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Introduction. The classification of real simple Lie algebras was given first by E.Cartan [2] in 1914. Though his first classification lacked in general theorems, Cartan himself [5] established in 1929 a general theorem suitable to simplify the classification. Then Gantmacher [6] in 1939 gave a simplified classification depending on Cartan's general theorem by making use of his theory on canonical representation of automorphisms of complex semi-simple Lie groups.

In his earlier papers [5, 3] Cartan established a priori a one-one correspondence between non-compact real simple Lie algebras and irreducible infinitesimal symmetric spaces (compact or non-compact), where "infinitesimal" means locally isomorphic classes. Hence the infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces is the same thing as the classification of non-compact real simple Lie algebras.

Let $g$ be a real semi-simple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{f}$ a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we have a Cartan decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{p}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{f}$ with respect to the Killing form. In the classical theories of classification of real simple Lie algebras due to E.Cartan and Gantmacher, one used a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{K}_{1}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose torus part $\mathfrak{h}_{1} \cap \mathfrak{f}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{K}$, whereas certain geometric objects (such as roots, geodesics etc.) of symmetric spaces are related to a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ whose vector part $\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}$ (cf., Cartan [4], Bott-Samelson [1] and Satake [7]). The two types of Cartan subalgebras mentioned above are not the same and even nonconjugate to each other in general. So it seems preferable to the author to have a classification theory by making use of the latter Cartan subalgebra, so as to connect it more closely with the theory of roots of symmetric spaces, and this will be developed in the present work.

If we denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ the complexifications of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ respectively, the conjugation of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ with respect to g defines an involutive automorphism $\sigma$ of the system $\mathfrak{r}$ of non-zero roots of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$. In $\S 1$ are discussed some basic properties (Props. 1.1 and 1.3) of $\mathfrak{r}$ endowed with the invlution $\sigma$ which are more or less known.

In $\S 2$ we define root systems and $\sigma$-systems of roots in the abstract, and are sketched briefly some basic properties of them. Here is defined the notion that a $\sigma$-system of
roots r is normal, on the basis of Prop. 1.3, which covers the basic properties of the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ such that the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{G}$ has a maximal vector part. If $\mathfrak{r}$ is normal, then the set $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$(No. 2.4) forms a root system called a restricted root system (Prop. 2.1). In Nos. 2.6 and 2.7 are discussed some relations between multiplicities of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$and the inner products of roots of $\mathfrak{r}$ associated with $\lambda$ for a normal $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$. These relations are basic tools in the classification of normal $\sigma$-systems of roots with restricted rank 1 given in $\S 3$. In Nos. 2.9 and 2.10 are used the notion; of a $\sigma$-order and a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ due to Satake [7]. It is shown that two real simple Lie algebras are isomorphic to each other if and only if their $\sigma$-fundamental systems are $\sigma$-isomorphic, via Co.. 2.15 and Prop.1.2. Consequently our task is reduced to classify all normally extendable (No. 2.3) $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-fundamental systems up to $\sigma$-isomorphisms.

Now it becomes important to determine whether any given $\sigma$-fundamental system is normally extendable or not. In $\S 3$ this problem is rduced to the case of normal $\sigma$ systems of roots with restricted rank 1 (Theo. 3.6). In $\S 4$ first $\sigma$-fundamental systems of normal $\sigma$-systems of roots with restricted rank 1 are all classified, and then it is determined whether they are normally extendable or not, based on two Lemmas (Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7). Finally in $\S 5$ the complete classification is achieved.

## §1. Preliminaries.

1.1. Let $\mathbf{C}$ be the field of complex numbers and $\mathfrak{g}_{C}$ be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra. A real Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$, whose complexification $\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathrm{g}$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ by a map: $\alpha \otimes X \rightarrow \alpha X$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, is usually called a real form of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$.

Let g be a real form of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$. The conjugation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ with respect to g , defined by $\sigma(\alpha X)=\bar{\alpha} X$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, is an anti-involution of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$, namely $\sigma$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ as a real Lie algebra and is anti-linear as a map of a vector space over C. Conversely, let $\sigma$ be an anti-involution of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$. Then the set g consisting of all fixed elements of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ by $\sigma$ is a real form of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$, and $\sigma$ is identical with the conjugation of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$. Thus real forms and anti-involutions of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ are in a one-one correspondence in the above natural way. A real form corresponding to an anti-involution $\sigma$ is denoted by $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$. So, when we are considering a real form $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}, \sigma$ always means the corresponding anti-involution of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$.
1.2. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$ the system of non-zero roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$. We have the well known decomposition:

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}=\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}} \mathrm{g}_{\alpha},
$$

where $\mathrm{g}_{\alpha}$ is the eigenspace of $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$. A "Weyl base" $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ is defined as to satisfy the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\alpha} \in \mathrm{g}_{\alpha} \text { for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}, \\
& {\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right]=-H_{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{\alpha}\left(\in \mathfrak{h}_{c}\right)$ is defined by $\left\langle H_{\alpha}, H\right\rangle=\alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$,

$$
\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\right]=\begin{array}{ll}
N_{\alpha, \beta} E_{\alpha+\beta} & \text { if } \alpha+\beta \in \mathfrak{r} \\
0 & \text { if } \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \text { and } \notin \mathfrak{r}
\end{array}
$$

such that $N_{\alpha, \beta}=N_{-\alpha,-\beta}$ is a real number for each pair $(\alpha, \beta)$.
Let $\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ be a compact real form of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$, i.e., the Killing form is negative definite on it. We can choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ and a Weyl base such that $\tau \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ and that $\tau E_{\alpha}=E_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$ (cf., [8], Exp. 11, théorème 2.2). Let us once for all fix $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$ and a Weyl base $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\}$ in these relations.

A real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ is said to be related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$ if $\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}=\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$ and $\sigma \tau=\tau \sigma$. Let $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ be related to $\left(\mathrm{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$. Putting $\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}=\mathrm{g}_{\sigma} \cap \mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ (a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ ), we obtain the Cartan decompositions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}=\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}+\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}=\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}+\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ with respect to the Killing form. $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ are invariant by $\tau$ and $\sigma$ respectively, and $\sigma \mid \mathrm{g}_{\tau}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\tau \mid \mathrm{g}_{\sigma}\right)$ is an involutive automorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ (or of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ ), where $\mid$ denotes the restriction of a map to a subset. $\left(\mathrm{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}, \sigma \mid \mathrm{g}_{\tau}\right)$ (or $\left(\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}, \mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}, \tau \mid \mathrm{g}_{\sigma}\right)$ ) is an infinitesimal compact (or non-compact) symmetric pair corresponding to $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$.
1.3. Let a real form $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ be related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{r}, \mathfrak{h}_{c}\right)$ as in the above No. $\sigma$ induces an anti-involution $\sigma^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{c}^{*}$ (dual space of $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$ ) defined by

$$
\left(\sigma^{*} \varphi\right)(H)=\overline{\varphi(\sigma H)} \quad \text { for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}_{c}
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}, \sigma^{*} \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{g}_{\alpha}\right)=\mathrm{g}_{\sigma^{*} \alpha}$. Putting

$$
\sigma \mathrm{E}_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} \mathrm{E}_{\sigma^{*} \alpha} \quad \text { for each } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r},
$$

we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varrho_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma^{*} \alpha}=1, \varrho_{\alpha} \varrho_{-\alpha}=1 \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in \mathfrak{x},  \tag{1.2}\\
& \varrho_{\alpha+\beta} N_{\alpha, \beta}=\varrho_{\alpha} \varrho_{\beta} N_{\sigma^{*}, \sigma^{*} \beta} \tag{1.3}
\end{align*} \text { for } \quad \alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \mathfrak{r}, ~ l
$$

since $\sigma$ is an anti-involution, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha}=\varrho_{-\alpha} \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\sigma \tau=\tau \sigma$. By (1.2) and (1.4) we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varrho_{\alpha}\right|=1 \quad \text { for any } \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{x} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{r}_{o}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} ; \quad \sigma^{*} \alpha=-\alpha\right\} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ is a closed subsystem of roots of $\mathfrak{r}$. (1.2) and (1.5) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{\alpha}=\varrho_{-\alpha}= \pm 1 \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{f}_{G}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{c}$ be the complexifications of $\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$. We have the following orthogonal decompositions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{g}_{c}=\mathfrak{f}_{c}+\mathfrak{p}_{c}, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{c}^{+}+\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{c}}^{-}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{c}^{+}=\mathfrak{h}_{c} \cap \mathfrak{f}_{c}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{c}^{-}=\mathfrak{h}_{c} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{c} . \quad \sigma \tau X=X \quad$ for $X \in \mathfrak{f}_{c}$, whereas $\sigma \tau X=-X$ for $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{0}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$; if $\varrho_{\alpha}=1$, then $E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{f}_{\sigma}$ since $\sigma \tau\left(E_{ \pm \alpha}\right)=E_{ \pm \alpha}$; similarly, if $\varrho_{\alpha}=-1$,then $E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$. In case $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}$, we see easily that $E_{\alpha}+\sigma E_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{f}_{c}$ and $E_{\alpha}-\sigma E_{-\alpha} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$, and that

$$
\mathrm{g}_{\alpha}+\mathrm{g}_{-\sigma^{*} \alpha}=\mathbf{C}\left\{E_{\alpha}+\sigma E_{-\alpha}\right\}+\mathbf{C}\left\{E_{\alpha}-\sigma E_{-\alpha}\right\}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}\{X\}$ denotes a 1 -dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{C}$ generated by $X$. From these we have the following decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{f}_{\sigma} & =\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{+}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}} \mathbf{C}\left\{E_{\alpha}+\sigma E_{-\alpha}\right\},  \tag{1.9}\\
\mathfrak{p}_{c} & =\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}^{-}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{-}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}} \mathbf{C}\left\{E_{\alpha}-\sigma E_{-\alpha}\right\}, \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o} ; \varrho_{\alpha}=1\right\}, \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{-}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o} ; \varrho_{\alpha}=-1\right\}$ and the last summations of both formulas run over all unordered pairs $\left(\alpha,-\sigma^{*} \alpha\right)$ such that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{0}$. (1.9) and (1.10) imply immediately the

Proposition $1.1 \quad \mathfrak{h}_{\bar{\sigma}}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}$ if and only if $\varrho_{\alpha}=1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$. The "only if" part of this proposition is the same as the Lemma 5 of [8], Exp. 11.
Definition. Let a real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ be related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$. When $\mathfrak{G}_{\bar{\sigma}}^{-}$is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}, \mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ is called to be normally related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma}\right)$.
1.4. Let $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ be a real form of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ and $\mathfrak{f}^{\prime \prime}$ be a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$. Then, as is well known, there exists a uniquely determined compact form $\mathrm{g}_{\tau^{\prime}}$ of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma^{\prime}} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\tau^{\prime}}=\mathfrak{f}^{\prime}$ and that $\sigma^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}=\tau^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma^{\prime}}$ with respect to the Killing form, and $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime-}$ be a maximal abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{p}^{\prime}$. Choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{0^{\prime}}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ so that it contains $\mathfrak{h}^{\prime-}$. By conjugacies of compact forms and of Cartan subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ we have an inner automorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ such that $\varphi \mathrm{g}_{\tau^{\prime}}=\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ and that $\varphi \mathfrak{h}^{\prime}{ }_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$. Then, putting $\sigma=\varphi \sigma^{\prime} \varphi^{-1}$, we see that $\varphi \mathrm{g}_{\sigma^{\prime}}=\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}, \sigma \tau=\tau \sigma$, $\sigma \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{c}$, and that $\mathfrak{h}_{\bar{c}}^{-}=\varphi \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{\prime-}$ is maximal abelian in $\mathfrak{p}_{\sigma}=\varphi \mathfrak{p}_{c}^{\prime}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ is normally related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{r}, \mathfrak{h}_{G}\right)$. Hence we have the

Proposition 1.2. Any real form of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ is conjugate to a real form which is normally related to the fixed $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$.

This proposition justifies us to discuss only the real forms which are normally related to the fixed $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$.
1.5. The following proposition, essentially due to Satake [7], is important for our later discussions.

Proposition 1.3. Let a real form $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ be normally related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{r}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$. Then

$$
\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha \notin \mathfrak{r} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}
$$

Proof. In case $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$, then $\sigma^{*} \alpha=-\alpha$ and

$$
\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha=-2 \alpha \notin \mathrm{r}
$$

In case $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ : suppose that $\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$, and hence $\in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$, then
(\#)

$$
\sigma E_{\alpha-\sigma^{*} \alpha}=E_{\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha}
$$

by Prop. 1.1. Now

$$
\left[E_{\alpha}, \sigma E_{-\alpha}\right]=\left[E_{\alpha}, \varrho_{-\alpha} E_{-\sigma^{*} \alpha}\right]=\varrho_{-\alpha} N_{\alpha,-\sigma^{*} \alpha} E_{\alpha-\sigma^{*} \alpha} .
$$

Applying $\sigma$ on both sides of this identity, we see that

$$
\left[\sigma E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right]=\overline{\varrho_{-\alpha}} N_{\alpha,-\sigma^{*} \alpha} E_{\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha}
$$

by (\#). On the other hand we have that

$$
\left[\sigma E_{\alpha}, E_{-\alpha}\right]=\varrho_{\alpha} N_{\sigma^{*} \alpha,-\alpha} E_{\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha} .
$$

Hence we see that

$$
\overline{\varrho_{-\alpha}} N_{\alpha,-\sigma^{*} \alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} N_{\sigma^{*} \alpha,-\alpha}=-\varrho_{\alpha} N_{\alpha,-\sigma^{*} \alpha} .
$$

Therefore, $\overline{\varrho_{-\alpha}}=-\varrho_{\alpha}$, which, combined with (1.4), implies that $\varrho_{\alpha}=0$. This contradicts to the fact " $\sigma$ is bijective". Consequently

$$
\sigma^{*} \alpha-\alpha \notin \mathfrak{x}
$$

## § 2. $\sigma$-system of roots.

2.1. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional real vector space with a positive definite inner product, and $\mathfrak{r}$ a finite set of non-zero vectors in V. $\mathfrak{r}$ is called a root system if it satisfies the conditions: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}$, a) the number $a_{\alpha, \beta}=2\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle /\langle\beta, \beta\rangle$ is an integer (named Cartan integer), and b) $\alpha-a_{\alpha, \beta} \beta \in \mathfrak{x}$.

The following properties of a root system $\mathfrak{r}$ are well known from the usual theory of classification of complex simple Lie algebras.
$1^{\circ}$ ) For any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}, 0 \leqq a_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\beta, \alpha} \leqq 4$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not parallel to each other, then $0 \leqq a_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\beta, a} \leqq 3$.
$2^{\circ}$ ) Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $m \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}(m \in \mathbf{R})$, then $m= \pm 1 / 2, \pm 1$ or $\pm 2$.
(To be seen from the fact that $a_{\alpha, m \alpha}=2 / m$ and $a_{m \alpha, \alpha}=2 m$ are both integers.)
$3^{\circ}$ ) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}$ be such that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \neq 0$, and that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not parallel to each other. a) If $a_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\beta, \alpha}=1$, then $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=\langle\beta, \beta\rangle$; b) if $a_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\beta, \alpha}=2$, then $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle /$ $\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=2$ or $1 / 2$; c) if $a_{\alpha, \beta} a_{\beta, \alpha}=3$, then $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle /\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=3$ or $1 / 3$.
$4^{\circ}$ ) For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}$, consider the series

$$
\ldots, \alpha-2 \beta, \alpha-\beta, \alpha, \alpha+\beta, \alpha+2 \beta, \ldots,
$$

then every non-zero vector between $\alpha$ and $\alpha-a_{\alpha, \beta} \beta$ is contaired in $\mathfrak{r}$.
(This property can be proved for an abstractly defined root system by making use of the property $3^{\circ}$ )).
$5^{\circ}$ ) If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$, then $-\alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$.
A root system $\mathfrak{r}$ is called a proper root system if it satisfies the condition that "if $\alpha, m \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}(m \in \mathbf{R})$, then $m= \pm 1$ ". The systems of non-zero roots of complex semisimple Lie algebras are proper root systems. For a root system $\mathfrak{r}$, the following set

$$
\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}=\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} ; \quad \alpha / 2 \notin \mathfrak{r}\}
$$

is a proper root system which is called a canonical proper subsystem of $\mathfrak{r}$.
2.2. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a root system in $V$. A linear base of $V^{*}$ (dual space of $V$ ) defines a lexicographic order in $V$ and hence in $\mathfrak{r}$. The set $\Delta$ of all simple roots (in the usual sense due to Dynkin) with respect to a lexicographic order is called a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ as usual. For any two $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta,\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \leqq 0$ because $\alpha-\beta \notin \mathfrak{r}$. This property of $\Delta$ shows firstly that the elements of $\Delta$ are linearly independent and any element of $\mathfrak{r}$ can be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of simple roots with integers of the same signs as coefficients, and secondly that $\Delta$ is isomorphic to a fundamental system of roots of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ up to a homography, by the usual arguments in the classification theory of complex simple Lie algebras. The image of the root system of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ by this isomorphism is the canonical proper subsystem $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{r}$. In particular fundamental systems of $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ and of $\mathfrak{r}$ with respect to the same linear order coincides to each other. And any proper root system is isomorphic to a root system of a suitable complex semi-simple Lie algebra up to a homography.

For a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ the set $\left\{X \in V^{*} ; \alpha(X)>0\right.$ for all $\left.\alpha \in \Delta\right\}$ is an open Weyl chamber. Weyl group of $\mathfrak{r}$ is the group operating on $V^{*}$ (or dually on $V$ )
generated by reflections across the plane $\alpha=0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$, which is a finite group and permutes simply transitively the Weyl chambers and henceforce the fundamental systems of $\mathfrak{r}$. In particular the fundamental systems of a fixed $\mathfrak{r}$ are isomorphic to each other.

Two proper root systems $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ are isomoprphic to each other (up to a homography) if and only if their fundamental systems are so.
2.3. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a proper root system in $V$ such that $\mathfrak{r}$ generates $V$. When we are given a linear isometry $\sigma: V \rightarrow V$ such that $\sigma$ is involutive and $\sigma \mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}$, then we say that the pair ( $\mathfrak{r}, \sigma$ ) (or simply $\mathfrak{r}$ ) is a $\sigma$-system of roots.

Every $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ can be identified with the root system of a complex semisimple Lie algebra $g_{c}$ up to a homography. By this identification $V$ is identified with a real subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{0} *$ which is generated by roots and metrized by the Killing form, where $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma} *$ is the dual space of a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$, and $V^{*}$ is identified with a real subspace $\mathfrak{h}_{o}$ defined by

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{o}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{h}_{o} ; \alpha(H) \in \mathbf{R} \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\} .
$$

$\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$ becomes the complexification of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$. Hereafter we use this identification without any comments. $\sigma$ can be extended to an anti-involution of $\mathfrak{h}_{0} *$ and induces an antiinvolution $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{g}$ such that $\tilde{\sigma} \mathfrak{h}_{o}=\mathfrak{h}_{o}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^{*}=\sigma$. Since $\tilde{\sigma} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}$ is involutive, it has eigenvalues $\pm 1$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{+}$be the eigenspace of the value -1 and $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$be that of +1 , then we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{o}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{+}+\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}
$$

with respect to the Killing form.
Let a Weyl base $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\}$ and a compact form $\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ be given so as to satisfy the relations in No.1.2. When for a $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ the induced involution $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{o}$ is extendable to an anti-involution of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ such that it is normally related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{7}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$, then we say that $\sigma$ (or $\mathfrak{r})$ is normally extendable for the sake of simplicity. We want to obtain some sufficient conditions for $\sigma$ to be normally extendable. A necessary condition for this (Prop.1.3) is that

```
(v) for any }\alpha\in\mathfrak{r},\sigma\alpha-\alpha\not\in\mathfrak{r}\mathrm{ .
```

Any $\sigma$-system of roots satisfying the condition $(\nu)$ is called a normal $\dot{\sigma}$-system of roots.
2.4. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be $\sigma$-system of roots. We shall denote by $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$the set of linear forms on $\mathfrak{H}_{o}^{-}$obtained by restricting the elements of $\mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$, where $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ is the closed subsystem of $\mathfrak{r}$ defined by (1.6), i.e., $\mathfrak{x}_{o}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} ; \quad \alpha \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=0\right\}$.

Proposition 2.1. Let a $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{x}$ be normal, then $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$is a root system in $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$.

Proof. We identify $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}\right)^{*}$ with a subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{*}$ which is the annihilator of $\mathfrak{Y}_{0}^{+}$. Let us use the following notation:

$$
\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} ; \quad \alpha \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\psi\right\}
$$

for each $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$and $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$. By the condition ( $\nu$ ) only the following three cases are possible:
case a) $\alpha=\sigma \alpha=\psi$, then $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$;
case b) $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha$ and $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$, then $\psi=(\alpha+\sigma \alpha) / 2$
and $\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle / 2=\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle / 2$;
case c) $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha$ and $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle<0$, then $\psi=(\alpha+\sigma \alpha) / 2$
and $\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle / 4=\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle / 4$.
Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$and $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$.
In case a): $a_{\lambda, \psi}=2\langle\lambda, \psi\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=2\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle /\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle$

$$
=a_{\beta, \alpha}, \text { an integer. }
$$

Since $\beta-a_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$,

$$
\left(\beta-\mathrm{a}_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha\right) \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda-a_{\lambda, \psi} \psi \in \mathfrak{x}^{-}
$$

In case b): $a_{\lambda, \psi}=2\langle\lambda, \psi\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\langle\lambda, \alpha+\sigma \alpha\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\langle\beta, \alpha+\sigma \alpha\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle \\
& =2\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle /\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle+2\langle\beta, \sigma \alpha\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle \\
& =a_{\beta, \alpha}+a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}, \text { an integer. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $\gamma=\beta-a_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\gamma, \sigma \alpha} & =2\left\langle\beta-a_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha, \sigma \alpha\right\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle \\
& =2\langle\beta, \sigma \alpha\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$. Consequently

$$
\delta=\gamma-a_{\gamma, \sigma \alpha} \sigma \alpha=\beta-a_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha-a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha} \sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}
$$

and

$$
\delta \mid \mathfrak{H}_{o}^{-}=\lambda-a_{\beta, \alpha} \psi-a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha} \psi=\lambda-a_{\lambda, \psi} \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}
$$

In case c): $a_{\lambda, \psi}=2\langle\lambda, \psi\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\langle\alpha+\sigma \alpha, \lambda\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$

$$
=2(2\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle /\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle+2\langle\sigma \alpha, \beta\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle)
$$

$$
=2\left(a_{\beta, \alpha}+a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}\right), \text { an integer. }
$$

Put $\beta^{\prime}=\beta-a_{\beta, \alpha} \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$. Remarking that

$$
a_{\alpha, \sigma \alpha}=2\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=-1
$$

we see that

$$
a_{\beta^{\prime}, \sigma \alpha}=2\left\langle\beta^{\prime}, \sigma \alpha\right\rangle /\langle\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}+a_{\beta, \alpha}
$$

Next, put $\beta^{\prime \prime}=\beta^{\prime}-a_{\beta^{\prime}, \sigma \alpha} \sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha} & =a_{\beta^{\prime}, \alpha}+a_{\beta^{\prime}, \sigma \alpha} \\
& =a_{\beta, \alpha}-2 a_{\beta, \alpha}+a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}+a_{\beta, \alpha}=a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally put $\beta^{\prime \prime \prime}=\beta^{\prime \prime}-a_{\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha} \alpha \in \mathfrak{x}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^{\prime \prime \prime} \mid \mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-} & =\lambda-\left(a_{\beta, \alpha}+a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha}+a_{\beta^{\prime \prime}, \alpha}\right) \psi \\
& =\lambda-2\left(a_{\beta, \alpha}+a_{\beta, \sigma \alpha^{\alpha}}\right) \psi \\
& =\lambda-a_{\lambda, \psi} \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

q.e.d.

When $\mathfrak{r}$ is a normal $\sigma$-system of roots, $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$is called the restricted root system with respect to $\mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-}$. Further when $\mathfrak{r}$ is normally extendable such that $\sigma \neq \tau^{*}$, then the root system $\mathfrak{x}^{-}$in $\left(\mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-}\right) *$ is usually called the root system of the corresponding infinitesimal symmetric pair $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{f}_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tilde{\sigma} \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}\right)$ (or $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \mathfrak{f}_{\tilde{\sigma}}, \tau \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}\right)$ ) with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$of $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{p}_{\tilde{\sigma}}\left(\right.$ or $\mathfrak{H}_{o}^{-}$of $\mathfrak{p}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ ).
2.5. For any root system $\mathfrak{x}$, a subset $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime} \subset \mathfrak{x}$ is called a closed subsystem if it satisfies that i) if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{x}^{\prime}$ then $-\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$, and that ii) if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ and $\alpha+\beta \in \mathfrak{r}$ then $\alpha+\beta \in \mathfrak{r}^{\prime}, \quad$ Closed subsystems are also root systems as is easily seen.

If a root system $\mathfrak{r}$ is decomposable as a disjoint union of two subsets $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime \prime}$ such
that $\left\langle\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{r}^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle=0$, then $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ and $\left.\mathfrak{r}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is called a factor of $\mathfrak{r}$. Every factor is a closed subsystem. A root system $\mathfrak{x}$ is called irreducible if every factor of r coincides with $\mathfrak{x}$ or is void. Every root system is decomposable into a disjoint union of non-voidal irreducible factors; then each factor is called a component of $\mathfrak{x}$. Any irreducible proper root system is isomorphic to a root system of a complex simple Lie algebra. About the length of roots of an irreducible proper root system $\mathfrak{r}$ we have the following two cases as is well known:
a) every root of $\mathfrak{r}$ has the same length, (in this case $\mathfrak{r}$ is called "simply-laced" according to a terminology of R. Bott);
b) $\mathfrak{r}$ is decomposed as a disjoint union of two non-voidal subsets $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ such that every root of $\mathfrak{r}_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1$ or 2$)$ has the same length and that any root of $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ is shorter than roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ (in this case $\mathfrak{r}$ is called "doubly-laced").
In case b) we have further two possibilities that the ratio of squares of length of roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ to that of $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ is $1: 2$ or $1: 3$. We call $\mathfrak{r}$ to be "doubly-laced of type (1:2)" or "of type ( $1: 3$ )" respectively. Every doubly-laced root system of type ( $1: 3$ ) is isomorphic to that of $\mathbf{G}_{2}$.

If a factor $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ of a $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ is invariant by $\sigma$, then $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ is called a $\sigma$-factor. A $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ is called $\sigma$-irreducible if every $\sigma$-factor of $\mathfrak{x}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{r}$ or is void. Every $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-system of roots consists whether of a single component or of two isomorphic components. In the latter case $\sigma$ permutes the two components. A non-voidal $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-factor of a $\sigma$-system of roots is called a $\sigma$-component.

Let a $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ be such that the associated involution $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ is extendable to an anti-involution of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$. Then $\mathfrak{r}$ is $\sigma$-irreducible if and only if the corresponding real form $g_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is simple, or equivalently the corresponding symmetric space is irreducible.

Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a root system and $\mathfrak{r} \supset \mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ a subset. $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ is called to be connected if it cannot be decomposed into two mutually orthogonal parts. Thus any two elements $\alpha, \beta$ of $\mathfrak{r}$ is connected if $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \neq 0$. Every connected subset is contained in a single component.

Similarly we define the notion of $\sigma$-connected subset of a $\sigma$-system of roots. If a $\sigma$-invariant subset $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ of a $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ cannot be decomposed into two mutually orthogonal parts which are $\sigma$-invariant, then we say that $\mathfrak{r}^{\prime}$ is $\sigma$-connected. Every $\sigma$-connected subset is contained in a $\sigma$-component.
2.6. Throughout this and the next Nos., $\sigma$-systems of roots $\mathfrak{r}$ are assumed to be normal. For $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, we put

$$
\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} ; \alpha \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\psi\right\}
$$

The number of elements of $\mathfrak{r}_{\varphi}$ is called the multiplicity of $\psi$, and is denoted by $m(\psi)$. The elements of $\mathfrak{r}$ are called the roots of $\mathfrak{r}$ associated to the restricted root $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. We shall discuss some relations between multiplicities of restricted roots $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, and the inner products of roots of $\mathfrak{r}$ associated to $\psi$, which are especially useful for the classification of symmetric pairs of rank 1 (§4).

We identify $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{+}\right) *$ and $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$ with the metric subspaces of $\mathfrak{Y}_{0} *$ which are the annihi-
lators of $\mathfrak{Y}_{o}^{-}$and of $\mathfrak{Y}_{o}^{+}$respectively in the natural way. Then we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{*}=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{+}\right)^{*}+\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*} .
$$

We use the notations $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{0} *$ to denote each components of $\alpha$, i.e., $\alpha=\hat{\alpha}+$ $\tilde{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha} \in\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$ and $\tilde{\alpha} \in\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$.

Proposition 2.2. For $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}, \mathfrak{r}_{\psi} \ni \psi$ if and only if $m(\psi)$ is odd.
Proof. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$, then $\sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$. Further, if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-\{\psi\}$, then $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha$. Hence $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-\{\psi\}$ is a disjoint union of pairs $(\alpha, \sigma \alpha), \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\{\psi\}$, and has an even number of elements. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.3. If $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$has an odd multiplicity, then $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$.
Proof. Suppose that $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, and let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{2 \psi}$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}=2 \psi$.
Now

$$
\langle\alpha, \psi\rangle=\langle\hat{\alpha}+\tilde{\alpha}, \psi\rangle=\langle 2 \psi, \psi\rangle>0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle /\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=1,2 \text { or } 3 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

by $1^{\circ}$ ) of No. 2.1 since $\mathfrak{r}$ is a proper root system and $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle \geqq\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$. On the other hand

$$
\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=\langle\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha}\rangle+\langle\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}\rangle \geqq\langle\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha}\rangle=4\langle\psi, \psi\rangle,
$$

contradicting to (*). q.e.d.

Proposition 2.4. If $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$has an even multiplicity and $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then $2 \psi$ has an odd multiplicity.

Proof. Since $\psi$ has an even multiplicity, we have an element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ such that $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha$. If $2 \psi$ has an even multiplicity, then there exists an element $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{2 \psi}$ such that $\beta \neq \sigma \beta$. Since $\mathfrak{r}$ is normal, $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle \leqq 0$. If $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle<0$, then $\mathfrak{r} \ni \beta+\sigma \beta=4 \psi$, whence $\mathfrak{r}^{-} \ni 4 \psi$, contradicting to $2^{\circ}$ ) of No.2.1. Hence $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle=0$. Then

$$
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=2\langle\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}\rangle=2\langle 2 \psi, 2 \psi\rangle=8\langle\psi, \psi\rangle .
$$

On the other hand, by the assumption that $2 \psi$ has an even multiplicity and Prop. 2.2 we see that

$$
2 \psi=\alpha+\sigma \alpha \notin \mathfrak{r}
$$

Therefore $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$, and $\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$. Consequently

$$
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=4\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle,
$$

which contradicts to the proper-ness of $\mathfrak{r}$. q.e.d.
Under the assumption of the above proposition, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$

$$
2 \psi=\alpha+\sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{r} .
$$

Here assume that $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$, then $\langle\alpha+\sigma \alpha, \alpha+\sigma \alpha\rangle=2\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle$ and

$$
a_{\alpha+\sigma \alpha, \alpha}=2,
$$

whence $\mathfrak{r} \ni \alpha+\sigma \alpha-a_{\alpha+\sigma \alpha, \alpha} \alpha=\sigma \alpha-\alpha$, contradicting to the condition $(v)$ of $\mathfrak{r}$. Since the condition $(\nu)$ implies that $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle \leqq 0$, we have a conclusion that
(**) $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle<0$.
Contrarily (**) implies that $2 \psi=\alpha+\sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{2 \psi}$ and $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$.
Therefore we obtain the following
Proposition 2.5. When $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$have an even multiplicity, i) $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$if and only
if there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ such that $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle<0$, and in this case $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle<0$ for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$; ii) $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$if and only if there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ such that $\langle\alpha$, $\sigma \alpha\rangle=0$, and in this case $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle=0$ for all $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$.

Proposition 2.6. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$have an odd multiplicity, then $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-\{\psi\}$.

Proof. If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-\{\psi\}$ satisfy that $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle<0$, then $\alpha+\sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$ and $2 \psi=\alpha+$ $\sigma \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$contradicting to Prop.2.3. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$be such that $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ satisfy that $\alpha \neq$ $\beta$ and $\alpha \neq \sigma \beta$, then $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0$.

Proof. $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha$ or $\beta \neq \sigma \beta$ since $\alpha \neq \beta$. We may assume that $\beta \neq \sigma \beta$. Then

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle+\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle=\langle\tilde{\alpha}, \beta+\sigma \beta\rangle=\langle\psi, 2 \psi\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle>0 .
$$

By Props. 2.5 and 2.6 we see that $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle=0$, whence

$$
\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=\langle\sigma \beta, \sigma \beta\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle,
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\alpha, \beta}+a_{\alpha, \sigma \beta}=2 \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

i) In case $\alpha \neq \sigma \alpha:\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$ by Props.2.5 and 2.6, whence

$$
\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=\langle\beta, \beta\rangle,
$$

which implies that

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}=0 \text { or } \pm 1, \quad a_{\alpha, \sigma \beta}=0 \text { or } \pm 1
$$

by $3^{\circ}$ ) of No.2.1, which, combined with (***), implies that

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}=a_{\alpha, \sigma \beta}=1, \text { especially } \quad\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0
$$

ii) In case $\alpha=\sigma \alpha: \alpha=\psi$, and

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}=2\langle\psi, \beta\rangle / 2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle / 2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=1
$$

in particular, $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0$.
q.e.d.

Proposition 2.8. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$be such that $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ satisfying that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha \neq \sigma \beta$ we have that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \geqq 0$, and that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0$ if and only if $\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle=0$.

Proof. $m(\psi)$ is even by Prop.2.3. And

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta+\sigma \beta\rangle=\langle\tilde{a}, 2 \psi\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle>0
$$

By Prop. 2.5.i), we see that $\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle\langle 0$ and $\langle\beta, \sigma \beta\rangle\langle 0$, whence

$$
\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=\langle\beta, \beta\rangle=\langle\sigma \beta, \sigma \beta\rangle=4\langle\psi, \psi\rangle .
$$

Therefore

$$
a_{\alpha, \beta}+a_{\alpha, \sigma \beta}=2\langle\alpha, \beta+\sigma \beta\rangle / 4\langle\psi, \psi\rangle=1,
$$

which implies that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=0$ and $\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle>0$, or that $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0$ and $\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle=0$.
2.7. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a normal $\sigma$-system of roots as in the above No.

Proposition 2.9. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$have an add multiplicity, then $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ is connected. When $m(\psi)>1$, let $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ be the component of $\mathfrak{r}$ containing $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$, then $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ is doubly-laced of type (1:2).

Proof. $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ and $\left.\langle\psi, \alpha\rangle=\langle\psi, \psi\rangle\right\rangle 0$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$. Hence $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ is connected. When $m(\psi)>1$, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{x}_{\psi}-\{\psi\}\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0$ by Prop. 2.6, whence $\langle\alpha, a\rangle=2\langle\psi, \psi\rangle$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be irreducible and simply-laced or doubly-laced of type (1:3).

If $m(\psi)$ is odd for $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then $m(\psi)=1$.
Proposition 2.11. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$be such that $2 \psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ is connected.
Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ be such that $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $\beta=\sigma \alpha$, then $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle<0$ by Prop. 2.5. If $\beta \neq \sigma \alpha$, then $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0$ or $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=0$ by Prop.2.8; in case $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=0$, $\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle>0$ and $\langle\sigma \beta, \beta\rangle<0$.
q.e.d.

Proposition 2.12. Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$have even multiplicity and $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. If $m(\psi)>2$, then $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ is connected.

Proof. By assumptions, for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}, \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-\{\alpha, \sigma \alpha\} \neq \phi$. For any $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}-$ $\{\alpha, \sigma \alpha\}$ we see that

$$
\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle>0 \text { and }\langle\beta, \sigma \alpha\rangle>0
$$

by Prop.2.7, which implies that $\{\alpha, \beta, \sigma \alpha\}$ is connected.
q.e.d.

When $m(\psi)=2$ and $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$for $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then

$$
\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}=\{\alpha\} \cup\{\sigma \alpha\} \text { and }\langle\alpha, \sigma \alpha\rangle=0,
$$

whence, by Props.2.9, 2.11 and 2.12, we have the
Proposition 2.13. For any $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}, \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ consists at most of two connected components; $\mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ consists exactly of two components if and only if $m(\psi)=2$ and $2 \psi \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$.
2.8. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a $\sigma$-system of roots. Satake [7] defined the notion of a $\sigma$-fundamental system of roots which is useful also for our abstractly defined $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{r}$. A linear order in $\mathfrak{r}$ satisfying the following condition:
( $\sigma$ ) if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}-\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ and $\alpha>0$, then $\sigma \alpha>0$,
is called a $\sigma$-order. Put $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}_{o}=l(=\operatorname{rank}$ of $\mathfrak{r})$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=p$. One of the typical way to obtain a $\sigma$-order is to take a lexicographic order relative to a base $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{l}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ such that $\left\{H_{1}, \ldots, H_{p}\right\}$ forms a base of $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$. A fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ with respect to a $\sigma$-order is called a $\sigma$-fundamental system. If $\Delta$ is a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$, then $\Delta_{o}=\Delta \cap \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ is a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}_{0}$. Denoting by $l_{o}$ the rank of $\mathrm{r}_{o}$, let

$$
\Delta=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l-l_{o}}, \alpha_{l-l_{0}+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}\right\}
$$

be a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ such that $\Delta_{o}=\left\{\alpha_{l-l_{o}+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{l}\right\}$. The Lemma 1 of [7] is applicable for $\mathfrak{x}$, and we get an involutive permutation $\bar{\sigma}$ of indices $\{1, \ldots \ldots$, $\left.l-l_{o}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\alpha_{\bar{\sigma}(i)}+\sum_{j=l-l_{o}+1}^{l} c_{j}^{(i)} \alpha_{j}, \quad c_{j}^{(i)} \geqq 0 \text { for } 1 \leqq i \leqq l-l_{0} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta^{-}$be the set of distinct elements of $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$ obtained by restricting the elements of $\Delta-\Delta_{o}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$. As easily seen $\Delta^{-}$forms a linear base of $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$ such that every element of $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$is a linear combination of elements of $\Delta^{-}$with integers of the same signs as coefficients. In particular, when $\mathfrak{r}$ is a normal $\sigma$-system of roots and $\Delta$ is a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{x}$, then $\Delta^{-}$is a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$, in case of which $\Delta^{-}$is called a restricted fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$according to a definition of [7].

To describe a $\sigma$-fundamental system $\Delta$ we use the figure due to Satake [7], called Satake figure, which is defined as follows: take a Schläfli figure of $\Delta$; every root of $\Delta_{o}$ is denoted by black circle and every root of $\Delta-\Delta_{o}$ is denoted by white circle $\bigcirc$; if $\bar{\sigma}(i)=j$ such that $i \neq j$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq l-l_{o}$, then simple roots $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{j}$ are connected by a curved arrow $\downarrow$.
2.9. The propositions of [7] which are related only to the properties of root systems, are all applicable for our normal $\sigma$-systems of roots. For the proof of the following statements we refer to [7].

In this No. $\mathfrak{r}$ denotes a normal $\sigma$-system of roots. Let $W, W_{o}$ and $W^{\text {- }}$ denote respectively the Weyl groups of $\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ and $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Let $W_{\sigma}$ be the subgroup of $W$ consisting of all $s \in W$ commutative with $\sigma . \quad W_{o}$ is a normal subgroup of $W_{\sigma}$. For any $s \in W_{\sigma}, s \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$. Hence $s \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$is a linear transformation of $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$. Then $s \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-} \in W^{-}$. In this way we get a natural homomorphism

$$
\varrho: W_{\sigma} \longrightarrow W^{-} .
$$

(2.2) $\varrho$ is surjective with $W_{o}$ as the kernel.

Let $\Delta$ be a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $s \in W_{\sigma}$, then $s \Delta$ is also a $\sigma$-fundamental system.
(2.3) $W_{\sigma}$ permutes transitively the $\sigma$-fundamental systems of $\mathfrak{x}$.

Let $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ be two $\sigma$-systems of roots with involutions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$. We say that $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$ are $\sigma$-isomorphic if there is an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathfrak{r}_{1} \cong \mathfrak{r}_{2}$ up to a homography such that $\varphi \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2} \varphi$. Correspondingly we define the notion of a $\sigma$-isomorphism of two $\sigma$-fundamental systems.
(2.4) $\sigma$-fundamental systems of a normal $\sigma$-system of roots are $\sigma$-isomorphic to each other. For two normal $\sigma$-systems of roots, they are $\sigma$-isomorphic to each other if and only if their $\sigma$-fundamental systems are so.
2.10. Theorem 2.14. Let $\mathfrak{x}$ be a normally extendable $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{H}_{o}^{*}$ of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$. The real forms corresponding to anti-involutions extending $\tilde{\sigma}$ such as to be normally related to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{r}, \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}\right)$ are conjugate to each other by inner automorphisms of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ commuting with $\tau$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be two extensions satisfying the conditions of the Theorem. Put

$$
\sigma_{1} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha}, \sigma_{2} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime} E_{\sigma \alpha}
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r} . \quad \sigma_{1} \sigma_{2}$ is an automophism of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ such that $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{c}=$ identity map, and that

$$
\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} E_{\alpha}=\overline{\varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \varrho_{\sigma \alpha} E_{\alpha}
$$

for $\alpha \in \mathrm{r}$. By (1.2) and (1.5) we see that

$$
\varrho_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\sigma \alpha} \text { and } \varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\varrho_{\sigma \alpha}^{\prime}
$$

Put

$$
\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} E_{\alpha}=\eta_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}
$$

then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\eta_{\alpha}=\eta_{\sigma \alpha}=\overline{\varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime}} \varrho_{\alpha}=\overline{\varrho_{\sigma \alpha}^{\prime} g_{\sigma \alpha}} & \text { for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}  \tag{*1}\\
\eta_{\alpha}=1 & \text { for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}
\end{array}
$$

Let $\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. By Props. 2.7 and 2.8 we see that, for any two $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\alpha \neq \sigma \beta, \alpha-\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ or $\alpha-\sigma \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$. On the other hand $\eta_{\alpha} \eta_{\beta}=\eta_{\alpha+\beta}$ if $\alpha, \beta, \alpha+\beta \in \mathfrak{r}$. These and (*1) imply that
(*2) $\quad \eta_{\alpha}=\eta_{\beta}$ for any two $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\psi}\left(\psi \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}\right)$.
Let $\Delta$ be a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ and $\Delta^{-}=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right\}$ be the asso-
ciated restricted fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Since $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ are linearly independent, we can choose an $H \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$so that $\lambda_{i}(H)$ take arbitrarily given pure imaginary values. In particular we can choose $H \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\lambda_{i}(H)}=\eta_{\alpha} \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda_{i}}, 1 \leqq i \leqq p \tag{*3}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of (*2). " $H \in \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-"}$ implies that " $\gamma(H)=0$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{x}_{o}$ ", whence (*4) $\quad e^{\gamma(H)}=1=\eta_{\gamma} \quad$ for all $\gamma \in \mathfrak{r}_{0}$.
Since $\Delta \subset \mathfrak{r}_{o} \cup \operatorname{pr}^{-1}\left(\Delta^{-}\right)$, where $\operatorname{pr}: \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}\right)^{*}$ is the restriction, we see that (*5) $\quad e^{\alpha(H)}=\eta_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$,
by (*3) and (*4). Then (*5) and the fact that $\sigma_{1} \sigma_{2} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{c}=$ the identity map imply that (*)

$$
e^{\beta(H)}=\eta_{\beta} \quad \text { for all } \beta \in \mathfrak{r}
$$

Now, put $\xi=\operatorname{Exp}(\operatorname{Ad}(H / 2))$, which is an inner automorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ such that $\xi \tau=\tau \xi$. And

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi \sigma_{2} \xi^{-1} E_{\alpha} & =\xi \sigma_{2}\left(e^{-\alpha(H / 2)} E_{\alpha}\right)=\xi\left(e^{\alpha(H / 2)} \varrho^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha}\right) \\
& =\varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime} e^{\alpha(H / 2-\tilde{\sigma} H / 2)} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha}^{\prime} e^{\alpha(H)} E_{\alpha}=\varrho^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \eta_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{x}$. Hence $\xi \sigma_{2} \xi^{-1}=\sigma_{1}$.
q.e.d.

This Theorem and (2.4) imply immediately the
Corollary 2.15. Let two real forms $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma_{2}}$ of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ be normally related to $\left(\mathrm{g}_{7}, \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma}\right)$. Then, $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma_{1}} \cong \mathrm{~g}_{\sigma_{2}}$ if and only if their $\sigma$-fundamental systems are $\sigma$-isomorphic.

Thus the classification problem of real simple Lie algebras is reduced to the classification of their $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-fundamental systems of roots.

## §3. A reduction to the case of restricted rank 1.

3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ be a complex semi-simple Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$, $\mathfrak{r}$ the system of (non-zero) roots of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ and $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\}$ a Weyl base of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ relative to $\mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ be a compact form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ such that $\tau \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{c}$ and $\tau E_{\alpha}=$ $E_{-\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$. Now we quote the following well-known theorem:
(3.1) For any automorphism $\varphi$ of $\mathfrak{x}$, there exists an automorphism $\psi$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\sigma}$ such that $\psi \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{h}_{c}, \psi \tau=\tau \psi$ and that $\psi^{*}=\varphi$ as a linear map: $\mathfrak{G}_{0}{ }^{*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{0}{ }^{*}$, i.e., $(\varphi \alpha)(H)=\alpha(\psi$ (H) ) for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}_{o}{ }^{*}$ and $H \in \mathfrak{h}_{o}$.

The automorphism $\psi$ of (3.1) satisfies that

$$
\psi \mathrm{g}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{g}_{\varphi^{-1} \alpha} \quad \text { for } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}
$$

Put

$$
\psi E_{\alpha}=\xi_{\alpha} E_{\varphi^{-1}},
$$

then the condition that $\psi \tau=\tau \psi$ is equivalent to saying that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{\alpha}\right|=1 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Delta$ be a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$. The proof of the following statement is also contained in the usual proof of (3.1).
(3.3) The automorphism $\psi$ of (3.1) can be chosen to take arbitrary pre-assigned values $\xi_{\alpha}$ satisfying (3.2) for $\alpha \in \Delta$; by these values $\xi_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta, \psi$ is determined uniquely.

Now let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}{ }^{*}$, and $\Delta$ be a $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$. Apply (3.1) and (3.3) to $\sigma \tau^{*}$ with the assignment that $\xi_{\alpha}=1$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_{o}\left(\xi_{\alpha}\right.$ is arbitrary ior $\alpha \in \Delta-\Delta_{o}$ ). Then we obtain an automorphism $\psi$ of $g_{G}$ satisfying (3.1) such that $\psi^{*}=\sigma \tau^{*}$. Since $\Delta_{o}$ is a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ and $\xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta}=\xi_{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta, \alpha$ $+\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$, we see that $\xi_{\alpha}=1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{0}$. If we put $\eta=\psi \tau$, then $\eta$ is an antiautomorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ (i.te., air automorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ as a Lie algebra over $\mathbf{R}$ and an antilinear map of $\mathrm{g}_{c}$ as a vector space over $\mathbf{C}$ ) such that $\eta^{*}=\sigma$, and that, if we put $\eta E_{\alpha}=$ $\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}, \varrho_{\alpha}=1$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{0}$. Namely we obtained the

Proposition 3.1. Let r be a $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}{ }^{*}$. There exists an anti-automorphism $\eta$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ such that $\eta \mathfrak{h}_{c}=\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma}, \eta \mid \mathfrak{h}_{\sigma}=\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\eta \tau=\tau \eta$, and that, if we put $\eta E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha} \quad$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}$, then $\varrho_{\alpha}=1$. for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$.

The next question is to seek conditions whether the anti-automorphism $\eta$ of Prop. 3.1 can be chosen to be involutive or not.
3.2. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}{ }^{*}$. When $\operatorname{rank}\left(\mathfrak{r}^{-}\right)=p$, we say that $\mathfrak{r}$ is of restricted rank $p$. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, let $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ denote the union of $\mathfrak{r}_{m \lambda}, m \in \mathbf{R}$, such that $m \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Clearly $\mathfrak{r}_{o} \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ is closed and $\sigma$-invariant in $\mathfrak{r}$.

Lemma $3.2 \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ is $\sigma$-connected.
Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{m \lambda}\left(m \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}\right)$, then

$$
\langle\alpha+\sigma \alpha, \beta+\sigma \beta\rangle=\langle 2 \lambda, 2 m \lambda\rangle=4 m\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle>0 .
$$

Hence $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle\alpha, \sigma \beta\rangle \neq 0$.
q.e.d.

Let $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ denote the $\sigma$-component of $\mathfrak{r}_{o} \cup \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ containing $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$, and $\mathfrak{H}_{\lambda}$ be a subspace of $\mathfrak{h}_{o}$ generated by all $H_{\alpha}$ such that $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{r}}_{\lambda}$. Then $\overline{\mathrm{r}}_{\lambda}$ is a $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda} *$ (considered as a subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_{0}{ }^{*}$ ) with the induced involution $\sigma_{\lambda}=\sigma \mid \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda}^{*}$. Put $\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{-}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{+}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}$, then $\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{*}=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{*} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{-}\right)^{*}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{+}\right)^{*}\right)$ is the eigenspace of the value +1 (or -1 ) of $\sigma_{\lambda}$. Since $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}^{-}\right)^{*}$ is of dimension 1 , generated by $\lambda$ as is easily seen, we have the

## Lemma 3.3. $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ is a $\sigma$-irreducible $\sigma$-system of roots of restricted rank 1.

Take a $\sigma$-order in $\mathfrak{r}$. Let $\Delta$ be the $\sigma$-fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}$ relative to the $\sigma$-order, and $\Delta_{o}, \Delta^{-}$be fundamertal systems of $\mathfrak{r}_{o}, \mathfrak{r}^{-}$respectively defined as in No. 2.8. This $\sigma$-order induces a $\sigma$-order in $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$. Let $\Delta^{\lambda}, \Delta_{o}^{\lambda}$ and $\left(\Delta^{\lambda}\right)^{-}$be che corresponding fundamental systems of $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$, $\left(\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}\right)_{o}$ and $\left(\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}\right)^{-}$. If $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, then $\left(\Delta^{\lambda}\right)^{-}=\{\lambda\}$.

Proposition 3.4. Let $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, then $\Delta^{\lambda}=\Delta \cap \bar{r}_{\lambda}$ and $\Delta_{o}^{\lambda}=\Delta_{0} \cap \bar{r}_{\lambda}$.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove that every simple root of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ is a simple root of $\Delta$. Assume that a root $\gamma \in \Delta^{\lambda}$ is non-simple for the original $\sigma$-order of $\mathfrak{r}$. Then there exists $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r}$ such that $\alpha>0$ and $\beta>0$ and that $\gamma=\alpha+\beta$.

Put $\alpha \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\mu$ and $\beta \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\nu$, then $\mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$and $\mu \geqq 0, \nu \geqq 0$. We have two cases: $\gamma \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=0$ or $\lambda$.
i) In case $\gamma \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=0: \mu+\nu=0, \mu \geqq 0$ and $\nu \geqq 0$. Hence $\mu=\boldsymbol{v}=0$. And $\alpha$, $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{0}$. As is easily seen, $\langle\alpha, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$ or $\langle\beta, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$. If $\langle\alpha, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$, then $\alpha$ is connected with $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ and contained in $\mathfrak{r}_{0}$. Hence $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$. Since $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{r}, \beta \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$. Similarly " $\langle\beta, \gamma\rangle \neq 0$ " implies also that $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$. A contradiction.
ii) In case $\gamma \mid \mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-}=\lambda: \quad \mu+\nu=\lambda, \mu \geqq 0$ and $\nu \geqq 0$. Since $\lambda$ is simple for $\Delta^{-}$, $\mu=0$ or $\nu=0$. We may consider as $\mu=0$, then $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ and $\beta \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$. Hence $\beta \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$, and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ by the closed-ness of $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ in $\mathfrak{r}$, which contradicis to the assumption that $\gamma \in$ $\Delta^{\lambda}$. q.e.d.

By this proposition we see easily the
Proposition 3.5. When we are given a $\sigma$-fundamental system $\Delta$ of $\mathfrak{r}$, then $\Delta^{\lambda}$ consists of $\operatorname{pr}^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \Delta$ plus all elements of $\Delta_{o}$ which are $\Delta_{o}$-connected with $\operatorname{pr}^{-1}(\lambda) \cap \Delta$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, where $\mathrm{pr}: \Delta-\Delta_{o} \rightarrow \Delta^{-}$is the restriclion map.

For the definition of " $\Delta_{0}$-connected," $c f$., [7], No.1.3., p.81.
3.3. Let $\mathfrak{x}$ be a $\sigma$-system of roots in $\mathfrak{H}_{0}{ }^{*}$. Using the notations of the above No. and choosing a $\sigma$-order in $\mathfrak{r}$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ denote the semi-simple part of the centralizer of the plane

$$
p_{\lambda}=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{h}_{C} ; \alpha(H)=0 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}\right\}
$$

in $\mathfrak{g}_{C}$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, i.e.,

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}+\sum_{\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} .
$$

$\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}, \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ the root system of $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ with respect to $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C},\left\{E_{\alpha}\right.$, $\left.\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}\right\}$ a Weyl base of $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ relative to $\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C} . \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ is invariant under $\tau$. Put $\tau \mid \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}=\tau_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau_{\lambda}}=\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ is a compact form of $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ such that $\tau_{\lambda}\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}=\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}$ and $\tau_{\lambda} E_{\alpha}=E_{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$. Further we put $\tilde{\sigma} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}=\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathfrak{x}$ be a $\sigma$-system of root in $\mathfrak{h}_{0}{ }^{*}$. Using the above notations, $\mathfrak{x}$ is normally extendable with respect to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{h}_{G}\right)$ if and only if $\overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}$ with $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is normally extendable with respect to $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau_{\lambda}},\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}\right)$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$.

Proof. "only if" part is clear.
Assume that each $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, is extended to an anti-involution of $g_{\lambda C}$ such that it is normally related to $\left(g_{\tau_{\lambda}},\left(\mathfrak{h}_{\lambda}\right)_{C}\right)$. Put

$$
\Delta^{\prime \lambda}=\Delta^{\lambda}-\Delta_{o}^{\lambda} \quad \text { for } \lambda \in \Delta^{-}
$$

Then, $\Delta$ is decomposed into a disjoint union

$$
\Delta=\Delta_{o} \cup\left(\underset{\Delta^{-} \ni \lambda}{\cup} \Delta^{\prime \lambda}\right)
$$

by a reason of Prop. 3.4. Next we put

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha}^{\lambda} E_{\sigma \alpha} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \overline{\mathfrak{r}}_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta-
$$

Then

$$
\varrho_{\alpha}^{\lambda}=1 \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \Delta_{o}^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}
$$

Hence, if we define $\tilde{\sigma} E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha}$ by putting $\varrho_{\alpha}=1$ for $\alpha \in \Delta_{o}$ and $\varrho_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha}^{\lambda}$ for $\alpha \in \Delta^{\prime \lambda}$, then $\varrho_{\alpha}$ is defined for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\tilde{\sigma}$ is extended uniquely to an anti-automorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{C}$ by (3.3) and Prop. 3.1, which coincides with $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda}$ on $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda C}$ for all $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$. Now

$$
\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma} E_{\alpha}=E_{\alpha} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \Delta
$$

by our definition and the assumption that $\tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda} \tilde{\sigma}_{\lambda}=1 \quad$ for all $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$.
Therefore

$$
\tilde{\sigma} \tilde{\sigma}=\text { the identity automorphism of } g_{c}
$$

by the uniqueness of (3.3).
q.e.d.

This theorem reduces our problem to the classification of normally extendable
$\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1 .
By Prop.3.5 and Theo.3.6 we see easily the
Proposition 3.7. Let $\Delta$ be a $\sigma$-fundamental system of a normally extendable $\sigma$ system of roots. Let $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$and $\Delta^{\prime}$ be $\Delta^{-} \Delta^{\lambda}$ plus all elements of $\Delta_{0}$ which are $\Delta_{0^{-}}$ connected to an element of $\Delta-\Delta^{\lambda}$. Then $\Delta^{\prime}$ is also a $\sigma$-fundamental system of a normally extendable $\sigma$-system of roots.

This proposition will be used frequently in $\S 5$.
Remark. In case $\sigma=\tau^{*}, \mathfrak{r}=\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ and $\Delta=\Delta_{o}$. Hence $\Delta^{-}=\phi$ and the condition "normally extendable" of Theo. 3.6 is trivially satisfied. Actually we have that

$$
\tilde{\sigma}=\tau
$$

Hence $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}=\mathrm{g}_{\tau}$ and the corresponding symmetric space is reduced to "a point".
This trivial case will be omitted out of our subsequent discussions, i.e., hereafter $\sigma \neq \tau^{*}$ always and $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is non-compact if $\sigma$ is normally extendable.

## §4. Classification (Case of rank 1).

4.1 First we classify $\sigma$-fundamental systems of $\sigma$-irreducible normal $\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1 . Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be such a $\sigma$-system of roots. Choosing a $\sigma$-order in $\mathfrak{x}$, put $\Delta^{-}=\{\lambda\}$. By discussions of $\S 2$ we have the following three cases:
i) $m(\lambda)$ is odd, then $\mathfrak{r}^{-}=\{-\lambda, \lambda\}$ :
ii) $m(\lambda)$ is even and $2 \lambda \notin \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then $\mathfrak{r}^{-}=\{-\lambda, \lambda\}$;
iii) $m(\lambda)$ is even and $2 \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{-}$, then $\mathfrak{r}^{-}=\{-2 \lambda,-\lambda, \lambda, 2 \lambda\}$.

As a $\sigma$-order in $\mathfrak{r}$ we use a lexicographic order with respect to a linear base $\left\{H_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, H_{l}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{G}_{0}$ such that $H_{1} \in \mathfrak{H}_{o}^{-}$thioughout this paragraph. The convenience of usage of this order is that $\mathfrak{r}_{-\lambda}<\mathfrak{r}_{0}<\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ in cases i) and ii), and $\mathfrak{r}_{-2 \lambda}<\mathfrak{r}_{-\lambda}<\mathfrak{r}_{0}<\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}<$ $\mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ in case iii).

The next lemma will be used sometimes in the sequel.
Lemma 4.1. Every root $\gamma$ of $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ is connected with some root of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}\left(\right.$ where $\mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}=$ $\phi$ in cases i) and ii) ).

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{r}$ is $\sigma$-rreducible and hence $\sigma$-connected, there exists a chain $\left\{\psi_{1}\right.$, $\left.\psi_{2}, \ldots, \psi_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ which connects $\gamma$ to some root $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$, i.e., $\left\langle\gamma, \psi_{1}\right\rangle \neq 0,\left\langle\psi_{i}\right.$, $\left.\psi_{i+1}\right\rangle \neq 0$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n-1$ and $\left\langle\psi_{n}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Assume that $n>0$, and put $\gamma=\psi_{0}$. If $\left\langle\psi_{n-1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$, then the length $n$ of this chain can be reduced by 1. If $\left\langle\psi_{n-1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle=$ 0 , we put $\alpha^{\prime \prime}=\alpha^{\prime}-a_{\alpha^{\prime}, \psi_{n}} \psi_{n}$, then $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ and $\left\langle\psi_{n-1}, \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\rangle \neq 0$. Thus $\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\psi_{n-1}\right\}$ is a chain in $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ to connect $\gamma$ to $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$, and the length of the chain is reduced by 1 . Continue this process up to $n=0$, then the Lemma is proved.
4.2. Case i). Put $m=m(\lambda)$ and $m=2 m^{\prime}-1$. Let the roots of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m^{\prime}}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\} \tag{4.2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be arranged in the increasing order with respect to the given $\sigma$-order, i.e., $\alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}$ if $i<j$. Since $\alpha_{i}+\sigma \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}+\sigma \alpha_{j}=2 \lambda$ for $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$,
(4.2.2) $\quad \alpha_{i}<\alpha_{j}$ if and only if $\sigma \alpha_{i}>\sigma \alpha_{j}$.

In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{m-i+1}  \tag{4.2.3}\\
& \sigma \alpha_{m^{\prime}}=\alpha_{m^{\prime}}=\lambda .
\end{align*} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq i \leqq m,
$$

By Prop.2.6 we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=2\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle \quad \text { for } i \neq m^{\prime},  \tag{4.2.4}\\
& \left\langle\alpha_{m^{\prime}}, \alpha_{m^{\prime}}\right\rangle=\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle,
\end{align*}
$$

and by Prop. 2.7 we see that
(4.2.5) $\quad\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle>0 \quad$ if $i+j \neq m+1$;
in particular,
(4.2.6) $\quad \gamma_{i}=\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i} \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+} \quad$ for $\quad 1 \leqq i<m$
( $\mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}$denotes the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$ ). And

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right\rangle=2\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leqq i<m^{\prime}-1,  \tag{4.2.7}\\
& \left\langle\gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}, \gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}\right\rangle=\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, from (4.2.3) and (4.2.6) we see that
(4.2.8) $\quad \gamma_{m^{\prime}+i}=\gamma_{m^{\prime}-i-1} \quad$ for $0 \leqq i<m^{\prime}-1$.

By the property of the used $\sigma$-order stated at the middle of the above No., we see that
(4.2.9) $\quad \alpha_{m-i+1}$ is the $i$-th root from the highest root for $1 \leqq i \leqq m$.

Then (4.2.6), (4.2.8) and (4.2.9) prove that
(4.2.10) $\quad \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}$ are simple roots.

On the other hand.
(4.2.11) $\quad \alpha_{1}$ is a simple root,
since it is the lowest root of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$. By (4.2.4)-(4.2.7) we see easily that $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}\right\}$ form a fundamental system of roots of type $B_{m^{\prime}}$ if $m^{\prime} \geqq 2$. Clearly every root of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ is expressed as a linear combination of $\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots \gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}$, e.g.,

$$
\alpha_{m}=\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}\right) .
$$

Let $\gamma \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}$; by Lemma 4.1 there exist $\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ such that $\gamma+\alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j}$. Then

$$
\gamma=\gamma_{i}+\ldots+\gamma_{i+j-1}
$$

i.e., it is expressed as a linear combination of $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}$ if $m^{\prime} \geqq 2$. Thus we obtain the

Proposition 4.2. Normal $\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1 of case i) are classified by their $\sigma$-fundamental systems described by Satake figures as follows.

| $\left.\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{i}\right)$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: |

$$
\text { with } \sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1} \text { and } m(\lambda)=1,
$$


with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-1}\right)$ and $m(\lambda)=2 l-1$, where the arrow $\Longrightarrow$ directs from the longer root to the shorter one.

As is easily seen, thus defined $\sigma$ are involutive automorphisms of $\mathfrak{r}$ and the $\sigma$-systems of roots defined by the above $\sigma$-fundamental systems are actually normal.
4.3. Case ii). Put $m(\lambda)=m=2 m^{\prime}$. By Prop. 2.5. ii) all roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ have the same length and
(4.3.1) $\quad\langle\alpha, \alpha\rangle=2\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle \quad$ for all $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$.

Let the roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\}$ be arranged in the inc. easing order with respect to the given $\sigma$-order, and discuss of them in a parallel way to No. 4.2 using Prop. 2.7. Then first we obtain:

| (4.3.2) | $\sigma \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{m-i+1}$ | for $1 \leqq i \leqq m$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (4.3.3) | $\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{\jmath}\right\rangle>0$ | if $i+j \neq m+1$. |

Here we put

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_{i}=\gamma_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq i<m^{\prime},  \tag{4.3.4}\\
& \alpha_{m^{\prime}+1}-\alpha_{m^{\prime}-1}=\gamma_{m^{\prime}},
\end{align*}
$$

then
(4.3.5) $\quad \gamma_{i} \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}$and $\left\langle\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}\right\rangle=2\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle \quad$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq m^{\prime}$.

Now all differences $\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}(j>i)$ are expressed as a linear combination of $\gamma_{1}, \ldots$, $\gamma_{m^{\prime}} ;$ in particular

$$
\alpha_{m}=\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{1}+\ldots \ldots+\gamma_{m^{\prime}-2}\right)+\gamma_{m^{\prime}-1}+\gamma_{m^{\prime}} .
$$

Next, every element of $\mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}$is expressed as a linear combination of $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m^{\prime}}$ by a reasoning of use of Lemma 4.1. Finally, discussing $\left\langle\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{j}\right\rangle$ by (4.3.3)(4.3.4), we see that $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m^{\prime}}\right\}$ form the fundamental system with respect to the given $\sigma$-older if $m^{\prime}>1$. They are of type $D_{m^{\prime}+1}$ if $m^{\prime} \geqq 3$ and of type $A_{3}$ if $m^{\prime}=2$. If $m^{\prime}=1$, then $\mathfrak{r}_{o}=\phi$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \sigma \alpha_{1}\right\}$ is not connected. Thus we obtain a classification of $\sigma$-fundamental systems of case ii). It is easy to see thus obtained $\sigma$ are involutive automorphisms of $\mathfrak{r}$ and that the $\sigma$-systems of roots defined by these $\sigma$-fundamental systems are all normal.

Proposition 4.3. Normal $\sigma$-sytems of roots of restricted rank 1 of case ii) are classified by their $\sigma$-fundamental systems described by Satake figures as follows:


$$
\text { with } \sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2} \text { and } m(\lambda)=2
$$

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}$ and $m(\lambda)=4$,


$$
\text { for all } l \geqq 4
$$

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-3}\right)+\gamma_{l-2}+\gamma_{l-1}$ and $m(\lambda)=2 l-2$.
4.4. Case iii). Put $m(\lambda)=2 m^{\prime}$ and $m(2 \lambda)=2 m^{\prime \prime}-1$, and let the roots of
(4.4.1) $\quad \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 m^{\prime}}\right\}, \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}, \ldots, \beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}\right\}$
be arranged in the increasing order with respect to the given $\sigma$-order. By Prop. 2.13 $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ are connected. Hence $\mathfrak{r}$ must be connected since it is $\sigma$-irreducible. As in No. 4.2 we see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{2 m^{\prime}+1-i} & \text { for }  \tag{4.4.2}\\
\sigma \beta_{j}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-j} & \text { for } \quad 1 \leqq i \leqq 2 m^{\prime} \\
\hline 2 m^{\prime \prime}-1
\end{array}
$$

By Prop. 2.5. i) and Prop. 2.6 we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\alpha_{i}, \sigma \alpha_{i}\right\rangle<0 \quad \text { for all } i,  \tag{4.4.3}\\
& \left\langle\beta_{j}, \sigma \beta_{j}\right\rangle=0 \quad \text { for } j \neq m^{\prime \prime}, \\
& 2 \lambda=\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}=\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{2 m^{\prime}+1-i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqq i \leqq m^{\prime} . \tag{4.4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}, \beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle=4\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle & \text { for all } i,  \tag{4.4.5}\\
\left\langle\beta_{j}, \beta_{j}\right\rangle=8\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle & \text { for } j \neq m^{\prime \prime} .
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 4.4. In case $m^{\prime \prime}=1 \mathfrak{r}$ is simply-laced, and in case $m^{\prime \prime}>1 \mathfrak{r}$ is doubly-laced of type (2:1).

Proof. The assertion for the case $m^{\prime \prime}>1$ is clear from (4.4.5).
In case $m^{\prime \prime}=1$, assume that $\mathfrak{r}$ is not simply-laced. Since the roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ have the same length, there must exist a root $\gamma \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ such that $\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle \neq\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 there exists a root $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ such that $\langle\gamma, \alpha\rangle \neq 0$. We may assume that $\langle\gamma, \alpha\rangle<0$ by replacing $\gamma$ by $-\gamma$ if necessary. Now put

$$
\delta=\gamma-a_{\gamma, \alpha} \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}
$$

then $\langle\delta, \delta\rangle=\langle\gamma, \gamma\rangle \neq\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle$ which contradicts to the fact that all roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ have the same length.

Now the case iii) is further divided into two cases; case a) $m^{\prime \prime}=1$, and case b) $m^{\prime \prime}>1$.
4.4.a. Case iiia). $\beta_{1}$ is the highest root, and $\alpha_{1}$ is a simple root since it is the lowest root of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda} \cup \mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$. Let $n$ be the coefficient of $\alpha_{1}$ in the expression of $\beta_{1}$ as a linear combination of simple roots. Since $\beta_{1} \mid \mathfrak{Y}_{0}^{-}=2 \lambda$ and $\alpha_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}=\lambda, n=1$ or 2. And, in case $n=2$ all simple roots other than $\alpha_{1}$ must belong to $\mathfrak{r}_{o}$; in case $n=1$ only one simple root differing from $\alpha_{1}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ and all other simple roots must belong to $\mathfrak{r}_{0}$.

Further we note that
(4.4.6) $\quad\left\langle\beta_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle>0$
since $\beta_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\sigma \alpha_{1}$. This determines the possible simple roots which can be $\alpha_{1}$ in the given Schläfli figure. Simple roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{0}$ will be denoted by $\gamma_{i}$.

In the present case r is simply-laced by Lemma 4.4, hence of type $A_{l}, D_{l}$ or $\mathrm{E}_{l}$.
Type $\boldsymbol{A}_{r}$. By (4.4.6) $\alpha_{1}$ must be the one of the external roots in the Schläfli figure of $A_{l}$. Then $n=1$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}$ be another simple root of $\mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$, then $\left\langle\beta_{1}, \alpha^{\prime}\right\rangle>0$ since $\beta_{1}=\alpha^{\prime}+\sigma \alpha^{\prime}$. Hence $\alpha^{\prime}$ must be the another external root than $\alpha_{1}$ in the Schläfli figure of $A_{l}$. Therefore the possible $\sigma$-fundamental system is determined uniquely. It is described by Satake figure as follows:

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha^{\prime}+\left(\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-2}\right)$ and $m(\lambda)=2(l-1), m(2 \lambda)=1$.
It is easy to see that each one of the above $\sigma$-fundamental systems determines a normal $\sigma$-system of roots.

Type $\mathbf{D}_{l}$. By (4.4.6) $\alpha_{1}$ is determined uniquely in the Schläfli figure of $D_{l}$ and $n=2$. Hence the possible $\sigma$-fundamental system is determined uniquely, which is described by


$$
\text { for every } l \geqq 4
$$

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\gamma_{1}+\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{2}+\ldots .+\gamma_{l-3}\right)+\gamma_{l-2}+\gamma_{l-1} \quad$ and $m(\lambda)=2(2 l-4)$.
As is easily seen each one of the above $\sigma$-fundamental systems atcually makes $\mathfrak{r}$ a normal $\sigma$-system of roots.

Type $\mathbf{E}_{l}$. Discuss parallelly to the above ones, then the possible $\sigma$-fundamental system is determined uniquely for each $l=6,7$ and 8 , which is described as follows:

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{2}+3 \gamma_{3}+2 \gamma_{4}+\gamma_{5}$,
$\left.E_{7} i i i\right)$

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+3 \gamma_{1}+4 \gamma_{2}+3 \gamma_{3}+2 \gamma_{4}+\gamma_{5}+2 \gamma_{6}$,
$\left.E_{8} i i i\right)$

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{1}+3 \gamma_{1}+4 \gamma_{2}+5 \gamma_{3}+6 \gamma_{4}+4 \gamma_{5}+2 \gamma_{6}+3 \gamma_{7}$.
These $\sigma$-fundamental systems gives normal $\sigma$-systems of roots as is easily checked.
4.4.b. Case iii b ). $\mathfrak{r}$ is doubly-laced of type (2:1) by Lemma 4.4, hence is of type $B_{l}, C_{\imath}$ or $F_{4} \cdot \quad \beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}$ is the highest root and $\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-i}$ is the $i$-th root from the highest root for $1 \leqq i \leqq m^{\prime \prime}$ with respect to the given $\sigma$-order. For roots of $\mathfrak{r}_{2 \lambda}$ we can apply Prop. 2.7, and we see that
(4.4.7) $\left\langle\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-i}, \beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-j}\right\rangle>0 \quad$ for $1 \leqq i<j \leqq m^{\prime \prime}$.

Hence
(4.4.8) $\quad \gamma_{i}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-i}-\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-i-1}\left(\in \mathfrak{r}_{o}^{+}\right)$is a simple root for $1 \leqq i<m^{\prime \prime}$.

Since $\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-i}, 1 \leqq i<m^{\prime \prime}$, are long roots and $\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ is a short root, by (4.4.7) we see that
(4.4.9) $\quad \gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{m^{\prime \prime}-2}$ are long roots, and $\gamma_{m^{\prime \prime}-1}$ is a short root.

Furthermore,
(4.4.10) $\quad \alpha_{1}$ is a short simple root,
since it is the lowest root of $\mathrm{r}_{\lambda}$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}\right\rangle>0 \tag{4.4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}=\alpha_{1}+\sigma \alpha_{1}$.
Type $\mathbf{B}_{l}$. By the above generality $\alpha_{1}$ and $\gamma_{m^{\prime \prime}-1}$ are different short simple roots, and every fundamental system of roots of type $B_{\imath}$ contains only one short root. Hence there does not exist any normal $\sigma$-system of roots of type $B_{l}$ belonging to the considered
case.
Type $\mathbf{C}_{l}$. The Schläfli figure of type $C_{l}$ is described as


The highest root

$$
\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}=2\left(\varphi_{1}+\ldots+\varphi_{l-1}\right)+\varphi_{l}
$$

By (4.4.7)-(4.4.8) $\left\langle\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}, \gamma_{1}\right\rangle>0$ and $\gamma_{1}$ is simple. Hence $\gamma_{1}$ is uniquely determined as $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{1}$. Here we note that $\varphi_{1}$ is a short root, then by (4.4.9) $m^{\prime \prime}-1$ $=1$, i. e., only ' $m$ " $=2$ '" is possible. Hence

$$
\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-2}=\varphi_{1}+2\left(\varphi_{2}+\ldots+\varphi_{l-1}\right)+\varphi_{l}
$$

Now, by (4.4.11) $\alpha_{1}$ is uniquely determined as $\alpha_{1}=\varphi_{2}$. Since the coefficient of $\alpha_{1}$ is 2 in the above expression of $\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}$ as a linear combination of simple roots, the possible $\sigma$-fundamental system is uniquely determined, which is described as follows:

with $\sigma \alpha_{1}=\gamma_{1}+\alpha_{1}+2\left(\gamma_{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-2}\right)+\gamma_{l-1}, m(\lambda)=4(l-2)$ and $m(2 \lambda)=3$.
This figure gives certainly a normal $\sigma$-system of roots for every $l \geqq 3$ as is easily seen.

Type $\mathbf{F}_{4}$. The Scläfli figure of type $F_{4}$ is described as

with the highest root

$$
\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}=2 \varphi_{1}+3 \varphi_{2}+4 \varphi_{3}+2 \varphi_{4}
$$

By (4.4.7)-(4.4.8) $\left\langle\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}, \gamma_{1}\right\rangle>0$ and $\gamma_{1}$ is simple. Hence $\gamma_{1}=\varphi_{1}$, a long root. Next

$$
\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-2}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}-\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}+3 \varphi_{2}+4 \varphi_{3}+2 \varphi_{4}
$$

and, by (4.4.7)-(4.4.8) $\left\langle\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-2}, \gamma_{2}\right\rangle>0$ and $\gamma_{2}$ is simple. Hence $\gamma_{2}=\varphi_{2}$, a long root. Then

$$
\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-3}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-1}-\varphi_{2}=\varphi_{1}+2 \varphi_{2}+4 \varphi_{3}+2 \varphi_{4}
$$

By (4.4.7)-(4.4.8) $\left\langle\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-3}, \gamma_{3}\right\rangle>0$ and $\gamma_{3}$ is simple. Hence $\gamma_{3}=\varphi_{3}$, a short root.
Now, by (4.4.9) $m^{\prime \prime}-1=3$, i.e., only " $m$ " $=4$ " is possible. And

$$
\beta_{m^{\prime \prime}}=\beta_{2 m^{\prime \prime}-4}=\varphi_{1}+2 \varphi_{2}+3 \varphi_{3}+2 \varphi_{4}
$$

Then, by (4.4.11) $\alpha_{1}$ is uniquely determined as $\alpha_{1}=\varphi_{4}$. And the possible $\sigma$-fundamental system is determined uniquely, which is described by Satake figure as follows:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { with } \sigma \alpha_{1}=\gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{2}+3 \gamma_{3}+\alpha_{1} \\
& m(\lambda)=8 \text { and } m(2 \lambda)=7
\end{aligned}
$$

This figure determines certainly a normal $\sigma$-system of roots as is easily checked.
Summarizing the discussions of No. 4.4 we obtain the following
Proposition 4.5. Normal $\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1 of case iii) are classified as follows: $A_{l}$ iii) for $l \geqq 2, D_{l}$ iii) for $l \geqq 4, E_{l}$ iii) for $l=6,7$ and $8, C_{l} i i i$ ) for $l \geqq 3$, and $\left.F_{4} i i i\right)$.
4.5. In Nos. 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4 we classified all normal $\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1 by their $\sigma$-fundamental systems. Now we shall determine whether they are normally extendable or not. For this we need two lemmas (Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7).

First we quote two propositions about the structure constants $N_{\alpha, \beta}(\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{r})$ of a complex semi-simple Lie algebra with respect to a Weyl base $\left\{E_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}\right\}$ which are well known since H . Weyl. We use the convention that $N_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ if $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$ and $\notin \mathrm{r}$. (4.5.1) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ be non-zero roots such that $\alpha+\beta+\gamma=0$, then

$$
N_{\alpha, \beta}=N_{\beta, \gamma}=N_{\gamma, \alpha} .
$$

(4.5.2) Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ be non-zero roots such that
$\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta=0, \beta+\gamma \neq 0, \gamma+\delta \neq 0$ and $\delta+\beta \neq 0$, then

$$
N_{\alpha, \beta} N_{\gamma, \delta}+N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\delta, \beta}+N_{\alpha, \delta} N_{\beta, \gamma}=0 .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a normal $\sigma$-system of roots of restricted rank $1, \eta$ be any anti-automorphism of $g_{c}$ extending $\tilde{\sigma}$ in the sense of Prop. 3.1.

Put

$$
\eta E_{\alpha}=\varrho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \alpha} \quad \text { for each } \alpha \in \mathfrak{r}
$$

Then $\varrho_{\alpha}=1$ for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{0}$.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ and $\gamma, \delta \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ such that $\{\alpha+\gamma, \alpha+\delta\} \subset \mathfrak{r}, \gamma+\delta \neq 0$, $N_{\gamma, \delta}=0$ and $\sigma \alpha=\alpha+\gamma+\delta$. Then

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma \alpha}=1 .
$$

Lemma 4.7. Let $\alpha \in \mathfrak{r}_{\lambda}$ and $\gamma, \delta, \varepsilon \in \mathfrak{r}_{o}$ such that $\sigma \alpha=\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon$, $\{\alpha+\gamma, \alpha+\delta, \alpha+\varepsilon, \sigma \alpha-\gamma, \sigma \alpha-\delta, \sigma \alpha-\varepsilon\} \subset \mathfrak{r}, \gamma+\delta \neq 0, \delta+\varepsilon \neq 0, \gamma+\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $N_{\gamma, \delta}=N_{\delta, \mathrm{\varepsilon}}=N_{\gamma, \mathrm{\varepsilon}}=0$. Then

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma a}=-1
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.6. Apply $\eta$ on both sides of

$$
\left[\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\gamma}\right], E_{\delta}\right]=N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta} E_{\sigma \alpha} .
$$

And compare the coefficients of both sides remarking that $N_{\alpha, \beta}=N_{-\alpha,-\beta} \in \mathbf{R},\left|\varrho_{\alpha}\right|$ $=1, \varrho_{\gamma}=\varrho_{\delta}=1$. Then we obtain
(4.i)

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma \alpha}=\left(N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\gamma} N_{\alpha+\delta,-\delta}\right) /\left(N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta}\right) .
$$

Here, apply (4.5.2) to the 4-ple $\{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\alpha,-\gamma,-\delta\}$, then we see that

$$
N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\gamma} N_{-\delta,-\infty}+N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\delta} N_{-\alpha,-\gamma}=0
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\gamma} / N_{\alpha, \gamma}=N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\delta} / N_{\alpha, \delta} . \tag{4.ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, apply (4.5.1) to triples $\{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\delta,-\alpha-\gamma\}$ and $\{\alpha+\delta,-\delta,-\alpha\}$. Then we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta,-\delta}=N_{-\delta,-\alpha-\gamma}=-N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta}, \tag{4.iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that
(4.iv)

$$
N_{\alpha+\delta,-\delta}=N_{-\delta,-\infty}=-N_{\alpha, \delta} .
$$

From (4.i)-(4.iv) we conclude that

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma \alpha}=1 .
$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Lemma 4.7. Apply $\eta$ on both sides of

$$
\left[\left[\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\gamma}\right], E_{\delta}\right], E_{\varepsilon}\right]=N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta} N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta, \varepsilon} E_{\sigma \alpha},
$$

and compare the coefficients of both sides. Then we obtain
(4.v)

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \varrho_{\sigma \alpha}=\left(N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\gamma} N_{\alpha+\delta+\varepsilon,-\delta} N_{\alpha+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon}\right) /\left(N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta} N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta, \varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Apply (4.5.2) to the 4-ple $\{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\alpha-\delta,-\gamma,-\varepsilon\}$, then we see that

$$
N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\gamma} N_{-\varepsilon,-\alpha-\delta}+N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon} N_{-\alpha-\delta,-\gamma}=0,
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\gamma} / N_{\alpha+\delta, \gamma}=N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon} / N_{\alpha+\delta, \varepsilon} . \tag{4.vi}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next apply (4.5.1) to the triple $\{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,-\alpha-\gamma-\delta\}$, then we see that
(4.vi') $\quad N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+3,-\varepsilon}=N_{-\varepsilon,-\alpha-\gamma-\delta}=-N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta, \varepsilon}$.

From (4.vi) and (4.vi') we obtain
(4.vii) $\quad N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta+\varepsilon,-\gamma} / N_{\alpha+\gamma+\delta, \varepsilon}=-N_{\alpha+\delta, \gamma} / N_{\alpha+\delta, \varepsilon}$.

Similarly, applying (4.5.2) to the 4-ple $\{\alpha+\delta+\varepsilon,-\alpha,-\delta,-\varepsilon\}$ and then applying (4.5.1) to the triple $\{\alpha+\delta+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,-\alpha-\delta\}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha+\delta+\varepsilon,-\delta} / N_{\alpha, \delta}=-N_{\alpha+\delta, \varepsilon} / N_{\alpha, \varepsilon} . \tag{4.viii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, apply (4.5.1) to the triple $\{\alpha+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon,-\alpha\}$, then we obtain
(4.ix) $\quad N_{\alpha+\varepsilon,-\varepsilon}=N_{-\varepsilon,-\alpha}=-N_{\alpha, \varepsilon}$.

Finally, since $\left[E_{\gamma}, E_{\delta}\right]=0$ we have the equality

$$
\left[\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\gamma}\right], E_{\delta}\right]=\left[\left[E_{\alpha}, E_{\delta}\right], E_{\gamma}\right],
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha, \delta} /\left(N_{\alpha, \gamma} N_{\alpha+\gamma, \delta}\right)=1 / N_{\alpha+\delta, \gamma} . \tag{4.x}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiply (4.vii)-(4.x) side by side, and then compare with (4.v). Then we conclude that

$$
\bar{\varrho}_{\alpha} \underline{Q}_{\sigma \alpha}=-1 .
$$

q.e.d.
4.6 Theorem 4.8. a) The following normal $\sigma$-systems of roots of restricted rank 1: $\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), B_{l} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), A_{3} i i\right), D_{i} i i\right), A_{l} i i i\right), C_{l} i i i\right)$ and $\left.F_{4} i i i\right)$, are normally extendable. b) The following ones: $\left.D_{i} i i i\right)$ and $\left.E_{i} i i i\right)(6 \leqq l \leqq 8)$, are not normally extendable.

Proof. For the $\sigma$-fundamental system $\Delta$ of the normal $\sigma$-system of roots $\mathfrak{x}$ of restricted rank 1 of each type, choose an anti-automorphism $\eta$ satisfying Prop. 3.1 such that $\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}\left(\left|\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}\right|=1\right)$ is arbitrary, and that $\varrho_{\alpha_{2}}=\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}$ for $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), \varrho_{\alpha^{\prime}}=\left(N_{\alpha^{\prime}, \gamma}\right.$ $\left./ N_{\sigma \alpha^{\prime},-\gamma}\right) \varrho_{\alpha_{1}}$ for $\left.A_{l} i i i\right)$ by putting $\gamma=\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-2}$.

First we see easily that

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{1}} \overline{\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}}=1 & \text { for } \left.A_{1} i\right), \\
\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{1}} \varrho_{\alpha_{1}}=\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{2}} \overline{\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{2}}}=1 & \text { for } \left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), \\
\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{1}} \overline{\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}}=\varrho_{\sigma \alpha^{\prime}} \overline{\varrho_{\alpha^{\prime}}}=1 & \text { for } \left.A_{i} i i i\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Further, applying Lemma 4.6 for $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$, we see that

$$
\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{1}} \overline{\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}}=1
$$

by putting $\gamma=\delta=\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-1}$ for $\left.B_{l} i\right), \gamma=\gamma_{1}$ and $\delta=\gamma_{2}$ for $\left.A_{3} i i\right), \gamma=\gamma_{1}+\ldots$. $+\gamma_{l-3}+\gamma_{l-2}$ and $\delta=\gamma_{1}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-3}+\gamma_{l-1}$ for $\left.D_{l} i i\right), \gamma=\gamma_{1}$ and $\delta=2\left(\gamma_{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-2}\right)$ $+\gamma_{l-1}$ for $\left.C_{i} i i i\right), \gamma=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}$ and $\delta=\gamma_{2}+2 \gamma_{3}$ for $\left.F_{4} i i i\right)$. Hence $\eta \eta E_{\beta}=E_{\beta}$
for every simple root $\beta \in \Delta$ of each former type. Therefore
$\eta \eta=$ the identity automorphism of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$
for each former type by the uniqueness of (3.3).

Next apply Lemm 4.7 for $\Delta$ of $\left.D_{i} i i i\right)$ by putting $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \gamma=\gamma_{1}, \delta=\gamma_{2}+\ldots+$ $\gamma_{l-3}+\gamma_{l-2}$ and $\varepsilon=\gamma_{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{l-3}+\gamma_{l-1}$; for $\left.E_{6} i i i\right)$ by putting $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \gamma=\gamma_{3}, \delta=\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}$ $+\gamma_{4}$ and $\varepsilon=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}+\gamma_{5}$; for $\left.E_{7} i i i\right)$ by putting $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \gamma=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}, \delta=$ $\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{6}$ and $\varepsilon=\gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{2}+2 \gamma_{3}+2 \gamma_{4}+\gamma_{5}+\gamma_{6}$; for $\left.E_{8} i i i\right)$ by putting $\alpha=\alpha_{1}, \gamma=\gamma_{1}$ $+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}+\gamma_{5}, \quad \delta=\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}+\gamma_{3}+\gamma_{4}+\gamma_{7} \quad$ and $\quad \varepsilon=\gamma_{1}+2 \gamma_{2}+3 \gamma_{3}+4 \gamma_{4}+3 \gamma_{5}+2 \gamma_{6}+$ $2 \gamma_{7}$. Then we see that

$$
\varrho_{\sigma \alpha_{1}} \overline{\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}}=-1
$$

for each latter type. Hence $\eta$ cannot be involutive for any choice of $\varrho_{\alpha_{1}}$ for each latter type.

By the above Theorem we obtain a classification of irreducible infinitesimal symmetric pairs of rank 1 by their $\sigma$-fundamental systems.

## §5. Classification (General case).

5.1 In this paragraph we classify $\sigma$-fundamental systems $\Delta$ of $\sigma$-irreducible normally extendable $\sigma$-systems of roots. This will give us a classification of infinitesimal irreducible symmetric pairs via Prop. 1.2 and Cor. 2.15. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be such a $\sigma$-system of roots. $\mathfrak{r}$ consists at most of two components.

If $\mathfrak{r}$ consists exactly of two components $\mathfrak{r}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_{2}$, then $\sigma \mathfrak{r}_{1}=\mathfrak{r}_{2}$, and a $\sigma$ fundamental system consists of two connected-ness components $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ such that $\Delta_{i}$ forms a fundamental system of $\mathfrak{r}_{i}$ for each $i=1,2$, and $\sigma \Delta_{1}=\Delta_{2}$. Hence $\Delta^{-} \cong$ $\Delta_{i}$, and $\Delta^{\lambda}$ is of type $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$by a notation of No.3.2. Therefore $\mathfrak{r}$ is normally extendable by Theorems 3.6 and 4.8 . This is the case that the corresponding compact symmetric space is the space of a compact simple Lie group of the same type as $\Delta^{-}$.

In subsequent Nos. we discuss the cases of $\mathfrak{r}$ being connected, and hence of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ being simple.

Theorem 3.6 is the key theorem for our classification, by which only $\sigma$-fundamental systems of normally extendable ones are possible as $\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$.

In the sequel we use the following arguments frequently: under some assumptions about a $\sigma$-fundamental system $\Delta, \sigma \varphi_{i}$ and $\sigma \varphi_{j}$ are determined for two simple roots $\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}$ of $\Delta$ in such a way that

$$
\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{i}, \sigma \varphi_{j}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle\varphi_{i}, \varphi_{j}\right\rangle,
$$

which contradicts to " $\sigma$ is isometric"; hence there exists no $\sigma$-fundamental system which is normally extendable and satisfies the given assumptions. This type of argument is called an "isometry argument" for the sake of simplicity.

To describe the types of real simple Lie algebras $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ we use the usual notation due to E.Cartan.
5.2. Type $A_{l}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :


Since $\Delta^{\lambda} \subset \Delta$ for each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}, \Delta^{\lambda}$ must be of types $\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{3} i i\right), A_{m} i i i\right)$ or $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$. Our discussion is divided in four cases.
a) The case that at least one external root of $\Delta$ belongs to $\Delta_{0}$. We may assume that $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{0} . \Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, containing $\varphi_{1}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$ since in the remaining
possible three types every external root does not belong to $\Delta_{0}$; then $\varphi_{3} \in \Delta_{0}$, and $\varphi_{4} \notin$ $\Delta_{0}$ by Prop. 3.5. Next $\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, containing $\varphi_{4}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$ by the same reason as above since it contains $\varphi_{3}$ by Prop. 3.5, and $\varphi_{5} \in \Delta_{0}, \varphi_{6} \notin \Delta_{0}$; the same arguments continue iteratedly; finally $l$ must be odd, and we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system


In particular: $l=2 l^{\prime}+1\left(l^{\prime} \geqq 1\right), \varphi_{2 i+1} \in \Delta_{o}\left(0 \leqq i \leqq l^{\prime}\right), \varphi_{2 i} \nsubseteq \Delta_{o}\left(1 \leqq i \leqq l^{\prime}\right)$, and

$$
\sigma \varphi_{2 i}=\varphi_{2 i-1}+\varphi_{2 i}+\varphi_{2 i+1} \quad\left(1 \leqq i \leqq l^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The corresponding real simple Lie algebra $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{z}}$ is of type AII.
In the remaining cases two external roots of $\Delta$ belong to $\Delta-\Delta_{0}$. We put $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}$ $=\lambda_{1}$, i.e., $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$.
b) The case that $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $\left.A_{m} i i i\right)$. If $m<l$, then $\varphi_{m+1} \notin \Delta_{o}$ by Prop. 3.5. Since
$0\rangle\left\langle\varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m+1}, \sigma \varphi_{m}\right\rangle=\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{1}+\ldots+\varphi_{m-1}\right\rangle$, there exists an $i \leqq m-1$ such that

$$
\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{i}\right\rangle<0,
$$

which becomes impossible after discussing possible types of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ such that $\Delta^{\lambda} \ni \varphi_{m+1}$ Hence $l=m$, and $\Delta=\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ with $l \geqq 2$. The corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is of type AIV.
c) The case that $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$. Put $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{m}\right\}$, then $m>2$. $\left\{\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{m-1}\right\} \subset \Delta-\Delta_{0}$ (and $\varphi_{m+1} \in \Delta-\Delta_{o}$ if $m<l$ ) by Prop. 3.5. Here

$$
\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m-1}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle<0
$$

and, if $m<l$, then

$$
\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle<0
$$

similarly as in the above case. Putting $\varphi_{m-1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\varphi_{m+1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}$, we see from the above formula that

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=p_{2} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}
$$

by checking the possible types of $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ and of $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}$. But this is impossible. Hence it must be that $m=l$.

Now $\Delta-\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system by Prop. 3.7, and

$$
\varphi_{2}\left|\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\varphi_{l-1}\right| \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime} .
$$

If $l=3$, then $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}=\left\{\varphi_{2}\right\}$ is of type $A_{1} i$ ). If $l>3$, then $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ is of type $\left.A_{l-2} i i i\right)$ by b) or $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ with $l>4$. In case $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ being of type $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ we can iterate the same discussion as above. Continue the same discussions iteratedly. Finally we obtain the following $\sigma$-fundamental systems normally extendable:


$$
\text { for } 2 \leqq s \leqq l / 2 \text {, }
$$


in case $l=2 l^{\prime}+1$.

The corresponding $g_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ are of type AIII.
d) The case that $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $\left.\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{i}\right) . \quad \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ and $\sigma \varphi_{1}=\varphi_{1}$. Hence $\varphi_{2}$ $\notin \Delta_{o}$ by Prop. 3.5, and

$$
\left\langle\varphi_{1}, \sigma \varphi_{2}\right\rangle<0 .
$$

Since $\Delta^{\lambda_{2}}, \lambda_{2}=\varphi_{2} \mid \mathcal{H}_{o}^{-}$, is of type $\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ or $\left.A_{m} i i i\right)$, the above formula implies immediately that

$$
\Delta^{\lambda_{2}}=\left\{\varphi_{2}\right\} \text { and } \sigma \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2}
$$

Similarly we see that $\sigma \varphi_{s}=\varphi_{3}$, and so on; thus we obtain a normally exterdable $\sigma$ fundamental system

$$
\Delta: \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\cdots-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} .
$$

The corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is of type AI (normal form of $\mathfrak{g}_{c}$ ).
5.3. Type $\mathbf{B}_{b}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta: \stackrel{\varphi_{l}}{\bigcirc} \ldots \cdots \xrightarrow{\varphi_{2}} \xlongequal{\varphi_{1}}$.
$\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, can be only of types $\left.\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), A_{3} i i\right), A_{m} i i i\right)$ or $\left.B_{m} i\right)$. Our discussion is divided in two cases.
a) The case $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{o}$ Put $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1} . \quad \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{1} i\right)$ as is easily seen. Then $\Delta-\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $A_{l-1}$, and is a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system by Prop. 3.7. Since

$$
\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle<0
$$

we see easily that $\Delta^{\lambda}$, containing $\varphi_{2}$, is of type $A_{1} i$ ) and $\sigma \varphi_{2}=\varphi_{2}$. Then, as in No. 5.3.d), we see that

$$
\sigma \varphi_{i}=\varphi_{i}
$$

for all $i \leqq l$. Thus we have a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system

$$
\Delta: \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\cdots-\mathrm{O} \Longrightarrow \mathrm{O}
$$

The corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is the normal form of $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$, and is a special case of type BI.
b) The case $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{0}$. There exists an $m<l$ such that $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right\} \subset \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{m+1} \notin \Delta_{o}$. Then, putting $\varphi_{m+1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}, \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.B_{m+1} i\right)$. Now discuss parallelly to the above case, then we see immediately that

$$
\sigma \varphi_{j}=\varphi_{j} \quad \text { for } m+1<j \leqq l .
$$

Thus we have normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems
$\Delta:$


Corresponding $g_{\dot{\sigma}}$ are of type BI for $m<l-1$, and of type BII for $m=l-1$.
5.4 Type $\mathbf{C}_{6}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :

$\Lambda^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda^{-}$, can be only of types $\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), A_{3} i i\right), A_{m} i i i\right), B_{2} i\right)$ or $\left.C_{m} i i i\right)$. The discussion is divided in three cases.
a) The case $\varphi_{1} \in \Lambda_{o}$. There is an $m \geqq 2$ such that $\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m-1}\right\} \subset \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{m} \notin \Delta_{o}$. Then, putting $\varphi_{m} \mid \mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}, \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.C_{m+1} i\right)$. Hence, $m \leqq l-1$,
$\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m+1}\right\}, \varphi_{m+1} \in \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{m+2} \notin \Delta_{o} . \quad \operatorname{Now}^{\prime}\left\{\varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{m+2}, \ldots, \varphi_{l}\right\}$ is a $\sigma$-fundamental system of type $A_{l-m}$ such that $\varphi_{m+1} \in \Delta_{o}$, which is normally extendable by Prop. 3.7. Therefore the remaining discussions are reduced to the case of No. 5.2. a). For each $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$suct that $\lambda \neq \lambda_{1}, \Delta^{\lambda}$ is of type $A_{3}$ ii). Thus we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system
$\Delta:$

for $2 \leqq m \leqq l-1$ such that $l-m$ is odd. The corresponding $g_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is of type CII.
In the remaining cases $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{0}$. Putting $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{G}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}, \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $B_{2} i$ ), or $A_{1} i$ ).
b) The case $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ being of type $B_{2} i$. Then $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}, \varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$ and $\varphi_{3}$ $\notin \Delta_{0} . \quad\left\{\varphi_{2}, \ldots, \varphi_{l}\right\}$ form a $\sigma$-fundamental system of type $A_{l-1}$ which is normally extendable by Prop. 3.7. Since $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$, the remaining discussions are reduced to the case of No. 5.2.a). $\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Lambda^{-}-\left\{\lambda_{1}\right\}$, ate all of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$. Thus we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system

for $l$ even. The corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is a special case of type CII.
c) The case $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ being of type $A_{1} i$ ). By a discussion similar as in No. 5.3.a), we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental sytem
$\Delta: \quad 0-\cdots-0 \Leftarrow 0$.
The corresponding $g_{\tilde{o}}$ is of type CI (normal form of $\mathfrak{g}_{C}$ ).
5.5 Type $D_{l}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :

$\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, can be only of types $\left.\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), A_{3} i i\right), A_{m} i i i\right)$ or $\left.D_{m} i i\right)$. The discussion is divided in three cases.
a) The case $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{0}$. When $l>4$, the possible type of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{1}$ is only $A_{3} i i$. Hence the same discussion as in No. 5.2.a) continue until $\sigma \varphi_{l-3}$ is. determined.

If $l$ is even, then $\varphi_{l-2} \notin \Delta_{0}$. Put $\varphi_{l-2} \mid \mathfrak{Y}_{o}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime}$. Since $\varphi_{l-3} \in \Delta_{0}, \Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$. Therefore, $\varphi_{l-1} \in \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{l} \notin \Delta_{o}$, or $\varphi_{l-1} \notin \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{l} \in \Delta_{0}$. Thus we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamentsl system
$\Delta$ :


If $l$ is odd, then $\varphi_{l-2} \in \Delta_{0}$. And $\left\{\varphi_{l_{-1}}, \varphi_{l-2}, \varphi_{l}\right\}$ is a $\sigma$-fundamental system of type $A_{3}$ which is normally extendable by Prop. 3.7. Therefore it must be of restricted rank 1 and of type $\left.A_{3} i i i\right)$ as is easily checked. We obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system


The corresponding $g_{\tilde{z}}$ are of type DIII for the above two $\sigma$-fundamental systems.
In the remaining two cases $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{0}$. Put $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}$.
b) The case $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$. $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must of type $\left.A_{m} i i i\right)$ or $\left.D_{l} i i\right)$. If $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $A_{m}$ iii), then $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{m}\right\}$. First we see that $m \leqq l-2$, since otherwise $m=l-1$ and $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{\imath}$ has by Prop. 3.5 the following Satake figure:

to which there corresponds no normal $\sigma$-fundamental system of roots of restricted rank 1 by discussions of $\S 4$. Now $\varphi_{m+1} \notin \Delta_{o}$, and applying an "isometry argument" to the pair $\left(\varphi_{m}, \varphi_{m+1}\right)$ we arrive to a contradiction. Hence $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ can not be of type $\left.A_{m} i i i\right)$, and must be of type $\left.D_{l} i i\right)$. Thus we see that

$$
\Delta=\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}:
$$



The corresponding $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ is of type DII.
c) The case $\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{0} . \quad \Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{1} i\right)$ or $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$. If $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ such that $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{m}\right\}$, then discussing $\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m-1}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\sigma \varphi_{m+1}, \varphi_{1}\right\rangle$ we arrive readily to a contradiction. Hence $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{1} i\right)$. Then, $\Delta-$ $\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ is by Prop.3.7 a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system with $\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{o}$ and of type $D_{l-1}$ if $l>4$, or of type $A_{3}$ if $l=4$. In case $l=4$, by the classification of No. 5.2, the Satake figure of $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ must be


In case $l>4$, the discussions of b) and c) can be applied again to $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$. Continue these arguments iteratedly, then finally we obtain the following normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems:


The corresponding $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\partial}}$ are of type DI.
5.6 Type $\mathbf{E}_{6}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :

$\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, can be only of types $\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right), A_{3} i i\right)$, or $\left.D_{m} i i\right)$.
Assume that $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{o}$, then $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{1}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$; hence $\varphi_{3} \in \Delta_{o}$ and $\left\{\varphi_{2}, \varphi_{4}, \varphi_{6}\right\} \subset \Delta-\Delta_{0}$ by Prop. 3.5. Then, putting $\varphi_{6} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime}, \Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i i\right)$ such that $\sigma \varphi_{6}=\varphi_{3}+\varphi_{4}$, and $\varphi_{5} \notin \Delta_{o}$; finally, putting $\varphi_{5} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}$,
$\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime \prime}}=\left\{\varphi_{5}\right\}$ must be of type $A_{1} i$ ). Now, applying an "isometry argument" to the pair $\left(\varphi_{4}, \varphi_{5}\right)$ we arrive easily to a contradiction. Therefore we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{o} . \tag{5.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{5} \notin \Delta_{o} \tag{5.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we put $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}$. Subsequent discussions are divided in two cases according as $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{o}$ or not.
a) The case $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$. If we assume that $\varphi_{3} \notin \Delta_{0}$, then $\Lambda^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i i\right),\left\{\varphi_{4}, \varphi_{6}\right\} \subset \Delta-\Delta_{0}$, and $\sigma \varphi_{6}$ is a linear combination of $\varphi_{4}, \varphi_{5}$ and $\varphi_{6}$. Now an "isometry argument" of the pair $\left(\varphi_{3}, \varphi_{6}\right)$ leads to a contradiction. Hence

$$
\varphi_{3} \in \Delta_{o}
$$

Next, if we assume that $\varphi_{4} \notin \Delta_{o}$, then $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $\left.A_{4} i i i\right)$, and $\varphi_{6} \notin \Delta_{o}$. Then we must have a $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, such that the figure of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ is $\bigcirc$ by Prop. 3.5, which is impossible. Hence

$$
\varphi_{4} \in \Delta_{0} .
$$

Thus we obtain the following two normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems according as $\varphi_{6} \in \Lambda_{o}$ or not.


The former figure corresponds to $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\tilde{c}}}$ of type EVI, and the latter to that of type EIII.
b) The case $\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{0}$. If $\varphi_{4} \in \Delta_{o}$, then by a discussion parallel to that of a) we must conclude that $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$, which is impossible by our assumption. Therefore

$$
\varphi_{4} \notin \Delta_{o}
$$

If we assume that $\varphi_{3} \in \Lambda_{o}$, then, putting $\varphi_{2} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{2}, \Delta^{\lambda_{2}}$ must be of type $A_{3}$ iii), and $\varphi_{6} \notin \Delta_{o}$. Then we must have a $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$such that the figure of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ is $\bigcirc$ by Prop. 3.5, which is impossible. Hence

$$
\varphi_{3} \notin U_{o} .
$$

Further we see readily that

$$
\varphi_{6} \notin \Delta_{0} .
$$

Thus $\Delta_{o}=\phi$, and $\sigma \mid \Delta$ must be an involutive automorphism of $\Delta$ so that we obtain the following two normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems

and


The former figure corresponds to $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ of type $\mathbf{E I}$ (normal form of $E_{6}$ ), and the latter to that of type EII.
5.7. Type $\mathbf{E}_{7}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :


First, the same discussion as in the proof of (5.6.1) shows that (5.7.1) $\varphi_{6} \notin \Delta_{o}$.
a) The case $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{0}$. $\quad \Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{1}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right) ; \varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{o}, \varphi_{3}$ $\in \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{4} \notin \Delta_{o}$. Then $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{4}$ must be of type $\left.A_{3} i i\right)$, and $\varphi_{5} \in \Delta_{o}$ or $\varphi_{7} \in \Delta_{o}$. If we assume that $\varphi_{5} \in \Delta_{o}$, then $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}, \varphi_{6} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}=\lambda^{\prime}$, must have a figure O—— by Prop. 3.5 which is impossible. Therefore

$$
\varphi_{s} \notin \Delta_{o} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi_{7} \in \Delta_{o}
$$

Thus we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system


The corresponding $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is of type EVI.
b) The case $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{0}$. Put $\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{0}^{-}=\lambda_{1}$. If we assume that $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{0}$, then the possible type of $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is $\left.A_{m} i i i\right), 3 \leqq m \leqq 6$, or $D_{6} i i$ ). In case $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $\left.D_{6} i i\right)$, $\Delta^{\lambda^{\prime}}, \lambda^{\prime}=\varphi_{6} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$, must have the figure

by Prop. 3.5, which is impossible. Similar arguments or "isometry arguments" show that all other possible types of $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ do not occur, and hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2} \notin U_{0} . \tag{5.7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ must be of type $A_{1} i$ ) or $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$. Checking all possibilities of $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ being of type $\left.A_{1} \times A_{1} i i\right)$ by "isometry arguments", we see that (5.7.3) $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}$ is of type $A_{1} i$.

Then $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ is a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system by Prop.3.7, and must have one of the four figures of No. 5.6, two of which become impossible after applying "isometry arguments" to the pair ( $\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}$ ).

Thus we obtain the following two normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems $\Delta$ :


The former corresponds to $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{z}}$ of type EVII, and the latter to that of type EV (normal form of $E_{7}$ ).
5.8. Type $\mathbf{E}_{8}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :


In the same way as in the proof of (5.6.1), first we see that
(5.8.1) $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{7} \notin \Delta_{0}$.

Next, parallelly to the discussions of No. 5.7. b), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{o} \text { and } \varphi_{3} \notin \Delta_{o}, \tag{5.8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}, \varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}=\lambda_{1}, \text { is of type } A_{1} i\right) . \tag{5.8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ is a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system by Prop. 3.7, which must have one of the two figures of No. 5.7. b) since (5.8.2).

Thus we obtain the following two normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental systems $\Delta$ :
 and


The former corresponds to $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ of type EIX, and the latter to that of type EVIII (normal form of $E_{8}$ ).
5.9. Type $F_{4}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta$ :
 $\Delta^{\lambda}, \lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, can be only of types $\left.\left.\left.A_{1} i\right), A_{2} i i i\right), B_{m} i\right)(m=2$ or 3$\left.), C_{3} i i i\right)$, or $\left.F_{4} i i i\right)$.
a) In Case $\varphi_{1} \in \Delta_{0}$. Then only $\left.F_{4} i i i\right)$ is possiblle as a type of $\Delta^{\lambda}$ as is easily checked; hence we obtained a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system

$$
\Delta=\Delta^{\lambda}: \quad 0 \longrightarrow 0
$$

which corresponds to $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ of type FII.
b) In case $\varphi \notin \Delta_{0}$. If we assume that $\varphi_{2} \in \Delta_{o}$, then $\varphi_{3} \in \Delta_{0}$ since otherwise we have a figure $O —$ for $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{1}$ by Prop. 3.5, which is impossible. Then $\varphi_{4} \notin \Delta_{o}$ since otherwise we obtain a figure $O-\longrightarrow$ which is impossible. Now $\Delta^{\lambda}$ containing $\varphi_{4}$ has the figure $\Longrightarrow-\bigcirc$ which is also impossible. Hence (5.9.1)

$$
\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{o} .
$$

And $\Delta^{\lambda_{1}}, \lambda_{1}=\varphi_{1} \mid \mathfrak{H}_{0}^{-}$, must be of type $\left.A_{1} i\right)$ necessarily. Then, $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ form a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system by Prop.3.7.

By the classification of No.5.4 and (5.9.1), $\Delta-\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ must be of type $C I$. Thus we obtain a normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system

$$
\Delta: \quad \bigcirc-\bigcirc \Longrightarrow O-\bigcirc
$$

which corresponds to $\mathfrak{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ of type FI (normal form of $F_{4}$ ).
5.10. Type $\mathbf{G}_{2}$. Schläfli figure of $\Delta: \stackrel{\varphi_{1}}{\rightleftharpoons}{ }_{\varphi_{2}}$. Since every normal $\sigma$-system of roots of restricted rank 1 is simply-laced or doubly-laced of type (2:1), we see immediately that $\varphi_{1} \notin \Delta_{o}$ and $\varphi_{2} \notin \Delta_{o}$; and we obtain only one normally extendable $\sigma$-fundamental system with Satake figure.

$$
0 \equiv 0
$$

The corresponding $\mathrm{g}_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ is of type $\mathbf{G}$ (normal form of $G_{2}$ ).
5.11. Finally we give a table of $\sigma$-fundamental systems described by Satake figure, and multiplicities $m(\lambda)$ and $m(2 \lambda)$ of simple roots $\lambda \in \Delta^{-}$, for all irreducible symmetric spaces such that $\mathrm{g}_{\sigma}$ are simple. Some simple roots of $\Delta-\Delta_{o}$ are denoted by letters $\alpha_{i}$, and simple root of $\Delta^{-}$which is obtained as a restriction of $\alpha_{i}$ to $\mathfrak{h}_{o}^{-}$is denoted by $\lambda_{i}$. $l$ denotes the rank of $\Delta$.

|  | $\Delta$ | $\Delta^{-}$ | $m\left(\lambda_{i}\right)$ | $m\left(2 \lambda_{i}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A I$ | $\underset{\alpha_{1}}{\bigcirc-\cdots-\cdots-\cdots}{ }_{\alpha_{l}}^{\bigcirc}$ | $\bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}}-\cdots-\bigcirc_{\lambda_{l}}$ | 1 | 0 |
| AII |  | $\begin{gathered} \bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}}\left(l=2 l^{\prime}+1, l^{\prime} \geqq 1\right) \\ \lambda_{l^{\prime}} \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0 |
| AIII |  | $\left(2 \leqq p \leqq \frac{l}{2}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & (\text { for } i<p) \\ & \\ & 2(l-2 p+1) \\ & (\text { for } i=p) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ $1$ |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bigcirc-\cdots-\bigcirc \lambda_{\lambda_{l^{\prime}}}^{\Leftarrow} \lambda_{\lambda_{l^{\prime}+1}} \\ & \quad\left(l=2 l^{\prime}+1, l^{\prime} \geqq 1\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{2}{\text { (for } \left.i \leqq l^{\prime}\right)} \\ & \left(\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ \left(i=l^{\prime}+1\right) \end{array}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| AIV |  | $\bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}}$ | $2(l-1)$ | 1 |
| $B I$ | $\underset{\alpha_{1}}{\bigcirc----\bigcirc \bigcirc \alpha_{p}}$ | $\stackrel{\lambda_{1}}{(l \geqq 2,2 \leqq p \leqq l)} \stackrel{\lambda_{p-1}}{\rightleftharpoons} \stackrel{\lambda_{p}}{\rightleftharpoons}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & (i<p) \\ & 2(l-p)+1 \\ & (i=p) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
| BII | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\alpha_{1}}-\bullet---\longrightarrow 0$ | $\bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}}$ | $2 l-1$ | 0 |
| $C I$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc_{\alpha_{1}}^{\bigcirc-}-\bigcirc \Leftarrow \bigcirc_{\alpha_{l}}$ |  | 1 | 0 |
| CII |  | $\bigcirc \bigcirc_{\left(l \geqq 3,1 \leqq p \leqq \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\right)}^{\Longrightarrow} \bigcirc^{\lambda_{p}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ (i<p) \\ 4(l-2 p) \\ (i=p) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\bigcirc-\bigcirc_{\alpha_{1}} \bigcirc-\cdots-\widehat{\alpha}_{l^{\prime}-1} \Leftarrow \bigcirc_{\alpha_{l^{\prime}}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \left(i<l^{\prime}\right) \\ & 3 \\ & \left(i=l^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| DI |  | $\stackrel{\lambda_{1}}{(l \geqq 4,2 \leqq p \leqq l-2)} \Longrightarrow \bigcirc^{\lambda_{p}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (i<p) \\ 2(l-p) \\ (i=p) \end{gathered}$ | 0 0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & (i<l-1) \\ & 2 \\ & (i=l-1) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
|  |  |  | 1 | 0 |


| DII |  | $\bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}}$ | $2(l-1)$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DIII |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \left(i<l^{\prime}\right) \\ & 1 \\ & \left(i=l^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \left(i<l^{\prime}\right) \\ & 4 \\ & \left(i=l^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 0 1 |
| $E I$ |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| EII |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(i=1,2) \\ & 2(i=3,4) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
| EIII |  | $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\lambda_{1}} \Longrightarrow \bigcirc_{\lambda_{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6(i=1) \\ & 8(i=2) \end{aligned}$ | 0 1 |
| EIV |  | $\bigcirc{ }_{\lambda_{1}}^{\bigcirc}-\bigcirc_{\lambda_{2}}$ | 8 | 0 |
| $E V$ |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| EVI |  | $\underset{\lambda_{1}}{\bigcirc}-\underset{\lambda_{2}}{\bigcirc} \Longrightarrow \underset{\lambda_{3}}{\bigcirc}-\bigcirc_{\lambda_{4}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(i=1,2) \\ & 4(i=3,4) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
| EVII |  | $\underset{\lambda_{1}}{\bigcirc} \Longrightarrow \lambda_{\lambda_{2}}-\bigcirc_{\lambda_{3}}^{\bigcirc}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(i=1) \\ & 8(i=2,3) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
| EVIII |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| EIX |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1(i=1,2) \\ & 8(i=3,4) \end{aligned}$ | 0 0 |
| FI | $\bigcirc-\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc-\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 |
| FII | $0-\mathrm{O}_{\alpha_{1}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bigcirc_{\lambda_{1}} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 8 | 7 |
| $G$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 |
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