## ON SOME FAMILIES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS ON RIEMANN SURFACES

## SHINJI YAMASHITA

Dedicated to Professor K. Noshiro on the occasion of his 60th birthday

- 1. Throughout this paper all functions are single-valued. Let R be a Riemann surface. We shall denote by  $\varphi^{\wedge}$  the least harmonic majorant of a function  $\varphi$  defined in R if it has the meaning. We define the families  $H_p(R)$  (for p>0) and S(R) (= D(R) in [17]) of analytic functions in R by the following:
  - f is in  $H_p(R)$  if and only if the subharmonic function  $|f|^p$  has a harmonic majorant in R;
  - f is in S(R) if and only if the subharmonic function  $\log^+(|f|/\mu)$  has a harmonic majorant in R for some positive constant  $\mu$  (and consequently for all  $\mu > 0$ ) and  $(\log^+(|f|/\mu))^*(z_0) \to as$   $\mu \to +\infty$ , where  $z_0$  is a fixed point in R ([17]).

We shall call  $H_p = H_p(R)$  (resp. S = S(R)) the Hardy class (resp. the Smirnov class) in R.

A harmonic function u in R is said to be *quasi-bounded* ([13]) if it can be represented as:  $u = u_1 - u_2$ , where  $u_j(j = 1, 2)$  is the limiting function of a monotone non-decreasing sequence of non-negative and bounded harmonic functions in R.

A closed polar set E in a Riemann surface R is a closed set in R such that for every open parameter disc V in R, there exists a superharmonic function  $s_V > 0$  defined in V with the property that  $s_V = +\infty$  at every point in  $V \cap E$ , or equivalently,  $V \cap E$  is a set of capacity zero in V ([1], [2]). It is known that R - E is connected.

Tumarkin and Havinson [17] (resp. Parreau [13]) investigated the null set E in a plane domain (resp. in a Riemann surface) R for the class S (resp.  $H_p$ ) under the condition that E is a compact set of logarithmic capacity zero (resp. a closed, not necessarily compact, polar set) and proved: if an analytic function f defined in R-E belongs to the class S(R-E)

(resp.  $H_p(R-E)$ ), then there exists an analytic function  $\tilde{f}$  defined in R belonging to the class S(R) (resp.  $H_p(R)$ ) such that the restriction of  $\tilde{f}$  to R-E coincides with f.

In this paper we shall show, using the notion of quasi-bounded harmonic functions, that in these theorems the well-known fact that the closed polar set E is removable for bounded and harmonic functions ([1], [2]) is essential.

As for S-part we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Any analytic function f in a Riemann surface R belongs to the Smirnov class S(R) if and only if the subharmonic function  $\log^+|f|$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R.

Using a version of Gårding and Hörmander's theorem [7] as a lemma, we shall prove:

THEOREM 2. Any analytic function f in a Riemann surface R belongs to the Hardy class  $H_p(R)$  (for p>0) if and only if the subharmonic function  $|f|^p$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R.

Seeing the above characterizations for the two classes, we are tempted to say the following:

Theorem 3. Let  $\Psi(r)$  be a continuous extended real-valued function defined for  $r \ge 0$  satisfying the condition that for any finite positive real number c, the set of r such that the inequality  $\Psi(r) \le c$  holds is bounded (from above). Let R be a Riemann surface, E be a closed polar set lying in R and f be an analytic function defined in R - E such that the composite function  $\Psi(|f|)$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R - E.

Then there exists an analytic function  $\tilde{f}$  defined in R such that the composite function  $\Psi(|\tilde{f}|)$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R and the restriction of  $\tilde{f}$  to R-E coincides with the function f.

As corollaries we have an extension of Tumarkin-Havinson's theorem and a new proof of Parreau's.

At the end, we shall give an example for the classification theory of open Riemann surfaces, which admits a non-constant analytic Lindelöfian function [9] and no non-constant analytic function in the Smirnov class.

2. Let R be a Riemann surface, HP'(R) be the family of all the har-

monic functions u in R such that the subharmonic function |u| has a harmonic majorant in R. It is well-known (see for example, [3]) that HP'(R) forms a vector lattice under the lattice operations:

$$u \lor v =$$
(the least harmonic majorant of max  $(u, v)$ );  
 $u \land v = -(-u) \lor (-v)$ 

for u, v in HP'(R). For u in HP'(R) we define Mu as follows:

$$Mu = u \vee 0 - u \wedge 0$$
.

We know that  $Mu = u \lor (-u)$  and M(Mu) = Mu. A function u in HP'(R) is, by definition, quasi-bounded if

$$Mu = \lim_{n \to +\infty} (Mu) \wedge n$$
,

or equivalently,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} (Mu-n) \vee 0 = 0,$$

where n are positive numbers which can be considered as elements in HP'(R) and the limit is taken in the sense of the lattice operation, namely,  $(Mu) \wedge n$  (resp.  $(Mu - n) \vee 0$ ) tends to Mu (resp. 0) non-decreasingly (resp. non-increasingly) in R. A function u in HP'(R) is called *singular* if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} (Mu) \wedge n = 0.$$

It is shown by Parreau [13] that any u in HP'(R) can be decomposed uniquely as:

$$u = u_B + u_S$$
,

where  $u_B$  is quasi-bounded and  $u_S$  is singular. The operator  $u \to u_B$  (resp.  $u \to u_S$ ) from HP'(R) into itself is linear, positive, i.e.,  $u \ge 0$  implies  $u_B \ge 0$  (resp.  $u_S \ge 0$ ) and idempotent, i.e.,  $(u_B)_B = u_B$  (resp.  $(u_S)_S = u_S$ ). Of course, u is quasi-bounded (resp. singular) if and only if  $u_S = 0$  (resp.  $u_B = 0$ ).

In the remainder of this paper we shall assume that the Riemann surface R is hyperbolic since the situation is obvious in the parabolic case.

A subharmonic function v in R having a harmonic majorant in R can be decomposed uniquely as:

$$v = v^{\wedge} - p$$
,

where  $v^*$  is the least harmonic majorant of v and  $p \ge 0$  is a Green's potential in R (F. Riesz's decomposition).

We shall say that a subharmonic function v in R is quasi-bounded if  $v^*$  in the above decomposition is in HP'(R) and quasi-bounded. A subharmonic function v having a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant u and a quasi-bounded harmonic minorant w simultaneously is quasi-bounded for  $0 = w_S \le (v^*)_S \le u_S = 0$ . Especially, a non-negative subharmonic function is quasi-bounded if and only if it has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant.

Let  $\{R_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a normal exhaustion of R in Pfluger's sense,  $\partial R_n = \Gamma_n$  be the boundary of  $R_n$  (consisting of a finite number of piecewise analytic closed Jordan curves),  $z_0$  be a fixed point in  $R_1$  and  $\omega_{n,z_0}$  be the harmonic measure of  $\Gamma_n$  with respect to the domain  $R_n$  measured at the point  $z_0$  (for  $n=1,2,\ldots$ ). Then obviously we have:

$$v^{\hat{}}(z_0) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Gamma_n} v(z) d\omega_{n,z_0}(z).$$

An extended real-valued function f(z) defined for points z in R is said to be uniformly absolutely integrable with respect to the system  $\{(\Gamma_n, \omega_{n,z_0})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  (we shall say simply "U.A.I. for  $z_0$  and  $\{R_n\}$ ") if the followings are satisfied:

(a) 
$$\sup_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{n}} |f(z)| d\omega_{n,z_{0}}(z) < \infty,$$

and

(b) for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\left| \int_{A_n} f(z) d\omega_{n,z_0}(z) \right| < \varepsilon$$

uniformly for  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , if only  $A_n \subset \Gamma_n$  and  $\omega_{n,z_0}(A_n) < \delta$ .

According to de la Vallée Poussin [18] and Doob [4], [6], a function f(z) in R is U.A.I. for  $z_0$  and  $\{R_n\}$  if and only if there exists a non-negative monotone non-decreasing convex function  $\Phi(r)$  defined for  $r \ge 0$  satisfying the conditions:

(i) 
$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \Phi(r) / r = +\infty$$

and

(ii) 
$$\sup_{n} \int_{\Gamma_{n}} \Phi(|f(z)|) d\omega_{n,z_{0}}(z) < \infty.$$

We shall call this de la Vallée Poussin-Doob's lemma.

In particular, if a subharmonic function  $v(z) \ge 0$  in R is U.A.I. for  $z_0$  and  $\{R_n\}$ , then the condition (ii) above can be read as:

(ii)' The subharmonic function  $\Phi(v)$  has a harmonic majorant in R.

We state some lemmas which will be used later.

LEMMA 1. Let v be a quasi-bounded subharmonic function in a Riemann surface R. Then v is U.A.I. for arbitrary point  $z_0$  in R and arbitrary exhaustion  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $z_0$  in  $R_1$ . Conversely assume that a subharmonic function v in R is U.A.I. for at least one point  $z_0$  and at least one exhaustion  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $z_0$  in  $R_1$ . Then v is a quasi-bounded subharmonic function in R.

*Proof.* We know that any harmonic function belongs to HP'(R) and is quasi-bounded if and only if it is U.A.I. for one point  $z_0$  and for one exhaustion  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $z_0$  in  $R_1$  (and consequently for all) (see [4]). It is easy to check that Green's potential  $p \ge 0$  is always U.A.I. for  $z_0$  and  $\{R_n\}$  since

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} p(z)d\omega_{n,z_0}(z) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to +\infty.$$

Using the above two facts, we have immediately the assertions.

LEMMA 2. A subharmonic function v is quasi-bounded if and only if there exists a non-negative monotone non-decreasing convex function  $\Phi(r)$  defined for  $r \ge 0$  satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii).

*Proof.* This is a consequence of de la Vallée Poussin-Doob's lemma and Lemma 1.

- 3. Here we remark the relations between some families of analytic functions defined in a Riemann surface R. We define the families AB(R) and AL(R) of analytic functions in R by the following:
  - f is in AB(R) if and only if |f| is bounded in R;
  - f is in AL(R) if and only if the subharmonic function  $\log^+|f|$  has a harmonic majorant in R.

Then the following inclusion relations:

$$AB(R) \subset H_p(R) \subset S(R) \subset AL(R)$$
 (for  $p > 0$ )

are proved by the inequalities:

$$\log^+(|f|/\mu) \leq |f|^p/(p \cdot \mu^p)$$

and

$$\log^+|f| \le \log^+(|f|/\mu) + \log^+\mu$$
.

REMARK. The functions f in the class AL(R) are Lindelöfian analytic functions in the sense of Heins [9] and in the special case where R is the unit open disc, are analytic functions of bounded type in Nevanlinna's sense [12]. The Smirnov class S(R) was first investigated by V.I. Smirnov [16].

Now we give

*Proof of Theorem* 1. Let  $\mu \ge 1$ . Then we obtain

$$\log^{+}(|f|/\mu) = \max(\log^{+}|f| - \log \mu, 0).$$

Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\log^+(|f|/\mu))^{\wedge} &= (\max(\log^+|f| - \log \mu, 0))^{\wedge} \\ &= (\max((\log^+|f|)^{\wedge} - \log \mu, 0))^{\wedge} \\ &= ((\log^+|f|)^{\wedge} - n) \vee 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n = \log \mu$  and  $\varphi^{\wedge}$  is the least harmonic majorant of  $\varphi$  (see §1). Hence the condition that

$$(\log^+(|f|/\mu))^{\wedge} (z_0) \to 0 \text{ as } \mu \to +\infty$$

is equivalent to the condition that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} ((\log^+|f|)^{\hat{}} - n) \vee 0 = 0$$

by Harnack's theorem, or  $(\log^+|f|)^{\wedge}$ , the least harmonic majorant of  $\log^+|f|$ , is quasi-bounded. Q.E.D.

Remark. It is easy to show that  $\log^+|f|$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R if and only if  $\log |f|$  has a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant in R.

By Lemma 1 with  $v = \log^+|f|$  and by Theorem 1 we have

COROLLARY 1. (An extended form of Theorem 1 in [17]) Any analytic function f is in the Smirnov class S(R) if and only if the subharmonic function  $\log^+|f|$  is U.A.I. for arbitrary fixed point  $z_0$  in R and arbitrary exhaustion  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $z_0$  in  $R_1$ .

COROLLARY 2. (An extended form of Theorem 2 in [17]) Any analytic function f is in the Smirnov class S(R) if and only if the subharmonic function  $\log^+|f|$  has a harmonic majorant which is U.A.I. for arbitrary fixed point  $z_0$  in R and arbitrary exhaustion  $\{R_n\}$ ,  $z_0$  in  $R_1$ .

The following corollary shows that Gehring's class  $N^*$  in [8] is a special case of the Smirnov class S(R) where R is the unit open disc.

COROLLARY 3. Any analytic function f is in the class S(R) if and only if there exists a non-negative monotone non-decreasing convex function  $\Phi(r)$  satisfying the condition (i) in §2 and the subharmonic function  $\Phi(\log^+|f|)$  has a harmonic majorant in R.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 1, Lemma 2 and (ii)' in \$2.

4. In this section we shall study the Hardy class  $H_p(R)$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be Martin's boundary of a hyperbolic Riemann surface R and  $\Delta_1$  be the totality of minimal points on  $\Delta$ . Let  $K(z,\zeta)$  be Martin's kernel with respect to the fixed reference point  $z_0$  in R, namely,  $K(z_0,\zeta)=1$  for any point  $\zeta$  in  $R\cup \Delta$ . Then it is known that to any function u in the family HP'(R), there corresponds a unique signed Baire measure  $d\mu$  on  $\Delta_1$  of total mass finite such that

$$u(z) = \int_{A_1} K(z, \zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

Let  $d\omega$  be the measure on  $\Delta_1$  corresponding to the constant function 1, that is,

$$1 = \int_{A_1} K(z, \zeta) d\omega(\zeta)$$

for any point z in R. Any function u in HP'(R) has the fine limit  $u^*(\zeta)^{1}$  at  $d\omega$ -almost every point  $\zeta$  in  $\Delta_1$  and the quasi-bounded part  $u_B$  of u is given by

$$u_B(z) = \int_{A_1} K(z,\zeta) u^*(\zeta) d\omega(\zeta).$$

On the contrary, the singular part  $u_s$  of u in HP'(R) is represented as

<sup>1)</sup> In this section we shall denote by  $u^*$  the fine limit of any function u if it has the meaning.

$$u_{S}(z) = \int_{A_{1}} K(z,\zeta) d\mu_{S}(\zeta),$$

where  $d\mu_S$  is a singular measure on  $\Delta_1$  with respect to  $d\omega$  and  $u_S$  has the fine limit zero at  $d\omega$ -almost every point in  $\Delta_1$ . In conclusion:

$$d\mu(\zeta) = u^*(\zeta)d\omega(\zeta) + d\mu_{\varepsilon}(\zeta),$$

 $u^*$  is integrable with respect to  $d\omega$ .

Let v be a subharmonic function in R and have a harmonic function in HP'(R) as a majorant. Then F. Riesz's decomposition of v becomes:

$$v = v^{\wedge} - p$$
,

where, in this case,  $v^{\wedge}$  is in HP'(R). Green's potential p has the fine limit zero at  $d\omega$ -almost every point in  $\Delta_1$ . Consequently we may write in this case

$$v^* = (v^*)^* = ((v^*)_B)^*$$

As to the notion of the fine limit at Martin's compactification, see Naïm [11] and Doob [5].

Now we are ready to state a generalization of Gårding and Hörmander's theorem ([7]).  $^{2)}$ 

Lemma 3. Let v be a subharmonic function defined in R. Let  $\varphi(r)$  be a non-negative monotone non-decreasing convex function defined for  $-\infty < r < +\infty$  satisfying the condition

(A) 
$$\lim_{r \to +\infty} \varphi(r) / r = +\infty$$

and assume that

(B) the subharmonic function  $\varphi(v)$  has a harmonic majorant in R, where we set  $\varphi(-\infty) = \lim_{r \to -\infty} \varphi(r)$ .

Then

- (C) the least harmonic majorant  $v^*$  of v exists and is in HP'(R),
- (D) the singular measure  $d\mu_S$  on  $\Delta_1$  corresponding to the singular part  $(v^{\wedge})_S$  of  $v^{\wedge}$  is non-positive,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2)</sup> E.D. Solomentsev proved partly the same results as Gårding and Hörmander's in his paper: Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR (1938), pp. 571-582.

(E) the least harmonic majorant  $(\varphi(v))^{\wedge}$  of the subharmonic function  $\varphi(v)$  exists and is quasi-bounded,

and

(F) 
$$(\varphi(v))^{\wedge}(z) = \int_{A_1} K(z,\zeta) \varphi(v^*(\zeta)) d\omega(\zeta) .$$

*Proof.* There exists a finite number c>0 such that  $\varphi(r)$  is strictly increasing for r>c-1. Set  $v_c=\max(v,c)$ . Then  $v_c$  and consequently  $\varphi(v_c)$  are subharmonic. Let  $\Gamma_{n,c}$  be the set of points z on  $\Gamma_n=\partial R_n$  such that  $v(z)\geq c$  holds  $(n=1,2,\ldots)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi(v_c(z_0)) & \leq \int_{\varGamma_n} \varphi(v_c(z)) d\omega_{n,z_0}(z) \\ & = \int_{\varGamma_{n,c}} \varphi(v) d\omega_{n,z_0} + \varphi(c) \omega_{n,z_0}(\varGamma_n - \varGamma_{n,c}) \\ & \leq \int_{\varGamma_n} \varphi(v) d\omega_{n,z_0} + \varphi(c) \\ & \leq h(z_0) + \varphi(c) \end{split}$$

for arbitrary point  $z_0$  in R, where h is a harmonic majorant of  $\varphi(v)$  in R. Hence  $\varphi(v_c) \leq h + \varphi(c)$  in R and we have  $v_c \leq \varphi^{-1}(h + \varphi(c))$ , the right hand side being superharmonic, so that  $(v_c)^{\wedge} \leq \varphi^{-1}(h + \varphi(c))$ , or  $\varphi((v_c)^{\wedge}) \leq h + \varphi(c)$ . The assertion (C) is immediate since  $v \leq v_c \leq (v_c)^{\wedge}$ .

Let  $\Phi(r)$  be the restriction of  $\varphi(r)$  to  $r \ge 0$  and set  $u = (v_c)^{\wedge}$ . Then from above

$$\Phi(u) = \varphi((v_c)^{\wedge}) \leq h + \varphi(c)$$
.

By de la Vallée Poussin-Doob's lemma, u is U.A.I. for  $z_0$  and  $\{R_n\}$  so that u is a non-negative quasi-bounded harmonic function in R. This shows the assertion (D) for  $v^{\wedge} \leq u$  implies  $(v^{\wedge})_S \leq u_S = 0$ .

Set  $u_n = u \wedge n$  for positive integer  $n \ge c$  so that  $u_n \nearrow u$  by the definition. Then we have

(\*) 
$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge} = (\varphi(u))^{\wedge}.$$

In fact, on the one hand,  $(\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge} \leq (\varphi(u))^{\wedge}$  and on the other hand,  $\lim_{n \to +\infty} (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge} \geq \varphi(u)$ , this can be shown as follows. From  $\varphi(u_n) \leq (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge}$ 

we have  $u_n \leq \varphi^{-1}((\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge})$  for  $u_n \geq c$ . Consequently  $u_n \leq \varphi^{-1}(\lim_{n \to +\infty} (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge})$  and so  $u \leq \varphi^{-1}(\lim_{n \to +\infty} (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge})$  or  $\varphi(u) \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} (\varphi(u_n))^{\wedge}$ .

Now (\*) means that  $(\varphi(u))^{\hat{}}$  is quasi-bounded. Therefore  $0 \leq ((\varphi(v))^{\hat{}})_s \leq ((\varphi(u))^{\hat{}})_s = 0$  which proves our assertion (E).

The last assertion (F) follows from (E) and the continuity of the function  $\varphi(r)$ .

Using Lemma 3, we can prove our Theorem 2 which is an extension of F. and M. Riesz's theorem ([14], R is the unit open disc and p = 1).

Proof of Theorem 2. "if"-part is obvious. Let f be in the Hardy class  $H_p(R)$  and set  $v=p(\log|f|)$ ,  $\varphi(r)=e^r$ . Apply Lemma 3 to v and  $\varphi(r)$ . Obviously the conditions (A) and (B) are satisfied because  $\varphi(v)=|f|^p$ . The conclusion (E) proves our Theorem 2.

- 5. Let E be a closed polar set in a Riemann surface R. It is known that for any bounded and harmonic function u defined in R-E there exists a bounded and harmonic function  $\tilde{u}$  defined in R such that the restriction of  $\tilde{u}$  to R-E coincides with u ([1], [2]). For clarity, we shall show the following
- Lemma 4. Let E be a closed polar set in a Riemann surface R and assume that u is a quasi-bounded harmonic function defined in R-E. Then there exists a quasi-bounded harmonic function  $\tilde{u}$  defined in R such that the restriction of  $\tilde{u}$  to R-E coincides with u.

*Proof.* We can consider only the case  $u \ge 0$  (Jordan decomposition in the lattice HP'(R)). By the definition, u is the limiting function of a monotone non-decreasing sequence of bounded and harmonic functions and vice versa and hence our assertion is immediate.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let u be a quasi-bounded harmonic majorant of  $\Psi(|f|)$  in R-E. By Lemma 4, u can be continued to R so that the resulting function  $\tilde{u}$  is quasi-bounded harmonic in R. Consequently  $\tilde{u}$  is bounded in any relatively compact open set G in R and hence f is bounded and analytic in G-E because of the property of the function  $\Psi(r)$ . Hence f can be continued analytically to R and we have the assertions.

Remark. We can take as  $\Psi(r)$ , for example,  $r^p$  (for p > 0),  $\log^+ r$ ,  $\log r$ ,  $\log (\log^+ r)$ ,  $(\log^+ \log^+ r)^p$  (for p > 0), . . . , etc.

COROLLARY 1. (An extension of Tumarkin-Havinson's theorem [17]) Let E be a closed polar set lying in a Riemann surface R. If a function f is in the Smirnov class S(R-E), then there exists an analytic function  $\tilde{f}$  in the Smirnov class S(R) such that the restriction of  $\tilde{f}$  to R-E coincides with f.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 with  $\Psi(r) = \log^+ r$ .

COROLLARY 2. (Parreau [13], Theorem 20) Let E be a closed polar set lying in a Riemann surface R. If a function f is in the class  $H_p(R-E)$  for p>0, then there exists  $\tilde{f}$  in the class  $H_p(R)$  such that the restriction of  $\tilde{f}$  to R-E coincides with f.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 with  $\Psi(r)=r^p$ .

Remark. Parreau's theorem can be proved, using Corollary 1 above, if we assume the fact that the polar set E is removable for non-negative superharmonic functions ([1], [2]).

- W. Rudin ([15], at p. 49) pointed out that the analogous assertion for the class AL is false.
- **6.** As usual we shall denote by  $O_X$  the totality of open Riemann surfaces R (including parabolic types) on which the given family X(R) of functions consists only of constants. Then we have

$$O_{AL} \subset O_S \subset O_{H_p} \subset O_{AB}$$
 (for  $p > 0$ ).

Parreau ([13], p. 192) proved that the inclusion relation  $O_{AL} \subset O_{H_p}$  (for p > 0) is proper, using P.J. Myrberg's example in [10]. Using the fact that one point is removable for the Smirnov class S and the inequality:  $\log^+ |\alpha - \beta|^2 \le 2(\log^+ |\alpha| + \log^+ |\beta| + \log 2)$ , for complex numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we can prove that the inclusion relation  $O_{AL} \subset O_S$  is proper by the same method as in [10].

## REFERENCES

[1] M. Brelot: Sur la théorie autonome des fonctions sousharmoniques; Bull. Sc. Math., 65 (1941), 72-98.

- [2] M. Brelot: Éléments de la théorie classique du potentiel; Les Cours de Sorbonne, C.D.U., Paris, (1959), 3rd edition (1965).
- [3] C. Constantinescu and A. Cornea: Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen; Springer, Berlin, (1963).
- [4] J.L. Doob: Probability method applied to the first boundary value problem; Proc. 3rd Berkeley Symp. Math. Statis. Prob., 2 (1956), 49–80.
- [5] J.L. Doob: A non-probabilistic proof of the relative Fatou theorem; Ann. Inst. Fourier, 9 (1959), 293-300.
- [6] J.L. Doob: Boundary properties of functions with finite Dirichlet integrals; Ann. Inst. Fourier, 12 (1962), 573–621.
- [7] L. Gårding and L. Hörmander: Strongly subharmonic functions; Math. Scand., 15 (1964), 93-96.
- [8] F.W. Gehring: The asymptotic values for analytic functions with bounded characteristic; Ouart. I. Math. Oxford, 9 (1958), 282-9.
- [9] M. Heins: Lindelöfian maps; Ann. Math., 62 (1955), 418-446.
- [10] P.J. Myrberg: Über die analytische Fortsetzung von beschränkten Funktionen; Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. A.I, 58 (1949).
- [11] L. Naïm: Sur le rôle de la frontière de R.S. Martin dans la théorie du potentiel; Ann. Inst. Fourier, 7 (1957), 183–281.
- [12] R. Nevanlinna: Eindeutige analytische Funktionen; Springer, Berlin, (1953).
- [13] M. Parreau: Sur les moyennes des fonctions harmoniques et analytiques et la classification des surfaces de Riemann; Ann. Inst. Fourier, 3 (1952), 103–197.
- [14] F. Riesz and M. Riesz: Über die Randwerte einer analytischen Funktion; C.R. Congr. Math. Scand. Stockholm, (1916), 27–44.
- [15] W. Rudin: Analytic functions of class  $H_p$ ; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1955), 46-66.
- [16] V.I. Smirnov: Sur les formules de Cauchy et de Green et quelques problèmes qui s'y rattachent; Izv. AN SSSR, ser. fiz.-mat., 3 (1932), 337-372.
- [17] G. Ts. Tumarkin and S. Ya. Havinson: On removal of singularities of analytic functions of a class (class D); Uspehi Matem. Nauk, 12 (1957), 193-9. (Russian).
- [18] C. de la Vallée Poussin: Sur l'integrale de Lebesgue; Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 16 (1915), 435–501.

Mathematical Institute
Nagova University