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The aim of the present paper is to define a completely prime element and a new type of radicals of elements in some compactly generated cm-lattice ${ }^{1}$, and to obtain meet-decompositions of radical elements of the cm -lattice.

## 1. Preliminaries

Let $L$ be a complete (upper and lower) lattice. A non-void subset $\Sigma$ of $L$ is called a compact set of $L$ if, whenever $x \leq \sup N$ for an element $x$ of $\Sigma$ and a subset $N$ of $\Sigma$, there exists a finite number of elements $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ of $N$ satisfying $x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x_{n} \geq x$. Every element of $\Sigma$ is said to be compact. If every element of $L$ is a join of a finite or infinite number of elements of the compact set $\Sigma$ of $L$, then $L$ is said to be compactly generated, and $\Sigma$ is called a compact generator of $L^{2}$ ).

We can prove easily that a complete lattice has a compact generator if and only if it is compactly generated in the sense of Dilworth-Crawley ${ }^{3}$ ). In this case, the set of the compact elements ${ }^{4}$ ) of the lattice is the unique maximal compact generator under the set-inclusion.

Now we shall consider, throughout this paper, a $c m$-lattice $L$ which is compactly generated as a lattice. In what follows, we suppose that $L$ has a compact generator $\Sigma$ which satisfies the following condition.
(*) If inf $S \leq$ a for a subset $S$ of $\Sigma$ and an element $a$ of $L$, then $a=\inf (S \cup a)$, where $S \cup a$ means the set of the elements $s \cup a(s \in S)$.

It is easily verified that the condition (*) holds for any infinitely meet-

[^0]distributive $c m$-lattice ${ }^{5}$ ) with a compact generator. But, there exists a $c m$-lattice which is not infinitely meet-distributive, and satisfies the condition (*). Let $\mathbb{B}$ be the $c m$-lattice of all ideals of any Dedekind domain $\mathcal{\bigcirc}$, and $\Sigma$ the compact generator consisting of the principal ideals of $\mathfrak{D}$. Then, of course, $\mathfrak{E}$ is not necessarily infinitely meet-distributive. But, it can be proved easily that the condition $(*)$ holds for $\mathcal{R}$ and $\Sigma$.

Let $\Sigma$ be any fixed compact generator of $L$ and let $a \in L$. By the symbol $\Sigma_{a}$ we shall mean the set $\{x \in \Sigma \mid x \leq a\}$. Then we can prove that $\sup A=\sup$ ( $\vee_{a \in A} \Sigma_{a}$ ) for every non-void subset $A$ of $L$, where $\vee$ denotes the set-theoretical union.

## 2. Completely prime elements

For a non-void subset $A$ of a cm -lattice $L, \bar{A}$ will denote the multiplicative system (monoid) which is generated by $A$ under the multiplication of $L$.

Definition 1. An element $p$ of a $c m$-lattice is said to be completely prime if whenever $\inf \bar{A}$ is contained in $p$, then at least one of the element of $A$ is contained in $p$.

We can prove easily that completely primes are primes. But the converse is not true. In fact, we can find an example of cm -lattices with an element which is prime but not completely prime.

Definition 2. A non-void subset $\Gamma$ of the compact set $\Sigma$ of $L$ is called a $c$-system, if $\inf \bar{\Gamma}$ is a member of $\Gamma$. The void set is a $c$-system.

Lemma 1. The following conditions are equivalent to one another.
(1) $p$ is completely prime.
(2) If inf $\bar{\Delta} \leq p\left(\phi^{6} \neq \Delta \subseteq \Sigma\right)$, then there exists an element $x \in \Delta$ such that $x \leq p$.
(3) $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}{ }^{7}$ is a c-system.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$ is evident. (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$ : Suppose that $p$ is not completely prime. Then, there exists a subset $A$ of $L$ such that $\inf \bar{A} \leq p$ and $a \neq p$ for every $a \in A$. Therefore we can take an element $x_{a} \in \Sigma_{a}$ such as $x_{a} \neq p$. Put $\Delta=\left\{x_{a} \mid a \in A\right\}$. Then it is easy to see that $\inf \bar{\Delta} \leq p . \quad(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ : Suppose that $p$ is completely prime. It is then easily verified that $p$ does not contain inf $\overline{\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}}$. Hence we can take an element $u$ of $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ such that $u \leq \inf \overline{\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}} . \quad(3) \Rightarrow(2)$ : Let $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ be a $c$-system, and $\Gamma$ a non-void subset of $\Sigma$. If we suppose that $p$ con-
5) =the dual of a relatively pseudo-complemeted cm -lattice.
6) $\phi$ will mean the void set.
7) \} will denote the set-defference.
tains no element of $\Gamma$, then $\Gamma \subseteq \Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}, \bar{\Gamma} \subseteq{\bar{\Sigma} \backslash \Sigma_{p}}$. Hence we have inf $\overline{\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}} \leq$ $\inf \bar{\Gamma}$. On the other hand, inf $\overline{\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}}$ is not contained in $p$, since $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ is a $c$-system.

Lemma 2. Let $\Gamma$ be a $c$-system such that $\Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{a}=\phi^{8)}$ for an element a of L. Then there exists a completely prime element $p$ such that $p \geq a$ and $\Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{p}=\phi$.

Proof. First we show that the set $S=\left\{c \in L \mid a \leq c, \Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{c}=\phi\right\}$ is inductive. Let $\left\{c_{\lambda}\right\}$ be any chain in $S$, and let $c^{*}=\sup \left\{c_{\lambda}\right\}$. If we suppose that $\Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{c^{*}}$ contains an element $x$, then $x \leq c^{*}=\sup \left(V_{\lambda} \Sigma_{c_{\lambda}}\right)$. Hence there exists a finite number of elements $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ such that $x \leq x_{1} \cup \cdots \cup x_{n}$ and $x_{\nu} \in \vee_{\lambda} \Sigma_{c_{\lambda}}$. Since there exists $c_{m}$ such that $x_{\nu} \leq c_{m}(\nu=1, \cdots, n)$, we have that $\Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{c_{m}} \ni x$, which is a contradiction. Zorn's lemma assures therefore the existence of a maximal element $p$ in $S$. We now prove that $p$ is completely prime. Let $\Delta$ be a non-void subset of $\Sigma$ such that $\inf \bar{\Delta} \leq p$. If we suppose that $p$ contains no element of $\Delta$, then since $p<p \cup u$ for every $u \in \Delta$, we can find an element $v(u)$ (depending on $u$ ) of $\Sigma$ such that $v(u) \in \Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{p \cup u}$. Now we put $V=\{v(u) \mid u \in \Delta\}$. Then $\bar{V}$ is contained in $\bar{\Gamma}$. We have therefore that $\inf \bar{V} \geq \inf \bar{\Gamma}$. Now let $u^{*}=\mathfrak{P}$ ( $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$ ) be an arbitrary element of $\bar{\Delta}$, where $u_{i} \in \Delta(i=1, \cdots, n)$, and $\mathfrak{F}$ denotes a product-form (product-polynomial) of $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}$. Then we have that

$$
\mathfrak{F}\left(v\left(u_{1}\right), \cdots, v\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \leq \mathfrak{P}\left(p \cup u_{1}, \cdots, p \cup u_{n}\right) \leq p \cup \mathfrak{P}\left(u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n}\right)=p \cup u^{*} .
$$

Since $\mathscr{S}_{3}\left(v\left(u_{1}\right), \cdots, v\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \in \bar{V}$, we obtain that $\inf \bar{V} \leq \inf \bar{\Delta} \leq \inf \left\{p \cup u^{*} \mid u^{*} \in \bar{\Delta}\right\}=$ $p \cup \inf \bar{\Delta}=p$. Hence we have that $\inf \bar{\Gamma} \leq p$. Therefore we obtain that inf $\bar{\Gamma} \in \Gamma \wedge \Sigma_{p}$, which is a contradiction.

## 3. Radicals of elements

Let $a$ be an arbitrary element of $L$, and let $X_{a}$ be the set of the elements $x$ of $\Sigma$ such that every $c$-system containing $x$ contains an element of $\Sigma_{a}$. We now put

Definition 3. The suplemum of $X_{a}$ is called a radical of $a$, and is denoted by $r(a)$. An element $a$ of $L$ is said to be radical if $r(a)=a$.

Therorem 1. Let L be a compactly generated cm-latice with the condition (*). Then the radical of any element a of $L$ is decomposed into the meet of the completely prime elements containing a. In particular, so is any radical element of I.

Proof. Let $p$ be any completely prime element containing $a$. Then $r(a)$ $\leq p$. For, if contrary, the $c$-system $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ contains an element $x \in \Sigma$ such that

[^1]$x \nsucceq p$ and $x \leq r(a)$. Hence $\left(\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}\right) \wedge \Sigma_{a}$ is not vacuous. Since $\Sigma_{a}$ is contained in $\Sigma_{p}$, this is a contradiction. Therefore we obtain that $r(a) \leq p, r(a) \leq \cap_{a \leq p} p$. For the proof of the converse inclusion, it is sufficient to show that $x \neq r(a)$ ( $x \in \Sigma$ ) implies $x \not \leq \cap_{a \leq p} p$. Since $x \not \ddagger r(a)$, there exists a $c$-system $\Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \ni x$ and it does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$. Then, by Lemma 2, we can take a completely prime element $p$ satisfying $a \leq p$ and $\Gamma$ does not meet $\Sigma_{p}$. Hence $x$ is not contained in $\bigcap_{a \leq p} p$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 1. Let L be a compactly generated cm-lattice with the condition (*), and I the greatest element of L. If the ascending chain condition holds for the elements of the interval I/a, the radical of a has a unique irredundant meet decomposition into completely prime elements. In particular, $a$ is so if it is radical.

Lemma 3. $A$ completely prime element of any cm-lattice is completely irreducible. ${ }^{9}$ )

Proof. Let $p$ be a completely prime element of any $c m$-lattice. If $p=\inf$ $Q$, then $p \geq \inf \bar{Q}$. Hence there exists an element $q$ in $Q$ such that $q \leq p$. If $q<p$, then $p=\inf Q \leq q<p$. This is a contradiction.

Corollary 2. Let $D$ be an infinitely meet distributive lattice with a compact generator. Then every element of $D$, which is different from the greatest element, is decomposed into completely irreducible elements of $D$.

Proof. Let $a$ be any element of $D$, and let $\Sigma$ be any complact generator of $D$. Then the set $\Gamma=\{x\}$ consisting of the single element $x$ of $\Sigma_{r(a)}$, is a $c$-system satisfying $\inf \bar{\Gamma}=x$. Hence $a$ is radical. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 3, we complete the proof.

## 4. Completely minimal primes

Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be any fixed $c$-system of $L$, and let $a$ be an element of $L$ such that $\Sigma_{a}$ does not meet $\Gamma_{0}$. Then it is easily verified that the family of $c$-systems $\Gamma$ each of which contains $\Gamma_{0}$ and does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$ is inductive. Zorn's lemma assures therefore the existence of maximal $c$-systems. Let $\mathfrak{M}=\left\{\Gamma_{\lambda}\right\}$ be the family of the maximal $c$-systems based on $\Gamma_{0}$. Then we can see that $p_{\lambda}=$ sup ( $\Sigma \backslash \Gamma_{\lambda}$ ) is completely prime, and the completely prime element $p$ satisfying $a \leq p$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda} \wedge \Sigma_{p}=\phi$ coincides with $p_{\lambda}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{\lambda} \rightarrow p_{\lambda}=\sup \left(\Sigma \backslash \Gamma_{\lambda}\right), \\
& p_{\lambda} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\lambda}=\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p_{\lambda}}
\end{aligned}
$$

give a one-to-one correspondence between $\mathfrak{M}$ and the set of the completely primes $\{p\}$ which satisfy $a \leq p$ and $\Gamma_{\lambda} \wedge \Sigma_{p}=\phi$.
9) Cf. [2; p. 3].

Definition 4. An completely prime element $p$ of a $c m$-lattice is said to be completely minimal prime belonging to $a$, if it satisfies (1) $p \geq a$ and (2) there exists no completely prime $p^{\prime}$ such that $a \leq p^{\prime}<p$.

Lemma 4. In order that an element $p$ of $L$ is a completely minimal prime belonging to $a$, it is necessary and sufficient that $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ is a maximal $c$-system which does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$.

Proof. We suppose that $p$ is completely minimal prime, and $a$ is not completely prime. Then we can assume that $a<p$. Now, by Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal $c$-system $\Gamma$ such that it does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$. Then we have that $p_{0}=\sup (\Sigma \backslash \Gamma) \leq \sup \left(\Sigma \backslash\left(\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}\right)\right)=p$. Since $p_{0}$ is completely prime, we obtain $p=p_{0}$. This implies that $\Sigma \backslash \Gamma=\Sigma_{p}$. Therefore $\Gamma=\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ is a maximal $c$-system which does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$. The converse is easy to see.

Theorem 2. Let L be a compactly generated cm-lattice with the condition (*). Then the radical of any element a of $L$ is decomposed into the meet of the completely minimal primes belonging to a. In particular, so is any radical element of $L$.

Proof. Let $\left\{p_{\lambda}\right\}$ be the completely minimal primes belonging to $a$. In order to prove that $r(a) \geq \cap_{\lambda} p_{\lambda}$, it is sufficient to show that $x \nsubseteq r(a)$ implies $x \nleftarrow \cap_{\lambda} p_{\lambda}$, where $x \in \Sigma$. Now by the definition of $r(a)$, we can take a $c$ system $\Gamma$ such that $x \in \Gamma$ and it does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$. Hence there exists a completely minimal prime $p$ belonging to $a$ such that $\Sigma_{p}$ does not meet $\Gamma$. Evidently $x \not \$ p$. We have therefore $x \nleftarrow \cap_{\lambda} p_{\lambda}$. The converse inclusion is evident.

Remark 1. Suppose that $p$ is any completely prime containing $a$. Then there exists a completely minimal prime belonging to $a$ which is contained in p. Because, $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ is a $c$-system which does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$; and we can take a maximal $c$-system which contains $\Sigma \backslash \Sigma_{p}$ and does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$.

Remark 2. If the ascending chain condition holds for elements in the interval $I / a$, then the meet-decomposition mentioned in Corollary 1 to Theorem 1 is the irredundant meet-decomposition into the completely minimal primes belonging to $a$.
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[^0]:    1) Cf. [1; pp. 200-201].
    2) Cf. $[5 ;$ p. 105] and $[6 ;$ p. 54].
    3), 4) Cf. [2; p. 2] and [3; p. 11].
[^1]:    8) $\wedge$ will denote the intersection. We say, following McCoy [4], that $\Gamma$ does not meet $\Sigma_{a}$, if $\Gamma \wedge \searrow_{a}=\phi$.
