_i^i = F_i^* + \bar{t}I$ and that

$$Q_i^i = ((F_i^* + \bar{t}I) |_{D^*})^* = (F_i^* |_{D^*})^* + tI.$$

Therefore $(R_i^i)^* = S_i^i$, $S_0^i = F_i$ and it follows from Theorem 3.5 that Lat \mathcal{A} consists of \mathcal{H}_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$, and of all subspaces \mathcal{M}_S^i for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where S can be P_i^i , S_i^i , Q_i^i or any closed operator such that

- (1) $P_i^i \subset S \subset Q_i^i$ for some t ;
- (2) $TD(S) \subseteq D(S)$ for every $T \in \mathbf{A}$.

If the operators $\{F_i\}$ are such that for every i the closure of $F_i |_D$ is F_i and the closure of $F_i^* |_{D^*}$ is F_i^* , then

$$P_i^i = F_i + tI = S_i^i$$

and

$$Q_i^i = (F_i^* |_{D^*})^* + tI = (F_i^*)^* + tI = F_i + tI = S_i^i.$$

Therefore we obtain the following theorem which was proved in [3] (Theorem 4.4(ii)) (the theorem was erroneously stated without condition (b)).

THEOREM 3.6. *If (a) D and D^* are dense in H ; (b) for every i the closure of $F_i|_D$ is F_i and the closure of $F_i^*|_{D^*}$ is F_i^* , then $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ consists of \mathcal{H}_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$, and of all subspaces $\mathcal{M}_{S^i}^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and for $t \in \mathbb{C}$.*

If the conditions of Theorem 3.6 do not hold, then the structure of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is more complicated, and even in comparatively simple cases it is difficult to describe it fully.

EXAMPLE. Let $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_{n-1}$. Then $D = D(F_1)$ and $D^* = D(F_{n-1}^*)$. Hence all $P_i^i = F_1 + tI$ and all

$$Q_i^i = (F_i^*|_{D^*})^* + tI = (F_{n-1}^*)^* + tI = F_{n-1} + tI.$$

Then for every $1 < k < n - 1$ and for every $t \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that

$$F_1 + tI \subset F_k + tI \subset F_{n-1} + tI.$$

By property (a) of **A**, $TD(F_k) \subseteq D(F_k)$ for every $T \in \mathbf{A}$. Therefore $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ contains all subspaces \mathcal{H}_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$, and all subspaces \mathcal{M}_S^i for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, where S can be any of the operators $F_k + tI$ for $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ and for $t \in \mathbb{C}$. The following question arises: do other operators R exist, apart from F_k , $k = 2, \dots, n - 2$, such that

- (1) $F_1 \subset R \subset F_{n-1}$;
- (2) $TD(R) \subseteq D(R)$ for every $T \in \mathbf{A}$.

If such operators do not exist, then we have a full description of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$. If they do exist, then each of them generates a set of subspaces \mathcal{M}_{R+tI}^i for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ and for $t \in \mathbb{C}$, which belong to $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$.

Finally, we shall briefly consider two examples of algebras \mathcal{A} for $n = 2$ and provide full descriptions of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ and of $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$. The case when the operator G is the identity was investigated in [3]. In Theorem 4.3 it was shown that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{A}$. In Example 2 a closed operator F was considered such that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$, where N and B do not belong to \mathcal{A} , so that $\dim(\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}) = 2$. It was also proved that $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\} + \{N\}$ so that B generates a non-inner derivation on \mathcal{A} . Now we shall consider an example of a reflexive algebra \mathcal{A} constructed from two closed operators F and G such that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$. But for this algebra $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\}$, so that all operators from $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A}$ which do not belong to \mathcal{A} generate non-inner derivations on \mathcal{A} .

EXAMPLE 1. Let $H_1 = H_2 = H = K \oplus K$, where K is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{H} = H \oplus H$. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be an orthogonal basis in K and let W be an unbounded operator on K such that

$$We_n = ne_n.$$

For a complex a set

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} aW^2 & W^2 \\ 0 & aW \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} W^2 & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D(F) = D(W^2) \oplus D(W^2), \quad D(G) = D(W^2) \oplus D(W),$$

$$D_2 = D(F), \quad D_1^* = D(G).$$

Therefore restrictions (R_1) , (R_2) and (R_3) on operators F and G hold. Obviously G is the closure of $G|_{D_2}$ and F is the closure of $F|_{D_2}$. Also

$$P_t = S_t = F + tG = \begin{pmatrix} (a+t)W^2 & W^2 \\ 0 & (a+t)W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \neq -a$$

and

$$S_{-a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = P_{-a}.$$

We also have that $D(S_t) = D_2$, if $t \neq -a$ and $D(S_{-a}) = K \oplus D(W^2)$. So $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{C}} D(S_t) = D_2$ and, by Theorem 2.5, \mathcal{A} is reflexive.

We have that

$$R_t = F^* + tG^* = \begin{pmatrix} (\bar{a} + \bar{t})W^2 & 0 \\ W^2 & (\bar{a} + \bar{t})W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } t \neq -a$$

and

$$R_{-a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $S_t = R_t^* = Q_t$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.5, Lat \mathcal{A} consists of \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H} and of all M_S , for $t \in \mathbb{C}$.

Set

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ W^{-2} & 0 & 0 & -2I \\ 0 & W^{-1} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $B, N \in B(\mathcal{A})$ and it is easy to check that $[N, B] = NB - BN = N$. It can be proven that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \{N\} + \{B\}$ and that $\mathcal{A}' = \{I\}$, so that all linear combinations of the operators N and B generate non-inner derivations on \mathcal{A} . One can also show that $\mathcal{A} \in R_1$.

In the following example we shall consider a reflexive algebra \mathcal{A} constructed from two closed operators F and G such that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, although the structure of $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ is the same as in Example 1.

EXAMPLE 2. Let \mathcal{H} and W be the same as in Example 1. Set

$$F = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & W^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$D(F) = D(W) \oplus D(W), \quad D(G) = D(W) \oplus K, \\ D_2 = D(F) \quad \text{and} \quad D_1^* = D_2.$$

The operators F and G satisfy restrictions (R_1) , (R_2) and (R_3) . Repeating the same argument as in Example 1 we obtain that \mathcal{A} is reflexive, that $\text{Lat } \mathcal{A}$ consists of \mathcal{H}_0 , \mathcal{H}_1 , \mathcal{H} and of all M_S , for $t \in \mathbb{C}$, and that G is the closure of $G|_{D_2}$ and F is the closure of $F|_{D_2}$. It can be proven that $\text{Ad } \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$, so that all derivations on \mathcal{A} implemented by bounded operators are inner.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Christensen, *Derivations of nest algebras*, Math. Ann., **229** (1977), 155–166.
- [2] F. Gilfeather, A. Hopenwasser and D. R. Larson, *Reflexive algebras with finite width lattices: tensor products, cohomology, compact perturbations*, J. Funct. Anal., **55** (1984), 176–199.
- [3] E. V. Kissin, *On some reflexive algebras of operators and the operator Lie algebras of their derivations*, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3), **49** (1984), 1–35.
- [4] ———, *Totally symmetric algebras and the similarity problem*, (1985), preprint.
- [5] B. H. Wagner, *Derivations of quasitriangular algebras*, preprint.

Received March 21, 1984 and in revised form February 12, 1986.

THE POLYTECHNIC OF NORTH LONDON
HOLLOWAY, LONDON N7 8DB, ENGLAND

