

## ON SOME NEW CLASSES OF SEMIFIELD PLANES

M. CORDERO\* and R. FIGUEROA†

(Received October 21, 1991)

### 1. Introduction

In [9] Hiramine, Matsumoto and Oyama introduced a construction method that associates with any translation plane of order  $q^2$  ( $q$  odd) and kernel  $K \cong GF(q)$ , translation planes of order  $q^4$  and kernel  $K' \cong GF(q^2)$ . In this article we study the class of semifield planes of order  $q^4$  obtained from this method and show that with a few exceptions, the members of this class are new semifield planes. This class includes some recently constructed classes of planes; namely the class presented by Boerner-Lantz in [4] and the one by Cordero in [6].

### 2. Notation and preliminary results

Let  $\mathcal{S}=(\mathcal{S}, +, \cdot)$  be a finite semifield which is not a field. We denote by  $\pi(\mathcal{S})$  the semifield plane coordinatized by  $\mathcal{S}$  with respect to the points  $(0), (\infty), (0, 0)$  and  $(1, 1)$ . The dual translation plane of  $\pi(\mathcal{S})$  is also a semifield plane and it is coordinatized by the semifield  $\mathcal{S}^*=(\mathcal{S}, +, *)$ , where  $a*b=b \cdot a$ . Let  $q$  be an odd prime power,  $\mathcal{F}=GF(q^2)$  and  $x^r=\bar{x}=x^q$  for  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\pi$  be a semifield plane obtained by the construction method of Hiramine, Matsumoto and Oyama. Then  $\pi$  admits a matrix spread set of the form

$$\mathcal{M} = \left\{ M(u, v) = \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ f(v) & \bar{u} \end{bmatrix} : u, v \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

where  $f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an additive function.  $\pi$  is coordinatized by the semifield  $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{P}_f=(\mathcal{P}, +, \cdot)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}=\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  and

$$(x, y) \cdot (u, v) = (x, y) \begin{bmatrix} u & v \\ f(v) & \bar{u} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We shall denote this plane by  $\pi_f$ . We define the following classes:

---

\* Research partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-9107372

† Research partially supported by NSF Grant No. RII-9014056, EPSCoR of Puerto Rico Grant, and the ARO Grant for Cornell MSI.

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\mathcal{F}) &= \{f: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}: f \text{ is an additive function and } \mathcal{P}_f \text{ is a proper semifield}\}. \\ \Lambda(\mathcal{F}) &= \{f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}): \text{either } f(v) = av \text{ for some } a \in \mathcal{F} - GF(q), \text{ or } f(v) = av^\theta \text{ for} \\ &\quad \text{some nonsquare } a \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } \theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F}), \theta \neq \tau\}. \\ \Pi(\mathcal{F}) &= \{\pi_f: f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})\}. \\ \Sigma(\mathcal{F}) &= \{\mathcal{P}_f: \pi(\mathcal{P}_f) \in \Pi(\mathcal{F})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$  is the class of semifield planes of order  $q^4$  which are obtained from the construction method of Hiramane, Matsumoto and Oyama applied to translation planes of order  $q^2$ .

Among the known classes of proper finite semifields we have the following:

- (i) Cohen and Ganley commutative semifields [5]
- (ii) Kantor semifields [13]
- (iii) Knuth semifields of characteristic 2 [14]
- (iv) Twisted fields [1] and Generalized twisted fields [2]
- (v) Sandler semifields [15]
- (vi) Knuth four-type semifields [14], these include the Hughes-Kleinfeld semifields [10]
- (vii) Generalized Dickson semifields [8]
- (viii) Boerner-Lantz semifields [4]
- (ix)  $p$ -primitive type IV and type V semifields [6]

The semifield planes coordinatized by the semifields on class (viii) belong to the class  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$ , see [12], Theorem 4.3, and those coordinatized by semifields on class (ix) belong to  $\Pi(F)$  where  $F = GF(p^2)$  and  $p$  is a prime number, see [6]. The two main results on this paper state that the only known semifields (from classes (i) to (vii)) which belong to  $\Sigma(\mathcal{F})$  are the Knuth semifields which are of all four types and the Generalized Dickson semifields.

We now state some properties of  $\mathcal{P}_f$  and  $\pi_f$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_f$ . The nuclei of  $\mathcal{P}$  are:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P}) = \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{P}) = \{(x, 0) : f(xy) = \bar{x}f(y), \text{ for any } y \in \mathcal{F}\}$

*Proof.* For  $a = (x, y)$ ,  $b = (u, v)$  and  $c = (r, s)$  in  $\mathcal{P}$  the condition  $(a \cdot b) \cdot c = a \cdot (b \cdot c)$  is equivalent to the two equations

$$y(rf(v) + \bar{u}f(s)) = yf(us + v\bar{r}) \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$ysf(v) = yv\overline{f(s)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Clearly, from 2.1 and 2.2,  $(x, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P})$  for  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  and since  $\mathcal{P}$  is not a field, (i) follows.

Assume now that  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{P})$ . If  $v \neq 0$ , then from 2.2 with  $y=1$  we

have that  $f(\bar{s}) = \frac{sf(v)}{v}$ , for any  $s \in \mathcal{F}$ , which implies that  $c = \frac{f(v)}{v} \in GF(q)$  and  $f(s) = c\bar{s}$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{P}$  is a field, which is not the case. Thus,  $v=0$  and from 2.1 we get that  $\mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{P}) = \{(u, 0) : f(us) = uf(s), \text{ for any } s \in \mathcal{F}\}$ .

Let  $(r, s) \in \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{P})$ . Then, as above,  $s=0$  and from 2.1 we get that  $rf(v) = f(v\bar{r})$ , for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . By taking  $x = \bar{r}$  (so  $\bar{x} = r$ ), we have  $\bar{x}f(v) = f(vx)$ , for any  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . This completes the proof of (ii).

The following lemma is a consequence of the previous one.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$ . Then  $f(v) = av$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{F} - GF(q)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{F}$ .*

**3. On the class  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$**

Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and let  $\pi_f^*$  denote the dual translation plane of  $\pi_f$  with respect to  $(\infty)$ . We begin this section by showing that the semifields on classes (i)-(v) above do not coordinatize planes in  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and let  $S$  be a semifield belonging to any one of the classes (i)-(v) above. Then neither  $\pi_f$  nor  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(S)$ .*

Proof. If  $\mathcal{P} (\mathcal{P}^*)$  is a semifield which coordinatizes  $\pi_f (\pi_f^*)$ , then  $\mathcal{P} (\mathcal{P}^*)$  has characteristic  $\neq 2$ . On the other hand, if  $S$  belongs to classes (ii) or (iii), then the characteristic of  $S$  is 2 and therefore  $S$  is not isotopic to  $\mathcal{P}$  (or  $\mathcal{P}^*$ ). If  $S$  belongs to class (i), then  $S$  is commutative and by using Exercise 8.10 in [11] we conclude that  $\mathcal{P}$  (or  $\mathcal{P}^*$ ) is not isotopic to  $S$ . Thus in these cases  $\pi_f \not\cong \pi(S) \cong \pi_f^*$ . In [3] it is shown that a generalized twisted field plane of order  $p^n$ ,  $p$  an odd prime,  $n \geq 3$ , admits an autotopism  $g$  whose order is a  $p$ -primitive divisor of  $p^n - 1$ , i.e.  $|g| \mid p^n - 1$  but  $|g| \nmid p^i - 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ . From Propositions 6.3 and 6.4 in [9] it follows that if  $g$  is an autotopism of  $\pi_f$  then  $|g| \mid 4(q^2 - 1)$ . Therefore if  $S$  is a generalized twisted field plane then  $\pi_f \cong \pi(S) \cong \pi_f^*$ . (Recall that every twisted field plane is a generalized twisted field plane, [2].)

Assume now that  $S$  belongs to class (v) above. Then the dimension of  $S$  over  $\mathcal{N}_l(S)$  is  $\geq 4$  and  $\mathcal{N}_m(S) = \mathcal{N}_r(S)$  ([15], Theorem 1). Since  $\mathcal{P}$  is a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P})$ , we have that  $\pi_f \cong \pi(S)$ . If  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(S)$ , then by Theorem 8.2 in [11] we would have  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{N}_r(S) = \mathcal{N}_m(S) \cong \mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{N}_l(S)$ . From here we conclude that  $S$  is a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathcal{N}_l(S)$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $\pi_f^* \not\cong \pi(S)$ .

Next we deal with the Knuth four-type semifields. These semifields were defined in [14]. The semifields of type II, III and IV are characterized by their nuclei; type II:  $\mathcal{N}_r = \mathcal{N}_m \cong \mathcal{F}$ ; type III:  $\mathcal{N}_l = \mathcal{N}_m \cong \mathcal{F}$  and type IV:  $\mathcal{N}_l = \mathcal{N}_r \cong \mathcal{F}$ . A semifield of type I has multiplication given by:

$$(x, y) \cdot (u, v) = (xu + y^{\sigma^{-2}}v^\sigma h, xv + yu^\sigma + y^{\sigma^{-1}}v^\sigma g) \tag{3.3}$$

where  $(x, y), (u, v) \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ ,  $1 \neq \sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $h$  and  $g$  are elements in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that the polynomial  $x^{\sigma+1} + gx - h$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{F}$ . The next lemma gives the condition under which a semifield plane coordinatized by a Knuth semifield plane belongs to the class  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a Knuth four-type semifield. Then  $\pi_f$  or  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(\mathbf{K})$  if and only if  $f(v) = av$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{F} - GF(q)$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $f(v) = av$ . Then by Lemma 2 and Corollary 7.4.2 in [14] we have that  $\mathcal{P}_f$  is of all four types I, II, III, IV where  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $g = 0$ .

Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be a Knuth four-type semifield. If  $\mathbf{K}$  is of type II, III, or IV and if  $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$  or  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$  then by ([11], Theorem 8.2) and Lemmas 1 and 2 it follows that  $f(v) = av$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{K}$  is of type I. If  $g = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 = 1$  then from 3.3 we get that  $\mathbf{K} = \mathcal{P}_{f_1}$  where  $f_1(v) = hv^\sigma = hv$ . Hence, by Lemma 2,  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_I(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{N}_m(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathbf{K})$ . Now if  $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$  or  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$ , then by ([11], Theorem 8.2) and Lemma 2 we have that  $f(v) = av$ . We now show that the case when  $g = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 \neq 1$  and the case when  $g \neq 0$  are not possible.

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_f$  and suppose that  $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$ . Then  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_I(\mathcal{P}) \cong \mathcal{N}_I(\mathbf{K})$ . Let  $(x, y) \in \mathcal{N}_I(\mathbf{K})$ . The condition  $((x, y) \cdot (0, 1)) \cdot (0, s) = (x, y) \cdot ((0, 1) \cdot (0, s))$ , for all  $s$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  is equivalent to

$$(x + y^{\sigma^{-1}}g)^{\sigma^{-2}}s^\sigma h = xs^\sigma h + y^{\sigma^{-2}}s^{\sigma^2}g^\sigma h, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$y^{\sigma^{-2}}hs + (x + y^{\sigma^{-1}}g)^{\sigma^{-1}}s^\sigma g = xs^\sigma g + ys^{\sigma^2}h^\sigma + y^{\sigma^{-1}}s^{\sigma^2}g^\sigma g, \tag{3.5}$$

for any  $s$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $g = 0$  and  $\sigma^2 \neq 1 \neq \sigma$ , then 3.5 implies that  $y = 0$  and from 3.4 we get that  $x^{\sigma^{-2}} = x$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_I(\mathbf{K}) \subset \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } x^{\sigma^2} = x\}$  which implies that  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , but  $\sigma^2 \neq 1$ . If  $g \neq 0$  then from 3.4 we get that  $y = 0$ , and from 3.5 we have that  $x^{\sigma^{-1}}s^\sigma g = xs^\sigma g$ . Hence  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_I(\mathbf{K}) \subset \{(x, 0) : x \in \mathcal{F} \text{ and } x^\sigma = x\}$  and therefore  $\sigma = 1$ , which is a contradiction. A similar argument shows that  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(\mathbf{K})$  is not possible.

The last class to consider is the class of generalized Dickson semifields. Let  $\pi(\mathcal{D})$  be a generalized Dickson semifield plane of order  $q^4$  which is coordinatized by the semifield  $\mathcal{D} = (\mathcal{D}, +, \cdot)$  where  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$  and the product is given by (cf [8])

$$(x, y) \cdot (r, s) = (xr + y^\alpha s^\beta \omega, xs + yr^\sigma) \tag{3.6}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$  are arbitrary automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$  but not all the identity, and  $\omega$  is a nonsquare in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $(u, v) \cdot ((x, y) \cdot (r, s)) = ((u, v) \cdot (x, y)) \cdot (r, s)$  then the following two conditions must be satisfied:

$$uy^\alpha s^\beta \omega + v^\alpha (xs + yr^\sigma)^\beta \omega = v^\alpha y^\beta r \omega + (uy + vx^\sigma)^\alpha s^\beta \omega, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$vy^{\alpha\sigma} s^{\beta\sigma} \omega^\sigma = v^\alpha y^\beta s \omega \tag{3.8}$$

From now on  $\mathcal{D}$  will denote a generalized Dickson semifield plane of order  $q^4$  with multiplication given by (3.6).

Under certain conditions a generalized Dickson semifield is a Knuth four-type semifield. In the next lemma we give the necessary conditions on the automorphisms  $\alpha, \beta, \sigma$  under which  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Knuth four-type semifield.

**Lemma 5.** *If any of the following conditions are satisfied :*

- (i)  $\beta = \alpha\sigma$  and  $\beta\sigma = 1$ , or
- (ii)  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\sigma = \beta$ , or
- (iii)  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\sigma\beta = 1$

*then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Knuth four-type semifield.*

Proof. Assume that (i) is true. Then 3.7 and 3.8 become, respectively,

$$uy^\alpha s^\beta \omega = u^\alpha y^\alpha s^\beta \omega, \tag{3.9}$$

and,

$$vy^\beta s \omega^\sigma = v^\alpha y^\beta s \omega \tag{3.10}$$

From these equations we get that  $(x, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{D})$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $(r, 0) \in \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{D})$  for any  $r \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is not a field we have that  $\mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{D}) \cong \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Knuth semifield of type II. In a similar way if (ii) or (iii) occur then  $\mathcal{D}$  is a Knuth semifield of type III or IV, respectively.

In the following lemma the nuclei of  $\mathcal{D}$  are given.

**Lemma 6.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not a Knuth four-type semifield. Then the nuclei of  $\mathcal{D}$  are :*

- (i)  $\mathcal{N}_i(\mathcal{D}) = \{(u, 0) \in \mathcal{D} : u^\alpha = u\}$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}_m(\mathcal{D}) = \{(x, 0) \in \mathcal{D} : x^\beta = x^{\alpha\sigma}\}$ , and
- (iii)  $\mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{D}) = \{(r, 0) \in \mathcal{D} : r^{\sigma\beta} = r\}$ .

Proof. Let  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}_i(\mathcal{D})$  and suppose that  $v \neq 0$ . Then from 3.8 we get that  $v\omega^\sigma = v^\alpha \omega$ ,  $y^{\alpha\sigma} = y^\beta$  and  $s^{\beta\sigma} = s$ , for all  $y, s \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence,  $\alpha\sigma = \beta$  and  $\beta\sigma = 1$ , which is a contradiction by Lemma 5 (i). Thus,  $v = 0$  and from 3.8 we have that  $uy^\alpha s^\beta \omega = u^\alpha y^\alpha s^\beta \omega$  for all  $y, s \in \mathcal{F}$ ; from this (i) follows. (ii) and (iii) are proved similarly.

In the next two lemmas the question of when a generalized Dickson semifield plane belongs to the class  $\Pi(\mathcal{F})$  is answered.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_f$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a non-*

desarguesian semifield plane that admits a matrix spread set of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \left\{ Q(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ ky^\theta & x^\varphi \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathcal{F} \right\}$$

where  $\theta, \varphi$  are automorphisms of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $k$  is a nonsquare in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then, if  $\pi_f \cong \mathcal{U}$ , one of the following must be true :

- (i)  $\theta = \varphi = \tau$ , where  $x^\tau = \bar{x}$ , and  $f(v) = cv$  for some  $c \in \mathcal{F} - GF(q)$ .
- (ii)  $f(v) = cv^\psi$ , for some  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$  and some nonsquare  $c$  in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}^* = \{(X, XM(u, v)) : M(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}\} \cup \{(0, X)\}$  is a spread for  $\pi_f$  in  $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_1^*$  be the spread for  $\mathcal{U}$  in  $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}$  associated with  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . Since  $\pi_f \cong \mathcal{U}$ , there is a semilinear transformation  $T$  from the  $\mathcal{F}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{X}$  into itself that maps  $\mathcal{M}^*$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_1^*$ . We may assume that  $(X, 0)^T = (X, 0)$  and  $(0, X)^T = (0, X)$ , so the linear part of  $T$  has the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ , for some  $A, B \in GL(2, q^2)$ . Let  $\delta$  be the automorphism of  $\mathcal{F}$  associated with  $T$ . Since  $T$  maps  $(X, XM(u, v)) \in \mathcal{M}^*$  onto  $(X, XA^{-1}M(u, v)^\delta B) \in \mathcal{M}_1^*$ , where  $(a_{ij})^\delta = (a_{ij}^\delta)$ , we have that for each  $M(u, v) \in \mathcal{M}$  there is a unique  $Q(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}_1$  such that

$$A^{-1}M(u, v)^\delta B = Q(x, y). \tag{3.11}$$

Let  $Q(a, b) = A^{-1}M(1, 0)^\delta B = A^{-1}B$ ,  $u \in GF(q) - \{0\}$  and  $u' = u^\delta$ . Then  $A^{-1}M(u, 0)^\delta B = u' A^{-1}B = u' Q(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}_1$ , for all  $u' \in GF(q) - \{0\}$ . Thus, if  $a \neq 0$ , then  $u' = (u')^\varphi$ , which implies that  $\varphi \in \{1, \tau\}$ . Similarly, if  $b \neq 1$ , then  $\theta \in \{1, \tau\}$ . Since  $A^{-1} = Q(a, b)B^{-1}$ , 3.11 becomes

$$B^{-1}M(u, v)^\delta B = Q(a, b)^{-1}Q(x, y). \tag{3.12}$$

Let  $\Delta = \det Q(a, b)^{-1}$  and  $\text{tr}(N) = \text{trace of a matrix } N$ . Since  $\text{tr}(B^{-1}M(u, v)^\delta B) = (u + \bar{u})^\delta \in GF(q)$ , from 3.12 we have that  $\text{tr}(Q(a, b)^{-1}Q(x, 0)) = \Delta(a^\varphi x + ax^\varphi) \in GF(q)$ , for all  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\varphi = 1$ , then we have that  $2ax\Delta \in GF(q)$ , for any  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , which implies that  $a = 0$ . Therefore if  $a \neq 0$  then  $\varphi = \tau$ . Likewise, considering  $Q(0, y)$  we get that if  $b \neq 0$  then  $\theta = \tau$ .

First we assume that  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $\theta = \varphi = \tau$  and  $\mathcal{U} = \pi(\mathcal{P}_g)$ , where  $g(y) = k\bar{y}$ . By Lemma 2 the three nuclei of  $\mathcal{P}_g$  are equal and isomorphic to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now (i) follows from Lemma 2. Assume that  $a = 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $\theta = \tau$  and we may assume that  $\varphi \neq \tau$ . Letting  $r = (yb^{-1})^\tau$ ,  $s = x^\varphi(kb^\tau)$ ,  $g(s) = ds^{\varphi-1}$  where  $d = (k\bar{b})^{\varphi-1}b^{-1}$  is a nonsquare in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $Q_1(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ g(s) & \bar{r} \end{pmatrix}$  we have that  $Q(0, b)^{-1}Q(x, y) = Q_1(r, s)$ . Now 3.12 becomes

$$M(u, v)^\delta = BQ_1(r, s)B^{-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_4 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $e = \det B$ . Then  $u^\delta = e^{-1}(b_1 b_4 r + b_4 b_2 g(s) - b_1 b_3 s - b_2 b_3 \bar{r})$  and  $u^\delta = e^{-1}(-b_2 b_3 r - b_2 b_4 g(s) + b_1 b_3 s + b_1 b_4 \bar{r})$ . Since  $\bar{u}^\delta = u^\delta$ , with  $s=0$  we get  $\overline{b_1 b_4 e^{-1}} = b_1 b_4 e^{-1}$  and  $\overline{b_2 b_3 e^{-1}} = b_2 b_3 e^{-1}$ . Thus  $b_1 b_4 e^{-1}$  and  $b_2 b_3 e^{-1}$  are in  $GF(q)$ . Taking  $r=0$  we get  $b_4 b_2 e^{-1} d \bar{s}^{\varphi^{-1}} - (b_1 b_3 e^{-1}) \bar{s} = b_1 b_3 e^{-1} s - b_2 b_4 e^{-1} d s^{\varphi^{-1}}$ . If  $\varphi^{-1} \neq 1$  (also  $\varphi^{-1} \neq \tau$ ), then  $b_1 b_3 = 0$  and  $b_2 b_4 = 0$ . If  $\varphi^{-1} = 1$  then  $b_1 b_3 = b_2 b_4 d$  and  $(b_1 b_3 e^{-1})^2 = z d$  where  $z = b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 e^{-2}$ . Since  $z \in GF(q)$ ,  $z$  is a square in  $GF(q^2)$ , then since  $d$  is a non-square in  $GF(q^2)$  we must have  $b_1 b_3 = 0$  and  $b_2 b_4 = 0$ . So for any  $\varphi \neq \tau$  we conclude that  $b_1 b_3 = 0$  and  $b_2 b_4 = 0$ . Since  $e \neq 0$ , then  $b_2 = b_3 = 0$  or  $b_1 = b_4 = 0$ . If  $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ , then from 3.13 we have  $v^\delta = b_1 b_4^{-1} s$  and  $f(v)^\delta = e^{-1} b_4^2 g(s)$ . From these equations it follows that  $f(v) = c v^{\varphi^{-1}}$  where  $c$  is a nonsquare in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $b_1 = b_4 = 0$ , then a similar argument shows that  $f(v) = c v^\varphi$  where again  $c$  is a nonsquare in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Thus in either case (ii) follows. The case when  $a \neq 0$  and  $b = 0$  is handled similarly.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F})$  and assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is not a Knuth four-type semifield. If either  $\pi_f$  or  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to  $\pi(\mathcal{D})$ , then  $f(v) = c v^\psi$  for some nonsquare  $c$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\psi \neq \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathcal{D})$ . Then from Lemmas 1 (i) and 6 (i) we have that  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P}_f) \cong \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D})$ ; this implies that  $u^\alpha = u$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence  $\alpha = 1$  and 3.6 becomes  $(x, y) \cdot (r, s) = (x, y) \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ s^\beta \omega & r^\sigma \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $Q(r, s) = \begin{pmatrix} r & s \\ s^\beta \omega & r^\sigma \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\{Q(r, s) : r, s \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a matrix spread set for  $\pi(\mathcal{D})$ . Suppose now that  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(\mathcal{D})$ . Then  $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathcal{D}^*)$  and  $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{P}_f) \cong \mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D}^*)$ , so  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D}^*)$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D}^*) = \mathcal{N}_r(\mathcal{D})$ , from Lemma 6 (iii) we get that  $\sigma\beta = 1$ . Let  $z \in \mathcal{D}^*$  and let  $(u, v)'$  be the coordinates of  $z$  with respect to the basis  $(0, 1), (1, 0)$  of  $\mathcal{D}^*$  over  $\mathcal{N}_l(\mathcal{D}^*)$ , i.e.  $(u, v)' = (u, 0) * (1, 0) + (v, 0) * (0, 1)$  where  $*$  is the product in  $\mathcal{D}^*$ . Then  $(u, v)' = (u, v^\sigma)$ . Now  $(r, s)' * (x, y)' = (r, s^\sigma) * (x, y^\sigma) = (x, y^\sigma) \cdot (r, s^\sigma) = (x r + y^{\alpha\sigma} s^\beta \omega, x s^\sigma + y^\sigma r^\sigma) = (x r + y^{\alpha\sigma} s \omega, x^{\sigma^{-1}} s + y r)'$ . Letting  $Q'(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ y^{\alpha\sigma} \omega & x^{\sigma^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}$  we have that  $(r, s)' * (x, y)' = (r, s) Q'(x, y)$ . Hence,  $\{Q'(x, y) : x, y \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a matrix spread set for  $\pi(\mathcal{D}^*)$ . Therefore in either case ( $\pi_f \cong \pi(\mathcal{D})$  or  $\pi_f^* \cong \pi(\mathcal{D}^*)$ ) we may apply Lemma 7. Since  $\mathcal{D}$  (and therefore  $\mathcal{D}^*$ ) is not a Knuth four-type semifield, by Lemmas 2 and 4, case (i) of Lemma 7 does not occur; therefore the proof is complete.

We can now state our main results; their proofs follow from the lemmas.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in \Omega(\mathcal{F}) - \Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ . Then neither  $\pi_f$  nor  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to a semifield plane coordinatized by a semifield belonging to any one of the classes (i)-(vii).*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f \in \Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ . Then*

- (i)  *$f(v) = av$  for some  $a \in \mathcal{F} - GF(q)$  if and only if  $\pi_f$  or  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to a semifield plane coordinatized by a Knuth four-type semifield.*
- (ii)  *$f(v) = av^\theta$  for some nonsquare  $a \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{F})$ ,  $\theta \neq \tau$  if and only if  $\pi_f$  or  $\pi_f^*$  is isomorphic to a semifield plane coordinatized by a generalized Dickson semifield.*

---

### References

- [1] A.A. Albert: *Finite non-commutative division algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1958), 928–932.
- [2] A.A. Albert: *Generalized twisted field planes*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1–8.
- [3] A.A. Albert: *Isotopy for generalized twisted fields*, Anais da Adad. Bras. Ciencias **33** (1961), 265–275.
- [4] V. Boerner-Lantz: *A class of semifields of order  $q^4$* , J. Geometry **27** (1986) 11–118.
- [5] S.D. Cohen and M.J. Ganley: *Commutative semifields, two dimensional over their middle nuclei*, J. Algebra **75** (1982), 373–385.
- [6] M. Cordero: *Semifield planes of order  $p^4$  that admit a  $p$ -primitive Baer collineation*, Osaka J. Math. **28** (1991), 305–321.
- [7] M. Cordero and R. Figueroa: *On semifield planes of order  $q^n$  that admit a collineation whose order is a  $p$ -primitive divisor of  $q^n - 1$* , submitted.
- [8] P. Dembowski: *Finite Geometries*, Springer, New York, 1968.
- [9] Y. Hiramane, M. Matsumoto and T. Oyama: *On some extension of 1 spread sets*, Osaka J. Math. **24** (1987), 123–137.
- [10] D.R. Hughes and E. Kleinfeld: *Seminuclear extensions of galois fields*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 315–318.
- [11] D.R. Hughes and F.C. Piper: *Projective planes*, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [12] N.L. Johnson: *Sequences of derivable translation planes*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), 519–530.
- [13] W.M. Kantor: *Expanded, sliced and spread sets*, in Finite Geometries (N.L. Johnson, M.J. Kallaher and C.T. Long, eds), Marcel Dekker, New York, 1983, 251–261.
- [14] D.E. Knuth: *Finite semifields and projective planes*, J. Algebra **2** (1965), 182–217.
- [15] R. Sandler: *Autotopism groups of some finite non-associative algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **84** (1962), 239–264.

M. Cordero  
Department of Mathematics  
Texas Tech University  
Lubbock, Texas 79409

R. Figueroa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Puerto Rico  
Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931