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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will give a complete list of the closed, orientable 3manifolds with Heegaard splittings of genus two and admitting non-trivial torus decompositions. We use the following notations.
$\mathrm{D}(n)(\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{n}), \mathrm{Mö}(\mathrm{n})$ resp.): the collection of the Seifert fibered manifolds the orbit manifold of each of which is a disk (annulus, Möbius band resp.) with n exceptional fibers.
$\mathrm{M}_{K}$ ( $\mathrm{M}_{L}$ resp.) : the collection of the exteriors of the two bridge knots (links resp.).
$\mathrm{L}_{K}$ : the collection of the exteriors of the one bridge knots in lens spaces each of which admits a complete hyperbolic structure or admits a Seifert fibration whose regular fiber is not a meridian loop.

For the definitions of the one bridge knots in lens spaces see section 5. Then our main result is

Theorem. Let $M$ be a closed, connected Haken manifold with a Heegaard splitting of genus two. If $M$ has a nontrivial torus decomposition then either
( i ) $M$ is obtained from $M_{1} \in D(2)$ and $M_{2} \in L_{K}$ by identifying their boundaries where the regular fiber of $M_{1}$ is identified with the meridian loop of $M_{2}$,
(ii) $\quad M$ is obtained from $M_{1} \in M \ddot{o}(n)(n=0,1$ or 2$)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{K}$ by identifying their boundaries where the regular fiber of $M_{1}$ is identified with the meridian loop of $M_{2}$,
(iii) $\quad M$ is obtained from $M_{1} \in D(n)(n=2$ or 3$)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{K}$ by identifying their boundaries where the regular fiber of $M_{1}$ is identified with the meridian loop of $M_{2}$,
(iv) $M$ is obtained from $M_{1}, M_{2} \in D(2)$ and $M_{3} \in M_{L}$ by identifying their boundaries where the regular fiber of $M_{i}(i=1,2)$ is identified with the meridian loop of $M_{2}$ or
(v) $M$ is obtained from $M_{1} \in A(n)(n=0,1$ or 2$)$ and $M_{2} \in M_{L}$ by ident-
ifying their boundaries where the regular fiber of $M_{1}$ is identified with the meridian loop of $M_{2}$.
Conversely if a 3-manifold has a decomposition as in (i)~(v) then it has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.

For the structures of the elements of $L_{K}, M_{K}$ or $M_{L}$ see Lemma 4.2, 4.4, 5.2.

In [9] Thurston listed eight 3-dimensional geometries with compact stabilizers and conjectured that every closed 3-manifold admits a geometric decomposition. Thurston's recent result [10] asserts that every closed, orientable 3-manifold with a Heegaard splitting of genus two has a geometric decomposition. Then our Theorem together with this result implies

Corollary. If $M$ is a closed, orientable 3-manifold with a Heegaard splitting of genus two then either
(i) $M$ admits one of the eight geometric structures stated in [9], or
(ii) $M$ is one of $(\mathrm{i}) \sim(\mathrm{v})$ in the above theorem.

We note that for each of the eight geometric structures there is a 3-manifold which has a Heegaard splitting of genus two and admits the geometric structure. See section 7.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper we will work in the piecewise linear category.
For the definitions of irreducible 3-manifolds, incompressible surfaces we refer to [1]. For the definitions of Haken manifolds we refer to [4].

Let $M$ be a closed, connected 3-manifold. $\left(V_{1}, V_{2} ; F\right)$ is called a Heegaard splitting of $M$ if each $V_{i}$ is a 3-dimensional handlebody, $M=V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ and $V_{1} \cap V_{2}=\partial V_{1}=\partial V_{2}=F$. Then $F$ is called a Heegaard surface of $M$. The first Betti number of $V_{i}$ is called the genus of the Heegaard splitting.

For the definitions of Seifert fibered manifolds, orbit manifold, an isotopy of type A, hierarchy for a surface, an essential arc in a surface and other definitions of standard terms in three dimensional topology we refer to [4]. The 3-manifold $M$ is simple if every incompressible torus in $M$ is boundary parallel.

By [4] every closed Haken manifold contains a unique, maximal, perfectly embedded Seifert fibered manifold $\sum$ which is called a characteristic Seifert pair for $M$. The components of the closure of $M-\sum$ are simple. The boundary of $\sum$ consists of tori in $M$. If some components of them are parallel in $M$ then we eliminate one of them from the system of tori. By proceeding
this step we get a system of tori in $M$ which are mutaually non-parallel. We get simple manifolds and Seifert fibered manifolds by cutting $M$ along these tori. In this paper, we call this decomposition a torus decomposition of M.

## 3. Essential annuli in genus two handlebody

Let $F$ be a 2 -sided surface properly embedded in a 3 -manifold $M . F$ is essential if it is incompressible and not parallel to a surface in $\partial M$. Let $M^{\prime}$ be a 3 -manifold obtained by cutting $M$ along $F$. Then there are copies of $F$ on $\partial M^{\prime}$ and we denote the component of the copies also by $F$.

In this section we will classify the system of essential annuli in the genus two handlebody.

Lemma 3.1 If $A$ is an incompressible annulus properly embedded in the solid torus $V$, the genus one handlebody, then $A$ is boundary parallel.

Proof. First, we claim that $A$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori. $\partial A$ cuts $\partial V$ into two annuli $A_{1}, A_{2}$. Then $A \cup A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a torus in $V$. Since $\pi_{1}(V) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$, $A \cup A_{i}$ is compressible in $V$. By the loop theorem [1] and the irreducibility of $V$ we see that $A \cup A_{i}$ bounds a solid torus $V_{i}$. Let $p_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a positive integer such that $\operatorname{Im}\left(i_{*} ; \pi_{1}(A) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)\right)=\left\langle a_{i}^{p_{i}}\right\rangle$, where $a_{i}$ is a generator of $\pi_{1}\left(V_{i}\right)$. Then $\pi_{1}(V) \cong\left\langle a_{1}, a_{2}: a_{1}^{p_{1}}=a_{2}^{p_{2}}\right\rangle$. Then $p_{1}=1$ or $p_{2}=1$ for $\pi_{1}(V) \cong \boldsymbol{Z}$. If $p_{i}=1$ then $A$ is parallel to $A_{i}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.
Let $D$ be a disk properly embedded in a handlebody $V . D$ is a meridian disk of $V$ if $D$ does not separate $V$. Let $\left\{D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}\right\}$ be a system of mutually disjoint properly embedded disks in $V .\left\{D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}\right\}$ is a complete system of meridian disks of $V$ if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}$ cuts $V$ into a 3-cell.

Lemma 3.2 If $A$ is an essential annulus in a genus iwo handlebody $V$ then either
(i) $A$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$ and there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{2}$ such that $D_{1} \cap A=\phi$ and $D_{2} \cap A$ is an essential arc of $A$, or
(ii) $A$ cuts $V$ into a genus two handlebody $V^{\prime}$ and there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $D_{1} \cap A$ is an essential arc of $A$.
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Proof. Since $A$ is incompressible in $V$, by using the complete system of meridian disks of $V$ we can find a disk $\Delta$ in $V$ such that $\Delta \cap A=a$ is an essential arc of $A, \Delta \cap \partial V=b$ is an arc such that $\partial a=\partial b, a \cup b=\partial \Delta$. Then we can perform a surgery on $A$ along $\Delta$ to get a disk $D$ properly embedded in $V$. Since $A$ is essential, $D$ is essential, say $D$ is a meridian disk of $V$ or $D$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori.

If $D$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori $V^{\prime}, V^{\prime \prime}$ then there are copies $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Delta$ on $\partial V^{\prime}$. Then there is a meridian disk $D_{1}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $D_{1} \cap\left(\Delta^{\prime} \cup \Delta^{\prime \prime}\right)=\phi$. Since $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ are identified in $V$ cut along $A, A$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$, where $\Delta$ is a meridian disk of $V_{2}$ such that $\Delta \cap A$ is an essential arc of $A$. Then we set $D_{2}=\Delta$.

If $D$ is a meridian disk of $V$ then $D$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$. There are copies $\Delta^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Delta$ on $\partial V_{1}$. Since $\Delta^{\prime}$ and $\Delta^{\prime \prime}$ are identified in $V$ cut along $A, A$ cuts $V$ into a genus two handlebody $V^{\prime}$. Then we set $D_{1}=\Delta$ and we
have the conclusion (ii).
This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.
Let $M$ be a 3-manifold and $S$ be a 2-manifold contained in $\partial M$. Let $F$ be a surface properly embedded in $M$. Then $F$ is essential in $(M, S)$ if $F$ is incompressible, $\partial F \subset S$ and $F$ is not parallel to a surface in $S$.

Lemma 3.3 Let $V$ be a genus two handlebody and $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be a system of mutually disjoint annuli in $\partial V$ such that there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V$ which satisfies $D_{i} \cap A_{j}=\phi(i \neq j)$ and $D_{i} \cap A_{i}$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}(i=1,2)$. If $A$ is an essential annulus in $\left(V, c l\left(\partial V-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)\right.$ then $A$ is parallel to $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$.

Proof. Since $A$ is incompressible in $V$, by using $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ we can find a disk $\Delta$ in $V$ such that $\Delta \cap A=a$ is an essential arc of $A, \Delta \cap c l\left(\partial V-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)=b$ is an arc such that $\partial a=\partial b, a \cup b=\partial \Delta$. Then we can perform a surgery on $A$ along $\Delta$ to get an essential disk $D$ such that $D \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\phi$. Since $D \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\phi, D$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori $V_{1}, V_{2}$. We may suppose that $A_{i} \subset \partial V_{i}$. By assumption there is a meridian disk $D_{i}^{\prime}$ of $V_{i}$ such that $D_{i}^{\prime} \cap A_{i}$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$. Then by the proof of Lemma $3.2 A$ cuts $V$ into a genus two handlebody $V_{1}^{\prime}$ and a solid torus $V_{2}^{\prime}$. We may suppose that $A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\operatorname{Im}\left(i_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(A_{2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(V_{2}\right)\right)=\pi_{1}\left(V_{2}\right)$ and $A_{2} \cap A=\phi$. Hence $A$ is parallel to $A_{2}$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.
For the two essential annuli in the genus two handlebody we have
Lemma 3.4 Let $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ be a system of mutually disjoint, non-parallel, essential annuli in the genus two handlebody $V$. Then either
(i) $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$. Then $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset \partial V_{1}, A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}$ and there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{2}$ such that $D_{i} \cap A_{j}=\phi(i \neq j)$ and $D_{i} \cap A_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$,
(ii) $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{3}$. Then $A_{1} \subset \partial V_{1}, A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}, A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset \partial V_{3}$ and there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{3}$ such that $D_{i} \cap A_{j}=\phi(i \neq j)$ and $D_{i} \cap A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$ or
(iii) $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$. Then $A_{i} \subset \partial V_{1}(i=1$ or 2 , say 1$), A_{2} \cap V_{1}=\phi, A_{1} \subset \partial V_{2}$ and there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{2}$ such that $D_{1} \cap A_{2}$ is an essential arc of $A_{2}$ and $D_{2} \cap A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$.
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Proof. There is a disk $\Delta$ in $V$ such that $\Delta \cap A_{i}=\phi(i=1$ or 2 , say 2$)$, $\Delta \cap A_{1}=a$ is an essential arc of $A_{1}, \Delta \cap \partial V=b$ is an arc in $\partial \Delta$ such that $a \cap b$ $=\partial a=\partial b, a \cup b=\partial \Delta$. We can perform a surgery on $A_{1}$ along $\Delta$ to get an essential disk $D^{\prime}$ properly embedded in $V$. Then there is a disk $\Delta^{\prime}$ in $V$ such that $\Delta^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime}=\phi, \Delta^{\prime} \cap A_{2}=a^{\prime}$ is an essential arc of $A_{2}, \Delta^{\prime} \cap \partial V=b^{\prime}$ is an arc in $\partial \Delta^{\prime}$ such that $a^{\prime} \cap b^{\prime}=\partial a^{\prime}=\partial b^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \cup b^{\prime}=\partial \Delta^{\prime}$. By performing a surgery on $A_{2}$ along $\Delta^{\prime}$ we have an essential disk $D^{\prime \prime}$ in $V$, which is disjoint from $D^{\prime}$.

We claim that $\left\{D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is not a complete system of meridian disks of $V$. Assume that $\left\{D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a complete system of meridian disks of $V$. Then we can move $A_{2}$ by a small isotopy into $V$ cut along $D^{\prime} \cup D^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts the fact that $A_{2}$ is incompressible in $V$.

Then we have the following three cases.
Case 1. $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are parallel and $D^{\prime}$ (hence, $D^{\prime \prime}$ ) does not separate $V$. In this case, we have the conclusion (i).

Case 2. $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are parallel and $D^{\prime}$ (hence, $D^{\prime \prime}$ ) cuts $V$ into two solid tori. In this case, we have the conclusion (ii).

Case 3. $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are not parallel. We claim that $D^{\prime}$ does not separate $V$. Assume that $D^{\prime}$ separate $V$ into two solid tori $V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$. Then we may suppose that $A_{2} \subset V^{\prime}$. By Lemma $3.1 A_{2}$ is parallel to an annulus $A_{2}^{\prime}$ in $\partial V^{\prime}$. Then $A_{2}^{\prime} \cap D^{\prime}=\phi$ for $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ are not parallel. But this contradicts the fact that $A_{2}$ is essential.

Then since $\left\{D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is not a complete system of meridian disks, $D^{\prime \prime}$ separates $V$ into two solid tori and we have the conclusion (iii).

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.
Lemma 3.5 Let $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ be a system of pairwise disjoint, non-parallel essential annuli in the genus two handlebody $V$. Then $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}$ cuts $V$ into two solid tori $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{3}$ which satisfies

1. $A_{i} \subset \partial V_{1}(i=1,2$ or 3 , say 3$), A_{1}, A_{2} \subset \partial V_{3}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3} \subset \partial V_{2}$.
2. there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{3}$ such that $D_{i} \cap A_{j}=\phi(i \neq j)$ and $D_{i} \cap A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$ and
3. there is a meridian disk $D_{3}$ of $V_{2}$ such that $D_{3} \cap A_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$.
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Proof. $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ satisfies one of the conclusions of Lemma 3.4. First, we claim that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ does not satisfy (ii). Assume that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ satisfies (ii). Then $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ separates $V$ into two solid tori $V_{1}, V_{2}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{3}$. If $A_{3} \subset V_{1}$ or $V_{2}$ then by Lemma $3.1 A_{3}$ is parallel to $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$, which is a contradiction. If $A_{3} \subset V_{3}$ then by Lemma $3.3 A_{3}$ is parallel to $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$, which is a contradiction and the claim is established.

If $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusion (i) of Lemma 3.4 then $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$ where $A_{1}, A_{2} \subset \partial V_{1}, A_{1}$, $A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}$. By Lemma 3.3 we see that $A_{3}$ is not contained in $V_{2}$. Then $A_{3} \subset V_{1}$ and by Lemma $3.1 A_{3}$ is parallel to an annulus $A^{\prime}$ in $\partial V_{1}$. Since $A_{3}$ is essential and is not parallel to $A_{i}(i=1,2), \partial A_{1} \cup \partial A_{2}$ is contained in $A^{\prime}$. Then we easily verify that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 3.5.

If $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusion (iii) then $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V$ into a solid torus $V_{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}$, where $A_{1}, A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}$ and $A_{i} \cap \partial V_{1}=\phi$ ( $i=1$ or 2 , say 1 ). By Lemma 3.1 we see that $A_{3}$ is contained in $V_{2}$. Since $A_{3} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\phi$, by Lemma 3.3 we see that $A_{3}$ is parallel to an annulus $A^{\prime}$ in $\partial V_{2}$. Since $A_{3}$ is essential and is not parallel to $A_{i}(i=1,2)$, $\partial A_{1} \cup \partial A_{2}$ is contained in $A^{\prime}$. Then by changing the suffix we easily verify that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusions of Lemma 3.5.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.5.

## 4. Two bridge knot, link complements

A knot is a simple closed curve in the 3 -sphere $S^{3}$. A link is a union of mutually disjoint simple closed curves in $S^{3}$ with more than one component. For the definitions of the tzo bridge knots and links we refer to [8]. A exterior $Q(K)(Q(L)$ resp.) of a knot $K$ (link $L$ resp.) is the closure of the complement of a regular neighborhood of $K$ ( $L$ resp.). The meridian of $K$ ( $L$ resp.) is a simple loop in $\partial Q(K)(\partial Q(L)$ resp.) which bounds a meridian disk of the regular neighborhood of $K$ ( $L$ resp.). A knot (link resp.) is simple if the exterior is a simple 3-manifold.

Lemma 4.1 Let $V_{i}(i=1,2)$ be the genus two handlebody and $A_{1}^{i}, A_{2}^{i}$ $\left(\subset \partial V_{i}\right)$ be a system of pairwise disjoint, incompressible annuli such that there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}\right\}$ of $V_{i}$ which satisfies (i) $D_{k}^{i} \cap A_{l}^{i}=\phi$ $(k \neq l)$ and (ii) $D_{k}^{i} \cap A_{k}^{i}$ is an essential arc of $A_{k}^{i}(k=1,2)$. If $M$ is obtained from $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ by identifying their boundaries by a homeomorphism $h: c l$ $\left(\partial V_{1}-\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{2}^{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow c l\left(\partial V_{2}-\left(A_{1}^{2} \cup A_{2}^{2}\right)\right)$ then $M$ is homeomorphic to certain two bridge knot complement or a two bridge link complement, where the component of $\partial A_{j}^{i}$ corresponds to a meridian loop.

Proof. This can be proved by using the similar arguments of the section 4 of [5].

Lemma 4.2 If $K$ is a non-trivial two bridge knot then $Q(K)$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure or is a Seifert fibered manifold with orbit manifold a disk with two exceptional fibers.

Proof. Since $K$ is a simple knot [8], by [9] and the torus theorem [4] we see that $Q(K)$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure or is a special Seifert fibered manifold. If $Q(K)$ is a special Seifert fibered manifold then the orbit manifold is a disk or a Möbius band for $\partial Q(K)$ has one component (see 155p. of [4]). If the orbit manifold of $Q(K)$ is a Möbius band then it has no exceptional fibers. Hence $Q(K)$ is the twisted $I$-bundle over the Klein bottle but this is impossible for $Q(K)$ does not contain the Klein bottle.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.2.
Let $\left\{a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}$ be a system of mutually disjoint, essential arcs in a punctured torus $T$. We say that $a_{i}$ is of type 1 if $a_{i}$ joins distinct components of $\partial T, a_{i}$ is of type 2 if $a_{i}$ joins one component of $\partial T$ and $a_{i}$ separates $T, a_{i}$ is of type 3 if $a_{i}$ joins one component of $\partial T$ and $a_{i}$ does not separate $T$. We say that $a_{i}$ is a $d$-arc if $a_{i}$ is of type 1 and there is a component $S$ of $\partial T$ such that $a_{i}$ is the only arc that joins $S$.

The next Lemma is perhaps known but no reference could be found.

## Lemma 4.3 Every two bridge link is a simple link.

Proof. Let $L$ be a two bridge link. Since $L$ is a union of two trivial tangles with two arcs, $Q(L)$ has a decomposition as in Lemma 4.1 (see section 4 of [5]). Then we use the notations in Lemma 4.1. Let $T$ be an incompressible torus in $Q(L)$. We may suppose that the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ are all disks and that the number of the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ is minimum among all tori which are isotopic to $T$ and the components of the intersection of each of which with $V_{1}$ are all disks. Since $T$ is incompressible, $T \cap V_{1} \neq \phi$.

Let $T_{2}=T \cap V_{2}$. Then by using $D_{1}^{2}, D_{2}^{2}$ we have a hierarchy $\left(T_{2}^{(0)}, a_{0}\right), \cdots$, $\left(T_{2}^{(m)}, a_{m}\right)$ of $T_{2}$ and a sequence of isotopies of type A which realizes the hierarchy as in [4]. Let $T^{(1)}$ be the image of $T$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{0}$ and $T^{(k+1)}(k \geq 1)$ be the image of $T^{(k)}$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{k}$.

Then we will show that $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of a disk.
Assume that $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of $n(\geq 2)$ disks $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$. We claim that $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$ are mutually parallel in $V_{1}$ and each $D_{i}$ cuts $V_{1}$ into two solid tori. If some $D_{i}$ does not separate $V_{1}$ then $D_{i} \cap\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{2}^{1}\right) \neq \phi$ for $\operatorname{Im}\left(i_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{2}^{1}\right)\right.$ $\left.\rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(V_{1}\right)\right)=\pi_{1}\left(V_{1}\right)$, which is a contradiction. By the minimality of $T$ it follows that each $D_{i}$ cuts $V_{1}$ into two solid tori. Hence $D_{1} \cdots, D_{n}$ are mutually parallel and the claim is established.

Then we show
(*) $\quad a_{0}, \cdots, a_{n-1}$ are of type 3 and $a_{i}$ and $a_{j}$ joins pairwise distinct components of $\partial T_{2}$ if $i \neq j$.

By Lemma 3.3 each essential annulus in ( $V_{1}, c l\left(\partial V_{1}-\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{2}^{1}\right)\right.$ ) is parallel to $A_{1}^{1}$ or $A_{2}^{1}$. By Lemma 3.3 of [5] we see that $a_{0}, a_{1}$ are of type 3 and we may suppose that $a_{0}, a_{1}$ joins $D_{1}, D_{2}$ respectively. Note that in [5] we considered the non-separating incompressible torus, but in Lemma 3.1, 3.2, 3.3 of [5] which are proved by using the argument of the inverse operation of isotopy of type A in [2] the non-separating property is not essential.

Assume that $\left(^{*}\right)$ does not hold then there is such $i(\geq 3)$ that $a_{i}$ is not of type 3 or $a_{i}$ is of type 3 and $a_{i}$ joins $D_{k}$ that some $a_{l}(l<i)$ joins. Then we may suppose that $a_{j}(j<i)$ is of type 3 and joins $D_{j}$. Then $T^{(i-1)} \cap V_{1}=A_{1} \cup$ $\cdots \cup A_{i-1} \cup D_{i} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$, where each $A_{i}$ is an essential annulus in $\left(V_{1}, c l\left(\partial V_{1}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.-\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{1}^{2}\right)\right)\right)$.

Assume that $a_{i}$ is of type 1. If $a_{i}$ joins some $A_{k}$ and $D_{l}(l \geq i)$ or $D_{k}$ and $D_{l}(k, l \geq i)$ as an arc on $T^{(i-1)} \cap V_{2}$ then $T^{(i)} \cap V_{1}$ consists of $i-1$ annuli and $n-i$ disks. Then by performing a sequence of isotopies of type A on $T^{(i)}$ we have such a torus $T^{\prime}$ that $T^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$ consists of $n-1$ disks, which contradicts the minimality of $T$. If $a_{i}$ joins some $A_{k}$ and $A_{l}$ then $A_{k}$ is parallel to $A_{l}$ in $V_{1}$ for $D_{i}$ separates $V_{1}$ into two solid tori. Then $T^{(i)} \cap V_{1}$ consists of $i-2$
annuli, $n-i$ disks and one disk with two holes $B$. Some component $l$ of $\partial B$ bounds a disk on $\partial V$. Since $T$ is incompressible and $Q(L)$ is irreducible, we see that $l$ bounds a disk on $T^{(i)}$ and there is an ambient isotopy $h_{t}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ of $Q(L)$ such that $h_{1}\left(T^{(i)}\right) \cap V_{1}$ consists of $i-1$ annuli and $n-i$ disks. Then we have a contradiction as above.

Assume that $a_{i}$ is of type 2. Then there is an arc $a$ in $\partial T_{2}$ such that $a \cap a_{i}$ $=\partial a=\partial a_{i}, a \cup a_{i}$ bounds a planar surface $P$ in $T_{2}$. We easily see that some $a_{j}(\subset P)$ is a $d$-arc. Hence by Lemma 3.1 of [5] $T$ is ambient isotopic to such a torus $T^{\prime}$ that $T^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$ consists of $n-1$ disks, which is a contradiction.

Assume that $a_{i}$ is of type 3 and $a_{i}$ joins $D_{j}(j<i)$. Then there are two arcs $b_{1}, b_{2}$ in $\partial T_{2}$ such that $a_{j} \cup b_{1} \cup a_{i} \cup b_{2}$ is a simple loop in $T_{2}$ and $a_{j} \cup b_{1} \cup a_{i} \cup b_{2}$ bounds a planar surface $P$ in $T_{2}$. Then see that some $a_{k}(\subset P)$ is a $d$-arc and we have a contradiction as above.

Hence $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is established.
Then $T^{(n)} \cap V_{1}\left(T^{(n)} \cap V_{2}\right.$ resp.) consists of $n$ essential annuli $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ ( $A_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, A_{n}^{\prime}$ resp.). By Lemma 3.3 each $A_{i}$ is parallel to either $A_{1}^{1}$ or $A_{2}^{1}$. We may suppose that $A_{n}$ is outermost in ( $V_{1}, c l\left(\partial V_{1}-\left(A_{1}^{1} \cup A_{2}^{1}\right)\right)$ ) and is parallel to $A_{1}^{1}$. Then some $A_{j}^{\prime}$ is parallel to $A_{k}^{2}(k=1$ or 2$)$ and $\partial A_{n}=\partial A_{j}^{\prime}$. This contradicts the fact that $n \geq 2$.

Hence $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of a disk. Then $T^{(1)} \cap V_{i}$ consists of an annulus $A^{i}$ which is parallel to $A_{j}^{i}(j=1$ or 2$)$. Hence $T^{(1)}$ is parallel to a component of $\partial Q(L)$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.
Lemma 4.4 If $L$ is a two bridge link then $Q(L)$ admits a complete hyperbolic structure or is a Seifert fibered manifold with orbit manifold an annulus with at most one exceptional fiber.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3 together with [4] and [9] $Q(L)$ is a hyperbolic manifold or a special Seifert fibered manifold. If $Q(L)$ is a special Seifert fibered manifold then the orbit manifold of $Q(L)$ is an annulus and it has at most one exceptional fiber for $\partial Q(L)$ has two components.

## 5. One bridge knots in lens spaces

Let us give the definition of the one bridge knot in a lens space. For the definition of lens spaces we refer to 20 p . of [1]. In this paper we think that $S^{3}, S^{2} \times S^{1}$ are lens spaces. Let $V$ be a solid torus and let $a$ be an arc properly embedded in $V$. We say that $a$ is trivially embedded in $V$ if there is a disk $D$ in $V$ such that $D \cap \partial V=b$ an arc, $c l(\partial D-b)=a$. It is easily seen that if $a^{\prime}$ is another trivially embedded arc in $V$ then there is an ambient isotopy $h_{t}$ $(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ of $V$ such that $h_{1}(a)=a^{\prime}$. Let $K$ be a knot in a lens space $L_{n}$. We say that $K$ is a one bridge knot in $L_{n}$ if there is a Heegaard splitting $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right.$;
$F)$ of $L_{n}$ of genus one such that $V_{i} \cap K(i=1,2)$ is an arc trivially embedded in $V_{i}$. We denote the exterior of $K$ also by $Q(K)$. Then we can naturally define a meridian loop on $Q(K)$.

Lemma 5.1 Let $V_{i}(i=1,2)$ be a genus two handlebody and $A_{i}\left(\subset \partial V_{i}\right)$ be an incompressible annulus such that there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}^{i}, D_{2}^{i}\right\}$ of $V_{i}$ which satisfies (i) $D_{1}^{i} \cap A_{i}=\phi$ and (ii) $D_{2}^{i} \cap A_{i}$ is an essential arc of $A_{i}$. If $M$ is obtained from $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ by identifying their boundaries by a homeomorphism $h: c l\left(\partial V_{1}-A_{1}\right) \rightarrow c l\left(\partial V_{2}-A_{2}\right)$ then $M$ is homeomorphic to certain one bridge knot complement in lens space, where the component of $\partial A_{i}$ corresponds to a meridian loop.

Proof. This is proved by using the similar arguments of the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 5.2 Let $K$ be a one bridge knot in a lens space $L_{n}$. If $Q(K)$ is a Seifert fibered manifold with incompressible boundary, whose regular fiber is not a meridian loop then either
(i) $Q(K) \in D(2)$ where the regular fiber in $\partial Q(K)$ intersects their meridian loop transversely in a single point,
(ii) $Q(K) \in M \ddot{o}(1)$ where the regular fiber in $\partial Q(K)$ intersects the meridian loop transversely in a single point or
(iii) $Q(K)$ is homeomorphic to the twisted I-bundle over the Klein bottle.

Proof. We fix the fiber structure of $Q(K)$. Since an incompressible torus in $Q(K)$ is separating, the orbit manifold of $Q(K)$ is a disk or a Möbius band.

Suppose that the orbit manifold of $Q(K)$ is a disk. First we claim that $L_{n}$ does not admit such a Seifert fibration that the orbit manifold is a 2 -sphere with $n(\geq 3)$ exceptional fibers. $n \geq 4$ implies that $L_{n}$ contains an incompressible torus, which is a contradiction. By Theorem 12.2 of [1] $n=3$ implies that there is an epimorphism from $\pi_{1}\left(L_{n}\right)$ to the group

$$
G=\left\langle a, b ; a^{p}=b^{q}=(a b)^{r}=1\right\rangle \quad(p, q, r>1)
$$

This is impossible for $G$ is not a cyclic group [7] and the claim is established.
Assume that $Q(K)$ contains $m(\geq 3)$ exceptional fibers. Then since the regular fiber of $Q(K)$ is not a meridian loop, $L_{n}$ admits such a Seifert fibration that the orbit manifold is a 2 -sphere with $m$ or $m+1$ exceptional fibers, which contradicts the above claim. Hence $Q(K)$ contains two exceptional fibers. Then if the regular fiber is not isotopic to a loop which intersects the meridian loop transversely in a single point then $L_{n}$ admits such a Seifert fibration that the orbit manifold is a 2 -sphere with three exceptional fibers, which contradicts the above claim.

Then we have the conclusion (i).
Suppose that the orbit manifold of $Q(K)$ is a Möbius band. Since $L_{n}$ does not contain an incompressible torus $Q(K)$ contains at most one exceptional fibers. If $Q(K)$ contains one exceptional fiber then the regular fiber intersects the meridian loop transversely in a single point and we have the conclusion (ii). If $Q(K)$ contains no exceptional fibers then we have the conclusion (iii).

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2.

## 6. Proof of Theorem

Lemma 6.1 Let $M$ be a simple manifold whose boundary components are all tori. If $M$ contains an essential annulus then $M$ is a Seifert fibered manifold.

Proof. This is a consequence of the characteristic Seifert pair theorem [4].
We shall divide the proof of Theorem into several cases.
Case 1. $M$ contains a non-separating incompressible torus. In this case by Theorem 2 of [5] we have the conclusion (v) of the Theorem.

Hereafter, we will suppose that each incompressible torus in $M$ is separating.

Case 2. $M$ is decomposed into two components $M_{1}, M_{2}$ by the torus decomposition. Let $T$ be the torus which cuts $M$ into $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and ( $V_{1}, V_{2}$; $F$ ) be a genus two Heegaard splitting of $M$. We may suppose that the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ are all disks and that the number of the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ is minimum among all tori which are isotopic to $T$ and the components of the intersection of each of which with $V_{1}$ are all disks. Let $T_{2}=T \cap V_{2}$. Then as in [4] we have a hierarchy $\left(T_{2}^{(0)}, a_{0}\right), \cdots,\left(T_{2}^{(m)}, a_{m}\right)$ of $T_{2}$ and a sequence of isotopies of type A which realizes the hierarchy. Let $T^{(1)}$ be the image of $T$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{0}$ and $T^{(k+1)}(k \geq 1)$ be the image of $T^{(k)}$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{k}$.

Then we claim that $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of at most two components. Assume that $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of $n(\geq 3)$ disks $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$. Then by [5] $a_{0}, a_{1}$ are of type 3 and, hence, $T^{(1)} \cap V_{1}=A_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}, T^{(2)} \cap V_{1}=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup D_{3} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$, where $A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is an essential annulus in $V_{1}$. If $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are separating in $V_{1}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}$ are parallel in $V_{1}$ then there are two annuli $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\partial V_{1}$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=A^{\prime} \cap A_{1}=\partial A^{\prime}=\partial A_{1}, \quad A^{\prime \prime} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\partial A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime \prime}$ is a component of $\partial A_{1}$. We may suppose that $A^{\prime} \subset M_{1}$ and $A^{\prime \prime} \subset M_{2}$. See Fig. 4. By the minimality of $T, A^{\prime}$ ( $A^{\prime \prime}$ resp.) is an essential annulus in $M_{1}$ ( $M_{2}$ resp.). Hence by Lemma 6.1 and Theorem VI. 34 of [4] $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ admit such Seifert fibrations that the component of $\partial A_{1}$ is a regular fiber. Hence $M$ admits a Serfert fibration, which is a contradiction. If $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are separating in $V_{1}$ and $A_{1}$ is not parallel to $A_{2}$ in $V_{1}$ then $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$ are parallel in $V_{1}$. See Fig.
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5. Since each $a_{i}$ is not a $d$-arc [5], $a_{2}$ is of type 3 and we may suppose that $T^{(3)} \cap V_{1}=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$ where $A_{3}$ is an essential annulus in $V_{1}$. Then $A_{3}$ is parallel to $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ (Lemma 3.3) and we have a contradiction as above. If $D_{1}$ is separating and $D_{2}$ is non-separating in $V_{1}$ then there exists annuli $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ as above and we have a contradiction. Since $A_{1}$ is incompressible, the case of $D_{1}$ being non-separating and $D_{2}$ being separating cannot occur. If $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are non-separating in $V_{1}$ then $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{n}$ are mutually parallel in $V_{1}$. Since each $a_{i}$ is not a $d$-arc, $a_{2}$ is of type 3 and we may suppose that $T^{(3)} \cap V_{1}$ $=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup \cdots \cup D_{n}$, where $A_{3}$ is an essential annulus in $V_{1}$. Then there exists annuli $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\partial V_{1}$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}\right)=\partial A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime} \cap\left(A_{1}\right.$ $\left.\cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}\right)=\partial A^{\prime \prime}$ and $A^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime \prime}$ is a component of $\partial A^{\prime}$. Then we have a contradiction as above and we establish the claim.

Now, we have two subcases.


Fig. 6
Case 2.1. $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of a disk $D_{1}$. Since $T$ separates $M, D_{1}$ cuts $V_{1}$ into two solid tori. Let $A_{1}=V_{1} \cap T^{(1)}, A_{2}=V_{2} \cap T^{(1)}$. Then by Lemma $3.2 A_{i}(i=1,2)$ cuts $V_{i}$ into a solid torus $V_{i}^{1}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{i}^{2}$. By attaching $V_{1}^{1}$ and $V_{2}^{1}$ along $c l\left(\partial V_{i}^{1}-A_{i}\right)$ we have $M_{1}(\in D(2))$ and by attaching $V_{1}^{2}$ and $V_{2}^{2}$ along $\operatorname{cl}\left(\partial V_{i}^{2}-A_{i}\right)$ we have $M_{2}\left(\in L_{K}\right)$ (Lemma 5.1). Then we have the conclusion (i) of the Theorem.

Case 2.2. $\quad T \cap V_{1}$ consists of two disks $D_{1}, D_{2}$. In this case $T^{(2)} \cap V_{1}$ ( $T^{(2)} \cap V_{2}$ resp.) consists of two essential annuli $A_{1}, A_{2}$ ( $A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}$ resp.).

We claim that if $A_{1}$ is parallel to $A_{2}$ then $A_{1}, A_{2}$ satisfies the conclusion (i) of Lemma 3.4. First, we show that $A_{1}$ is non-separating in $V_{1}$. If $A_{1}$ is separating in $V_{1}$ then there are annuli $A^{\prime}, A^{\prime \prime}$ in $\partial V_{1}$ such that $A^{\prime} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)$ $=A^{\prime} \cap A_{1}=\partial A^{\prime}=\partial A_{1}, A^{\prime \prime} \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)=\partial A^{\prime \prime}, A^{\prime} \cap A^{\prime \prime}$ is a component of $\partial A^{\prime}$. Hence we have a contradiction as in Case 2. Then by Lemma $3.2 A_{1}$ cuts $V_{1}$ into a genus two handlebody $V^{\prime}$. Let $A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ be the copies of $A_{1}$ on $V^{\prime}$. By the proof of Lemma 3.4 we can show that there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $V^{\prime}$ such that $\left(D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap A_{1}^{\prime}=D_{1}^{\prime} \cap A_{1}^{\prime}$ is an essential arc of $A_{1}^{\prime},\left(D_{1}^{\prime} \cup D_{2}^{\prime}\right) \cap A_{1}^{\prime \prime}=D_{2}^{\prime} \cap A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and each component of $D_{2}^{\prime} \cap A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is an essential arc of $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. If needed by exchanging $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ we may suppose that $A_{2}$ is parallel to $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$. We will show that $D_{2}^{\prime}$ can be taken so that $D_{2}^{\prime} \cap A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ is an essential arc of $A_{1}^{\prime \prime}$. If this is done then the claim is established. Since $A_{1}$ is parallel to $A_{2}$ there is an annulus $A^{\prime \prime \prime}$ in $\partial V_{1}$ such that $A^{\prime \prime \prime} \cap A_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$ is a component of $\partial A^{\prime \prime \prime}$. We may suppose that $A^{\prime \prime \prime} \subset M_{1}$. Then $A^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is an essential annulus in $M_{1}$ and by Lemma 6.1 and [4] $M_{1}$ admits such a Seifert fibration that $A^{\prime \prime \prime}$ is a union of regular fibers. If the meridian disk $D_{2}^{\prime}$ as above cannot taken then there is an essential annulus $A_{3}$ in $V^{\prime}$ such that $A_{3} \cap T^{(2)}=A_{3} \cap A_{2}=\partial A_{3}=\partial A_{2}, \quad A_{3} \cap D_{1}^{\prime}=\phi, \quad A_{3}$ is not parallel to $A_{2}$ and $A_{2} \cup A_{3}$ bounds a solid torus $T^{\prime}$ in $V^{\prime}$. See Fig. 6. Then $M_{1}^{\prime}=M_{1} \cup T^{\prime}$
admits a Seifert fibration and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is not homotopic into $M_{1}$. This contradicts the maximal property of the characteristic Seifert pair.

By the above claim and Lemma 3.4 we see that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ ( $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ resp.) satisfies one of the conclusions of Lemma 3.4.

Note that $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ ( $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ resp.) does not satisfy the conclusion (iii) of Lemma 3.4 for $T^{(2)}$ is separating in $M$.

We claim that either $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ does not satisfy the conclusion (ii) of Lemma 3.4. Assume that both $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfy (ii) of Lemma 3.4. Then $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ ( $A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}$ resp.) cuts $V_{1}$ ( $V_{2}$ resp.) into two solid tori and a genus two handlebody, but this contradicts the fact that $T^{(2)}$ is connected.

Then we have two subcases.
Case 2.2.1. Both $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfy the conclusion (i) of Lemma 3.4. In this case $A_{1} \cup A_{2}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}\right.$ resp.) cuts $V_{1}$ ( $V_{2}$ resp.) into a solid torus $V_{1}^{(1)}\left(V_{1}^{(2)}\right.$ resp.) and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}^{(1)}$ ( $V_{2}^{(2)}$ resp.) where $A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset \partial V_{1}^{(1)}, A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset \partial V_{2}^{(1)}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime} \subset \partial V_{1}^{(2)}, A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime} \subset \partial V_{2}^{(2)}\right.$ resp.). By attaching $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{1}^{(2)}$ along $\operatorname{cl}\left(\partial V_{1}^{(i)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ we get $M_{1}(\in M o(n)$, $n=0,1$ or 2$)$ and by attaching $V_{2}^{(1)}$ and $V_{2}^{(2)}$ along $\operatorname{cl}\left(\partial V_{2}^{(i)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ we get $M_{2}\left(\in M_{K}\right)$ (Lemma 4.1). Then we have the conclusion (ii) of the Theorem.

Case 2.2.2. $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusion (i) and $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfies the conclusion (ii) of Lemma 3.4. In this case $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ cuts $V_{1}$ into a solid torus $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}^{(1)}, A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}$ cuts $V_{2}$ into two solid tori $V_{1}^{(2)}, V_{2}^{(2)}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{3}^{(2)}$. By attaching $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{1}^{(2)} \cup V_{2}^{(2)}$ along $c l\left(\partial V_{1}^{(1)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ and $c l\left(\partial V_{1}^{(2)}-A_{1}\right) \cup c l\left(\partial V_{2}^{(2)}-A_{2}\right)$ we get $M_{1}(\in D(n), n=2$ or 3$)$ and by attaching $V_{2}^{(1)}$ and $V_{3}^{(2)}$ along $c l\left(\partial V_{2}^{(1)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ and $c l\left(\partial V_{3}^{(2)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ we get $M_{2}\left(\in M_{K}\right)$ (Lemma 4.1).

Then we have the conclusion (iii) of the Theorem.
Case 3. M is decomposed into three components $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ by the torus decomposition. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be the pair of tori which cuts $M$ into $M_{1}, M_{2}$ and $M_{3}$ and let $T=T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. Then we may suppose that $T_{1} \subset \partial M_{1}$, $T_{2} \subset \partial M_{3}$ and $T \subset \partial M_{2}$. Let $\left(V_{1}, V_{2} ; F\right)$ be a genus two Heegaard splitting of $M$. Then we may suppose that the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ are all disks and that the number of the components of $T \cap V_{1}$ is minimum among all the pair of tori which are isotopic to $T$ and the components of the intersection of each of which with $V_{1}$ are all disks. Let $T^{\prime}=T \cap V_{2}$. Then we have a hierarchy $\left(T^{\prime(0)}, a_{0}\right), \cdots,\left(T^{\prime(m)}, a_{m}\right)$ of $T^{\prime}$ and a sequence of isotopies of type A which realizes the hierarchy.

We will show that we may suppose that $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ are of type 3 and $a_{1}$ joins distinct component of $\partial T^{\prime}$ that $a_{0}$ joins. By the argument of section 3 of [5] both $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ are of type 3. Suppose that $a_{1}$ joins the same component of
$\partial T^{\prime}$ that $a_{0}$ joins. We may suppose that $a_{0}, a_{1} \subset T_{1}$. Then $T_{1} \cap V_{1}$ consists of a disk $D_{1}$ for if $T_{1} \cap V_{1}$ has more than one component then $a_{0} \cup a_{1}$ cuts $T_{1}$ $\cap V_{2}$ into a planar surface and hence some $a_{i}\left(\subset T_{1} \cap V_{2}\right)$ is a $d$-arc, which contradicts the minimality of $T$ (see Lemma 3.1 of [5]). Let $T_{1}^{\prime}$ be the image of $T_{1}$ atter an isotopy of type A at $a_{0}$. Then $T_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{1}=A_{1}\left(T_{1}^{\prime} \cap V_{2}=A_{1}^{\prime}\right.$ resp.) is an essential annulus in $V_{1}\left(V_{2}\right.$ resp.). Since $T_{1}$ is separating in $M$, $A_{1}^{\prime}$ cuts $V_{2}$ into a solid torus $V_{1}^{(2)}$ and a genus two handlebody $V_{2}^{(2)}$ where there is a complete system of meridian disks $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ of $V_{2}^{(2)}$ such that $D_{1}$ $\cap A_{1}^{\prime}=\phi, D_{2} \cap A_{1}^{\prime}$ is an essential arc of $A_{1}^{\prime}$. Since $T_{2} \cap V_{2}$ is incompressible in $V_{2},\left(T_{2} \cap V_{2}\right) \subset V_{2}^{(2)}$. Then by using $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ we can define an isotopy of type A at some essential arc $b$ in $T_{2} \cap V_{2}$. Then by the minimality of $T, b$ is of type 3. Hence by taking $b$ as $a_{1}$ we may suppose that $a_{0}, a_{1}$ are of type 3 and $a_{1}$ joins distinct component of $\partial T^{\prime}$ that $a_{n}$ joins.

Then by the argument of Case 2 we see that $T \cap V_{1}$ consists of two disks. Let $T^{1}$ be the image of $T$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{0}, T^{2}$ be the image of $T^{1}$ after an isotopy of type A at $a_{1}$. Then $T^{2} \cap V_{1}\left(T^{2} \cap V_{2}\right.$ resp.) consists of two essential annuli $A_{1}, A_{2}\left(A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right.$ resp.) where $\partial A_{1}=\partial A_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\partial A_{2}=\partial A_{2}^{\prime}$. By the argument of Case $2.2\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}\left(\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}\right.$ resp.) satisfies one of the conclusions of Lemma 3.4.

Since $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are separating in $M$, each $A_{i}$ ( $A_{i}^{\prime}$ resp.) is esparating in $V_{1}\left(V_{2}\right.$ resp.). Hence both $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{A_{1}^{\prime}, A_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ satisfy the conclusion (ii) of Lemma 3.4. $A_{1} \cup A_{2}\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}\right.$ resp.) cuts $V_{1}\left(V_{2}\right.$ resp.) into two solid tori $V_{1}^{(1)}, V_{2}^{(1)}\left(V_{1}^{(2)}, V_{2}^{(2)}\right.$ resp.) and a genus two handlebody $V_{3}^{(1)}\left(V_{3}^{(2)}\right.$ resp.) where $A_{i} \subset \partial V_{i}^{(1)}\left(A_{i}^{\prime} \subset \partial V_{i}^{(2)}\right.$ resp.) $(i=1,2)$. By attaching $V_{1}^{(1)}$ and $V_{1}^{(2)}$ $\left(V_{2}^{(1)}\right.$ and $V_{2}^{(2)}$ resp.) along $c l\left(\partial V_{1}^{(1)}-A_{1}\right)$ and $c l\left(\partial V_{1}^{(2)}-A_{1}^{\prime}\right)\left(c l\left(\partial V_{2}^{(1)}-A_{2}\right)\right.$ and $c l\left(\partial V_{2}^{(2)}-A_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ resp. $)$ we get $M_{1}(\in D(2))\left(M_{2} \in D(2)\right.$ resp. $)$. By attaching $V_{3}^{(1)}$ and $V_{3}^{(2)}$ along $c l\left(\partial V_{3}^{(1)}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right)$ and $c l\left(\partial V_{3}^{(2)}-\left(A_{1}^{\prime} \cup A_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ we get $M_{3}\left(\in M_{L}\right)$ (Lemma 4.1).

Then we have the conclusion (iv) of the Theorem.
Note that $M$ does not have such a torus decomposition that $M$ decomposed into more than three components. Assume that $M$ has such a decomposition. Let $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}(n \geq 3)$ be a system of tori which gives the decomposition. We may suppose that each component of $\left(T_{1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{n}\right) \cap V_{1}$ is a disk. Note that $\left(T_{1} \cup \cdots \cup T_{n}\right) \cap V_{1}$ has more than two components and we can derive a contradiction by using the arguments of Case 2.

If $M$ admits a decomposition as in (i) $\sim(\mathrm{v})$ of Theorem then by tracing the above arguments conversely we can show that $M$ has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.

This completes the proof of Theorem.

## 7. Geometric structures of the 3-manifolds with Heegaard splittings of genus two

In this section we show that for each of eight geometric structures in [9] there exists a 3 -manifold $M$ which has a Heegaard splitting of genus two and admits the geometric structure.

Lemma 7.1 If $M$ is a Seifert fibered manifold with orbit manifold a 2sphere with three exceptional fibers then $M$ has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.

Proof. Let $f$ be an exceptional fiber in $M$ and $Q$ be the closure of the complement of a regular neighborhood of $f$. Then $Q$ contains such an essential annulus $A$ that $A$ cuts $Q$ into two solid tori. Let $a$ be an essential arc in $A$ and $V_{1}$ be a regular neighborhood of $N \cup a$ in $M$. Then $V_{1}$ is a genus two handlebody. We easily see that $c l\left(M-V_{1}\right)$ is also a genus two handlebody.

This completes the proof of Lemma 7.1.
Let $M$ be a Seifert fibered manifold as in Lemma 7.1. Then by Theorem 12.1 of $[1] \pi_{1}(M)$ has the presentation

$$
\left\langle a, b, c, t ;[a, t]=[b, t]=[c, t]=1, a^{p}=t^{p^{\prime}}, b^{q}=t^{q^{\prime}}, c^{r}=t^{r^{\prime}}, a b c=1\right\rangle
$$

where $p>1, q>1, r>1$. Then for the geometric structure of $M$ the following theorem is given by Kojima [6].

Theorem. If $M$ is a Seifert fibered manifold as above then $M$ admits a geometric structure according to the table:

| $1 / p+1 / q+1 / r$ | $>1$ | $=1$ | $<1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p^{\prime} \mid p+q^{\prime} / q+r^{\prime} / r$ | $\phi$ | type 2 | type 5 |
| $=0$ | type 1 | type 7 | type 6 |
| $\neq 0$ |  |  |  |

where the type of geometries appears in [9].
Then by Lemma 7.1 we see that for each of the geometries that appeared in the above Theorem there is a 3-manifold with a Heegaard splitting of genus two, which has the geometric structure.

The examples of the 3-manifolds with Heegaard splittings of genus two in the hyperbolic geometry (type 3) are obtained by Dehn surgery on the figure eight knot [11].

The closed 3-manifolds in the type 4 geometry are only either $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ or $P^{3} \# P^{3}$, each of which has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.

Any torus bundle over $S^{1}$ with a hyperbolic monodromy has type 8 geometric structure [9]. Then the torus bundle whose monodromy is $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & m\end{array}\right)$
( $m \geq 3$ ) has type 8 geometric structure and by [3] it has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.

## References

[1] J. Hempel: 3-manifolds, Ann. of Math. Studies No. 86, Princeton N.J., Princeton University Press, 1976
[2] M. Ochiai: On Haken's theorem and its extension, Osaka J. Math. 20 (1983), 461-468.
[3] M. Takahashi and M. Ochiai: Heegaard diagrams of torus bundle over $S^{1}$, Comment. Math. Univ. S. Paul. 31 (1982), 63-69
[4] W. Jaco: Lectures on three manifold topology, Conference Board of Math. Science, Regional Conference Series in Math. No. 43, 1980
[5] T. Kobayashi: Non-separating incompressible tori in 3-manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 36 (1984), 11-22
[6] S. Kojima: A construction of geometric structures on Seifert fibered spaces, preprint
[7] W. Magnus: Noneuclidean tessalations and their groups, Academic Press, 1974
[8] D. Rolfsen: Knots and links, Mathematics Lecture Series 7, Berkeley Ca., Publish Inc. 1976
[9] W. Thurston: Three dimensional manifolds, Kleinian groups and hyperbolic geometry, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1982), 357-381
[10] —: Three manifolds with symmetry, preliminary report (1982).
[11] -: Geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, Lecture notes, Princeton University, 1978

