# STRUCTURE OF HEREDITARY ORDERS OVER LOCAL RINGS 

Manabu Harada

(Received April 15, 1963)

Let $R$ be a noetherian integral domain and $K$ its quotient field, and $\Sigma$ a semi-simple $K$-algebra with finite degree over $K$. If $\Lambda$ is a subring in $\Sigma$ which is finitely generated $R$-module and $\Lambda K=\Sigma$, then we call it an order. If $\Lambda$ is a hereditary ring, we call it a hereditary order (briefly $h$-order).

This order was defined in [1], and the author has substantially studied properties of $h$-orders in [5], and shown that we may restrict ourselves to the case where $R$ is a Dedekind domain, and $\Sigma$ is a central simple $K$-algebra.

In this note, we shall obtain further results when $R$ is a discrete rank one valuation ring. Let $R$ be such a ring, and $\Omega$ a maximal order with radical $\mathfrak{R}$, and $\Omega / \mathfrak{R}=\Delta_{n} ; \Delta$ division ring. Then we shall show the following results: 1) Every $h$-order contains minimal $h$-orders $\Lambda$ such that $\Lambda / N(\Lambda) \approx \Sigma \oplus \Delta$, where $N(\Lambda)$ is the radical of $\Lambda$, (Section 3); 2) The length of maximal chains for $h$-order is equal to $n$, and we can decide all chains which pass a given $h$-order, (Section 5) ; 3) For two $h$-orders $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ they are isomorphic if and only if they are of same form, (see definition in Section 4); 4) The number of $h$-orders in a nonminimal $h$-order is finite if and only if $R / \mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a maximal ideal in $R$, (Section 6).

In order to obtain those results we shall use a fundamental property of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda ;\left\{\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}^{-2} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}^{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{R}^{-r+1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}^{r-1}\right\}$ gives a complete set of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda$, where $\mathfrak{R}=N(\Lambda)$, (Section 2).
H. Higikata has also determined $h$-orders over local ring in [8] by direct computation and the author owes his suggestions to rewrite this paper, (Section 6). However, in this note we shall decide $h$-orders as a ring, namely by making use of properties of idempotent ideals and radical.

We only consider $h$-orders over local ring in this paper, except Section 1, and problems in the global case will be discussed in [7] and in a
special case, where $\Sigma$ is the field of quaternions, we will be discussed in [6].

## 1. Notations and preliminary lemmas.

Throughout this note, we shall always assume that $R$ is a discrete rank one valuation ring and $K$ is the quotient field of $R$, and that $\Lambda, \Gamma$, $\Omega$ are $h$-orders over $R$ in a central simple $K$-algebra $\Sigma$.

For two orders $\Lambda, \Gamma$, the left $\Gamma$ - and right $\Lambda$-module $C_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)=\{x \mid \in \Sigma$, $\Gamma x \leq \Lambda\}$ is called "(right) conductor of $\Gamma$ over $\Lambda$ ". By [5], Theorem 1.7, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between order $\Gamma(\geq \Lambda)$ and twosided idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{Z}$ in $\Lambda$ as follows:

$$
\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{X}) \quad \text { and } \quad C_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)=\mathfrak{A}
$$

Furthermore, we have a one-to-one correspondence between two-sided idempotent ideals $\mathfrak{A}$ and two-sided ideals $\mathfrak{M}$ containing the radical $\mathfrak{N}$ of an order $\Lambda$ by [5], Lemma 2.4:

$$
\mathfrak{A}+\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{M} .
$$

Let $\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{n}$, where the $\mathfrak{M}_{i}$ 's are maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda$. Then $\mathfrak{M}$ is written uniquely as an intersection of some $\mathfrak{M}_{i}$ 's, say $\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}$. We shall denote those relations by

$$
\mathfrak{A}=I(\mathfrak{M})=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}\right)
$$

Let $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\left(\Delta_{i}\right)_{n_{i}} ; \Delta_{i}$ division ring. Then by [5], Theorem 4.6, we know that the $\Delta_{i}$ 's depend only on $\Sigma$, and we shall denote it by $\Delta$. For any order $\Gamma$, we denote the radical of $\Gamma$ by $N(\Gamma)$. Let $\Gamma \geq \Lambda$ be $h$-orders, and $C(\Gamma)=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}\right)$. Then $C(\Gamma) / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{R} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{j_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{j_{n-r}}$ $\oplus C(\Gamma) \cap \mathfrak{N} / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{N}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module; $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{r}, j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{n-r}\right) \equiv$ $(1,2, \cdots, n)$. By [5], Theorem 4.6 and its proof, we have

Lemma 1.1. $\quad \Gamma / N(\Gamma) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}}^{r}(C(\Gamma) / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{M}, C(\Gamma) / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{\Re})$, and every simple component of $C(\Gamma) \cap \mathfrak{R} / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{R}$ appears in some $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{j_{t}}, t=1, \cdots, n-r$.

Let $\hat{R}$ be the completion of $R$ with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $R$, and $\hat{K}$ its quotient field. Then $\hat{\Sigma}=\Sigma \otimes \hat{K}$ is also central simple $\hat{K}$ algebra and $\hat{\Lambda}=\Lambda \otimes \hat{R}$ is an order over $\hat{R}$ in $\hat{\Sigma}$. If $\Omega$ is a maximal order in $\Sigma$, then $\hat{\Omega}$ is also maximal in $\hat{\Sigma}$ by [1], Proposition 2.5. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be any order in $\hat{\Omega}$, then we can find some $n$ such that $\hat{\Omega}^{n} \leq \Gamma^{\prime}$. Since $\Omega / \mathfrak{p}^{n} \Omega \approx \hat{\Omega} / \mathfrak{p}^{n} \hat{\Omega}$ as a ring, there exists an order $\Gamma$ in $\Omega$ such that $\hat{\Gamma}=\Gamma^{\prime}$. Furthermore, since $\otimes \hat{R}$ is an exact functor, we have

Proposition 1.1. Let $\Omega$ be a maximal order in $\Sigma$. Then there is a
one-to-one correspondence between orders $\Gamma$ in $\Omega$ and order $\hat{\Gamma}$ in $\hat{\Omega}$.
If $\Lambda$ is an $h$-order then $\mathfrak{R}$ is $\Lambda$-projective, and hence, $\hat{\mathfrak{R}}$ is $\hat{\Lambda}$-projective. Therefore, by usual argument (cf. [2], p. 123, Exer. 11, and [5], Lemma 3.6), we have

Corollary. By the above correspondence $h$-orders in $\Omega$ correspond to those in $\widehat{\Omega}$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $\Lambda, \Gamma$, and $\Omega$ be as above. If $\Lambda=\alpha^{\prime} \Gamma \alpha^{\prime-1}$ for a unit $\alpha^{\prime}$ in $\hat{\Omega}$, then $\Lambda=\alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1}$, and $\alpha$ is unit in $\Omega$.

Proof. Since $\hat{\Omega} / \mathfrak{p}^{n} \hat{\Omega} \approx \Omega / \mathfrak{p}^{n} \Omega$ for some $n$, and $\mathfrak{p}^{n} \Omega$ is contained in $N(\Omega)$, it is clear.

From those propositions many results in $h$-orders over $R$ are obtained from those in $h$-orders in the ring of matrices of maximal order $\mathfrak{S}$ in a division ring $\Delta^{\prime}$ over a complete field. Furthermore, all $h$-orders in $\mathfrak{O}_{n}$ are decided by Higikata [8]. However, in this note, we shall discuss properties of $h$-orders as a hereditary ring, namely, by means of idempotent ideals and radical, except the following lemma and the last section.

Let $\mathfrak{O}$ be as above. Then $\mathfrak{D}$ contains a unique maximal ideal $(\pi)$, and every left or right ideal is two-sided and is equal to $\left(\pi^{n}\right)$ by [3], p. 100, Satz 12. In $\Sigma=\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$, we know by [6] that $\Lambda=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma, a_{i, j} \in R\right.$, and $\left.a_{1,2} \in(\pi)\right\}$ is an $h$-order in $\Sigma$. Analogously, we have

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Sigma=\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)_{n}$. Then $\Lambda=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma, a_{i, j} \in \mathfrak{D}, a_{i, j} \in(\pi)\right.$ for $i<j\}$ is an h-order in $\Sigma$, and there exist no h-orders under $\Lambda$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{R}=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Lambda, a_{i, i} \in(\pi)\right\}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{R}$ is a twosided ideal in $\Lambda$. Furthermore, we can easily check that $\mathfrak{N} /(\pi)$ is nilpotent, and $\Lambda / \mathfrak{R} \approx \Sigma \oplus \mathfrak{O} /(\pi)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{R}$ is the radical of $\Lambda$. Let $\mathfrak{R}^{-1}=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma\right.$, $\left.\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \Lambda\right\}$. From the definition of $\mathfrak{N}$, we have $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \ni e_{i, i+1}$, where the $e_{i, j}$ 's are matrix units in $\Sigma$. Since $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{R} \ni e_{1,2} e_{2,1}+\cdots+e_{n-1, n} e_{n, n-1}+$ $(1 / \pi) e_{n, 1} \pi e_{1, n}=1 \in \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}^{-1}, \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}^{-1}=\Lambda$. Therefore, $\Lambda$ is hereditary by [2], p. 132, Proposition 3.2, and [5], Lemma 3.6. Since $\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}=\Sigma \oplus \mathfrak{O} /(\pi)$, the second part is clear by [5], Theorem 4.6.

We shall call such an $h$-order $\Lambda$ "minimal $h$-order", namely there exist no $h$-orders contained in $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda / N(\Lambda)=\Sigma \oplus \Delta$.

Theorem 1.1. In the central simple K-algebra $\Sigma$, there exists always a minimal $h$-order.

In Sections 3, and 4 we shall show that every $h$-order contains a minimal $h$-order, and all minimal $h$-orders are isomorphic.

Finally we shall consider the converse of [4], Theorem 7.2.

Theorem 1.2. Let $R$ be a Dedekind domain and $P$ a finite set of primes in $R$, and $\Omega$ a maximal order over $R$ in $\Sigma$. For any given $h$-order $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ in $\Omega_{p}, \mathfrak{p} \in P$, there exists a unique h-order $\Lambda$ in $\Omega$ such that $\Lambda_{p}=\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in P$, and $\Lambda_{q}=\Omega_{q}$ for $\mathfrak{q} \notin P$.

Proof. First, we assume $P=\{\mathfrak{p}\}$. By [4], Theorem 3.3, $\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})=$ $\Omega_{p} \cap \Omega_{2}^{\prime} \cap \cdots \cap \Omega_{t}^{\prime}: \Omega_{i}^{\prime}$ maximal order over $R_{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{§}_{t}^{\prime}=C_{\Omega_{p}}\left(\Omega_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, then $\Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{p}}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{i}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{C}_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\quad \Omega_{1}^{\prime}=\Omega_{p}$. Furthermore, $\mathfrak{C}_{i}^{\prime} \supseteqq p^{n} \Omega_{p}$. Let $\mathfrak{c}_{i}=\mathfrak{C}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \Omega$, then $\mathfrak{C}_{i_{p}}=\mathfrak{C}_{i}^{\prime}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{i_{q}}=\Omega_{q}$ since $\mathfrak{c}_{i} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}^{n}$. Put $\Omega_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{i}, \mathfrak{C}_{i}\right)$ and $\Lambda=\bigcap \Omega_{i}$. Then $\Lambda_{p}=\bigcap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{p}}^{r}\left(\mathscr{E}_{i_{p}}, \mathfrak{C}_{i_{p}}\right)=\bigcap \Omega_{i}^{\prime}=\Lambda(\mathfrak{p})$, and $\Lambda_{q}=$ $\cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{q}}^{r}\left(\mathscr{E}_{i_{q}}, \mathfrak{E}_{i_{q}}\right)=\Omega_{q}$ if $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{q}$. Hence, $\Lambda$ is a desired $h$-order. Let $\Lambda^{q_{i}}$ be such an $h$-order as above for $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{q}_{i}$. Then $\Lambda=\bigcap_{i} \Lambda^{q_{i}}$ has a property in the theorem.

By virtue of this theorem we shall study, in this paper, $h$-orders over a valuation ring.

## 2. Normal sequence.

Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order and $\mathfrak{R}$ the radical of $\Lambda$. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} ; i=1, \cdots, n$, be the set of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda$. Since $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{N R}^{-1}=\Lambda$ by [5], Theorem 6.1, $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{V}^{\mathfrak{R}}=\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{R}$ gives a one-to-one correspondence among two-sided ideals $\mathfrak{Y}$ in $\Lambda$, which preserves inclusion by [5], Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with radical $\mathfrak{R}$ such that $\Lambda / \mathfrak{N} \approx$ $\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{m_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{n}}$. For any maximal two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}$ in $\Lambda,\{\mathfrak{M}$, $\left.\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{N}^{-2} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{R}^{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{R}^{-n+1} \mathfrak{M r}^{n-1}\right\}$ gives a complete set of maximal twosided ideals in $\Lambda$.

Proof. We may assume that $\mathfrak{R}^{-i} \mathfrak{M M} \mathfrak{N}^{i}=\mathfrak{M}$. If $i<n$, there exists an $h$-order $\Omega$ such that $C(\Omega)=I\left(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}, \cdots, \mathfrak{N}^{-i+1} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{N}^{i-1}\right)$. Let $\mathbb{C}=C(\Omega)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{j}=\mathfrak{N}^{-j+1} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{M}}{ }^{j-1}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\mathfrak{M} . \quad \mathfrak{M}^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{\dot{1}} \mathfrak{M}_{j}\right) \mathfrak{N}=\bigcap_{j=1}^{i} \mathfrak{M}_{j}$, and $\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}=\mathbb{C}$ by the observation in Section 1. Since $\mathfrak{C}_{\cap} \cap \mathfrak{R} / \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{C} \cap \mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{N C}$, $\mathfrak{C}+\mathfrak{R} / \mathfrak{N}$ is contained in the annihilator of $\mathfrak{C}_{\cap} \mathfrak{R} / \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{R}$ on $\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}$. However, by Lemma $1.1 \mathbb{C} \cap \mathfrak{R} / \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{R}$ contains only simple components which appear in $\mathbb{C}+\mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{R}$ $\approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{j_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{j_{n-i}}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module, which is a contradiction.

From this theorem we can find a sequence of maximal two-sided ideals $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \cdots, n}$ in $\Lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{M}_{i+1}, \mathfrak{M}_{n+1}=\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ for all $i$. We shall call such a sequence $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\}$ "a normal sequence".

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order with radical $\mathfrak{N}$. If $\Omega$ is an order containing properly $\Lambda$, then $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{\Re}$ contains $\Lambda$ and is not equal to $\Omega$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{G}=C(\Omega)$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{N}$ is an order containing $\Lambda$, and that $C\left(\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{R}\right)=\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{R}$. Since $\mathfrak{S} \neq \Lambda, \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N} \neq \mathfrak{C}$ by Theorem 2.1 and the observation in Section 1.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\Lambda, \mathfrak{R}$ be as above. For a two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{\Re}$ in $\Lambda \mathfrak{\Re}$ is inversible ${ }^{1)}$ in $\Lambda$ if and only if $\mathfrak{H M}=\mathfrak{\Re}$.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{N}$ is inversible, then $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{R}^{t}$ by [5], Theorem 6.1, and hence $\mathfrak{A M}=\mathfrak{N A}$. Conversely, let $\mathfrak{A R}=\mathfrak{R} \mathfrak{A}$, and $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{Y})=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{N} \mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{Q} \mathfrak{R}\right) \geq \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{N}$. Since $\Omega, \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{N}$ contain same number of maximal two-sided ideals, $\Omega=\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \Omega \mathfrak{N}$. Therefore, $\Omega=\Lambda$ by Lemma 2.1, and hence $\mathfrak{Y}$ is inversible by [5], Section 2.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order, and $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, n$ the complete set of maximal two-sided ideals and $\mathfrak{N}$ a two-sided ideal in $\Lambda$. If $\mathfrak{M}_{i}=\mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{U}$ for all $i$, then $\mathfrak{N}$ is principal, i.e., $\mathfrak{V}=\alpha \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha$.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{N}=\bigcap \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{n}} \mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}} \mathfrak{M}_{i_{2}} \cdots \mathfrak{M}_{i_{n}}, \mathfrak{Y} \mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{M}$. Hence $\mathfrak{N}$ is inversible by Proposition 2.1, and $\Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{H})$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is $\Lambda$. projective, we have a two-sided $\Lambda$-epimorphism $\psi: \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r} \mathfrak{M}_{i}\left(\mathfrak{H} / \mathfrak{H M}_{i}\right.$, $\left.\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{A M}_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\psi^{-1}(0) \supseteq \mathfrak{M}_{i}$, we obtain $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i} \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}{ }^{r} \mathfrak{M}_{i}\left(\mathfrak{H} / \mathfrak{H M} M_{i}\right.$, $\left.\mathfrak{X} / \mathfrak{X M}_{i}\right)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{X} / \mathfrak{X M}_{i} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module. Since $\mathfrak{N}$ is inversible, $\mathfrak{Y} / \mathfrak{Y} \mathfrak{R} \approx \Sigma \oplus \mathfrak{U} / \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}_{i} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module. Therefore, $\mathfrak{Y}=\alpha \Lambda$, and $\Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\alpha \Lambda, \alpha \Lambda)=\alpha \Lambda \alpha^{-1}$.

In any $h$-order $\Lambda$, we have $N(\Lambda)^{m}=\mathfrak{p} \Lambda$ for some $m$, we call $m$ " the ramification index of $\Lambda$ ", and $\Lambda$ "unramified" if $m=1$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order with radical $\mathfrak{R}$, and $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, n$ the set of maximal two-sided ideals. Then $\mathfrak{R}^{n}$ is principal. For a twosided ideal $\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{X}$ for all $i$ if and only if $\mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{R}^{n r}$ for some $r$. Let $\Omega$ be an order containing $\Lambda$, and $s, t$ are ramification indices of $\Omega$ and $\Lambda$, respectively. Then $n \mid t$, and $t \mid s n$. Therefore, if $\Omega$ is unramified, then $n=t$, and $\mathfrak{Y M}_{i}=\mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{Y}$ for all $i$ if and only if $\mathfrak{V}=\mathfrak{p}^{l} \Lambda$ for some $l$, (cf. Proposition 6.2).

Proof. The first part is clear by Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{R}^{n}=\alpha \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha$. Since $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{i} \alpha=\mathfrak{M}_{i}$ for all $i$ and $\mathfrak{S}=C(\Omega)=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.\mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}\right), \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \alpha=\mathfrak{C}$. Therefore, $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{C})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\alpha^{-1}{ }^{r} \alpha}\left(\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \alpha, \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \alpha\right)=$ $\alpha^{-1} \Omega \alpha$. Thus $\alpha \Omega=\Omega \alpha$ is an inversible two-sided ideal in $\Omega$, and hence, $\alpha \Omega=N(\Omega)^{l}$ by [5], Theorem 6.1. It is clear by Theorem 2.1 that $n \mid t$.

1) We call $\mathfrak{A}$ inversible in $\Lambda$ if $\mathfrak{Y}_{\mathfrak{Q}} \mathfrak{H}^{-1}=\mathfrak{A}^{-1} \mathfrak{A}=\Lambda$; $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}=\{x \mid \in \Sigma, \mathfrak{Z} x \mathfrak{A} \subseteq \Lambda\}$.

Furthermore, $\mathfrak{R}^{t}=\left(\mathfrak{R}^{n}\right)^{t / n}=\alpha^{t / n} \Lambda=\mathfrak{p} \Lambda$. Therefore, $\alpha^{t / n} \Omega=N(\Omega)^{\imath \cdot(t / n)}=\mathfrak{p} \Omega$, and hence, $l \cdot(t / n)=s$.

As an analogy to Lemma 2.2,
Proposition 2.2. Let $\alpha$ be a non-zero divisor in $\Lambda$. If $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{M} \alpha$ is a maximal ideal in $\Lambda$ for a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{M}$, then $\Lambda \alpha \Lambda$ is principal ideal in $\Lambda$.

Proof. Let $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{M} \alpha=\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}$, then $\mathfrak{M} \alpha=\alpha \mathfrak{M}^{\prime}$, and $\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \alpha^{-1}$. If we set $\mathfrak{Y}=\Lambda \alpha \Lambda, \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\Lambda \alpha^{-1} \Lambda$, then $\mathfrak{M Y X}=\mathfrak{X Y M}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{H}^{\prime} \mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \mathfrak{A}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{X Y} \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}$ $=\mathfrak{M} \alpha \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\alpha \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\alpha \mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \alpha^{-1} \Lambda=\mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{Y}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})$. Similarly, we obtain $\mathfrak{Y} \mathfrak{X l}^{\prime} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{X X} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M}) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{M})=\Lambda$ by [5], Corollary 1.9 and Theorem 3.3. It is clear that $\mathfrak{Y \mathfrak { Z } ^ { \prime } \geqq \Lambda \text { , and hence }}$ $\mathfrak{X} \mathfrak{Z}^{\prime}=\Lambda$. Since $\mathfrak{A} \alpha^{-1} \leqq \mathfrak{Y} \mathfrak{X}^{\prime}=\Lambda, \mathfrak{Y} \leqq \Lambda \alpha$, which implies $\mathfrak{Y}=\alpha \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha$.

Next, we shall consider normal sequences of $h$-orders $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda(\leq \Gamma)$. Before discussing that, we shall quote the following notations. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, n$ be the normal sequence of $\Lambda$. We divide $S=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\}$ to the subsets $S_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, S_{r}^{\prime}$, such that $\bigcup_{i} S_{i}^{\prime}=S, S_{\imath}^{\prime} \cap S_{j}^{\prime}=\phi$, and for any $\mathfrak{M}_{l} \in S_{i}^{\prime}$, $M_{t} \in S_{j}^{\prime}, l<t$ if $i<j$. Let $S_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}}, \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}\right\} . \quad S_{i}=S_{i}^{\prime-}$ $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}\right\}$. Then we call $m_{i}$ the length of $S_{i}$ or $S_{i}^{\prime}$. Let $\Gamma$ be $h$-order containing $\Lambda$. Then $C(\Gamma)=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{l}}\right)$, and by the above definition, $C(\Gamma)$ corresponds uniquely to $S_{1}, \cdots, S_{r}$; for example if $C(\Gamma)=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}\right.$, $\mathfrak{M}_{3}, \mathfrak{M}_{6}$, then $S_{1}=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}, \mathfrak{M}_{3}\right\}, S_{2}=\phi, S_{3}=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{6}\right\}, S_{i}=\phi$, for $i>3$. Let $\mathfrak{§}_{i}=I\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{i-1}, S_{i}^{\prime} \bigcup S_{i+1}, \cdots, S_{r}\right)$. Then $\Omega_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{§}_{i}, \mathfrak{§}_{i}\right)$ is an order such that there exist no orders between $\Omega_{i}$ and $\Gamma$ by [5], Theorem 3.3.

Lemma 2.3. Let $\Gamma, \Lambda, \mathfrak{๒}_{i}$, and $S_{i}$ be as above, then $\left\{\mathfrak{\Subset}_{i} \Gamma\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$ is the set of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Gamma$ if $\Gamma$ is not maximal.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{c}_{i} \Gamma=C_{\Gamma}\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$ by [5], Proposition 3.1, we may prove by [5], Theorem 1.7 that every maximal two-sided ideal $\mathbb{R}$ in $\Gamma$ is idempotent. Since $\mathbb{R} \neq N(\Gamma), \mathbb{R}$ is not inversible, and hence, $\tau_{\Gamma}^{l}(\mathbb{Z})^{2)}=\mathbb{R}$ by [5], Section 2. Therefore, $\mathbb{Z}$ is idempotent by [5], Lemma 1.5.

By Lemma 1.1, we obtain that $\mathfrak{C} / \mathfrak{C} \approx \Re_{1} \oplus \Re_{2} \cdots \oplus \Re_{r}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module, where $\Re_{i}$ is a direct sum of simple components in $\Lambda / \mathbb{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\Lambda, \Gamma, \mathfrak{๒}_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{\subseteq} \mathfrak{R}$ be as above. Then by the isomorphism $\mathcal{P}$ in Lemma 1.1: $\Gamma / N(\Gamma) \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}}^{r}(\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{R}, \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{C})$ the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{๒}_{i} \Gamma / N(\Gamma)$ corresponds to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda / \mathfrak{R}^{r}}\left(\sum_{i} \mathfrak{R}_{j}, \sum_{i \neq j} \mathfrak{R}_{j}\right)$.
2) $\tau_{\Gamma}^{L}(\mathbb{Z})$ means the two-sided ideal in $\Gamma$ generated images of $f$; $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{\stackrel{L}{L}}(\mathcal{R}, \Gamma)$.

Proof. Since $\sqsubseteq_{i} \Gamma / N(\Gamma)$ is a maximal two-sided ideal in $\Gamma / N(\Gamma)$, $\mathfrak{C}_{i} \Gamma / N(\Gamma)$ is characterized by the image of $\mathfrak{C} / \mathfrak{G} \mathfrak{R}$ by $\varphi\left(\mathbb{C}_{i} \Gamma / N(\Gamma)\right)$. $\mathfrak{C} / \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{t_{1}+m_{1}-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{t_{r^{+}+m_{r}-1}} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\cap} \mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{N}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{i} \Gamma(\mathbb{C} / \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{R})=\mathfrak{C}_{i} \mathbb{C}+$ $\mathfrak{\Vdash} / \mathbb{C}=\mathfrak{C}_{i}+\left(\mathfrak{C} / \mathbb{C} \geqq \geqq / \mathfrak{M}_{t_{1}+m_{1}-1} \oplus \cdots \oplus^{i} \cdots \oplus \cdots \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r_{r}+m_{r}-1}\right.$, which implies the lemma.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order with radical $\mathfrak{R}$ and normal sequence $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} \quad i=1, \cdots, r$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{i} / \mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \oplus \cdots \stackrel{i}{\oplus} \cdots \oplus \cdots \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r} \oplus \mathfrak{R}_{i+1}$ as a right $\Lambda$-module. Hence, $\Omega_{i} / N\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{i-1}} \oplus \Delta_{m_{i}+m_{i+1}} \oplus \Delta_{m_{i+2}} \cdots$ $\oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}$, where $\Re_{i+1}$ is a direct sum of $m_{i}$ simple components of $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}$, and $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\Delta_{m_{i}}$, and $\Omega_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}\right)$.

Proof. We obtain similarly to the proof of Lemma 2.2 that $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i} \approx \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}{ }^{r} \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}\left(\mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{M} M_{i+1}, \mathfrak{N} / \mathfrak{M M}_{i+1}\right)$, since $\Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{N})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N}$ $=\mathfrak{M M}_{i+1}$. Furtheremore, since $\mathfrak{M}_{i}=C\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}\right)\right)$, and $\mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N}=$ $\mathfrak{M} / \mathfrak{M M}_{i+1}$, we have the lemma by Lemma 1.1.

Corollary. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order with radical $\mathfrak{N}$ such that $\Lambda / \mathfrak{N} \approx$ $\sum_{i=1}^{r} \Delta_{m_{i}}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}$ does not depend on $\Lambda$, and the length of maximal chain for $h$-orders in $\Sigma$ does not exceed $n=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}$.

Proof. Since, every maximal order is isomorphic, $\Sigma m_{i}$ does not depend on $\Lambda$. Since $n=\Sigma m_{i} \geqq r$, the second part is clear by [5], Theorem 3. 3.

Remark. We shall show that every length of maximal chain is equal to $n$ in the following section.

Before proving one of the main theorems in this section we shall consider a special situation of Lemma 2.3. Let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{i}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}\right)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\Gamma, \Lambda$ and $\mathfrak{\Subset}_{i}$ be as above. Then $\left\{\Subset_{i} \Gamma\right\} i=2, \cdots, r$ is the normal sequence in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{R}_{i}=\mathfrak{§}_{i} \Gamma$. Then $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{\S}_{2}, \mathfrak{\S}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{R}_{2}, \mathfrak{Z}_{2}\right)$. If $\Omega$ is maximal, then $\Gamma$ contains only two maximal ideals, and hence, we have nothing to prove. Thus, we may assume $r \geqq 4$. We denote $N(\Gamma), N(\Omega)$, $N(\Lambda)$ by $\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{R}^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. Let $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{2}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}\right) \subseteq \Omega$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_{2} / \mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{R}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r} \quad$ and $\quad \mathfrak{S}_{2}+\mathfrak{M}^{\prime \prime} / \mathfrak{R}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \cdots$ $\oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{2} \Gamma_{1} / N\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ is a maximal two-sided ideal, we obtain $\mathfrak{C}_{2}+$

[^0]$\mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime} / \mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{R}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r}$. We consider a natural right $\Lambda$. homomorphism $\mathcal{P}: \mathfrak{C}_{2} / \mathfrak{C}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{2} / \mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\varphi\left(\mathfrak{C}_{2} / \mathfrak{C}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathfrak{C}_{2}+\mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} /$ $\mathfrak{M}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \mathfrak{R}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r}$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{C}_{2} / \mathscr{C}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime} \simeq \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \cdots$ $\oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r} \oplus \mathfrak{C}_{2} \cap \mathfrak{R}^{\prime \prime} / \mathfrak{C}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{C}_{2} \cap \mathfrak{R}^{\prime \prime} / \mathfrak{C}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime}$ contains a directsum $\mathfrak{R}_{3}^{\prime}$ of simple components which appear in $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3}$. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{D}_{i}=I\left(\Omega_{2}, \Omega_{i}\right) \Omega\right\} i=$ $3, \cdots, r$ be the set of maximal ideals in $\Omega$. Since $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{r}\left(\Omega_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$, we obtain by Lemmas $2.4,2.5, \mathfrak{D}_{i} / \mathfrak{R}^{\prime} \approx \Gamma / \mathfrak{R}_{i} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}$ as a ring for $i \geqslant 3$ except one $k$ of indices $i$. However, we have shown that $\mathfrak{C}_{2} / \mathfrak{G}_{2} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime \prime} \geq$ $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \Re_{3}^{\prime}$, and hence, we know $k=3$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5 we obtain $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{R}_{2} \mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{Z}_{3}$. Similarly, we can prove $\mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{R}_{i} \mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{Q}_{i+1}$ for $i \leq n-1$. Therefore, we have proved the lemma by Theorem 2.1.

Now, we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with normal sequence $\left\{\mathbb{M}_{i}\right\} i=1$, $\cdots, n$. Then for an order $\Gamma$ corresponding to a sequence $\left\{S_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$, $\left\{๒_{i} \Gamma\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$ is the normal sequence in $\Gamma$. Furthermore, $C(\Gamma) / C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{\Re}$ $\approx \Re_{1}^{l_{1}} \oplus \Re_{2}^{l_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Re_{r}^{l_{r}} .^{4)} \quad$ Hence, $\Gamma / N(\Gamma) \approx \Delta_{l_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{I_{r}}$, where $\Re_{i}$ is a simple component in $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}$, and $l_{i}=\sum_{j=t_{i}}^{t_{i}+m_{i}-1} s_{i}$, and $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\Delta_{s_{i}}, \mathfrak{๒}_{i}=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, S_{r}\right) \Gamma$.

Proof. We shall prove the theorem by induction on the number $r$ of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Gamma$. If $r=n$, then $\Lambda=\Gamma$. If $r=n-1$, then the theorem is true by Lemma 2.6. We assume $r<n-1$. Let $\Gamma^{\prime}$ be an order between $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ such that $C\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)=I\left\{\bar{S}_{0}, \bar{S}_{1}, \cdots, \bar{S}_{r}\right\}$, and $\left\{\bar{S}_{0}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\bar{S}_{1}\right\}=S_{1}, \bar{S}_{i}=S_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 2$. Then $\left\{I\left(\bar{S}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{S}_{i}^{\prime}, \cdots, \bar{S}_{r}\right) \Gamma^{\prime}\right\} \quad i=0, \cdots, r$ is the normal sequence in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by induction hypothesis. Let $\mathfrak{R}_{i}=I\left(\bar{S}_{0}, \cdots, \bar{S}_{i}^{\prime}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.\bar{S}_{r}\right) \Gamma^{\prime}$. Since $\Omega_{0}=C(\Gamma) \Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\Omega_{0}, \Omega_{0}\right)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.6, $\left\{I_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{0}, \mathfrak{Q}_{i}\right) \Gamma\right\} \quad i=1, \cdots, r$ is the normal sequence in $\Gamma$. Since $S_{1}=\left\{\bar{S}_{0}^{\prime}, \bar{S}_{1}\right\}$, $I_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(\Omega_{0}, \Omega_{i}\right) \Gamma=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i}^{\prime}, \cdots, S_{r}\right) \Gamma$. Furthermore, $\Gamma / N(\Gamma) \approx \Delta_{l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{l_{2}} \cdots$ $\oplus \Delta_{l_{r}}$, where $\Gamma^{\prime} / N\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right) \approx \Delta_{l_{0}} \oplus \Delta_{l_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{l_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{l_{r}} ; l_{i}^{\prime}=l_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 2$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^{r} l_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} l_{i}, l_{1}=l_{0}^{\prime}+l_{1}^{\prime}$. Thus we have proved the second part by Lemma 2. 4.

Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$. If $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{i}=\Delta_{m_{i}}$, then ( $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}$ ) is uniquely determined by $\Lambda$ up to cyclic permutation. We call it a form of $\Lambda$. Furthermore, we know that $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)$ is a nonzero integral solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_{i}=n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

4) For any right $\Lambda$-module $\mathfrak{M}$, $\mathfrak{M}^{t}$-means a direct sum of $t$ copies of $\mathfrak{M}$.

Corollary. If $\Lambda$ is a minimal h-order in $\Sigma$ with normal sequence $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} \quad i=1, \cdots, n$ then for any nonzero integral solution ( $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}$ ) of (1) there exists an $h$-order $\Gamma$, whose form is $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)$.

Proof. We associate a solution $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)$ to a set $\left\{S_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, S_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$, $S_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}\right\}$, where $t_{i}=m_{1}+\cdots+m_{i-1}, \quad m_{0}=1$. Then $\Gamma=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{r}\right), I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{r}\right)\right)$ is a desired order by the theorem.

## 3. Minimal $h$-orders.

By Theorem 1.1, we know that there exist minimal $h$-orders $\Lambda$ in the central simple $K$-algebra, namely $\Lambda / N(\Lambda)=\Delta \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta$. In this section, we shall show that every $h$-order contains minimal $h$-orders.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Gamma$ be an $h$-order and $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$ be h-orders in $\Gamma$ such that there exist no orders between $\Gamma$ and $\Lambda, \Lambda^{\prime}$, respectively. If $C_{\Lambda}(\Gamma) / \mathfrak{N} \approx$ $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma) / \mathfrak{R}$, then $\Lambda$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda^{\prime}$ by an inner-automorphism of unit element in $\Gamma$, where $\mathfrak{R}=N(\Gamma)$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{c}=C_{\Lambda}(\Gamma), \mathfrak{C}^{\prime}=C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma)$. Since $\mathfrak{C} / \mathfrak{N} \approx \mathfrak{§}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{R}$, there exists a unit element $\varepsilon$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{C}=C^{\prime} \varepsilon=\varepsilon^{-1} C^{\prime} \varepsilon . \quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{(})=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{C}^{\prime} \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1} \mathfrak{C}^{\prime} \varepsilon\right) \supseteqq \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{\iota}\left(\mathfrak{C}^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon=\varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon$, where $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}\left(\mathbb{C}^{\prime}, \mathbb{C}^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, by Theorem 2.3, we obtain that $\Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ contains the same number of maximal two-sided ideals as those in $\Gamma$. Hence, $\Gamma^{\prime}=\varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon$ by [5], Theorem 3.3. Furthermore, $\Lambda=\Gamma_{\cap} \Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\cap} \varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon=$ $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\varepsilon^{-1} \Lambda^{\prime} \varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\Gamma \supseteq \Lambda$ be h-orders, then $N(\Lambda) \supseteq N(\Gamma)$.
Proof. Let $\mathfrak{R}=N(\Lambda)$, and $\mathfrak{K}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{R}(\Gamma)$. We may assume that there are no orders between $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)=\mathfrak{M}$ is a maximal two-sided ideal in $\Lambda$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence, we obtain by Lemma 1.1 that $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}^{\prime} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{N}^{\prime} \Lambda \leq \mathfrak{M} \Lambda=\mathfrak{M}$. For any maximal two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \neq \mathfrak{M}$ in $\Lambda$, we have $\mathfrak{N}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{N}^{\prime}\left(\mathfrak{M}+\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}\right) \leq \mathfrak{R}+\mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \leq \mathfrak{M}^{\prime}$ since $\Lambda=\mathfrak{M}+\mathfrak{M}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}^{\prime} \subseteq \cap \mathfrak{M}=\mathfrak{M}$.

Theorem 3.1. Every h-order contains minimal h-orders.
Proof. We obtain a minimal $h$-order $\Lambda$ by Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be $h$-order. Since every maximal order is isomorphic, we may assume $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ are contained in a maximal order. Let $\left\{\mathbb{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$ be the normal sequence of $\Gamma$ with form $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)$, and $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)$. We assume that $\Omega \supseteq \Lambda$. Let $\mathfrak{R}=N(\Omega)$, and $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime}=N(\Gamma)$. Since $\mathfrak{R}^{\prime} \supseteq \mathfrak{R}$, $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \supseteq \mathfrak{N}$. Now, we consider a left ideal $\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}$ in $\Omega / \mathfrak{R}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{r} / \mathfrak{M}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{M}^{\prime}\right.$,
$\left.\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{j}\right)=1$ if $i \neq j$, there exist $m$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{1}$ and $y$ in $\mathfrak{M}_{2} \ldots$ $\mathfrak{M}_{r}$ such that $1=m+y, m^{2}-m=m(m-1) \in \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{M}_{2} \cdots \mathfrak{M}_{r}=\mathfrak{M}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{M}_{2} \cdots \mathfrak{M}_{r}\right) \subseteq$ $\mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}=m \Lambda+\mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime} \oplus \mathfrak{N}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}$. It is clear that $\mathfrak{M}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{N}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \mathfrak{N}^{\prime}\right)=(0)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{N}=(\Omega / \mathfrak{R}) m \approx \mathfrak{Y}^{m_{2}} \oplus \Omega / \mathfrak{R}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Omega / \mathfrak{R}_{r}$, where the $\Omega_{i}$ 's are maximal ideals in $\Omega$, and $\mathfrak{l}$ is a simple component in $\Omega / \mathbb{R}_{2}$. On the other hand, since $\Omega$ contains $\Lambda, \Omega$ contains an $h$-order $\Gamma^{\prime}$ with form ( $m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}$ ) by Corollary to Theorem 2.3, and $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{M} \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\Gamma^{\prime} / \mathfrak{M}_{1}=\Delta_{m_{1}}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{M}_{1} / \mathfrak{N} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{1}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{N}$ by the above observation. Hence, $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma^{\prime}$ which contains $\Lambda$. We can prove the theorem by induction.

Corollary. Every minimal h-order is isomorphic. If two minimal $h$-orders are contained in an order $\Gamma$, then this isormorphism is given by a unit element in $\Gamma$.

Proof. In the above, we use the fact that any $h$-order is isomorphic to an order containing a fixed minimal $h$-order, which implies the first part of the corollary. The second part is clear from the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Omega$ be a maximal order such that $\Omega / N(\Omega)=\Delta_{n}$. Then every length of maximal chain for $h$-orders is equal to $n$.

Proof. It is clear from Theorems 1.1 and 3.1.

## 4. Isomorphisms of $\boldsymbol{h}$-orders.

In this section, we shall discuss isomorphisms over $R$ among $h$-orders. For this purpose, we shall use the following definition. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ be $h$-orders containing an $h$-order $\Lambda$. If there exists an isomorphism $\theta$ of $\Gamma_{1}$ to $\Gamma_{2}$ such that $\theta(\Lambda)=\Lambda$, we call $\theta$ "isomorphism over $\Lambda$ ", and " $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic over $\Lambda$ ". Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with normal sequence $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=$ $1, \cdots, r$. Then we shall call that $\Lambda$ is $r$ th order, and the rank of $\Lambda$ is $r$. 1st order is nothing but maximal order $\Omega$, and $n$th order is minimal if $\Omega / N(\Omega)=\Delta_{n}$.

We have introduced an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_{i}=n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in Section 2. We shall only consider nonzero integral solutions of (1). Hence, by solution we mean always such solutions. We shall define a relation among solutions $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right)$ as follows: $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}\right) \equiv\left(a_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, a_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ if they are only different by a cyclic permutation. We shall denote the
number of classes of solutions by $\varphi(n, r)$. It is clear that $\varphi(n, r)=$ $\mathcal{P}(n, n-r)$, and that $\varphi(n, 2)=[n / 2]$, and $\varphi(p, r)=\binom{p}{r} / p$, where $p$ is prime and [ ] Gauss' number.

We note that every isomorphism is given by an inner-automorphism in $\Sigma$.

Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with radical $\Re$. If $\Re$ is principal, we call $\Lambda$ "a principal h-order". Every maximal order and minimal order are principal.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order with form $\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{r}\right)$. Then $\Lambda$ is principal if and only if $m_{1}=\cdots=m_{r},(c f$. [9], Theorem 1).

Proof. If $m_{1}=\cdots=m_{r}, \Lambda$ is principal by the fact $\Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ $=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ and by [5], Corollary 4.5. Conversely, if $N=\alpha \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha$, then $\alpha^{-1}\left(\Lambda / M_{i}\right) \alpha=\Lambda / \alpha^{-1} M_{i+1} \alpha$ by Theorem 2.1, and hence, $m_{i}=m_{i+1}$ for all $i$.

Proposition 4.1. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order with radical $\mathfrak{N}$, and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ orders containing $\Lambda$. If $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are isormorphic over $\Lambda$, then this isomorphism is given by an element in $\mathfrak{\Re}$. In this case $C\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=\Re^{-t} C\left(\Gamma_{i}\right) \Re^{t}$ for some $t$.

Proof. If $\beta^{-1} \Gamma_{1} \beta=\Gamma_{2}$, and $\beta \Lambda \beta^{-1}=\Lambda$ for $\beta \in \Sigma$, then we may assume that $\beta \in \Lambda$. Since $\beta \Lambda=\Lambda \beta$ is inversible two-sided ideal in $\Lambda, \beta \Lambda=\mathfrak{N}^{t}$ for some $t \geqslant 0$. It is clear that $C\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)=\beta^{-1} C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \beta=\mathfrak{R}^{-t} C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \mathfrak{N}^{t}$.

Corollary. If $\Lambda$ is principal, then $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic over $\Lambda$ if and only if $\mathfrak{R}\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{N}^{-t} C\left(\Gamma_{1}\right) \mathfrak{N}^{t}$ for some $t$, where $\mathfrak{R}=\mathfrak{R}(\Lambda)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a principal h-order of a form $\overbrace{(s, \cdots, s)}^{m}$. Then the following statements are true:

1) $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic over $\Lambda$.
2) The number of classes of isomorphic $m-r$ th orders containing $\Lambda$ is equal to $\varphi(m, r)$.
3) Those isomorphisms are given by inner-automorphisms of $\alpha^{i}$ for some $i$, where $N(\Lambda)=\alpha \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha$.
4) Let $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$ be h-orders. Then $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are isomorphic if and only if they are of same form.

Proof. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be $m-r$ th orders and $\mathfrak{C}_{i}=C\left(\Omega_{i}\right) i=1$, 2. Let $\mathfrak{E}_{1}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}\right) \mathfrak{§}_{2}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{j_{1}}, \mathfrak{M}_{j_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{j_{r}}\right), i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r} ; j_{1}<j_{2}$ $<\cdots<j_{r}$, and $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, m$ the normal sequence of $\Lambda$. If $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$
are isomorphic over $\Lambda$, then $\mathfrak{C}_{2}=\alpha^{-t} \mathfrak{C}_{1} \alpha^{t}$ for some $t$ by the above corollary. Furthermore, $\alpha^{-t} \mathfrak{M}_{i_{l}} \alpha^{t}=\mathfrak{M}_{\left(i_{l}+t\right)}$, where $\left(i_{l_{1}}+t\right) \equiv i_{l}+t \bmod m$, and $0<(i+t)$ $\leqq m$. Therefore, $\left(\left(i_{l+1}+t\right),\left(i_{l_{1}+2}+t\right), \cdots,\left(i_{l_{1}+s}+t\right),\left(i_{l_{2}+1}+t\right), \cdots,\left(i_{l_{2}+(r-s)}+t\right)\right)$ $\equiv\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r}\right)$. We shall associate the set $\left(j_{1}, j_{2}, \cdots, j_{r}\right)$ to a class of solution of (1) as follows: $x_{1}=j_{2}-j_{1}, \cdots, x_{2}=j_{3}-j_{2}, \cdots, x_{r-1}=j_{r}-j_{r-1}$, $x_{r}=j_{1}+m-j_{r}$. Then ( $j_{1}, \cdots, j_{r}$ ) , and ( $i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}$ ) correspond to the same class. Coversely, for any $m-r$ th $h$-orders $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ if $\left(j_{l}\right),\left(i_{l}\right)$ correspond to the same class, then there exists some $t$ such that $\left(\left(i_{l}+t\right)\right)=\left(j_{l}\right)$. Hence, $\beta^{-1} \Gamma_{1} \beta=\Gamma_{2}$. Let $\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)$ be any solution of (1). Let $\mathbb{C}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}\right.$, $\left.\mathfrak{M}_{1+x_{1}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{1+x_{1}+\cdots+x_{r}-1}\right)$, then $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{(}, \mathfrak{(})$ is an $h$-order containing $\Lambda$ and $\Gamma$ corresponds to ( $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}$ ) by the above mapping, which implies 2). Next, we shall consider $r$ th order $\Gamma_{i}(i=1,2)$ containing $\Lambda$. If $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic, then they are of same form $\left(s t_{1}, s t_{2}, \cdots, s t_{r}\right)$. If we associate $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{r}\right)$ to $\Gamma_{i}$, then $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ correspond to the same class of solution of (1) replacing $n$ by $m$. Conversely, for any solution ( $t_{j}$ ) of (1), we can find an order $\Gamma(\geq \Lambda)$ of a form $\left(s t_{1}, \cdots, s t_{r}\right)$ by Theorem 2.3. Hence, the number of classes of isomorphic $r$ th orders is equal to or larger than $\varphi(m, r)$. On the other hand, that number does not exceed the number of classes of isomorphic $r$ th orders over $\Lambda$, which is equal to $\varphi(m, m-r)$ $=\varphi(m, r)$ by 2). Therefore, we have proved 1). 3) is clear by 1) and Proposition 4.1. 4) is clear from the above and Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be isomorphic over $\Lambda$, then they are isomorphic over any principal h-orders $\Lambda^{\prime}$ contained in $\Lambda$. In this case the form of $\Lambda$ has a periodicity. ${ }^{5)}$

Proof. The first part is clear by the theorem, and the isomorphism is given by $\alpha^{t}$, where $\mathfrak{R}=N\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)=\alpha \Lambda^{\prime}$. Hence, $\alpha^{-t} \Lambda \alpha^{t}=\Lambda$, which means $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Lambda)=\mathfrak{R}^{-t} C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Lambda) \mathfrak{R}^{t}$.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be h-orders contained in an order $\Omega$, and which are isomorphic, then this isomorphism is given by a unit element in $\Omega$ and an element $\alpha^{t}$, where $\alpha$ is a generator of radical of minimal $h$-order contained in $\Gamma_{1}$.

It is clear by Theorem 4.2 and Corollary to Theorem 3.1.
Corollary 4.3. For principal h-orders $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, the following statements are equivalent :
5) If a form is the following type: ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots$ ), then we call the form has a periodicity.

1) $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic,
2) $\Gamma_{1} / N\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{2} / N\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic,
3) $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are of the same rank.

Remark. The above corollary is not true for any $h$-order. For instance, let $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{6}\right\}$ be the normal sequence of a minimal $h$-order $\Lambda$ in $K_{6}$, and $\mathfrak{C}_{1}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{2}, \mathfrak{M}_{4}, \mathfrak{M}_{5}\right), \mathfrak{E}_{2}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{4}, \mathfrak{M}_{5}\right)$. Then $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{1}, \mathfrak{E}_{1}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{2}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(\mathbb{C}_{2}, \mathbb{C}_{2}\right)$ have different form $(1,2,3)$ and $(2,1,3)$, but $\Gamma_{2} / N\left(\Gamma_{1}\right)$ $\approx \Gamma_{2} / N\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$.

Corollary 4.4. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ be h-orders containing principal $h$ orders $\Lambda_{1}$, and $\Lambda_{2}$ such that there exist no orders between $\Gamma_{i}$ and $\Lambda_{i}$. Then the statements in Corollary 4.3 are true.

Proof. Every $\Gamma$ containing $\Lambda$ which satesfies the condition of the corollary is isomorphic by Theorems 2.3 and 4.2. Hence, the corollary is true by Corollary 4.2.

Corollary 4.5. Let $n$ be the length of maximal chain for $h$-orders. If $n \leqq 5,1)$ and 2) in Corollary 4.3 are equivalent for any orders. If $n \leqslant 3,1), 2$ ), and 3) in Corollary 4.3. are equivalent for any orders.

We shall recall the definition of same type in [5], Section 4. If there exists a left $\Gamma_{1}$ and right $\Gamma_{2}$ ideal $\mathfrak{2}$ in $\Sigma$ for two orders $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ such that $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{r}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{Q})$, and $\Gamma_{2}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma_{1}}^{2}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{R})$, we call " $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ belong to the same type".

Lemma 4.1. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be h-orders which belong to the same type, and $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ containing $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$, respectively. Then $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ belong to the same type if and only if $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are of same rank.

Proof. By the assumption, we have a left $\Lambda_{1}$ and right $\Lambda_{2}$ ideal ${ }_{2}$
 and hence, $\mathfrak{P}^{-1} \Lambda_{1} \mathfrak{P}=\Lambda_{2}$, and $\mathfrak{A} \Lambda_{2} \mathfrak{U}^{-1}=\Lambda_{1}$ by [5], Section 4. Let $\mathfrak{C}=C_{\Lambda_{1}}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$.

 $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ belong to the same type, they are of same rank. Therefore, $\Omega_{2}, \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ belong to the same type by [5], Theorem 4.2. Hence, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ belong to the same type.

The following theorem is a generalization of [5], Theorem 4.3.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ be orders in $\Sigma$. Then $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ belong to the same type if and only if $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are of same rank.

Proof. Let $\Lambda_{1}, \Lambda_{2}$ be minimal $h$-orders in $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$, respectively. Then $\Lambda_{1}=\varepsilon \Lambda_{2} \varepsilon^{-1}$ by Corollary to Theorem 3.1. Hence, $\Lambda_{1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_{2}}^{r}\left(\varepsilon \Lambda_{2}, \varepsilon \Lambda_{2}\right)$, and $\Lambda_{2}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_{1}}^{l}\left(\Lambda_{1} \varepsilon, \Lambda_{1} \varepsilon\right)$. Thus, we obtain the theorem by Lemma 4.1.

## 5. Chain of $\boldsymbol{h}$-orders.

In this section, we shall study by making use of arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1 how we can find maximal chains of $h$-orders which pass a given $h$-order $\Gamma$. We have already known by [5], Theorem 3.3 how we can construct chains of $h$-orders containing $\Gamma$, which is determined by the structure of $\Gamma / N(\Gamma)$.

First, we shall study a relation between left conductor $D()$ and right conductor $C()$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\Gamma \geq \Lambda$ be h-orders. Then $C(\Gamma)=\mathfrak{M} D(\Gamma) \mathfrak{R}^{-1}$, where $\mathfrak{n}=N(\Lambda)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{i}\right\} i=1, \cdots, r$ be the normal sequence in $\Lambda$, and let $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{L}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{2}, \mathfrak{M}_{2}\right)$, then $D(\Gamma)=\mathfrak{M}_{2}$. There exists some $\mathfrak{M}_{i}$ such that $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}\right)$, and hence, $\left\{I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{j}\right) \Gamma\right\} i \neq j$ is the normal sequence in $\Gamma$. Since $\mathfrak{M}_{2} / \mathfrak{M M}_{2} \approx \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{r} \oplus \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathbb{R}=\mathfrak{R} / \mathfrak{M M}_{2}$ is a direct sum of $m_{2}$ simple components which apper in $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{2} I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}\right) \Gamma$ $+\mathfrak{M M}_{2} / \mathfrak{M M}_{2}=\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{1} \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \oplus \cdots \stackrel{i}{\oplus} \stackrel{i+}{\oplus} \cdots \oplus \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{n} \oplus \mathfrak{M} I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}\right) \Gamma / \mathfrak{M M}_{2}$. Hence, if $i \neq 1, n, \Gamma / I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}\right) \Gamma \approx \Delta_{m_{i}}$ or $\Delta_{m_{i+1}}$ by Lemma 2.1. However, $\Gamma / I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i+1}\right) \Gamma=\Delta_{m_{i}+m_{i+1}}$ by Lemma 2.5 , which is a contradiction. If $i=n$, then $\mathfrak{R}_{2}\left(I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{n}\right) \Gamma\right)=(0)$, and hence, $\mathfrak{M}_{2} I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{n}\right) \Gamma+\mathfrak{N M}_{2} / \mathfrak{M M}_{2}=$ $\Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{3} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda / \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}$, which also contradicts the fact that $I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{n}\right) \Gamma$ is a maximal two-sided ideal. Let $\mathfrak{C}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{t}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{D}=I\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{t-1}\right)$, then $\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{N}$. We assume that $\Gamma=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{C})=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D})$. Then $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right), I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{l}\left(\Gamma I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right), \Gamma I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{r}$ $\left(\Gamma I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right), \Gamma I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)\right)$ by the first part. Hence, $\Omega=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(I\left(\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right)\right.$, $\left.I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M} I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right) \mathfrak{M}^{-1}, \mathfrak{N} I\left(\mathfrak{C}, \mathfrak{M}_{t+1}\right) \mathfrak{N}^{-1}\right)$. Thus, we can prove by induction that for maximal orders $\Omega_{i} \geq \Lambda, \mathbb{E}_{i}=C\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=\mathfrak{R} D\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \mathfrak{R}^{-1}$. Let $\Gamma=\bigcap \Omega_{i}=\bigcap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{C}_{i}, \mathfrak{C}_{i}\right)=\bigcap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(\Re^{-1} \mathfrak{C}_{i} \mathfrak{\Re}, \mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathbb{C}_{i} \mathfrak{\Re}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(\Re^{-1} C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{R}\right.$, $\left.\mathfrak{N}^{-1} C(\Gamma) \mathfrak{R}\right)$, since $\mathfrak{C}(\Gamma)=\Sigma \mathfrak{C}_{i}$.

Theorem 5.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a principal h-order and $\Gamma$ an order containing A. Then every h-order containing $\Lambda$ which is isomorphic to $\Gamma$ is written as $T^{t}(\Gamma)$, where $T$ is the following functor: for $\Omega \supseteq \Lambda T(\Omega)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(C(\Omega), C(\Omega))$, and $T^{r}(\Omega)=T\left(T^{r-1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Proof. It is clear by Theorems 4.2 and 5.1, and Proposition 4.1.

We note that for two $h$-orders $\Lambda \geq \Gamma, C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda) \geq N(\Gamma)$ by Lemma 3.2.
Lemma 5.3. Let $\Gamma$ be an $r$ th order with radical $\mathfrak{\Re}$ and $\mathfrak{\&}$ a left ideal containing $\mathfrak{N}$ in $\Gamma$ such that $\mathfrak{Q} / \mathfrak{R} \approx \Delta_{m_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta_{m_{i}} \otimes \mathfrak{l} \otimes \Delta_{m_{i+2}} \cdots \otimes \Delta_{m_{r}} ; \mathfrak{l} a$ proper left ideal in $\Delta_{m_{i}}$. Then $\Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{R}) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})=\Gamma_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{Z})$ is an $r+1$ th $h$-order and $C(\Gamma)=\Omega$. Hence, $\Lambda$ is uniquely determined by the rank and conductor. Furthermore, every $r+1$ th h-order in $\Gamma$ is expressed as above.

Proof. Since $\Omega \Gamma=\Gamma, \tau_{\Omega}^{l}(\mathfrak{Z})=\Gamma$. If we put $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\Omega, \mathfrak{Z})$, then $\Gamma=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda^{\prime}}^{r}(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$ by [1], Theorem A 2. By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can find an $r+1$ th $h$-order $\Lambda^{\prime}$ such that $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma) / \Re$ $\approx \mathfrak{R} / \mathfrak{R}$. Hence, there exists a unit element $\varepsilon$ in $\Gamma$ such that $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma)=\Omega \varepsilon$. Furthermore, $\Lambda^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma), C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma)\right)=\Gamma_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\Omega \varepsilon, \Omega \varepsilon)=\Gamma_{\cap} \varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma^{\prime} \varepsilon=$ $\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\Gamma \cap \Gamma^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon$. Therefore, $\Lambda=\Gamma_{\cap} \Gamma^{\prime}$ is an $r+1$ th $h$-order. Since $\varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{Q} \varepsilon=$ $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma), \mathfrak{B}=C_{\Lambda}(\Gamma)$. If $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is an $r+1$ th $h$-order $(\subseteq \Gamma)$ such that $C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma)=\mathbb{R}$. Then $\Lambda=\Gamma_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}^{l}\left(C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma), C_{\Lambda^{\prime}}(\Gamma)\right) \supseteqq \Lambda^{\prime}$. Hence $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime}$. The last part is clear.

Let $\Lambda$ be an $h$-order of form ( $m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots, m_{r}$ ) ; $\Lambda / N(\Lambda)=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}$, and $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j}$ a left ideal in $\Lambda$ such that $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j} \geq \mathfrak{R}$, and $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j} / \mathfrak{R}=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{i, j} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}, \mathfrak{l}_{i, j}$ a non-zero left ideal in $\Delta_{m_{i}}$. We denote $\operatorname{Hom}_{\wedge}^{l}\left(\mathfrak{L}_{i, j}, \mathfrak{R}_{i, j}\right)$ by $\Lambda\left(\mathfrak{\Omega}_{i, j}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{I}_{i, j}$ by $l\left(\mathfrak{\Re}_{i, j}\right)$. Let $k\left(\mathfrak{Y}_{i, j}\right)$ be the length of composition series of $\mathfrak{I}_{i, j}$ as a left $\Lambda$-module.

Theorem 5.3. Let $\Lambda, \Lambda\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{i, j}\right)$ be as above. Then $\Gamma=\Lambda \bigcap_{i=1, j=1}^{t, s(i)} \Lambda\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{i, j}\right)$ is an $h$-order if and only if $\left\{l\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)\right\}_{j=1}^{s(i)}$ is linearly ordered by inclusion for all i. Every $r+s(i)$ th h-order in $\Lambda$ is uniquely written as above.

Proof. We assume that $\Gamma$ is an $h$-order and $\Lambda_{0}$ is a minimal $h$-order in $\Gamma$. Let $S_{i}=\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}}, \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{t_{i}+m_{i}-1}\right\}$ be a set of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda_{0}$ such that $C_{\Lambda_{0}}(\Lambda)=I\left(S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{r}\right)$, (cf. Section 2). We denote $\Lambda_{\cap} \Lambda\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)$ by $\Gamma_{j}$. Since $\Gamma_{j}$ is an $r+1$ th order from Lemma 2.5 we obtain $C_{\Lambda_{0}}\left(\Gamma_{j}\right)=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i-1}, S_{i}^{*}, \cdots, S_{r}\right) ; S_{i}^{*}=S_{i}-\left\{\mathcal{M}_{\rho(j)}\right\}$. We assume $\rho\left(j_{1}\right)<\rho\left(j_{2}\right)$. Let $\bar{S}_{i}=S_{i}-\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\rho\left(j_{1}\right)}, \mathfrak{M}_{\rho\left(j_{2}\right)}\right\}, \mathfrak{C}=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i-1}, \bar{S}_{i}, S_{i+1}, \cdots, S_{r}\right)$. Then $\Gamma^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{(}, \mathbb{(})$ is an $r+2$ th $h$-order and $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma_{j_{1}} \cap \Gamma_{j_{2}}, \Lambda=\Gamma_{j_{1}} \cup \Gamma_{j_{2}}$. Let $\mathfrak{R}_{1}=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i-1}, S_{i}-\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\rho\left(j_{2}\right)}\right\}, \cdots, S_{r}\right) \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{N}_{2}=I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i}-\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{\rho\left(j_{1}\right)}\right\}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, S_{r}\right) \Gamma^{\prime}$, then we obtain a normal sequence $\left\{\mathfrak{N}_{1}, \mathfrak{N}_{2}, \mathfrak{R}_{3}, \cdots\right\}$ in $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by Theorem 2.3, and $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{j_{1}}\right)=\mathfrak{R}_{2}, C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma_{j_{2}}\right)=\mathfrak{R}_{1}$. Since $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda)=I\left(\mathfrak{R}_{1}, \mathfrak{R}_{2}\right)$, $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda) / N(\Lambda)=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{i-1}} \oplus \mathfrak{l}^{k} \oplus \Delta_{m_{i+1}} \oplus \cdots$ by the usual argument in Sections 2 and 3 , where $\Gamma^{\prime} / \mathfrak{R}_{3}=\Delta_{k}$, and $\mathfrak{l}$ is a simple left ideal in $\Delta_{m_{i}}$. On the other hand, since $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j_{2}}=C_{\Gamma_{j_{2}}}(\Lambda)=I\left(\mathfrak{R}_{1}, \mathfrak{R}_{2}\right) \Gamma_{j_{2}}$, and $\left\{I\left(\mathfrak{R}_{1}, \mathfrak{R}_{2}\right) \Gamma_{j_{2}}\right.$,
$\left.I\left(\mathfrak{R}_{1}, \mathfrak{R}_{3}\right) \Gamma_{j_{2}}, \cdots\right\}$ is a normal sequence in $\Gamma_{j_{2}}$, we obtain $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j_{2}} / \mathfrak{R}(\Lambda)=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{i-1}} \oplus \mathfrak{l}^{k} \oplus \Delta_{m_{i+1}} \oplus \cdots \approx C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda) / N(\Lambda)$. However, $\mathbb{R}_{i, j_{2}} \supseteq C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda)$, and hence $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j_{2}}=C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda) \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_{i, j_{1}}$. Thus we have proved that $\left\{l\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{i, j}\right)\right\}_{j}$ is linearly ordered for any $i$. Conversely, we assume that $\left\{l\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)\right\}_{j}$ is linearly ordered for all $i$, and $k\left(\mathrm{l}_{i, 1}\right)>k\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i, 2}\right)>k\left(\mathrm{Y}_{i, s(i)}\right)$. Let $\Lambda_{0}$ be a minimal order in $\Lambda$ and $\left\{S_{i}\right\}$ be as above. If we denote $I\left(S_{1}, \cdots, S_{i-1}, S_{i}-M_{t_{i}+m_{i}-k\left(l_{i}, j\right)}\right.$, $\left.S_{i+1}, \cdots\right)$ by $\mathfrak{\Im}_{i, j}$, then $\Gamma_{i, j}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda_{0}}^{r}\left(\complement_{i, j}, \mathfrak{c}_{i, j}\right)$ is an $r+1$ th order in $\Lambda$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{i, j}^{\prime}=C_{\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}, j}(\Lambda) \approx \mathfrak{R}_{i, j}$. Furthermore, we know by the above argument that $\left\{l\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)\right\}_{j}$ is linearly ordered. Therefore, there exists a unit element $\varepsilon$ in $\Lambda$ such that $\mathcal{R}_{i, j}=\mathfrak{R}_{i, j}^{\prime} \varepsilon$ for all $i, j$. Hence $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Lambda_{\cap} \bigcap_{i, j} \Lambda\left(\mathfrak{R}_{i, j}\right)=\Lambda_{\cap} \bigcap_{i, j} \varepsilon^{-1} \Lambda\left(\mathfrak{R}_{i, j}^{\prime}\right) \varepsilon$ $=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(\Lambda \bigcap_{i, j} \Lambda\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)\right) \varepsilon$ is an $h$-order containing $\varepsilon^{-1} \Lambda_{0} \varepsilon$. The second part is clear from the proof.

From the above proof we have
Corollary 5.1. Let $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\bigcap} \bigcap_{j, i} \Lambda\left(\ell_{i, j}\right)$, and $k(i, j)=k\left(l\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)\right)$. If $k_{i, j}$ $>k_{i, j^{\prime}}$, for $j<j^{\prime}, \Gamma$ is of a form $\left(m_{1}-k_{1,1}, k_{1,1}-k_{1,2}, \cdots, k_{1, s(1)}, \cdots, m_{i}-k_{i, 1}\right.$, $\left.k_{i, 1}-k_{i, 2}, \cdots, k_{i, s(i)}, \cdots\right)$.

Corollary 5.2. Let $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ be h-orders. Then $\bigcap_{i} \Omega_{i}$ is an h-order if and only if intersection of any two of the $\Omega_{i}$ 's is an h-order.

Proof. Since every $h$-order is written as an intersection of maximal orders, we may assume that the $\Omega_{i}$ 's are maximal. If $\Omega_{1} \cap \Omega_{i}$ is an $h$ order, then $\Omega_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{1}}^{b}\left(\Omega_{i}, \Omega_{i}\right)$, for a left ideal $\Omega_{i}\left(>N\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\right)$ in $\Omega_{1}$. Let $\Omega_{i}+\mathfrak{Z}_{j}=\mathfrak{R}$. Then $\Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j} \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{1}}^{l}(\Omega, \Omega)$. Hence $\Omega_{i}$ or $\Omega_{j}$ is equal to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Omega_{1}}^{l}(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{R})$ by [5], Theorem 3.3. Therefore, $\mathbb{R}=\mathfrak{R}_{i}$ or $\mathfrak{R}_{j}$ which shows that $\left\{\Omega_{i}\right\}$ is linearly ordered. Hence $\cap \Omega_{i}$ is an $h$-order by the theorem. Converse is clear by [5], Corollary 1.4.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\Lambda$ be an h-order and $\mathfrak{\&}$ a left ideal containing $N(\Lambda)$ such that $\mathbb{B} \Lambda=\Lambda$. Then $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{R})$ is a unique maximal order among orders $\Gamma^{\prime}$ in $\Lambda$ such that $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda)=\mathfrak{Q}$. Hence $\mathfrak{Z}$ is idempotent.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}=\bigcap_{i} \mathfrak{R}_{i} ; \mathfrak{R}_{i} / \mathfrak{R}=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}$. Then $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\cap}$ $\bigcap_{i} \Lambda\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$. Hence, $C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda) \subseteq \cap C_{\Lambda\left(\Omega_{i}\right)}(\Lambda)=\cap \mathcal{R}_{i}=\Omega$. It is clear that $C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda) \supseteq \Omega$. If $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda)=\mathfrak{Z}$ for an $h$-order $\Gamma^{\prime} \leq \Lambda$. Then $\Gamma^{\prime} \leq \Lambda_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{R})=\Gamma$, since $C_{\Gamma^{\prime}}(\Lambda)$ is a two-sided ideal in $\Gamma^{\prime}$.

Corollary 5. 3. Let $\Gamma=\Lambda \bigcap_{i, j} \Lambda\left(\mathcal{R}_{i, j}\right)$, then $C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda)=\bigcap_{i, j} \mathfrak{R}_{i, j}$.
Proof. Let $C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda)=\cap \mathfrak{Z}_{i}$, where the $\mathfrak{R}_{i}$ 's are as in the proof of

Corollary 5.2. $\quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\Lambda_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\triangle}^{l}\left(C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda), C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda)\right) \supseteqq \Gamma \quad$ and $\quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\Lambda_{\cap} \bigcap_{i} \Lambda\left(\Omega_{i}\right)$. Since $\Lambda\left(\Omega_{i}\right) \supset \Gamma, \mathfrak{R}_{i}=\mathfrak{R}_{k, j}$ for some $k, j$. Hence $C_{\Gamma}(\Lambda)=\cap \mathfrak{R}_{i, j}$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $\Lambda$ be a principal h-order and $\mathfrak{R}$ a left ideal in ム. Then $\mathfrak{R}$ is principal if and only if $\tau_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{Z})=\Lambda$ and $\Lambda(\mathfrak{R})$ is principal.

Proof. If $\mathbb{R}=\Lambda \alpha$, then $\Lambda(\mathbb{R})=\alpha^{-1} \Lambda \alpha$, and hence $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ is principal, and $\tau_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{R})=\mathbb{R}^{-1}=\Lambda \alpha \alpha^{-1} \Lambda=\Lambda$. If $\tau_{\Lambda}^{l}(\mathfrak{R})=\Lambda, \Lambda=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}(\mathfrak{Z})(\mathfrak{R}, \mathfrak{R})$. Furthermore if $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ is principal, $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda(\mathbb{Z})$ have the same form, and hence $\mathbb{R}$ is principal by [5], Corollary 4.5 .

We shall discuss further properties of one-sided ideals in the forthcoming paper [7].

Proposition 5.3. For any $r$ th order $\Gamma$, there exist $n-r+1$ minimal $h$-orders $\Lambda_{i}$ such that $\Gamma=\bigvee \Lambda_{i}$, where $n$ is the length of maximal chain for $h$-orders in $\Sigma$.

Proof. We prove the proposition by induction on rank $r$ of orders. If $r=n$, then $\Gamma$ is minimal. If $\Gamma$ is an $r$ th order $(r<n)$, then $\Gamma / N(\Gamma)$ $=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}$, and $m_{i}>1$ for some $i$. Therefore, there exist two distinct left ideals $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ in $\Gamma$ by Theorem 5.3 such that $L_{1}=C_{\Omega_{1}}(\Gamma)$, and $C_{\Omega_{2}}(\Gamma)=\Omega_{2}$ for some $r+1$ th orders $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. Since $\Omega_{1} \neq \Omega_{2}, \Gamma=$ $\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. By induction hypothesis we obtain that $\Omega_{i}=\int_{j=1}^{n-r} \Lambda_{i, j}$, where the $\Lambda_{i, j}$ 's are minimal $h$-orders. Since $\Omega_{1} \neq \Omega_{2}$, there exists $\Lambda_{2, j} \mp \Omega_{1}$. Hence $\Gamma=\Omega_{1} \cup \Lambda_{2, j}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-r+1} \Lambda_{i}$.

## 6. Numbers of $\boldsymbol{h}$-orders.

We shall count numbers of $h$-orders in an $h$-order.
Lemma 6.1. Let $\Gamma \geq \Lambda$ be h-orders and $\varepsilon$ a unit in $\Gamma$. If $\varepsilon^{-1} \Lambda \varepsilon=\Lambda$ then $\varepsilon \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since $\varepsilon \Lambda=\Lambda \varepsilon$ is a two-sided inversible ideal with respect to
 $\Lambda \varepsilon^{t}=\mathfrak{M}^{t \rho}=\mathfrak{p}^{\rho} \Lambda$. Hence, $\varepsilon^{-t} \mathfrak{p}^{\rho}$ is a unit in $\Lambda$, and hence in $\Gamma$. Therefore, $\rho=0$, which implies $\Lambda \varepsilon=\Lambda$.

Proposition 6.1. Let $\Omega$ be an h-order. If $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic by an inner-automorpism in $\Omega$ for $\Gamma_{i} \leq \Omega(i=1,2)$, and $\Gamma_{1} \neq \Gamma_{2}$, then $\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}$ is not an h-order.

Proof. If $\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}$ is $h$-order, there exists a minimal $h$-order $\Lambda$ in
$\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$. Since $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$ are isomorphic by an inner-automorphism in $\Omega$, they are isomorphic over $\Lambda$ by Theorem 4.2. Hence, $\varepsilon \Lambda \varepsilon^{-1}=\Lambda$. Therefore, $\varepsilon$ is a unit in $\Lambda$, and in $\Gamma_{i}$, which is a contradiction to the fact $\Gamma_{1} \neq \Gamma_{2}$.

Corollary 6.1. Let $\Omega$ be a maximal order and $\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}$ nonmaximal distinct principal h-orders of same rank in $\Omega$, then $\Gamma_{1} \cap \Gamma_{2}$ is not an $h$ order.

Proof. Let $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ be minimal $h$-orders contained in $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, respectively. Then $\Lambda_{2}=\varepsilon^{-1} \Lambda_{1} \varepsilon ; \varepsilon$ unit in $\Omega$ by Corollary to Theorem 3.1. However, by Theorems 2.3 and 4.1, $\Gamma_{2}=\varepsilon^{-1} \Gamma_{1} \varepsilon$.

Corollary 6.2. Let $\Omega$ be an h-order, and $\left\{\Gamma_{i}\right\}$ the set of $r$ th h-orders between $\Omega$ and a fixed minimal h-order $\Lambda$ in $\Omega$. Then every $r$ th order in $\Omega$ is isomorphic by inner-automorphism in $\Omega$ to some $\Gamma_{i}$, and those isomorphic classes by units in $\Omega$ do not meet each other.

It is clear by the proof of Theorem 3.1 and the proposition.
Theorem 6.1. The following conditions are equivalent:

1) The number of h-orders in a maximal order is finite,
2) The number of h-orders in a nonminimal h-order is finite.
3) $R / \mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field.

To prove this we use the following elementary property.
Lemma 6.2. Let $B=\Delta_{n}$ be a simple ring and $L=B e_{1,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus B e_{r, r}$, then for any unit element $\varepsilon$ in $B L \varepsilon=L$ if and only if

$$
\varepsilon=r\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{C} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{l|l}
r & 0 \\
\varepsilon_{2}
\end{array}\right.\right)
$$

$\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}$ are units in $\Delta_{r}$ and $\Delta_{n-r}$, and $C$ is an arbitrary element in $(n-r) \times r$ matrices over $\Delta$.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a nonminimal $r$ th $h$-order. By Theorem $5.3 r+1$ th $h$-orders contained in $\Gamma$ correspond uniquely to left ideals $\mathfrak{Z}_{i} ; \mathfrak{Z}_{i} / N(\Gamma)=\Delta_{m_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{i} \oplus \cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{r}}$. Hence, the number of $r+1$ th $h$ orders in $\Gamma$ is equal to the number of those left ideals. The number of left ideals in $\Gamma / N(\Gamma)$ which are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{R}_{i} / N(\Gamma)$ is equal to $\left[(\Gamma / N(\Gamma))^{*}: 1\right] /\left[E\left(\Omega_{i}\right): 1\right]$, where $*$ means the group of units and $E\left(\Omega_{i}\right)=$ $\left\{\varepsilon \mid \in(\Gamma / N(\Gamma))^{*},\left(\mathcal{R}_{i} / N(\Gamma)\right) \varepsilon \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{i} / N(\Gamma)\right\}$. Since $[\Delta: R / \mathfrak{p}]<\infty,\left[(\Gamma / N(\Gamma))^{*}: 1\right] /$ $\left[E\left(\mathfrak{R}_{i}\right): 1\right]<\infty$ if and only if $[R / \mathfrak{p}: 1]<\infty$ by Lemma 6.1. Thus, we
obtain 2$) \Leftrightarrow 3$ ). Since the length of maximal chain is finite, we have 1) $\Leftrightarrow 2$ ).

If we want to count the number of $h$-orders in $\Gamma$, we may use the argument in the proof of Theorem 6.1. However, it is complicated a little. By virtue of Corollary 6.2, we may fix a minimal $h$-order in $\Lambda$. From this point, we shall study the numbers of $h$-orders in the special case as follows.

In Section 1, we have noted that we may restrict $R$ to the case of a complete, discrete valuation ring. By $\wedge$ we mean completion with respect to the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in $R$. Let $\Omega$ be a maximal order with radical $\mathfrak{R} ; \Omega / \mathfrak{R}=\Delta_{n}$. Let $\hat{\Sigma}=T_{n^{\prime}} ; T$ division ring, then $\hat{\Omega}=\mathfrak{D}_{n^{\prime}}$, where $\mathfrak{O}$ is a unique maximal order with radical $(\pi)$ in $T$. Since $\Omega / \mathfrak{R} \approx \hat{\Omega} / \hat{\mathfrak{R}}$, $n^{\prime}=n$.

In order to decide all types of $h$-orders in $\Sigma$, we may consider $h$ orders containing a fixed minimal $h$-order by Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 1.2, we obtain a minimal $h$-order $\Lambda$, which we shall fix in this section; namely

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda & =\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma, a_{i, i} \in \mathfrak{O}, a_{i, j} \in(\pi) \text { for } i>j\right\}, \\
N(\Lambda) & =\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Lambda, a_{i, i} \in(\pi)\right\}=\mathfrak{N}, \\
\mathfrak{R}^{-1} & =\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma, a_{i, j} \in \mathfrak{D} \text { if } i \neq n, i \neq 1 ; a_{j, j} \in(\pi) \text { if } i+1<j\right. \\
& \left.\quad \text { and } a_{n, 1} \in(1 / \pi) \mathfrak{O}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on we denote $\hat{\Sigma}, \hat{\Omega}, \hat{K}$ by $\Sigma, \Omega, R$, respectively.
Let $\mathfrak{M}_{i}=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Lambda, a_{i i} \in(\pi)\right\}$. Then the $\mathbb{M}_{i}$ 's are the set of maximal two-sided ideals in $\Lambda$. Since $e_{i-1, i} \pi e_{i, i} e_{i, i-1}=\pi e_{i-1, i-1} \in \mathfrak{R}^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N}$, we know that $\mathfrak{N}^{-1} \mathfrak{M}_{i} \mathfrak{N}=\mathfrak{M}_{i-1}$. Hence, $\left\{\mathfrak{M}_{n}, \mathfrak{M}_{n-1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right\}$ is the normal sequence in $\Lambda$. We can easily check that $\Gamma_{i}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{i}, \mathfrak{M}_{i}\right)=$ the ring generated by $\Lambda$ and $e_{i-1, i}$ if $i \neq 1$, and that $\Gamma_{1}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{r}\left(\mathfrak{M}_{1}, \mathfrak{M}_{1}\right)=\left\{\left(a_{i, j}\right) \mid \in \Sigma, a_{i, j} \in(\pi)\right.$ for $i<j, a_{i, j} \in \mathfrak{D}$ for $i \neq n, j \neq 1$, and $\left.a_{n, 1} \in(1 / \pi) \mathfrak{\bigcirc}\right\}$. Hence, $\left\{\Gamma_{2}, \cdots, \Gamma_{n}\right\}$ is a complete set of $n-1$ th order in $\Omega$. For any order $\Gamma$ between $\Omega$ and $\Lambda, C(\Gamma)=I\left(\mathrm{M}_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{M}_{i_{r}}\right)\left(i_{j}>1\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is the ring generated by $\Lambda$ and $\left\{e_{j-1, j}\right\} j=i_{1}, \cdots, i_{r}$.

Summarizing the above, we have
Theorem 6.2.6) Every h-order in $\Sigma$ is isomorphic to the following. type
6) Those types are changed by the suggestion of Mr. Higikata.

|  |  |  |  | $m_{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathfrak{O}\left(m_{1} \times m_{1}\right)$ | $\pi \bigcirc\left(m_{1} \times m_{2}\right)$ | ......... | $\pi \bigcirc\left(m_{1} \times m_{r}\right)$ |
|  | $\mathfrak{O}\left(m_{2} \times m_{1}\right)$ | $\bigcirc\left(m_{2} \times m_{2}\right)$ | ......... | $\pi \bigcirc\left(m_{2} \times m_{r}\right)$ |
| : | ! | ! | ......... | ! |
| $m_{r}$ | $\left.\mathfrak{(} m_{r} \times m_{1}\right)$ | $\mathfrak{O}\left(m_{r} \times m_{2}\right)$ | ......... | $\mathfrak{D}\left(m_{r} \times m_{r}\right)$ |

where $n=\Sigma m_{i}$, and $\mathfrak{O}(i \times j)$ : all $(i \times j)$ matrices over $\mathfrak{O}$.
We shall return to problem of counting the number of $h$-orders. By virtue of Theorem 6.1, we may assume that $\Re / \mathfrak{p}$ is a finite field and hence, $\Omega / \pi=G F\left(p^{m}\right)$.

Lemma 6.3. Let $\Gamma, \Omega$ be as above. Then the number of isomorphic classes of $\Gamma$ by unit element in $\Omega$ is equal to $\left[(\Omega / \pi \Omega)^{*}:(\Gamma / \pi \Omega)^{*}\right]$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, this number is equal to [ $\left.\Omega^{*}: \Gamma^{*}\right]$, and by the above remark $\pi \Gamma \subseteq N(\Gamma)$. Hence, we have $(\Omega / \pi \Omega)^{*} /(\Gamma / \pi \Omega)^{*} \approx \Omega^{*} / \Gamma^{*}$.

LEMMA 6.4. $\left[(\Omega / \pi \Omega)^{*}:(\Gamma / \pi \Omega)^{*}\right]=\left(p^{m n}-1\right)\left(p^{n m}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p^{n m}-p^{m(n-1)}\right)$ $/ \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(p^{m_{i}^{m}}-1\right)\left(p^{m_{i}^{m}}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p_{i}^{m}-p^{m\left(m_{i}-1\right)}\right) p^{m s}, s=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}\left(n-m_{1}-m_{2}-\cdots-m_{i}\right)$.

Proof. It is clear that $\Omega / \pi \Omega=(\mathfrak{O} / \pi)_{n}$ and $\left[(\mathcal{O} / \pi)_{n}^{*}: 1\right]=\left[G L\left(n, p^{m}\right): 1\right]$ $=\left(p^{m n}-1\right)\left(p^{n m}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p^{m n}-p^{m(n-1)}\right)$ by [4], p. 77, Theorem 99. $\Gamma / \pi \Omega=$

$$
\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B_{1,1} & \\
0 \\
* & \ddots \\
B_{r, r}
\end{array}\right)\right\},
$$

and hence, $r(\in \Gamma / \pi \Omega)$ is unit if and only if the $B_{i, i}$ are unit in $(\mathcal{O} / \pi) m_{i}$. Therefore, $\left[(\Gamma / \pi \Omega)^{*}: 1\right]={ }_{i=1}^{r}\left(G L\left(m_{i}, p^{m}\right): 1\right) p^{m s}, s=\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}\left(n-m_{1}-m_{2}-\cdots\right.$ $-m_{i}$ ).

By Corollary 6.4, and Theorem 4.1, we have
Theorem 6.3. The number of $r$ th $h$-orders in a maximal order is equal to
$\sum_{m_{1}+m_{2}+\cdots+m_{r}=n}\left\{p^{n m}-1\right)\left(p^{n m}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p^{n m}-p^{m(n-1)}\right) / \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(p^{m_{i}^{m}}-1\right)\left(p^{m_{i}^{m}}-p^{m}\right) \cdots$ $\left(p^{m_{i} m^{2}}-p^{m\left(m_{i}-1\right)}\right) p^{m}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_{i}\left(n-m_{1}-\cdots-m_{i}\right)\right\}$. The number of $r$ th principal $h$-orders in $r^{\prime}$ th principal $h$-order is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\left(p^{m n / r^{\prime}}-1\right)\left(p^{m n / r^{\prime}}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p^{m n / r^{\prime}}-p^{m\left(n / r^{\prime}-1\right)}\right)\right\}^{r^{\prime}} / \\
& \left\{\left(p^{m n / r}-1\right)\left(p^{m n / r}-p^{m}\right) \cdots\left(p^{m n / r}-p^{m(n / r-1)}\right)\right\}^{r} p^{\left(m n^{2} / 2\right)\left(r-r^{\prime} / r r^{\prime}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Especially, the number of minimal h-orders in a maximal order is equal to

$$
\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(1+p^{m}+\cdots+p^{m_{i}}\right)
$$

We shall describe $\Lambda$ as follows:

$$
\left.\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{l}
A_{1,1} \pi A_{1,2} \pi A_{1,3} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots A_{1, m} \\
A_{2,1} A_{2,2} \pi A_{2,3} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
\\
A_{m, 1} A_{m, 2} \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots
\end{array}\right) ; \begin{array}{l}
A_{2, m}
\end{array}\right) ; \begin{aligned}
& A_{i, j} \text { is matrices of } \\
& m_{i} \times m_{j} \text { over } \wp .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi A_{1,1} \pi A_{1,2} & \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \pi A_{1, m} \\
A_{2,1} \pi A_{2,2} & \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \pi A_{2, m} \\
& & \\
A_{m, 1} A_{m, 2} & \cdots & A_{m, m-1} \pi A_{m, m}
\end{array}\right) ; N^{m}=\pi \Lambda
$$

Let $t$ be the ramification index of a maximal order, namely $\pi^{t}=p e$, $e \in \mathfrak{O}$. Then we have a explicit result of Theorem 2.2.

Proposition 6.2. Let $\Lambda$ be an $r$ th $h$-order, then its ramification index is equal to tr.

Proposition 6.3. Let $\Lambda$ be an $r$ th principal h-order, and $\alpha$ an element in $\Lambda$ such that $\Lambda \alpha^{n / r}=N(\Lambda)$ for some $n$. Then $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\cap} \alpha^{-1} \Lambda \alpha_{\cap} \cdots \cap$ $\alpha^{-(n / r)+1} \Lambda \alpha^{1-(n / r)}$ is an $n$th principal $h$-order, and any $n$th principal $h$-order $\Gamma$ in $\Lambda$ is written as above and $N(\Gamma)=\alpha \Gamma=\Gamma \alpha$, where $r \mid n$.

Proof. If $\Gamma$ is an $n$th principal $h$-order with $N(\Gamma)=\alpha \Gamma$ in $\Lambda$, we can easily show, by Theorems 2.1 and 2.3 , that $\alpha^{n / r} \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha^{n / r}$ and $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\cap}$ $\alpha^{-1} \Lambda \alpha_{\cap} \cdots \cap \alpha^{-(n / r)+1} \Lambda \alpha^{1-(n / r)}$. Since $\alpha^{n / r} \Lambda=\Lambda \alpha^{n / r}, \alpha^{n / r} \Lambda=N(\Lambda)^{l}$. However $\alpha^{n t} \Lambda=p \Lambda$, and hence $l=1$ by Proposition 6.2. Therefore, $\Lambda \alpha^{n / r}=N(\Lambda)$. Conversely if $\Lambda \alpha^{n / r}=N(\Lambda), \Lambda \alpha^{i}$ is a left ideal in $\Lambda$ containing $N(\Lambda)$ for $i \leqslant n / r$, and $\Lambda \alpha^{i} / \Lambda \alpha^{i+1} \approx \Lambda / \Lambda \alpha$ as a left $\Lambda$-module. If $\Lambda \alpha \Lambda \neq \Lambda, \Lambda / \Lambda \alpha \approx \mathfrak{l}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{2} \oplus$ $\cdots \oplus \Delta_{m_{i}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{r}$ for some $i$. Hence, since $\Lambda / \Lambda \alpha \approx \Lambda \alpha / \Lambda \alpha^{2}, \Lambda \alpha^{2} \supseteq N(\Lambda)$, we have a contradiction. Since $\Lambda$ is principal, $\Lambda \alpha^{(n / r)-1} / N(\Lambda)=\mathfrak{l}_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{l}_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus$ $\mathfrak{Y}_{r}, \Lambda \alpha^{i} / N(\Lambda)=\mathfrak{Y}_{1}^{(n / r)-i)} \oplus \mathfrak{Y}_{2}^{((n / r)-i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{Y}_{r}^{((n / r)-i)}$. Then $\Gamma=\Lambda_{\cap} \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}^{l}(\Lambda \alpha, \Lambda \alpha)$ $\cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(\Lambda \alpha^{2}, \Lambda \alpha^{2}\right) \cap \cdots \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}^{l}\left(\Lambda \alpha^{(n / r)-1}, \Lambda \alpha^{(n / r)-1}\right)=\Lambda_{\cap} \alpha^{-1} \Lambda \alpha_{\cap} \cdots{ }^{\prime} \alpha^{1-(n / r)}$ $\Lambda \alpha^{(n / r)-1}$ is a principal $n$th $h$-order by Corollary 5.1. It is clear that $\alpha \Gamma=\Gamma \alpha$. Hence $\alpha \Gamma=N(\Gamma)^{l} \beta^{l} \Gamma$. However, $\mathfrak{p}=\left(\alpha^{n / r}\right)^{r t} \mathcal{E}=\beta^{r l t} \mathcal{E}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{p}^{l} \mathcal{E}^{\prime \prime}$, where $\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ are units in $\Lambda$. Hence $l=1$.
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[^0]:    3) $i$ means that we omit $i$ th component.
