## POINCARE-SOBOLEV AND RELATED INEQUALITIES FOR SUBMANIFOLDS OF $\mathbf{R}^N$

## JOHN HUTCHINSON

We prove Poincaré-Sobolev and related inequalities for rectifiable varifolds in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In particular, all our results apply to properly immersed submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Suppose  $M\subset B_R=B_R(0)\subset \mathbf{R}^N=\mathbf{R}^{n+k}$  for some R>0, and  $V=v(M\,,\,\theta)$  is a countably n-rectifiable varifold in  $B_R$  with generalised mean curvature vector H.  $\mu$  is the weight measure defined by  $\mu=\theta H^n|M$ .  $h\colon M\to R$  is a Lipschitz function.

In Theorem 1 we prove a Poincaré-Sobolev result for non-negative h in case  $\mu\{\xi\colon h(\xi)>0\}<\omega_nR^n$  and  $h\in W^{1,p}(\mu)$  for some p< n. This generalises a Poincaré result of Leon Simon; but in addition the relevant constant here does not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ . Theorem 2 is an Orlicz space result in case p=n.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use a covering argument to obtain weak  $L^p$  type estimates on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > s\}$ .

Theorems 3 and 4 are generalisations of Theorems 1 and 2 in case there is no restriction on  $\mu\{\xi\colon h(\xi)\neq 0\}$  (again the constants in the estimates do not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ ). The conclusion of Theorem 4 is analogous to the conclusion of the John-Nirenberg theorem for functions of bounded mean oscillation.

We prove Poincaré-Sobolev and related inequalities for rectifiable varifolds in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . In particular, all our results apply to properly immersed submanifolds of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ .

Theorem 1 is a refinement of a result due to Leon Simon. In [Sc; p. 70] and [S; Theorem 18.4, p. 91] one has a similar Poincaré inequality in case p = 1 and |H| is bounded, but with a constant c depending on  $\mathbf{M}(V|B_R)$ . In Theorem 1, c depends only on p and the dimension of V. This is important in case we have no a priori density bound for V at 0 (as in [H], which provided the motivation for the present paper).

We also remark that the Poincaré result in Theorem 1 for p > 1 does not seem to follow directly from the case p = 1—the usual trick of replacing h by  $h^r$  does not work since the integrals in the inequality occur over balls of different radius. Nonetheless, one can use the Sobolev inequality for functions with compact support and

a cut-off function argument to "bootstrap" up from the p=1 case. However, the proof in Theorem 1 gives the Poincaré result directly for all p and with the constant dependence as noted above. The Sobolev result then follows immediately (as pointed out by Leon Simon) by a simple cut-off function argument from the result in the compact support case (this latter was first established in [A; Theorem 7.3] and [MS]).

In Theorem 2 we prove an Orlicz space result in case  $h \in W^{1,n}(\mu)$ , where n is the dimension of V and  $\mu$  is the measure in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  induced by V.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use a covering argument to obtain weak  $L^p$  type estimates on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > s\}$ , and were motivated in part by the proof of the Sobolev inequality for functions with compact support in [S; Theorem 18.6, p. 93].

Theorems 3 and 4 are generalisations of Theorems 1 and 2 in case there is no restriction on  $\mu\{\xi\colon h(\xi)\neq 0\}$  (again the constants in the estimates do not depend on  $\mathbf{M}(V\lfloor B_R))$ ). They follow directly from Theorems 1 and 2, as was also realised by Leon Simon in the context of his Poincaré inequality discussed previously [private communication]. The conclusion of Theorem 4 is analogous to the conclusion of the John-Nirenberg theorem for functions of bounded mean oscillation.

I would like to thank Gerhard Huisken, Neil Trudinger, Bill Ziemer, and particularly Leon Simon, for helpful comments and discussions.

NOTATION. Throughout this paper we use the notations and conventions of [S].

In each of the following theorems we take the following hypotheses:

**(H):**  $M \subset B_R = B_R(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^N = \mathbf{R}^{n+k}$  for some R > 0, and  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, \theta)$  is a countably n-rectifiable varifold in  $B_R$  with generalised mean curvature vector H.  $\mu$  is the weight measure defined by  $\mu = \theta H^n \lfloor M \cdot h : M \to \mathbf{R}$  is a Lipschitz function.

Convention. All integrals are taken with respect to  $\mu$ , unless otherwise clear from context.

THEOREM 1. Suppose (H). Suppose also that  $h(\xi) \ge 0$  for all  $\xi \in M$  and that  $\mu(\xi) \ge 0 \le \omega_n R^n (1 - \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Then there are constants c = c(n, p) and  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\left[\int_{B_{\beta R}} h^{np/(n-p)}\right]^{(n-p)/np} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[\int_{B_R} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

whenever  $1 \le p < n$ .

REMARKS. (1) The hypothesis  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > 0\} \le \omega_n R^n(1-\alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  is clearly necessary, as one sees by letting  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, 1)$  where M consists of two n-dimensional affine spaces passing through the origin, and setting h = 1, 2 respectively on the two spaces.

The necessity of taking the left integral in the theorem over  $B_{\beta R}$ , rather than over  $B_R$ , is clear if one considers a modification of the above example in which one of the affine spaces is displaced slightly from the origin.

(2) From Hölder's inequality one obtains under the same assumptions that

$$\left[ \int_{B_{RR}} h^q \right]^{1/q} \le c R^{1 + n/q - n/p} \left[ \int_{B_R} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right]^{1/p}$$

in case  $1 \le p < n$  and  $1 \le q \le np/(n-p)$ , or in case  $p \ge n$  and  $1 \le q < \infty$ . In the first case c = c(n, p) and in the second case c = c(n, q).

*Proof of Theorem.* Our main goal is to prove the estimate (11). Without loss of generality assume R = 1.

Fix s > 0 and define

(1) 
$$f(\xi) = \min\{h(\xi), s\}.$$

In the following suppose

(2) 
$$0 < \beta < 1/2$$
.

We will later further restrict  $\beta$ .

Applying the monotonicity formula to  $f^p$  , we have for each  $\xi \in B_{\beta}$  that

$$(3) \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ \rho^{-n} \int_{B_{a}(\xi)} f^{p} \right] \geq -\rho^{-n} \int_{B_{a}(\xi)} [f^{p}|H| + |\nabla^{M} f^{p}|],$$

(in the distributional sense in r) provided  $0 < \rho < 1 - \beta$ . (See [S;

18.1, p. 89], where this result is stated for  $C^1$  functions. The extension to the Lipschitz case follows by first extending f to a Lipschitz function  $\underline{f}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ , then mollifying in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ , recalling that up to a set of  $H^n$  measure zero M is a disjoint union of sets  $M_i$ , each of which is a subset of a  $C^1$  manifold  $N_i$ , and finally showing that for each i the *integrals* on each side of (3) (over  $M_i \cap B_\rho(\xi)$  instead of  $M \cap B_\rho(\xi)$ ) are the limit of corresponding integrals with f replaced by the mollified function  $\underline{f}_{\varepsilon}$ . This last step makes essential use of the fact that  $\nabla^M$  is a tangential derivative.)

For  $\mu$  a.e.  $\xi$  with  $|\xi| < \beta$  and  $h(\xi) \ge s$ , we see from (2) that

$$(4) s^{p} = f^{p}(\xi) \leq \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_{n}^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^{p}$$

$$\leq \omega_{n}^{-1} (1 - \beta)^{-n} \int_{B_{1-\beta}(\xi)} f^{p}$$

$$+ c \int_{0}^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} [f^{p}|H| + |\nabla^{M} f^{p}|]$$

$$\leq \omega_{n}^{-1} (1 - \beta)^{-n} \omega_{n} (1 - \alpha) s^{p}$$

$$+ c \int_{0}^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} [f^{p}|H| + |\nabla^{M} f^{p}|]$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha/2) s^{p} + c \int_{0}^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} [f^{p}|H| + |\nabla^{M} f^{p}|] ,$$

for suitable  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha)$ , which we now fix. It follows

$$\begin{split} \sup_{0<\sigma<1-\beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^p \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|] \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^{p-1} [f |H| + |\nabla^M f|] \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[ \sup_{0<\sigma<1-\beta} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^p \right]^{1-1/p} \\ & \times \int_0^{1-\beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p} \,. \end{split}$$

Thus for any  $0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta$ ,

$$(5) \quad \left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_{n}^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1 - \beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} f|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\rho_{0}} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} f|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ + \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_{\rho_{0}}^{1 - \beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} f|^{p} \right]^{1/p} \\ \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\rho_{0}} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} f|^{p} \right]^{1/p} + \frac{c_{1} \Gamma}{\alpha} \rho_{0}^{1 - n/p} ,$$

where we set

(6) 
$$\Gamma = \left[ \int_{B_1(0)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

Now choose  $s_0$  so that

(7) 
$$\frac{c_1\Gamma}{\alpha} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{1-n/p} = \frac{1}{2}s_0.$$

For each  $s \ge s_0$  choose  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(s)$  such that

(8) 
$$\frac{c_1\Gamma}{\alpha}(\rho_0^{1-n/p}) = \frac{1}{2}s,$$

i.e.

(9) 
$$\rho_0 = c_2 \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^{p/(n-p)}.$$

Note that

$$\rho_0 \le \frac{1}{10}.$$

From (5), (8), (10), (2), (4) we have for  $s \ge s_0$  and  $\rho_0$  as in (9), that

$$\begin{split} &\left[\sup_{0<\sigma<1-\beta}\omega_n^{-1}\sigma^{-n}\int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)}f^p\right]^{1/p} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha}\int_0^{\rho_0}\left[\tau^{-n}\int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)}f^p|H|^p+|\nabla^M f|^p\right]^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\left[\sup_{0<\sigma<(1-\beta)/5}\sigma^{-n}\int_{B_{5\sigma}(\xi)}f^p\right]^{1/p}\leq \frac{c}{\alpha}\rho_0\left[\tau^{-n}\int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)}f^p|H|^p+\nabla^Mf|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

for some  $0 < \tau = \tau(\xi) < \rho_0$ .

Since  $\rho_0 \le 1/10 < (1-\beta)/5$  from (10) and (2), it follows from (9) that for this particular  $\tau = \tau(\xi) < \rho_0$  we have

$$\int_{B_{\varsigma *}(\xi)} f^p \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \rho_0^p \int_{B_{\varsigma *}(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \,,$$

where  $\rho_0$  is as in (9).

Since this is true for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\xi \in B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\}$ , it follows from (10), (2) and a standard covering argument (see [S: Theorem 3.3, p. 11]) that

$$\int_{B_s\cap\{h\geq s\}} f^p \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \rho_0^p \int_{B_1} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p\,,$$

and so for any  $s \ge s_0$  we have (using (9)) that

(11) 
$$\mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\}) \le c \left(\frac{\Gamma \rho_0}{\alpha s}\right)^p \le c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^{np/(n-p)}.$$

(Since  $\mu(B_{\rho} \cap \{h > 0\}) < \omega_n$ , this last inequality is true for all s > 0.) It follows from (11) and the fact  $\mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq 0\}) \leq \omega_n$  that

$$(12) \int_{B_{\beta}} h^{p} = p \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\})$$

$$= p \int_{0}^{\Gamma/\alpha} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\})$$

$$+ p \int_{\Gamma/\alpha}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\})$$

$$\leq c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha}\right)^{p} + c \int_{\Gamma/\alpha}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^{np/(n-p)}$$

$$\leq c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha}\right)^{p} + c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha}\right)^{p} \int_{1}^{\infty} t^{p-1} t^{-np/(n-p)} dt \le c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha}\right)^{p}.$$

(*Remarks*. One can similarly estimate the integral of  $h^q$  for any  $1 \le q < np/(n-p)$ .)

Finally suppose  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B_1)$ ,  $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B_{\beta/2}$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $B_1 \sim B_\beta$ , and  $|D\varphi| \le c/\beta$ . From the appropriate Sobolev inequality for functions with compact support (for example,

see [S; Theorem 18.6, p. 93], replace h there with  $h^r$  where r = p(n-1)/(n-p), and use Hölder's inequality) it follows

$$\begin{split} \left[ \int_{B_1} (\varphi h)^{np/(n-p)} \right]^{(n-p)/n} & \leq c \int_{B_1} \varphi^p h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M (\varphi h)|^p \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \left[ \int_{B_1} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right], \end{split}$$

using (12). Hence

$$\left[\int_{B_{\theta/2}} h^{np/(n-p)}\right]^{(n-p)/np} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[\int_{B_1} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

This establishes the theorem.

THEOREM 2. Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 1, there exist  $\beta = \beta(n) > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n) > 0$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(n)$ , such that

$$\int_{B_{\alpha n}} \left(\frac{\alpha h}{\Gamma}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha h}{\Gamma}\right) \leq \gamma_2 R^n,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \left[ \int_{B_R} h^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M h|^n \right]^{1/n}.$$

*Proof.* Choosing R = 1 and arguing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1, with p = n, we obtain instead of (5) that

$$(5)' \qquad \left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^n \right]^{1/n}$$

$$\leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{\rho_0} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M f|^n \right]^{1/n}$$

$$+ \frac{\overline{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log(\rho_0^{-1}).$$

Choose  $s_0$  so that

$$\frac{\overline{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log \left( \frac{1}{10} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} s_0.$$

For each  $s \ge s_0$  choose  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(s)$  such that

$$\frac{\overline{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log \rho_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} s,$$

i.e.

$$\rho_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{\overline{c}_2 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right).$$

Arguing again exactly as before, we obtain for any  $s \ge s_0$  that

$$(11)' \qquad \mu(B_{\rho} \cap \{h \ge s\}) \le c \left(\frac{\Gamma \rho_0}{\alpha s}\right)^n \le c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{c_3 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right).$$

(This is then true for any s > 0 since  $\mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge 0\}) < \omega_n$ .)

By Fubini's theorem we see that if  $\varphi(s)$  is a  $C^1$  increasing function of s for  $s \ge 0$ , and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , then (since  $h \ge 0$  on  $B_\beta \cap M$ )

$$\int_{B_{\beta}} \varphi(u) = \int_0^{\infty} \varphi'(s) \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \ge s\}) \, ds.$$

If we let

$$\varphi(s) = \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)$$
,

where  $\gamma_1$  is yet to be chosen, it follows from (11)' and the fact  $\mu(B_\beta \cap \{h \ge s\}) < \omega_n$  that

$$\begin{split} &\int_{B_{\beta}} \left(\frac{\alpha h}{\Gamma}\right)^{n} \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_{1} \alpha h}{\Gamma}\right) \\ &\leq \omega_{n} \int_{0}^{\Gamma/\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n-1} + \gamma_{1} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n}\right] \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_{1} \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \\ &+ c \int_{T/\alpha}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n-1} + \gamma_{1} \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n}\right] \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_{1} \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^{n} \exp\left(-\frac{c_{3} \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \\ &\leq \gamma_{2}, \quad \text{say}, \end{split}$$

where we choose  $\gamma_1 = c_3/2$ .

THEOREM 3. Suppose (H). Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and choose N such that  $\mu(M) \leq N\omega_n(1-\alpha)$ .

Choose any  $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_M$  such that

$$\mu\{h < \lambda_1\} \le \omega_n - \alpha,$$

$$\mu\{\lambda_i < h < \lambda_{i+1}\} \le \omega_n - \alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$\mu\{\lambda_M < h\} \le \omega_n - \alpha.$$

This is clearly possible for some  $M \leq N-1$ .

Then if  $1 \le p < n$  and  $p \le q \le np/(n-p)$ , there exist constants c = c(n, p) and  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha)$  such that

$$\begin{split} \left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} \left( \inf_{i} |h - \lambda_{i}| \right)^{q} \right]^{1/q} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} R^{1 + n/q - n/p} \left[ \int_{B_{R}} \left[ \left( \inf_{i} |h - \lambda_{i}| \right)^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} h|^{p} \right] \right]^{1/p}. \end{split}$$

The same result holds if  $p \ge n$  and  $p \le q < \infty$ , but with c = c(n, q).

REMARK. The necessity of allowing distinct values for the  $\lambda_i$  is clear if one considers examples where  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, 1)$ , M consists of distinct affine spaces, and h takes a distinct constant value on each affine space.

Proof of Theorem. Let

$$I_0 = (-\infty, \lambda_1],$$
  
 $I_1 = [\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}]$   $i = 1, \dots, M-1,$   
 $I_M = [\lambda_M, \infty).$ 

Define

$$h_j(\xi) = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} \inf_i |h(\xi) - \lambda_i| \,, & h(\xi) \in I_j \,, \\ 0 \,, & h(\xi) \notin I_j. \end{array} \right.$$

Let

$$\underline{h}(\xi) = \inf_{i} |h(\xi) - \lambda_{i}| = \sum_{j} h_{j}(\xi).$$

Then for each  $\xi \in M$  there exists at most one j such that  $h_j(\xi) \neq 0$ . Moreover, each  $h_j(\xi)$  is Lipschitz. Finally, for  $H^n$  a.e.  $\xi \in M \cap \{h \in I_j\}$  we have  $\nabla^M h_j(\xi) = \nabla^M h(\xi)$ , and so  $\nabla^M \underline{h}(\xi) = \nabla^M h(\xi)$  for  $H^n$  a.e.  $\xi \in M$ .

Taking  $\beta$  as in Theorem 1, it follows that

$$\left[\int_{B_{\beta R}} \underline{h}^q\right]^{p/q} = \left[\int_{B_{\beta R}} \left(\sum_j h_j^p\right)^{q/p}\right]^{p/q} \leq \sum_j \left[\int_{B_{\beta R}} (h_j^p)^{q/p}\right]^{p/q}$$

(by Minkowski's inequality, using  $q \ge p$ )

$$\leq \sum_{j} \frac{c}{\alpha^{p}} R^{p + (np/q) - n} \left[ \int_{B_{R}} h_{j}^{p} |H|^{p} + |\nabla^{M} h_{j}|^{p} \right]$$

(by Theorem 1 and the remark following it)

$$=\frac{c}{\alpha^p}R^{p+(np/q)-n}\left[\int_{B_R}\underline{h}^p|H|^p+|\nabla^M h|^p\right].$$

REMARK. The restriction  $q \ge p$  is required in order that the constant c not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ .

THEOREM 4. Suppose the same hypotheses hold as in the previous theorem.

Then there exist  $\beta = \beta(n) > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n) > 0$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(n)$ , such that

$$\int_{B_{an}} \left(\frac{\alpha \underline{h}}{\underline{\Gamma}}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha \underline{h}}{\underline{\Gamma}}\right) d\mu \leq \gamma_2 R^n,$$

where

$$\begin{split} \underline{h}(\xi) &= \inf_{i} |h(\xi) - \lambda_{i}|, \\ \underline{\Gamma} &= \left[ \int_{B_{R}} \underline{h}^{n} |H|^{n} + |\nabla^{M} h|^{n} \right]^{1/n}. \end{split}$$

*Proof.* Define  $\lambda_i$  and  $h_j$  as in the proof of the previous theorem. Then

$$\int_{B_{g_B}} (\alpha h_j)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha h_j}{\Gamma_j}\right) \le \gamma_2 \Gamma_j^n,$$

where  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are as in Theorem 2, and where

$$\Gamma_j = \left[ \int_{B_R} h_j^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M h_j|^n \right]^{1/n}.$$

Replacing  $\Gamma_j$  by  $\underline{\Gamma}$  on the left side (as  $\Gamma_j \leq \underline{\Gamma}$ ), and then summing the inequality over j, we obtain the required result.

## REFERENCES

- [A] W. K. Allard, On the first variation of a varifold, Annals of Math., 95 (1972), 417-492.
- [H] J. E. Hutchinson, Some regularity theory for curvature varifolds, Proc. of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, 12 (1987), 60-66.
- [MS] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Comm. Pure and Appl. Math., 26 (1973), 361–379.
- [S] L. M. Simon, Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory, Proc. of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, 3 (1983).
- [Sc] R. M. Schoen, Existence and regularity theorems for some geometric variational problems, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford, 1977.

Received October 10, 1988.

Australian National University GPO Box 4 Canberra ACT 2601 Australia