

ON NON-LOCAL PROBLEMS FOR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

J. CHABROWSKI

The main purposes of this paper are to investigate the existence and the uniqueness of a non-local problem for a linear parabolic equation

$$(1) \quad Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x, t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} + c(x, t)u - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = f(x, t)$$

in a cylinder $D = \Omega \times (0, T]$. Given functions β_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on Ω and numbers $T_i \in (0, T]$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$), the problem in question is to find a solution u of (1) satisfying the following conditions

$$(2) \quad u(x, t) = \phi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

$$(3) \quad u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

where f , ϕ and Ψ are given functions and Γ denotes the lateral surface of D , i.e., $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \times [0, T]$.

In Section 1 we establish the maximum principle associated with the problem described by (1), (2) and (3). Theorem 1 leads immediately to the uniqueness of solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) as well as to an estimate of the solution in terms of f , ϕ and Ψ . We also briefly discuss certain properties of the solutions related to the behaviour of the coefficients β_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$). In Theorem 5 of Section 2 we establish the existence of the solution in a bounded cylinder. The results are then applied to derive the existence and the uniqueness of solution of the non-local problem in an unbounded cylinder (Section 3). In Section 4 we establish an integral representation of solutions and give a construction of the solution of a non-local problem in $R_n \times (0, T]$ with $\Psi \in L^2(R_n)$. In the last section we modify the condition (3) by replacing a finite sum by an infinite series and briefly discuss the uniqueness and the existence of solution of the resulting problem. Theorems of Sections 1 and 2 of this

paper extend and improve earlier results obtained by Kerefov [3] and Vabishchevich [6], where historical references can be found. They only considered the case $N = 1$.

1. Let $D = \Omega \times (0, T]$, where Ω is a bounded domain in R_n . By Γ we denoted the lateral surface of D , i.e., $\Gamma = \partial\Omega \times [0, T]$.

Throughout this section we make the following assumption

(A) The coefficients a_{ij} , b_i and c are continuous on D and moreover

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j > 0$$

for all vectors $\xi \neq 0$ and $(x, t) \in D$.

By $C^{2,1}(D)$ we denote the set of functions u continuous on D with their derivatives $\partial u / \partial x_i$, $\partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) and $\partial u / \partial t$ (at $t = T$ the derivative $\partial u / \partial t$ is understood as the left-hand derivative).

LEMMA 1. Let $u \in C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$. Suppose that $c(x, t) \leq 0$ on D and $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω ($i = 1, \dots, N$). If $Lu \leq 0$ in D , $u(x, t) \geq 0$ on Γ and $u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)u(x, T_i) \geq 0$ on Ω , then $u(x, t) \geq 0$ on \bar{D} .

Proof. Assume that $u < 0$ at some point of \bar{D} , then there exists a point $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{D}$ such that $u(x_0, t_0) = \min_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) < 0$. By the strong maximum principle $(x_0, t_0) = (x_0, 0)$ with $x_0 \in \Omega$ (see Friedman [2] Chap. 2 or Protter and Weinberger [5] Chap. 3). Thus, we find that

$$0 \leq u(x_0, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0)u(x_0, T_i) \leq u(x_0, 0) \left[1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0) \right].$$

Hence $u(x_0, 0) \geq 0$ provided $1 + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0) > 0$ and we get a contradiction.

In the case $\sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0) = -1$ we put $u(x_0, T_k) = \min_{i=1, \dots, N} u(x_0, T_i)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_0, 0) - u(x_0, T_k) &= u(x_0, 0) + u(x_0, T_k) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0) \\ &\geq u(x_0, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x_0)u(x_0, T_i) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence u takes on a negative minimum at $(x_0, T_k) \in D$. This contradiction completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Suppose that the assumptions of Lemma 1 hold. If $L \geq 0$ in D , $u(x, t) \leq 0$ on Γ and $u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)u(x, T_i) \leq 0$ on Ω , then $u(x, t) \leq 0$ on \bar{D} .

Now we can state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 1. *Let $u \in C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ be a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) with f , ϕ and Ψ continuous on \bar{D} , Γ and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively. Suppose that $c(x, t) \leq -c_0$ in D , where c_0 is a positive constant. Assume further that $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on Ω . Then*

$$(4) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq \frac{2}{c_0} e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_D |f(x, t)| + e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_\Gamma |\phi(x, t)| \\ + (1 - e^{-(c_0/2)T_k})^{-1} \sup_\Omega |\Psi(x)|$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$, where $T_k = \min_{i=1, \dots, N} T_i$.

Proof. We first suppose that $-1 < -\beta_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω , where β_0 is a positive constant. Let $M = \sup_D |f(x, t)|$, $M_1 = \sup_\Gamma |\phi(x, t)|$, $M_2 = \sup_\Omega |\Psi(x)|$ and put

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) - \frac{M}{c_0} - M_1 - \frac{M_2}{1 - \beta_0}.$$

Then

$$Lv = f - \frac{c}{c_0} M - cM_1 - \frac{cM_2}{1 - \beta_0} \geq c_0 M_1 + \frac{c_0}{1 - \beta_0} M_2 > 0$$

in D , $v(x, t) \leq 0$ on Γ and

$$v(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) v(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) - \frac{M}{c_0} - M_1 - \frac{M_2}{1 - \beta_0} \\ - \left(\frac{M}{c_0} + M_1 + \frac{M_2}{1 - \beta_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq \left(\frac{M}{c_0} + M_1 \right) (\beta_0 - 1) \\ + M_2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \beta_0} + \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_0} \right) < 0$$

on Ω . It follows from Lemma 1 that $v \leq 0$ on D . Similarly we can establish the inequality $u(x, t) \geq -(M/c_0) - M_1 - M_2/(1 - \beta_0)$ for $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$ considering the auxiliary function

$$w(x, t) = u(x, t) + \frac{M}{c_0} + M_1 + \frac{M_2}{1 - \beta_0}$$

In the general case we put $u(x, t) = e^{-(c_0/2)t} z(x, t)$. Then z satisfies the equation

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(x, t) \frac{\partial z}{\partial x_i} + \left(c(x, t) + \frac{c_0}{2} \right) z - \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} = e^{(c_0/2)t} f(x, t)$$

in D with $c(x, t) + c_0/2 \leq -(c_0/2)$ in D ,

$$z(x, t) = e^{(c_0/2)t} \phi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

and

$$z(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) e^{-(c_0/2)T_i} z(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

It is clear that $-e^{-(c_0/2)T_k} \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) e^{-(c_0/2)T_i} \leq 0$ on Ω and the estimate easily follows.

Theorem 1 and a classical maximum principle for solutions of parabolic equations allow us to compare a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) with a solution of an initial boundary value problem.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Let $u \in C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ be a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3), and $v \in C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ a solution of (1) satisfying the initial boundary value conditions $v(x, t) = \phi(x, t)$ on Γ and $v(x, 0) = \Psi(x)$ on Ω . Then*

$$|u(x, t) - v(x, t)| \leq \sup_D \sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x)| \left[\frac{2}{c_0} e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_D |f(x, t)| + e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_\Gamma |\phi(x, t)| + (1 - e^{-(c_0/2)T_k})^{-1} \sup_\Omega |\Psi(x)| \right]$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$.

In particular if $\beta_i = \beta_i^i(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) where $\beta_i^i \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $\nu \rightarrow \infty$ for all i , then the corresponding sequence u_ν of solutions of the problem (1), (2) and (3) converges uniformly to v in \bar{D} .

THEOREM 3. *Let $c(x, t) \leq 0$ in D and assume that $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i^i(x) \leq 0$ ($j = 1, 2$) and that $\beta_1^1(x) \leq \beta_2^2(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on Ω . Suppose further that $f \leq 0$, $\phi \geq 0$ and $\Psi \geq 0$ on D , Γ and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively. If u_1 and u_2 are solutions belonging to $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of the problem (1), (2) and (3) with $\beta_i = \beta_i^1(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and $\beta_i = \beta_i^2(x)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) respectively, then $u_1(x, t) \geq u_2(x, t)$ on \bar{D} .*

Proof. We put $w(x, t) = u_1(x, t) - u_2(x, t)$, then $Lw = 0$ in D , $w(x, t) = 0$ on Γ and

$$w(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i^1(x) w(x, T_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N (\beta_i^2(x) - \beta_i^1(x)) u_2(x, T_i) \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Since $u_2(x, t) \geq 0$ on \bar{D} , it follows from Lemma 1, that $w(x, t) \geq 0$ for all $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$.

Lemma 1 yields the uniqueness of solutions of the problem (1), (2) and (3) under the assumptions that $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω . Vabishchevich [6] pointed out, without giving any proof, that in the case $N = 1$ the uniqueness can be proved under the assumption $|\beta(x)| \leq 1$ on Ω . For the sake of completeness we include the proof of uniqueness under the assumption $\sum_{i=0}^N |\beta_i(x)| \leq 1$ on Ω .

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that $c(x, t) \leq 0$ on D and $\sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x)| \leq 1$ on Ω . Then the problem (1), (2) and (3) has at most one solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$.*

Proof. Let u be a solution of the homogeneous problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= 0 \quad \text{in } D \\ u(x, t) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Suppose that $u \not\equiv 0$. We also may assume that there exists a point in $(x_0, t_0) \in \bar{D}$ such that $u(x_0, t_0) = \min_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) < 0$. It is clear that $(x_0, t_0) = (x_0, 0)$ with $x_0 \in \Omega$. We can assume that $|u(x_0, T_1)| = \max_{i=1, \dots, N} |u(x_0, T_i)| > 0$, since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Obviously,

$$|u(x_0, 0)| \leq |u(x_0, T_1)| \sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x_0)| \leq |u(x_0, T_1)|.$$

If $u(x_0, T_1) < 0$ then $u(x_0, T_1) \leq u(x_0, 0)$. Hence u attains its negative minimum at (x_0, T_1) and we get a contradiction, therefore $u(x_0, T_1) > 0$. Thus there exists a point $(x_1, t_1) \in \bar{D}$ such that $u(x_1, t_1) = \max_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) > 0$. Again $(x_1, t_1) = (x_1, 0)$ with $x_1 \in \Omega$. Put $|u(x_1, T_s)| = \max_{i=1, \dots, N} |u(x_1, T_i)|$. We may assume that $|u(x_1, T_s)| > 0$, since otherwise there is nothing to prove. Now we must distinguish two cases

$$|u(x_0, 0)| < u(x_1, 0) \quad \text{or} \quad u(x_1, 0) \leq |u(x_0, 0)|.$$

In the first case we have

$$|u(x_0, 0)| < u(x_1, 0) \leq |u(x_1, T_s)| \sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x_1)| \leq |u(x_1, T_s)|,$$

consequently if $u(x_i, T_s) < 0$ then $u(x_0, 0) > u(x, T_s)$. Hence u takes on a positive minimum at $(x_i, T_s) \in D$ and we get a contradiction. On the other hand if $u(x_i, T_s) > 0$ we have $u(x_i, 0) \leq u(x_i, T_s)$. Hence u attains a positive maximum at (x_i, T_s) and we arrive at a contradiction. Similarly in the second case we obtain

$$u(x_i, 0) \leq |u(x_0, 0)| \leq u(x_0, T_1) \sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x_0)| \leq u(x_0, T_1)$$

and u takes on a positive maximum at $(x_0, T_1) \in D$. This contradiction completes the proof.

2. For the existence theorem we shall need the following assumptions

(A₁) There exist positive constants λ_0 and λ_1 such that, for any vector $\xi \in R_n$

$$\lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda_1 |\xi|^2$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$.

(A₂) The coefficients a_{ij} , b_i ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$), c and f are Hölder continuous in D (exponent α).

(A₃) The functions ϕ , Ψ and β_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) are continuous respectively on Γ , $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\bar{\Omega}$ and, in addition,

$$\Psi(x) = \phi(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \phi(x, T_i)$$

for all $x \in \partial\Omega$.

Moreover we assume that $\partial\Omega \in C^{2+\alpha}$.

THEOREM 5. *Let $c(x, t) \leq -c_0$, where c_0 is a positive constant and assume that $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on $\bar{\Omega}$. Then there exists a unique solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of the problem (1), (2) and (3).*

Proof. We first assume that $\phi \equiv 0$ on Γ , then by the condition (A₃) $\Psi(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. We try to find a solution in the form

$$(6) \quad u(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; y, 0) u(y, 0) dy - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; y, \tau) f(y, \tau) dy d\tau,$$

where $u(y, 0)$ is to be determined and G denotes the Green function for the operator L . The condition (3) leads to the Fredholm integral equa-

tion of the second kind

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \int_{\Omega} G(x, T_i; y, 0) u(y, 0) dy \\ = \Psi(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \int_0^{T_i} G(x, T_i; y, \tau) f(y, \tau) dy d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 4 it is easy to show that the corresponding homogeneous equation only has a trivial solution in $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence there exists a unique solution $u(\cdot, 0)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ of the equation (7). Since $\Psi(x) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, it follows from the properties of the Green function that $u(\cdot, 0) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $u(x, 0) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. Consequently the formula (6) gives a solution in this case.

Suppose next $\phi \neq 0$, but assume that there exists a function $\Phi \in \bar{C}^{2+\alpha}(D)$ such that $\Phi = \phi$ on Γ . Introducing $v = u - \Phi$ we then immediately obtain, by the previous result, the existence of a solution v to $Lv = f - L\Phi$ which vanishes on Γ and satisfies the condition

$$v(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) v(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) - \Phi(x, 0) - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \Phi(x, T_i)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Then assertions for u then follow.

We finally consider the general case, where ϕ is only assumed to be continuous. By Theorem 2 in Friedman [2] (p. 60) and the Weierstrass approximation theorem there exists a sequence of polynomials Φ_m on \bar{D} which approximates ϕ uniformly on Γ . Now we define a function Ψ_m on $\partial\Omega$ by the following formula

$$\Psi_m(x) = \Phi_m(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \Phi_m(x, T_i)$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_m = \Psi$ uniformly on $\partial\Omega$, one can construct a sequence of functions $\{\tilde{\Psi}_m\}$ in $C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Psi}_m = \Psi$ uniformly on $\bar{\Omega}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_m = \Psi_m$ on $\partial\Omega$ for all m . By what we have already proved there exist solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_m &= f \quad \text{in } D, \\ u_m(x, t) &= \Phi_m(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_m(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u_m(x, T_i) = \tilde{\Psi}_m(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

By Theorem 1 (the inequality (4)) the sequence $u_m(x, t)$ is uniformly convergent on \bar{D} to a function u . It is clear that u satisfies the conditions (2) and (3). Using Friedman-Schauder interior estimates (Friedman [2], Theorem 5 p. 64) one can easily prove that u satisfies the equation (1).

Remark. In the above proof we followed the argument used in the proof of Theorem 9 in Friedman [2] (p. 70–71). For the definition of the space $\bar{C}^{2+\alpha}(D)$ see Friedman [2] (p. 61–62).

THEOREM 6. *Suppose that $\sum_{i=1}^N |\beta_i(x)| \leq 1$ on Ω , $c(x, t) \leq 0$ on D and $\phi \equiv 0$ on Γ . Then the problem (1), (2) and (3) has a unique solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$.*

Proof. A solution to this problem is given by the formula

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; y, 0)u(y, 0)dy - \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; y, \tau)f(y, \tau)dyd\tau,$$

where $u(x, 0)$ is a solution of the Fredholm integral equation of the second kind

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \int_{\Omega} G(x, T_i; y, 0)u(y, 0)dy \\ = \Psi(x) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \int_0^{T_i} \int_{\Omega} G(x, T_i; y, \tau)f(y, \tau)dyd\tau. \end{aligned}$$

3. In this section we investigate the existence of a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) in an unbounded cylinder. Let $D = \Omega \times (0, T]$, where Ω is an unbounded domain in R_n .

In the next theorem we give a general method of constructing a solution. We shall need the following assumptions

(B₁) The coefficients a_{ij} , b_i ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) and c are continuous on D and moreover

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t)\xi_i\xi_j > 0$$

for every $(x, t) \in D$ and any vector $\xi \neq 0$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$).

(B₂) There exists a family of positive function $H(x, \delta)$ ($0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$) defined on Ω with properties:

(i) $H \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $LH \leq -c_0H$ for all $(x, t) \in D$ and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, where c_0 is a positive constant,

(ii) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H(x, \delta_1)}{H(x, \delta_2)} = 0$ for $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 \leq \delta_0$,

(iii) there exists a positive constant κ such that

$$H(x, \delta_1) \leq \kappa H(x, \delta_2)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < \delta_1 < \delta_2 \leq \delta_0$.

For a sequence $\{R_p\}$ of positive numbers we define

$$\Omega_p = \Omega \cap \{x: |x| < R_p\}, \quad \Gamma_p = \partial\Omega_p \times [0, T] \quad \text{and} \quad D_p = \Omega_p \times (0, T].$$

(B₃) There exists a sequence of positive numbers R_p converging to ∞ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ such that the problem (1), (2) and (3) is solvable on every D_p , i.e. for every bounded and Hölder continuous function f on D_p and all continuous functions ϕ and Ψ on Γ_p and $\bar{\Omega}_p$ respectively, and satisfying the condition

$$\Psi(x) = \phi(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \phi(x, T_i) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_p,$$

the problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= f \quad \text{in } D_p, \\ u(x, t) &= \phi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega_p$$

has a unique solution in $C^{2,1}(D_p) \cap C(\bar{D}_p)$.

We shall say that a function u defined on D belongs to $E_H(D)$ if there exist positive constants M and $\delta < \delta_0$ such that $|u(x, t)| \leq MH(x, \delta)$ for all $(x, t) \in D$.

We shall say that a function v defined on Ω belongs to $E_H(\Omega)$ if there exist positive constants M and $\delta < \delta_0$ such that $|v(x)| \leq MH(x, \delta)$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

We are now in a position to construct a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3). The construction given in the proof of Theorem 7 below is a modification of the method used by Krzyżański [4] to solve the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that the assumptions (B₁), (B₂) and (B₃) hold. Let $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on Ω . Assume that $f \in E_H(D)$ is an Hölder continuous function, that $\phi \in E_H(D)$ and $\Psi \in E_H(\Omega)$ are continuous functions on \bar{D} and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively and moreover that*

$$\Psi(x) = \phi(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \phi(x, T_i) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_p$$

$p = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the problem (1), (2) and (3) has a unique solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D}) \cap E_H(D)$,

Proof. It is clear that there exist positive constants M and $\delta \leq \delta_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x, t)| &\leq MH(x, \delta), & |f(x, t)| &\leq MH(x, \delta) \quad \text{on } D, \\ |\Psi(x)| &\leq MH(x, \delta) \quad \text{on } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption (B₃) for every p there exists a unique solution u_p in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu_p &= f \quad \text{on } D_p, \\ u_p(x, t) &= \phi(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u_p(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u_p(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega}_p.$$

Put

$$u_p(x, t) = v_p(x, t)H(x, \delta) \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

for $(x, t) \in D_p$. Then for every p $|v_p(x, t)| \leq M$ on Γ_p ,

$$\left| v_p(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) v_p(x, T_i) \right| \leq \frac{|\Psi(x)|}{H(x, \delta)} \leq M \quad \text{on } \Omega_p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2 v_p}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(b_i(x, t) + \frac{2}{H(x, \delta)} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial x_i} \\ + \frac{LH}{H} v_p - \frac{\partial v_p}{\partial t} = \frac{f(x, t)}{H(x, \delta)} \end{aligned}$$

in D_p . It follows from the assumption (B₂ i) and Theorem 1 that

$$|v_p(x, t)| \leq \left[\frac{2}{c_0} e^{(c_0/2)T} + e^{(c_0/2)T} + (1 - e^{-(c_0/2)T_k})^{-1} \right] M = M_1$$

for all $(x, t) \in D_p$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$, where $T_k = \min_i T_i$. Let $\delta < \delta_1 < \delta_0$ and put

$$u_p(x, t) = \bar{v}_p(x, t)H(x, \delta_1) \quad p = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$u_{pq}(x, t) = u_p(x, t) - u_q(x, t) = H(x, \delta_1)[\bar{v}_p(x, t) - \bar{v}_q(x, t)] = H(x, \delta_1)\bar{v}_{pq}(x, t)$$

for $p < q$. The function \bar{v}_{pq} satisfies the homogeneous equation of the form (7) with $H(x, \delta)$ replaced by $H(x, \delta_1)$ and

$$\bar{v}_{pq}(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)\bar{v}_{pq}(x, T_i) = 0$$

on Ω_p . Moreover

$$\bar{v}_{pq}(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } (\partial\Omega_p \cap \partial\Omega) \times (0, T]$$

and

$$\bar{v}_{pq}(x, t) = \frac{\phi_p(x, t)}{H(x, \delta_1)} - \frac{u_q(x, t)}{H(x, \delta_1)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p \cap D,$$

consequently

$$|\bar{v}_{pq}(x, t)| \leq (M + M_1) \sup_{\partial\Omega_p - \partial\Omega} \frac{H(x, \delta)}{H(x, \delta_1)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p.$$

Let

$$\varepsilon_p = (M + M_1) \sup_{\partial\Omega_p - \partial\Omega} \frac{H(x, \delta)}{H(x, \delta_1)}.$$

Thus by Theorem 1 we have

$$|\bar{v}_{pq}(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon_p e^{(c_0/2)T}$$

on \bar{D}_p . By the assumption (B₂ ii) $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_p = 0$, hence \bar{v}_p converges uniformly on every \bar{D}_s to a function \bar{v} . Put $u(x, t) = \bar{v}(x, t)H(x, \delta_1)$ for $(x, t) \in \bar{D}$. Clearly $u \in E_H(D)$ is continuous on \bar{D} and satisfies (2) and (3). To show that u satisfies (1), fix an arbitrary index p and consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lz &= f \quad \text{in } D_p, \\ z(x, t) &= u(x, t) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p, \\ z(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)z(x, T_i) &= \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since u satisfies the condition (3), it is clear that

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x)u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_p.$$

By the assumption (B₃) this problem has a unique solution z . Since $u_q \rightarrow u$

as $q \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on \bar{D}_p , given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find q_0 such that $|u_q(x, t) - u(x, t)| < \varepsilon$ for all $(x, t) \in \Gamma_p$ and $q \geq q_0$. Put

$$u_q(x, t) - z(x, t) = w_q(x, t)H(x, \delta)$$

for $(x, t) \in \bar{D}_p$, $q \geq q_0$. Then w_q satisfies the homogeneous equation (8) in D_p and the following conditions

$$|w_q(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon \sup_{\Gamma_p} H(x, \delta)^{-1} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_p$$

and

$$w_q(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) w_q(x, T_i) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega_p.$$

By Theorem 1

$$|w_q(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_{\Gamma_p} H(x, \delta)^{-1}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{D}_p$. Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $u \equiv z$ on D_p and the result follows. To establish uniqueness, let $u \in C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D}) \cap E_H(D)$ be a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) with $f \equiv 0$, $\phi \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{V} \equiv 0$. There exist positive constants M and $\delta < \delta_0$ such that $|u(x, t)| \leq MH(x, \delta)$ in D . Choose $\delta < \delta_1 < \delta_0$ and put

$$u(x, t) = v(x, t)H(x, \delta_1) \quad \text{on } D.$$

By (ii) (the assumption (B_2)) given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a positive number R such that

$$|v(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } (x, t) \in \Omega \cap (|x| \geq R) \times (0, T].$$

By Theorem 1

$$|v(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon e^{(c_0/2)T}$$

for all $(x, t) \in \bar{\Omega} \cap (|x| \leq R) \times [0, T]$ and the uniqueness easily follows.

To apply Theorem 7 we introduce the following assumptions

(C₁) The coefficients a_{ij} , b_i ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$) and c are bounded on $R_n \times [0, T]$ and Hölder continuous (with exponent α) on every compact subset in $R_n \times [0, T]$ and moreover

$$c(x, t) \leq -c_0 \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in R_n \times [0, T],$$

where c_0 is a positive constant.

(C₂) There exists positive constants λ_0 and λ_1 such that for any vector $\xi \in R_n$

$$\lambda_0 |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda_1 |\xi|^2$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$, $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, n$).

As an application of Theorem 7 we shall prove the existence of a solution u of the equation (1) in $R_n \times (0, T]$ satisfying the condition

$$(9) \quad u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } R_n.$$

It is clear that the function $H(x, \delta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \cosh \delta x_i$ has properties (i), (ii) and (iii) of the assumption (B_2) (with $\Omega = R_n$) provided $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, where δ_0 is sufficiently small.

In this situation

$$E_H(R_n \times (0, T]) = \{u; u \text{ defined on } R_n \times (0, T] \text{ and } |u(x, t)| \leq M e^{\delta |x|} \text{ for all } (x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T] \text{ and certain } M > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \delta < \delta_0\},$$

similarly

$$E_H(R_n) = \{v; v \text{ defined on } R_n \text{ and } |v(x)| \leq M e^{\delta |x|} \text{ for all } x \in R_n \text{ and certain } M > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \delta < \delta_0\}.$$

THEOREM 8. *Suppose that the assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) holds. Let $\beta_i \in C(R_n)$, $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on R_n . If $f \in E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ is a Hölder continuous function on every compact subset of $R_n \times [0, T]$ and $\Psi \in E_H(R_n) \cap C(R_n)$, then the problem (1), (9) has a unique solution in $E_H(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T])$.*

Proof. Let ϕ be a continuous function belonging to $E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ such that $\phi(x, 0) = \Psi(x)$ on R_n and $\phi(x, t) = 0$ on $R_n \times [T_0, T]$, where $T_0 = \min_{i=1, \dots, N} T_i$. By Theorem 5 the problem (1), (2) and (3) has a unique solution on every D_p . Applying Theorem 7 the result easily follows.

In the sequel we shall need the following result.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that the assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) hold in $R_n \times (0, T]$. Let $\beta_i \in C(R_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$), $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on R_n . Then for any bounded function f on $R_n \times [0, T]$ and Hölder continuous on every compact subset of $R_n \times [0, T]$ and for any continuous and bounded function Ψ on R_n there exists a unique solution u of the problem (1), (9) in $E_H(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T])$ such that*

$$|u(x, t)| \leq \frac{2}{c_0} e^{(c_0/2)T} \sup_{R_n \times [0, T]} |f(x, t)| + (1 - e^{-(c_0/2)T})^{-1} \sup_{R_n} |\Psi(x)|$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times [0, T]$, where $T_k = \min_i T_i$.

Proof. We start with the following observation, the proof of which is routine,

$$\text{if } u \in C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T]) \cap E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &\leq 0 \quad \text{in } R_n \times (0, T], \\ u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) &\geq 0 \quad \text{on } R_n \end{aligned}$$

then $u \geq 0$ on $R_n \times [0, T]$.

We first suppose that $-1 < -\beta_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on R_n , where β_0 is a positive constant. Put

$$v(x, t) = u(x, t) - \frac{M}{c_0} - \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0},$$

where

$$M = \sup_{R_n \times [0, T]} |f(x, t)| \quad \text{and} \quad M_1 = \sup_{R_n} |\Psi(x)|.$$

Then

$$Lv = f - \frac{c}{c_0} M - \frac{cM_1}{1 - \beta_0} \geq \frac{c_0 M_1}{1 - \beta_0} > 0$$

in $R_n \times (0, T]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} v(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) v(x, T_i) &= \Psi(x) - \frac{M}{c_0} - \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0} - \left(\frac{M}{c_0} + \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \\ &\leq \frac{M}{c_0} (\beta_0 - 1) + M_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 - \beta_0} + \frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_0} \right) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

on R_n . By the preceding remark

$$u \leq \frac{M}{c_0} + \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0} \quad \text{on } R_n \times [0, T].$$

Similarly using

$$w(x, t) = u(x, t) + \frac{M}{c_0} + \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0}$$

as a comparison function we deduce the inequality

$$u \geq -\frac{M}{c_0} - \frac{M_1}{1 - \beta_0} \quad \text{on } R_n \times [0, T].$$

In the general case we use the transformation $u(x, t) = v(x, t)e^{-(c_0/2)t}$.

4. In this section we derive an integral representation of the problem (1), (2) and (3) in an infinite strip and in a bounded cylinder.

THEOREM 9. *Suppose that the assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) hold in $R_n \times (0, T]$. Let β_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) and Ψ be a continuous and bounded functions on R_n . Assume further that*

$$-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_i(x) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, N) \quad \text{on } R_n.$$

Then the unique solution in $C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n[0, T]) \cap E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ of the problem (1), (9) with $f \equiv 0$ is given by

$$(10) \quad u(x, t) = \int_{R_n} P(x, t, y) \Psi(y) dy,$$

for $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$, where $P(x, t, y)$ as a function of (x, t) satisfies the equation $LP = 0$ in $R_n \times (0, T]$ for almost all $y \in R_n$. Moreover P satisfies the equation

$$(11) \quad P(x, t, y) = - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; z, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(z) P(z, T_i, y) dz + \Gamma(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and almost all $y \in R_n$, where $\Gamma(x, t, y, 0)$ is the fundamental solution of $Lu = 0$.

Proof. Let Ψ be a continuous and bounded function in $L^2(R_n)$. By Lemma 2 the unique solution of the problem (1), (9) in $C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T]) \cap E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ is bounded on $R_n \times [0, T]$. We first prove that for each $\delta > 0$ there exists a positive constant $C(\delta)$ such that

$$(12) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq C(\delta) \left[\int_{R_n} \Psi(y)^2 dy \right]^{1/2}$$

on $R_n \times [\delta, T]$. To prove (12) we first assume that $-1 < \beta_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on R_n , where β_0 is a positive constant. Consider the Cauchy problem for the homogeneous equation (1) with the initial condition

$$z(x, 0) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) + \Psi(x)$$

on R_n . The unique solution z in $E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ is given by

$$z(x, t) = - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u(y, T_i) dy + \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \Psi(y) dy$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ (Friedman [2], p. 26). Since u is a solution of the same problem we obtain

$$(13) \quad u(x, t) = - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u(y, T_i) dy + \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \Psi(y) dy$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$. Now it is well known that

$$(14) \quad \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) dy \leq 1$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and

$$(15) \quad 0 < \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \leq C_1 t^{-(n/2)} e^{-\mathcal{H}(|x-y|^2)/t}$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and $y \in R_n$, where C_1 and \mathcal{H} are positive constants (Friedman [2], p. 24). Applying the Hölder inequality we derive from (13), (14) and (15) that

$$(16) \quad \max_{i=1, \dots, N} \sup_{R_n} |u(x, T_i)| \leq \frac{C_1}{1 - \beta_0} T_k^{-(n/4)} \left[\int_{R_n} e^{-2\mathcal{H}|x|^2} dx \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_{R_n} \Psi(x)^2 dx \right]^{1/2},$$

where $T_k = \min_{i=1, \dots, N} T_i$. Using again the representation (13) and the estimates (14), (15) and (16) we obtain

$$(17) \quad |u(x, t)| \leq \left[\frac{\beta_0}{1 - \beta_0} C_1 C_2 + C_1 C_3 t^{-(n/4)} \right] \left[\int_{R_n} \Psi(x)^2 dx \right]^{1/2}$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$, where

$$C_2 = T_k^{-(n/4)} \left[\int_{R_n} e^{-2\mathcal{H}|x|^2} dx \right]^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad C_3 = \left[\int_{R_n} e^{-2\mathcal{H}|x|^2} dx \right]^{1/2},$$

and the estimate (12) easily follows. In the general case we use the transformation $u(x, t) = v(x, y) e^{-(c_0/2)t}$. By (12) the mapping $\Psi \rightarrow u(x, t)$ defines a linear functional on $C_b(R_n) \cap L^2(R_n)$ continuous in L^2 -norm. Here $C_b(R_n)$ denotes the space of continuous and bounded functions on R_n . Consequently the representation (10) follows from the Riesz representation theorem of a linear continuous functional on $L^2(R_n)$. To derive (11) observe that by (10) and (13) we have for every continuous bounded function Ψ

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_n} P(x, t, y) \Psi(y) dy &= - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) \left[\int_{R_n} P(y, T_i, z) \Psi(z) dz \right] dy \\ &\quad + \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \Psi(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$. Consequently if we fix $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$, applying Fubini's theorem, we obtain the identity (11) for almost all $y \in R_n$. Now choose $y \in R_n$ such that

$$\int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, T; z, 0) \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j(z) P(z, T_j, y) dz$$

is finite. Then by Theorem 1 in Watson [6] the integral

$$\int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t, z, 0) \sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j(z) P(z, T_j, y) dz$$

is finite for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and represents a solution of the equation $Lv = 0$ in $R_n \times (0, T]$ and the last assertion of the theorem easily follows.

Similarly in the case of a bounded cylinder one can prove

THEOREM 10. *Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 5 hold. Let u be a solution of the problem (1), (2) and (3) with $\phi \equiv 0$ and $f \equiv 0$. Then*

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\Omega} p(x, t, y) \Psi(y) dy$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$, where $p(x, t, y)$ as a function of (x, t) satisfies the equation $Lp = 0$ for almost all $y \in \Omega$. Moreover

$$(18) \quad p(x, t, y) = - \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; z, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(z) p(z, T_i, y) dz + G(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$ and almost all $y \in \Omega$, where $G(x, t; y, 0)$ is the Green function for the operator L .

In the following theorem we shall show that p and P tend to infinity at the same rate as $t^{-(n/2)}$.

THEOREM 11. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 9 hold and let $D = \Omega \times (0, T]$ be a bounded cylinder with $\partial\Omega \in C^{2+\alpha}$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that*

$$(19) \quad p(x, t, y) \leq C \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; z, 0) dz + G(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$ and almost all $y \in \Omega$, and moreover

$$(20) \quad P(x, t, y) \leq C \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; z, 0) dz + \Gamma(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and almost all $y \in R_n$, where C depends on C_1 and n .

Proof. We first assume that $-1 < \beta_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω , where β_0 is a positive constant.

Let Ψ be a continuous and non-negative function on R_n with compact support in Ω . It follows from Theorem 9, 10 and the maximum principle that

$$\int_{\Omega} p(x, t, y) \Psi(y) dy \leq \int_{R_n} P(x, t, y) \Psi(y) dy$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$. Since Ψ is an arbitrary non-negative function we deduce from the last inequality

$$p(x, t, y) \leq P(x, t, y)$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$ and almost all $y \in \Omega$. Fix y in Ω such that the last inequality holds. Since $P(x, T_i, y)$ is continuous as a function of x we get

$$p(x, T_i, y) \leq \sup_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} P(z, T_i, y) < \infty \quad (i = 1, \dots, N)$$

Using the identity (18), the estimate (15) and the obvious inequality $G(x, t; y, 0) \leq \Gamma(x, t; y, 0)$ for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$ and $y \in R_n$ we derive the estimate

$$\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x, T_i, y) \leq \frac{C_1 T_k^{-(n/2)}}{1 - \beta_0}, \quad \text{where } T_k = \min_{i=1, \dots, N} T_i.$$

Now applying again the identity (18) we obtain

$$p(x, t, y) \leq \frac{C_1 T_k^{-(n/2)} \beta_0}{1 - \beta_0} \int_{\Omega} G(x, t; z, 0) dz + G(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in D$ and almost all $y \in \Omega$. In the general case we use the transformation $u(x, t) = v(x, t)e^{-(c_0/2)t}$.

To prove (20) put $D_m(|x| < m) \times (0, T]$ and denote by $G_m(x, t; y, 0)$ the Green function for the operator L . By the preceding result we have for every m

$$p_m(x, t; y) \leq C \int_{|z| < m} G(x, t; z, 0) dz + G_m(x, t; y, 0)$$

for all $(x, t) \in D_m$ and almost all $y \in \{|x| < m\}$, where p_m denotes “ p -function” for the problem (1), (2) and (3) in D_m . By a standard argument one can prove that $\{G_m\}$ and $\{p_m\}$ are increasing sequences converging to G and p respectively and the result easily follows.

It follows from the proof of Theorem 9 (the inequality (12)) that the problem (1), (9) can be solved for $\Psi \in L^2(R_n)$, but this requires a new formulation of the condition (9).

We shall say that a function $u(x, t)$ defined on $R_n \times (0, T]$ has a parabolic limit at x_0 if there exists a number b such that for all $\gamma > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{(x, t) \rightarrow (x_0, 0) \\ |x - x_0| < \gamma \sqrt{t}}} u(x, t) = b.$$

We express this briefly by writing $p - \lim_{(x, t) \rightarrow (x_0, 0)} u(x, t) = b$ (see Chabrowski [1] p. 257).

Let $\Psi \in L^2(R_n)$. We shall say that a function u belonging to $C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T])$ is a solution of the problem (1), (9) if it satisfies the equation (1) in $R_n \times (0, T]$ and

$$p - \lim_{(x, t) \rightarrow (y, 0)} u(x, t) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u(y, T_i) + \Psi(y)$$

for almost all $y \in R_n$.

THEOREM 12. *Suppose that the assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) hold in $R_n \times (0, T]$. Let $\beta_i \in C(R_n)$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, N$) on R_n . Assume that $\Psi \in L^2(R_n)$ and that f is a bounded function on $R_n \times [0, T]$ and Hölder continuous on every compact subset of $R_n \times [0, T]$. Then there exists a solution of the problem (1), (9).*

Proof. Let $\{\Psi_r\}$ be a sequence of functions in $C(R_n)$ with compact supports which converges to Ψ in $L^2(R_n)$. By Theorem 9 there exists a unique bounded solution u_r in $C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T])$ to the problem

$$Lu_r = f \quad \text{in } R_n \times (0, T]$$

and

$$u_r(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(x) u_r(x, T_i) = \Psi_r(x) \quad \text{on } R_n.$$

It follows from (12) that

$$|u_r(x, t) - u_s(x, t)| \leq C(\delta) \left\{ \int_{R_n} [\Psi_r(x) - \Psi_s(x)]^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times [\delta, T]$. Hence $u_r(x, t)$ converges uniformly on $R_n \times [\delta, T]$ for every $\delta > 0$ to a continuous function $u(x, t)$ on $R_n \times (0, T]$. As in the proof of Theorem 9 it is easy to establish the representation

$$\begin{aligned} u_r(x, t) = & - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u_r(y, T_i) dy \\ & + \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \Psi_r(y) dy - \int_0^t \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, \tau) f(y, \tau) dy d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) = & - \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u(y, T_i) dy \\ & + \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, 0) \Psi(y) dy - \int_0^t \int_{R_n} \Gamma(x, t; y, \tau) f(y, \tau) dy d\tau \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, t) \in R_n \times (0, T]$. Since $u(x, T_i)$ are bounded on R_n it is easy to see that $u(x, t)$ satisfies the equation (1) in $R_n \times (0, T]$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 in Chabrowski [1] that

$$p - \lim_{(x,t) \rightarrow (y,0)} u(x, t) = - \sum_{i=1}^N \beta_i(y) u(y, T_i) + \Psi(y)$$

for almost all $y \in R_n$.

5. In this section we briefly discuss the extensions of the previous results to the problem (1), (2) and (3*), where

$$(3^*) \quad u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

with $T_i \in (0, T]$ $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Throughout this section it is assumed that $\inf_i T_i > 0$.

We begin with the maximum principle.

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that the assumption (A) holds in a bounded cylinder D . Let $c(x, t) \leq 0$ in D . Assume that $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) on Ω . Let u be a function in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ satisfying the following conditions*

$$Lu \leq 0 \quad \text{in } D,$$

$$u(x, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

and

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) \geq 0 \quad \text{on } \bar{\Omega},$$

then $u \geq 0$ on \bar{D} .

Proof. Assume that $u < 0$ at some point of \bar{D} . Then there exists a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0, 0) = \min_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) < 0$. Consequently

$$u(x_0, 0) \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x_0) \right) \geq 0.$$

Hence $u(x_0, 0) \geq 0$ provided $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x_0) + 1 > 0$ and we get a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x_0) = -1$. Let $T_0 = \inf_i T_i$. There exists $S \in [T_0, T]$ such that $u(x_0, S) = \min_{T_0 \leq t \leq T} u(x_0, t)$. Hence

$$u(x_0, 0) \geq - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x_0) u(x_0, T_i) \geq -u(x_0, S) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x_0) = u(x_0, S)$$

and we get a contradiction.

THEOREM 13. *Suppose that the assumption (A) holds in a bounded cylinder. Let $c(x, t) \leq 0$ on D and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\beta_i(x)| \leq 1$ on Ω . Then the problem (1), (2) and (3*) has at most one solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$.*

Proof. Let u be a solution of the homogeneous problem

$$\begin{aligned} Lu &= 0 \quad \text{in } D, \\ u(x, t) &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

and

$$u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

Suppose that $u \not\equiv 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 4 we may assume that there exists a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that

$$u(x_0, 0) = \min_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) < 0. \quad \text{Let } |u(x_0, \kappa)| = \max_{T_0 \leq t \leq T} |u(x_0, T)|,$$

where $T_0 = \inf_i T_i$ and $\kappa \in [T_0, T]$. Then

$$|u(x_0, 0)| \leq |u(x_0, \kappa)| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\beta_i(x_0)| \leq |u(x_0, \kappa)|.$$

We must assume that $u(x_0, \kappa) > 0$. Hence there exists a point $x_1 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_1, 0) = \max_{\bar{D}} u(x, t) > 0$. Let $|u(x_1, S)| = \max_{T_0 \leq t \leq T} |u(x_1, S)|$. It is obvious that

$$u(x_1, 0) \leq |u(x_1, S)|.$$

Now considering two cases $u(x_1, 0) \leq |u(x_0, 0)|$ and $|u(x_0, 0)| < u(x_1, 0)$ we arrive at a contradiction (for details see the proof of Theorem 3).

We shall now state analogues of Theorems 5 and 8.

THEOREM 14. *Suppose that the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) hold in a bounded cylinder D with $\partial\Omega \in C^{2+\alpha}$. Let $c(x, t) \leq -c_0$ in D , where c_0 is a positive constant and assume that $\beta_i \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on Ω and that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x)$ is uniformly convergent on $\bar{\Omega}$. Assume finally that f is a Hölder continuous function on D , ϕ and Ψ are continuous function on Γ and $\bar{\Omega}$ respectively and moreover*

$$\phi(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) \phi(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then there exists a unique solution in $C^{2,1}(D) \cap C(\bar{D})$ of the problem (1), (2) and (3).*

THEOREM 15. *Let the assumptions (C_1) and (C_2) hold. Assume that $\beta_i \in C(R_n)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$), $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) and $-1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) \leq 0$ on R_n and that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x)$ is uniformly convergent on R_n . If f is a bounded on $R_n \times [0, T]$ and Hölder continuous function on every compact subset of $R_n \times [0, T]$ and Ψ is a continuous and bounded function on R_n , then there exists a unique solution in $E_H(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C^{2,1}(R_n \times (0, T]) \cap C(R_n \times [0, T])$ of the equation (1) satisfying the condition*

$$(9^*) \quad u(x, 0) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) u(x, T_i) = \Psi(x) \quad \text{on } R_n.$$

The proof of Theorem 14 and 15 are similar to those of Theorems 5 and 8.

One can easily prove that under the assumptions of Theorems 15, the solution in $E_H(R_n \times (0, T])$ of the problem (1), (9*) is bounded on $R_n \times [0, T]$.

Remark. If 0 is an accumulation point of the sequence $\{T_i\}$ then the Lemma 3 remains true provided $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i(x) + 1 > 0$ and $\beta_i(x) \leq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) on R_n .

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Chabrowski, Representation theorems for parabolic systems, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, **32** (1982), 246–288.
- [2] A. Friedman, Partial differential equations of parabolic type, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1964.
- [3] A. A. Kerefov, Non-local boundary value problems for parabolic equation, Differentsial'nye Uravnenija, **15** (1979), 52–55.
- [4] M. Krzyżański, Sur les solutions de l'équation linéaire du type parabolique déterminées par les conditions initiales, Ann.Soc. Polon. Math., **18** (1945), 145–156, and note complémentaire, ibid., **10** (1947), 7–9.
- [5] M. H. Protter, H. F. Weinberger, Maximum principles in differential equations, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1967.
- [6] P. N. Vabishchevich, Non-local parabolic problems and the inverse heat-conduction problem, Differentsial'nye Uravnenija, **17** (1981), 761–765.
- [7] N. A. Watson, Uniqueness and representation theorems for parabolic equations, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **8** (1974), 311–321.

*University of Queensland,
Department of Mathematics,
St. Lucia Queensland 4067,
Australia.*

