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## 1. Introduction

The results concerned with closed orientable surfaces in 4-space obtained in [5] will be extended in the paper.

Things will be considered only from the piecewise-linear (or semilinear) and combinatorial point of view, and manifolds $M, W$ etc., will be combinatorial, orientable with an orientation, maps will be piecewiselinear with respect to (simplicial) subdivisions and generally homeomorphisms between manifolds will be orientation preserving. So that $M \subset M_{1}, M=M_{2}$ and $\partial M=M_{3}$ will indicate obvious relations between the orientations of manifolds, if meaningful, together with the usual set theoretic meanings, where $\partial M$ is the boundary of $M$.

Let $M_{i}$ be a closed $n$-manifold in an ( $n+2$ )-manifold $W_{i}$ without boundary, $i=1,2$. Precisely, there are subdivisions $K_{i}$ and $L_{i}$ of $M_{i}$ and $W_{i}$ respectively such that $K_{i}$ is a subcomplex of $L_{i}$. For convenience, the situation is simply said that $M_{i}=\left|K_{i}\right|$ is in $W_{i}=\left|L_{i}\right|$ in the rest of the paper. Then $M_{1}$ is iso-neighboring to $M_{2}$ if there are regular neighborhoods $U_{i}$ of $M_{i}$ in $W_{i}$, see [4], where $U_{i} \subset W_{i}$ and an onto homeomorphism $\psi: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ such that $\psi^{\prime}\left(M_{1}\right)=M_{2}$. By Theorem 1 of [4], the iso-neighboring relation is an equivalence relation.

In $\S 2$ two invariances the collection of singularities and the StiefelWhitney class under the iso-neighboring relation will be dealt with. Let a closed $n$-manifold $M=|K|$ be in an ( $n+2$ )-manifold $W=|L|$ without boundary. For each point $x$ of $M$, the links $L k(x, K) L k(x, L)$ in $K, L$ are $(n-1)-,(n+1)$-spheres respectively. Then $M$ is said to be $p$-flat in $W$ if the link $L k(x, K)$ bounds an $n$-cell in $L k(x, L)$, alternatively the $(n-1, n+1)-\operatorname{knot}(\operatorname{Lk}(x, K), \operatorname{Lk}(x, L))$ is trivial, where $x \in M-\left|K^{p-1}\right|$ and $K^{q}$ is the $q$-skeleton of $K\left(K^{-1}\right.$ is the empty set). The $p$-flatness of $M$ in $W$ is clearly invariant under the iso-neighboring relation. A 0 -flat $M$ in $W$ is alternatively said to be locally flat. For a 1-flat $M$ in $W$ the collection of singularities of $M$ in $W$ will be defined, which is an in-
variance under the iso-neighboring relation.
If $K$ is a full subcomplex of $L$, then the star neighborhood $N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is a regular neighborhood, which consists of ( $n+2$ )-cells dual to vertices of $K$ in $L$, where $X^{\prime}$ denotes the first barycentric subdivision of $X$. In general, a regular neighborhood $U$ of $M$ in $W$ carries some properties similar to those of normal bundles in differential topology. So that an invariance $\omega$, called the Stiefel-Whitney class, under the iso-neighboring relation may be defined for $M$ in $W$ following the classical arguments due to Seifert [7] and Whitney [8].

In the paper the boundary of a regular neighborhood of $M$ in $W$ is called a tube of $M$ in $W$, and for a mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y, f^{*}\left(f_{*}\right)$ denotes the induced homomorphism between cohomology groups of $Y$ and $X$ (homology groups of $X$ and $Y$ ).

The following will be established in $\S 3$.
Theorem A. Let a closed 3-manifold $M_{i}$ be 1-flat in 5-manifold $W_{i}$ without boundary, where $i=1,2$. Then $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are iso-neighboring if and only if there is an onto homeomorphism $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$, such that $\phi^{*}\left(\omega_{2}\right)$ $=\omega_{1}$ and they have the same collection of singularities.

By the argument due to [7] the Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega$ is the identity if $M$ is in euclidean ( $n+2$ )-space $R^{n+2}$. Thus,

Corollary to Theorem A. Let closed 3-manifolds $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be 1-flat in 5-space such that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are homeomorphic and symmetric. Then they are iso-neighboring if and only if they have the same collection of singularities. (We say that $M$ is symmetric if there is an orientation reversing homeomorphism onto itself.)

Moreover, $M \times o$ is locally flat in $M \times R^{2}$ and its Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega$ is the identity, where $R^{2}$ is 2 -space and $o$ is the origin of $R^{2}$. And $M \times C^{2}$ is a regular neighborhood of $M \times 0$ in $M \times R^{2}$ where $C^{2}$ is a 2 -cell containing $o$ in its interior. Therefore,

Theorem B. If a closed 3-manifold $M$ is locally flat in 5-space $R^{5}$. Then a regular neighborhood $U$ of $M$ in $R^{5}$ is the product of $M$ and a 2-cell.

Finally, (1). Some results of the paper [4] will be used in this paper. Although they were proved modulo the Schoenflies conjecture, they are verified without the conjecture in virtue of Theorem (2.3) of [6].
(2). The detail of the proofs which were omitted in the paper [5] will be seen in the paper, even if this paper concentrates upon 3-manifolds $M$.

The author thanks Masako Ujihara for her valuable discussions.

## 2. Invariances

Notation A. Let $M=|K|$ be a closed $n$-manifold in an ( $n+2$ )manifold $W=|L|$ without boundary, where it is assumed that $K$ is a full subcomplex of $L$, that is, the intersection of a simplex of $L$ and $|K|$ is either a simplex of $K$ or empty. By $\Delta$ we shall denote a (closed) $r$-simplex of $K$. Then by $\nabla$ and $\square$ we denote the $(n-r)-,(n-r+2)-$ cells dual to $\Delta$ in $K$ and $L$ respectively. ( $\nabla$ and $\square$ are covered by subcomplexes of $K^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}$ respectively.) By $\Re^{q}$ we shall denote the polyhedron consisting of dual cells $\nabla$ where $\Delta$ ranges over $K-K^{n-q-1}$. Similarly by $\mathfrak{R}^{q+2}$ we denote the polyhedron consisting of dual cells $\square$ where $\Delta \in K-K^{n-q-1}$. Note that $\mathfrak{R}^{n+2}$ is the star neighborhood $N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$.

If an orientation is assigned to $\Delta$, the orientation of $\nabla(\square)$ is naturally determined such that the intersection number of $\Delta$ and $\nabla(\square)$ in $M(W)$ is 1 . We shall always assigne an orientation to $\Delta$ and use those natural orientation for $\nabla$ and $\square$ throughout the paper. It is obvious that the ( $n-1, n+1$ )-knot ( $L k(x, K), L k(x, L)$ ) is trivial if $x \in M-\left|K^{n-2}\right|$, and that $M$ is $(n-1)$-flat in $W$.

Lemma 1. If $M$ is $p$-flat in $W$ then for each $r$-simplex $\Delta$ of $K$ the $(n-r-1, n-r+1)-k n o t(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ is trivial where $r \geq p \geq 0$ and for each ( $p-1$ )-simplex $\Delta$ the $(n-p, n-p+2)$-knot $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ is locally flat, where $p \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $x$ be an interior point of an $r$-simplex $\Delta$ of $K$. It is elementary to check that that the ( $n-1, n+1$ )-knots $(L k(x, K), L k(x, L))$ and $(\partial \Delta * \partial \nabla, \partial \Delta * \partial \square)$ are equivalent, where $X * Y$ is the join of $X$ and $Y$. If $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ is not trivial then $(L k(x, K), \operatorname{Lk}(x, L))$ is not locally flat, because the latter is the suspension of the former. In particular ( $\operatorname{Lk}(x, K), \operatorname{Lk}(x, L))$ is not trivial, contradiction. Therefore $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ is trivial.

Let $x$ be a point of $\partial \nabla$ where $\Delta$ is a ( $p-1$ )-simplex of $K$. Then $x$ is an interior point of a $q$-simplex $\eta$ of $K$ where $q>p-1$. Let $\varepsilon$ be the face opposite to $\Delta$ in $\eta$ and $c$ be the barycenter of $\varepsilon$. Since the $(n-1, n+1)-\mathrm{knot}\left(\partial^{\prime}(\Delta * c) * L k(x, \partial \nabla), \partial(\Delta * c) * L k(x, \partial \square)\right)$ is equivalent to $(L k(x, K), L k(x, L))$ which is trivial, the $(n-p-1, n-p+1)-\operatorname{knot}(L k(x, \partial \nabla)$, $L k(x, \partial \square)$ ) may not be non-trivial. Hence ( $L k(x, \partial \nabla), L k(x, \partial \square))$ is trivial for each $x$ of $\partial \nabla$ and $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ is locally flat.

Definition 1. Let $M=|K|$ be 1 -flat in $W=|L|$. By Lemma 1 only the $(n-1, n+1)$-knot $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$ may not be trivial where $\Delta$ is a vertex of $K$. We say that a vertex $\Delta$ is a non-singular point or singular point of $M$ in $W$ according as the $(n-1, n+1)$-knot class $k$ containing $(\partial \nabla, \partial \square)$
is a trivial class 0 or $k \neq 0$. If $k \neq 0$, we say that the singularity of $M$ on $W$ at $\Delta$ is of type $k$. If $M$ in $W$ has singular points $\Delta_{1}, \cdots, \Delta_{s}$ of type $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{s}$, then the unordered set of classes $k_{1}, \cdots, k_{s}$ will be called the collection of singularities of $M$ in $W$, which is invariant under the isoneighboring relation as easily seen.

Now let us define the Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega$ for $M$ in W following the argument due to Seifert [7] and Whitney [8]. Let $\mathscr{S}$ be a subpolyhedron of $\Re^{n}$, we say that a map $\kappa: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is a cross section over $\mathfrak{S}$ if $\kappa(\nabla) \subset \partial \square$ for all $\nabla$ of $\mathfrak{N}$.
(a) Let $\sigma: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ be a cross section, then $\sigma$ may be extended to a cross section $\kappa$ over $\mathfrak{S} \cup \Re^{1}$, where $\mathfrak{S}$ may be empty.

Proof. Define $\kappa^{0}(\nabla)=$ a vertex of $\partial \square$ if $\nabla \in \mathfrak{R}^{0}-\mathfrak{S}$ and $\kappa^{0}|\mathfrak{L}=\sigma| \mathfrak{C}$, then $\kappa^{0}: \mathfrak{S} \bigcup \mathfrak{R}^{0} \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is a cross section over $\mathfrak{S} \cup \mathfrak{R}^{0}$. Let $\Delta$ be an ( $n-1$ )-simplex then $\nabla$ and $\square$ are 1-, 3-cells respectively. Let $\Delta_{1}, \Delta_{2}$ be $n$-simplexes incident to $\Delta$ then $\square_{1} \cup \square_{2}$ is a regular neighborhood of the 0 -sphere $\nabla_{1} \cup \nabla_{2}$ in the 2-sphere $\partial \square$, and $\partial \square-$ Int $\left(\square_{1} \cup \square_{2}\right)$ is the cylinder $S^{1} \times I$ where Int $M$ is the interior of $M$ and $I$ is the closed unit interval. Then there is a homeomorphism $\kappa_{\nabla}: \nabla \rightarrow \partial \square-$ Int $\left(\square_{1} \cup \square_{2}\right)$ such that $\kappa_{\nabla}\left|\nabla_{1} \cup \nabla_{2}=\kappa^{0}\right| \nabla_{1} \cup \nabla_{2}$. Define $\kappa \mid \nabla=\kappa_{\nabla}$ if $\nabla \in \mathfrak{R}^{1}-\mathfrak{S}$ and $\kappa|\nabla=\sigma| \nabla$ if $\nabla \in \mathfrak{S}$, then $\kappa: \mathfrak{S} \bigcup \Re^{1} \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ is the required cross section.
(b) Using a cross section $\kappa: \Re^{1} \rightarrow \partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$, let us define an integral 2-cochain $W_{\kappa}$ of $M$ as follows.

Let $\Delta_{j}$ be an ( $n-2$ )-simplex of $K$ then $\nabla_{j}, \square_{j}$ are 2-, 4-cells respectively. Then we have a knot $\left(\partial \nabla_{j}, \partial \square_{j}\right)$ and the tube (=torus) $T_{j}=\partial\left(U_{k} \square_{j k}\right)$, where $U_{k} \square_{j k}$ is a regular neighborhood of $\partial \nabla_{j}$ in $\partial \square_{j}$ when $\Delta_{j k}$ ranges over ( $n-1$ )-simplexes of $K$ incident to $\Delta_{j}$ by Lemma 4 of [4]. By the knot theory the longitude $b_{j}$ and the meridian $a_{j}$ of the torus $T_{j}$ are well defined up to homology such that $a_{j} \sim \partial \square_{p}$ in $T_{j}$ where $\Delta_{p}(\subset M)$ is an $n$-simplex having $\Delta_{j}$ as a face, and such that $b_{j} \sim \partial \nabla_{j}$ in $U_{k} \square_{j k}$ and $b_{j} \sim 0$ in $\partial \square_{j}-\operatorname{Int}\left(U_{k} \square_{j k}\right)$ where $\sim$ means to be homologous. By $w_{j}$ we denote the looping coefficient of $\kappa_{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{j}\right)$ and $b_{j}$ in $\partial \square_{j}$. That is, $\kappa_{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{j}\right) \sim w_{j} a_{j}+b_{j}$ in $T_{j}$. Then an integral 2-cochain $W_{\kappa}$ of $M$ is defined by taking $W_{k}\left(\nabla_{j}\right)=w_{j}$ for each $\nabla_{j}$.

The following (c), (d) and (e) are the modification of the arguments due to Seifert and Whitney.
(c) Let $\kappa, \sigma$ be cross sections over $\Re^{1}$ then $W_{\kappa}$ is cohomologous to $W_{\sigma}$ in M. See [8, p. 120].
(d) Let $M$ and $W$ be spheres. Then there is a cross section $\sigma$ over
$\Re^{1}$ such that $W_{\sigma}\left(\nabla_{j}\right)=0$ for each $\nabla_{j}$. See [7, pp. 6-7].
(e) $W_{\kappa}$ is a cocycle. See [8, p. 121].
(f) The cohomology class $\omega$ containing the cocyle $W_{\kappa}$ is independent of the subdivisions $K$ and $L$.

Proof. As usual it is sufficient to prove that $W_{L}$ is cohomologous to $W_{Z}$ where $W_{L}$ and $W_{Z}$ are the cocyles obtained from the subdivisions $K, L$ and $Y, Z$ of $M, W$ respectively such that $Z$ is a subdivision of $L$ and $Y$ is the subcomplex of $Z$ covering $M$.

Then it may be assumed that $L$ is transformed to $Z$ by a simple subdivision $(\gamma, d)$ of $L$ where $\gamma$ is a 1 -simplex of $L$ and $d$ is an interior point of $\gamma$, see [1, p. 302]. The proof is separated in two cases. That is, $\gamma \notin K$ and $\gamma \in K$. Since the both cases may be treated similarly, we shall prove the second one.

Suppose that $\gamma \in K$. Let $\gamma=a b$ where $a$ and $b$ are vertices of $K$. At first we construct an onto map $\theta: N\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ which takes the dual cells of $d$ in $Y, Z$ onto the dual cells of $\gamma$ in $K, L$ and the dual cells of $c$ in $Y, Z$ onto the dual cells of itself in $K, L$ respectively, where $c$ is a vertex of $Y$ other than $d$. Let $\square_{Z}$ be the dual cell of $d$ in $Z$, $\partial \square_{Z}$ consists of simplexes written $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{q}$ where $v_{j}$ is the barycenter of the simplex $d c_{0} c_{1} \cdots c_{j}$ and $c_{i}$ is a vertex of $L$ lying in $L k(d, L)$. Define $\theta\left(v_{0} \cdots v_{q}\right)=u_{0} \cdots u_{q}$ if $c_{0}=a$ (or $b$ ), where $u_{i}$ is the barycenter of $b c_{0} \cdots c_{q}$ $\left(a c_{0} \cdots c_{q}\right), \theta\left(v_{0} \cdots v_{q}\right)=u_{0} \cdots u_{q}$ if $c_{0} \neq a$ and $b$, where $u_{i}$ is the barycenter of $a b c_{0} \cdots c_{q}, \theta(d)=e$, the barycenter of $\gamma$, and $\theta \mid L k(d, L)=$ identity. Since a simplex of $Z^{\prime}$ in the star $S t(d, L)$ is either the join of a simplex lying in $L k(d, L)$ and a simplex in $\partial \square_{Z}$, or the join of a simplex in $\partial \square_{Z}$ and $d$, the map $\theta$ may be extended over the $\operatorname{star} S t(d, L)$ and then over $N\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ by taking identity on $N\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)-S t(d, L)$. Then the map $\theta$ is the required one.

By (d) and (a) we may construct a cross section $\kappa$ defining $W_{Z}$ such that $W_{Z}\left(\nabla_{Y}^{2}\right)=0$ for each 2-cell $\nabla_{Y}^{2}$ which is on $\partial \nabla_{Y}$ and $\nabla_{Y}$ is the cell dual to $d$ in $Y$ and such that for each point $x$ of $\Omega^{1}$ the set $\theta^{-1}(x)$ is mapped by $\theta_{\kappa}$ to a point of $\partial N\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$. Then the mapping $\theta_{\kappa} \theta^{-1}$ is well defined which is a cross section over $\Re^{1}$ defining $W_{L}$ such that $W_{L}\left(\nabla_{K}^{2}\right)=0$ for each 2-cell $\nabla_{K}^{2}$ which is on $\partial \nabla_{K}$ and $\nabla_{k}$ is the cell dual to $\gamma$ in $K$, and such that $W_{Z}(\nabla)=W_{L}(\nabla)$ for each ( $n-2$ )-simplex $\Delta$ of $K$, which does not contain $\gamma$. Hence $W_{Z}$ is cohomologous to $W_{L}$.

Definition 2. Let a closed $n$-manifold $M=|K|$ be in an $(n+2)$ manifold $W=|L|$ without boundary. Then by (a), (b), (c), (d), (e) and (f) a 2-dimensional cohomology class $\omega$ of $M$ is defined, called the StiefelWhitney class of $M$ in $W$.

We gather the above in the following :
Lemma 2. The Stiefel-Whitney class $\omega$ of $M$ in $W$ is invariant under the iso-neighboring relation. Moreover, $\omega$ is the identity if $W$ is $(n+2)-$ space $R$.

## 3. The dual skeletonwise extension scheme

Notation B. Let $M_{i}=\left|K_{i}\right|$ be a closed $n$-manifold in an ( $n+2$ )manifold $W_{i}=\left|L_{i}\right|$ without boundary, $i=1,2$. Suppose that $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ is a homeomorphism which is simplicial relative to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Then by $\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i j}$ we shall denote simplexes of $K_{i}$ such that $\phi\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\Delta_{2}, \phi\left(\Delta_{1 j}\right)$ $=\Delta_{2 j}$. Since $\phi$ induces an isomorphism between complexes $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ and the correspondence between $\Delta_{i}$ and $\nabla_{i}$ is one-to-one, $\phi$ also induces an isomorphism, written $\phi$, between $\Re_{1}^{q}$ and $\Re_{2}^{q}$ by taking $\phi\left(\nabla_{1}\right)=\nabla_{2}$. Since the correspondence between $\Delta_{i}$ and $\square_{i}$ is one-to-one, $\phi$ also induces a one-to-one correspondence $\psi$ between cells of $\mathfrak{N}_{1}^{q+2}$ and cells of $\mathfrak{N}_{2}^{q+2}$, by taking $\psi\left(\square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$.
(0) Let $M_{i}=\left|K_{i}\right|$ be a closed n-manifold in an ( $n+2$-manifold $W_{i}=\left|L_{i}\right|$ without boundary. Let $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be a homeomorphism which is simplicial relative to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Then there is a homeomorphism $\psi^{0}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{2}$ such that $\psi^{0} \mid \Re_{1}^{0}=\phi$, and $\psi^{0}\left(\square \square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$ for each $n$-simplex $\Delta_{i}$ of $K_{i}$.

Proof. For each $n$-simplex $\Delta_{i}\left(\subset M_{i}\right)$ of $K_{i}, \partial \square_{i}$ is a 1 -sphere and we have a homeomorphism $\psi^{\prime \prime}: \partial \square_{1} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$. Since $\nabla_{i}$ is the point such that $\square_{i}$ is the join $\nabla_{i} *\left(\partial \square_{i}\right)$, there is a homeomorphism $\psi^{\prime}: \square_{1} \rightarrow \square_{2}$ such that $\psi^{\prime} \mid \partial \square_{1}=\psi^{\prime \prime}$ and $\psi^{\prime}\left|\nabla_{1}=\phi\right| \nabla_{1}$. Since all $\square_{i}$ are disjoint, $\psi^{0}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_{2}^{2}$ defined by $\psi^{0} \mid \square_{1}=\psi^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism such that $\psi^{0} \mid \Re_{1}^{0}=\phi$ and $\psi^{0}\left(\square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$ for each $\Delta_{i}$, proving (0).
$(0) \rightarrow(1)$. Under the situation of (0), furthermore we suppose that $\phi^{*}\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}$ where $\omega_{i}$ is the Stiefel-Whitney class of $M_{i}$ in $W_{i}$. Then there is a homeomorphism $\rho: \mathfrak{R}_{1}^{3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{3}$ such that $\rho \mid \Re_{1}^{1}=\phi$ and $\rho\left(\square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$ for each ( $n-1$ )-simplex $\Delta_{i}$ of $K_{i}$ and such that for each ( $n-2$ )-simplex $\Delta_{i j}$ of $K_{i}$, $\rho_{*} a_{1 j} \sim a_{2 j}, \rho_{*} b_{1 j} \sim b_{2 j}$ on the tube $T_{2 j}$, see (b) in $\S 2$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{i a}, \Delta_{i b}$ be $n$-simplexes incident to an ( $n-1$ )-simplex $\Delta_{i}$. Then $\square_{i a} \cup \square_{i b}$ is a regular neighborhood of the 0 -sphere $\partial \nabla_{i}$ in the 2-sphere $\partial \square_{i}$ by [4]. Since $\square_{i a} \cup \square_{i b}$ consists of disjoint 2-cells and $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ is orientation preserving, there is an onto homeomorphism $\psi^{\prime \prime}: \partial \square_{1} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$ such that $\psi^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial \nabla_{1}\right)=\partial \nabla_{2}$ and $\psi^{\prime \prime}\left|\square_{1 a} \cup \square_{1 b}=\psi^{0}\right| \square_{1 a} \cup \square_{1 b}$. Since $\square_{i}$ is the join $c_{i} *\left(\partial \square_{i}\right)$ and $\nabla_{i}=c_{i} *\left(\partial \nabla_{i}\right)$ where $c_{i}$ is the barycenter
of $\Delta_{i}$, we have an onto homeomorphism $\psi^{\prime}: \square_{1} \rightarrow \square_{2}$ such that $\psi^{\prime} \mid \partial \square_{1}$ $=\psi^{\prime \prime}$ for each $\Delta_{i}$. Define $\psi^{1}$ by taking $\psi^{1} \mid \square_{1}=\psi^{\prime}$ for each ( $n-1$ simplex $\Delta_{1}$, we have a homeomorphism $\psi^{1}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{3} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{3}$ such that $\psi^{1} \mid \Re_{1}^{1}=\phi$ and $\psi_{*}^{1} a_{1 j} \sim a_{2 j}$ on $T_{2 j}$ for each ( $n-2$ )-simplex $\Delta_{i j}$ of $K_{i}$. Let $\kappa: \Re_{1}^{1}$ $\rightarrow \partial N\left(K_{1}^{\prime}, L_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ be a cross section we, have integers $w_{1 j}, w_{2 j}$ such that $\kappa^{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{1 j}\right) \sim w_{1 j} a_{1 j}+b_{1 j}$ on $T_{1 j}$ and $\psi_{*}^{1} \kappa_{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{1 j}\right) \sim w_{2 j} a_{2 j}+b_{2 j}$ on $T_{2 j}$.

Let $W_{i}\left(\nabla_{i j}\right)=w_{i j}$ then $W_{i}$ is a 2-cocycle contained in $\omega_{i}$. Since $\phi^{*}\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}, W_{1}-\phi^{*} W_{2}=\delta X$ for a 1 -cochain $X$ of $M_{1}$, where $\delta$ is the coboundary operator. Since $\partial \square_{i}-\operatorname{Int}\left(\square_{i a} \cup \square_{i b}\right)$ is the finite cylinder $C_{i}$, there is an onto homeomorphism $\eta: \square_{1} \rightarrow \square_{2}$ such that $\eta \mid \square_{1 a} \cup \square_{1 b}$ $=\psi^{1} \mid \square_{1 a} \cup \square_{1 b}$ and $(\eta \kappa)_{*}\left(\nabla_{1}\right)-\psi_{*}^{1} \kappa_{*}\left(\nabla_{1}\right)=\left(X \cdot \nabla_{1}\right) a_{2 j}$ on $T_{2 j}$, where $X \cdot \nabla_{1}$ is the coefficient of $\nabla_{1}$ in $X$. Define $\rho$ by taking $\rho \mid \square_{1}=\eta$ if $X \cdot \nabla_{1} \neq 0$, and $\rho\left|\square_{1 j}=\psi^{1}\right| \square_{1}$ otherwise. Since $W_{1}-\phi^{*} W_{2}=\delta X,(\rho \kappa)_{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{1 j}\right) \sim\left(w_{2 j}+X \cdot \partial \nabla_{1 j}\right)$ $a_{2 j}+b_{2 j}=w_{1 j} a_{2 j}+b_{2 j}$ on $T_{2 j}$ for each $\Delta_{i j}$. Since $\rho_{*} \kappa_{*}\left(\partial \nabla_{1 j}\right) \sim \rho_{*}\left(w_{1 j} a_{1 j}+b_{1 j}\right) \sim$ $w_{1 j} a_{2 j}+\rho_{*}\left(b_{1 j}\right), \rho_{*}\left(b_{1 j}\right) \sim b_{2 j}$ on $T_{2 j}$.
(1) $\rightarrow$ (2). Under the situation of $(0) \rightarrow(1)$, suppose that $M_{i}$ is ( $\left.n-2\right)-$ flat in $W_{i}$. Then there is an onto homeomorphism $\psi^{2}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{4}$ such that $\psi^{2} \mid \Re_{1}^{2}=\phi$ and $\psi^{2}\left(\square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$ for each $(n-2)$-simplex $\Delta_{i}$ of $K_{i}$.

Proof. Let $\Delta_{i j}$ be $(n-1)$-simplexes of $K_{i}$ incident to $\Delta_{i}$. Then $U_{j} \square_{i j}$ is a regular neighborhood of the 1 -sphere $\partial \nabla_{i}$ in the 3 -sphere $\partial \square_{i}$ by [4]. By Lemma 1, the knot $\left(\partial \nabla_{i}, \partial \square_{i}\right)$ is trivial, and then there is an onto homeomorphism $\theta: \partial \square_{2} \rightarrow \partial \square_{1}$ such that $\theta\left|\partial \nabla_{2}=\phi^{-1}\right| \partial \nabla_{2}$ and such that $\theta\left(\bigcup_{j} \square_{2 j}\right)=\bigcup_{j} \square_{1 j}$ by Theorem 1 of [4]. So we have a homeomorphism $\rho \theta: \bigcup_{j} \square_{2 j} \rightarrow U_{j} \square_{2 j}$ such that $(\rho \theta)_{*} a_{2} \sim a_{2}$ and $(\rho \theta)_{*} b_{2} \sim b_{2}$ on $T_{2}\left(=\partial\left(U_{j} \square_{2 j}\right)\right)$. By the argument due to Baer [2] $\rho \theta \mid T_{2}: T_{2} \rightarrow T_{2}$ is isotopic to the identity. And then by Theorem 4 of [4] there is an onto homeomorphism $\alpha: \partial \square_{2} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$ such that $\alpha\left|U_{j} \square_{2 j}=\rho_{\theta}\right| U_{j} \square_{2 j}$.

Taking $\psi^{\prime \prime}=\alpha \theta^{-1}$, then $\psi^{\prime \prime}: \partial \square_{1} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$ is an onto homeomorphism such that $\psi^{\prime \prime} \mid U_{j} \square_{1 j}=\rho$. Since $\square_{i}$ is the join $c_{i} *\left(\partial \square_{i}\right)$ and $\nabla_{i}=c_{i} *\left(\partial \nabla_{i}\right)$ where $c_{i}$ is the barycenter of $\Delta_{i}$, we have an onto homeomorphism $\psi^{\prime}: \square_{1} \rightarrow \square_{2}$ such that $\psi^{1} \mid \partial \square=\psi^{\prime \prime}$. Then $\psi^{2}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{4} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_{2}^{4}$ defined by $\psi^{2} \mid \square_{1}^{4}$ $=\psi^{\prime}$, is an onto homeomorphism such that $\psi^{2} \mid \Re_{1}^{2}=\phi$ and $\psi^{2}\left(\square_{1}\right)=\square_{2}$ for each ( $n-2$ )-simplex $\Delta_{i}$, proving (1) $\rightarrow(2)$.

Under the conditions that there is a homeomorphism $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ which is simplicial relative to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}, \phi^{*}\left(\omega_{2}\right)=\omega_{1}$, and $M_{i}$ is $(n-2)-$ flat in $W_{i}$, we have proved the following ( $m$ ) for $m \leq 2$.
( $m$ ) There is an onto homeomorphism $\psi^{m}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{m+2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{m+2}$ such that $\psi^{m} \mid \Re_{1}^{m}$ $=\phi$ and $\psi^{m}\left(\square_{1}^{m+2}\right)=\square_{2}^{m+2}$ for each $(n-m)$-simplex $\Delta_{i}$ of $K_{i}$.

Proof of Theorem A. The necessity follows from §2. Let $\phi: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ be a given homeomorphism, it may be assumed that $\phi$ is simplicial with respect to $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$. Furthermore since any point $x$ of a closed manifold may be mapped into a given point $y$ of the manifold by a homeomorphism of the manifold onto itself, it is assumed that the ( $n-m-1, n-m+1$ )-knots $\left(\partial \nabla_{1}, \partial \square_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\partial \nabla_{2}, \partial \square_{2}\right)$ belong to the same class for every pair of $m$-simplexes $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ by Lemma 1 and the assumption of Theorem A.

Then (0), (0) $\rightarrow(1),(1) \rightarrow(2)$ hold. Suppose that all $(m)$ are proved for $m \leq n$. Since $\Re_{i}^{n}=M_{i}$ and $\Re_{i}^{n+2}=N\left(K_{i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, the homeomorphism $\psi^{n}: \mathfrak{N}_{1}^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2}^{n+2}$ is the required homeomorphism $\psi$. Therefore it remains to prove that the proposition (2) implies the proposition (3).

Let $\Delta_{i j}$ be a 1 -simplex of $K_{i}$ incident to a vertex $\Delta_{i}$. Then $\psi^{2}\left(U_{j} \square_{1 j}\right)$ $=U_{j} \square_{2 j}$ where $U_{j} \square_{i j} \subset \partial \square_{i}$ and $U_{j} \square_{i j}$ is a regular neighborhood of the 2 -sphere $\partial \nabla_{i}$ in the 4 -sphere $\partial \square_{i}$ by Lemma 4 of [4]. Since $\partial \nabla_{i}$ is locally flat in $\partial \square_{i}$ by Lemma 1, the tube $T_{i}\left(=\partial\left(U_{j} \square_{i j}\right)\right)$ is homeomorphic to $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ by Theorem B of [5]. Since the corresponding (2,4)-knots $\left(\partial \nabla_{1}, \partial \square_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\partial \nabla_{2}, \partial \square_{2}\right)$ belong to the same class, there is a homeomorphism $\theta: \partial \square_{2} \rightarrow \partial \square_{1}$ such that $\theta\left|\partial \nabla_{2}=\phi^{-1}\right| \partial \nabla_{2}$ and $\theta\left(U_{j} \square_{2 j}\right)=U_{j} \square_{1 j}$ by Theorem 1 of [4]. Then $\psi^{2} \theta \mid T_{2}: T_{2} \rightarrow T_{2}$ is an onto homeomorphism such that
and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\psi^{2} \theta\right)_{*} S^{2} \sim S^{2} \\
& \left(\psi^{2} \theta\right)_{*} S^{1} \sim S^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\psi^{2} \theta \mid T_{2}: T_{2} \rightarrow T_{2}$ is isotopic to either the identity or the homeomorphism $\boldsymbol{T}$, see [3 p. 320]. Since $\boldsymbol{T}$ may not be extended over $U_{j} \square_{2 j}, \psi^{2} \theta \mid T_{2}$ is isotopic to the identity by [3p.323]. Then, by Theorem 4 of [4], there is a homeomorphism $\alpha: \partial \square_{2} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$ such that $\alpha\left|\bigcup_{j} \square_{2 j}=\psi^{2} \theta\right| \bigcup_{j} \square_{2 j}$. Then $\alpha \theta^{-1}: \partial \square_{1} \rightarrow \partial \square_{2}$ is a homeomorphism such that $\alpha \theta^{-1}\left|\bigcup_{j} \square_{1 j}=\psi^{2}\right| \bigcup_{j} \square_{1 j}$. Then, by the similar argument in (1) $\rightarrow(2)$, we may obtain the required homeomorphism $\psi^{3}$.
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