

REDUCING SUBSPACES OF WEIGHTED HARDY SPACES ON POLYDISKS

SHUHEI KUWAHARA

ABSTRACT. We consider weighted Hardy spaces on polydisk \mathbf{D}^n with $n > 1$. Let z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n be coordinate functions and $N_j \in \mathbf{N}$. In this paper, we determine common reducing subspaces of $M_{z_1}^{N_1}, M_{z_2}^{N_2}, \dots, M_{z_n}^{N_n}$.

1. Introduction

Let α be a multi-index of non-negative integers and we put $\omega = \{\omega_\alpha\}$ a set of positive numbers. Let $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^n)$ be the weighted Hardy space on \mathbf{D}^n with the weight ω consisting of analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$$

such that

$$\|f\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \omega_{\alpha} |a_{\alpha}|^2 < \infty.$$

Suppose the case of $n = 1$. Stessin and Zhu [5] showed that every reducing subspace of M_{z^N} in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D})$ is a direct sum of no more than N special reducing subspaces, and these subspaces in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D})$ are singly generated by a polynomial of degree less than N . In this paper we generalize the results in the case of $n = 1$.

Throughout the paper we consider the case of $n = 2$ because we can prove our statement for any n as well as $n = 2$. We fix $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbf{N}$ and a weight sequence ω so that the multiplications by the coordinate functions are bounded on $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. And we put the lexicographic order on a set of multi-indices. For $(z, w) \in \mathbf{C}^2$ and a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, we define $(z, w)^\alpha = z^{\alpha_1} w^{\alpha_2}$. Let S_1, S_2 be the operators of multiplication by z^{N_1}, w^{N_2} respectively. We say a closed subspace X in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ is an invariant subspace of operators S_i if $S_i X \subset X$ for $i = 1, 2$. X is a reducing subspace of S_i if X is invariant under both S_i and its adjoint S_i^* for $i = 1, 2$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B37; Secondary 30H10.

Key words and phrases. Reducing subspaces, weighted shift.

2. Transparent Polynomials

Now we define a class of polynomials related on N_1, N_2 and ω . Let I be a subset of multi-indices such that $I := \{(m_1, m_2); 0 \leq m_1 \leq N_1 - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq m_2 \leq N_2 - 1\}$. We say that $(m_1, m_2) \in I$ and $(n_1, n_2) \in I$ are equivalent if

$$\frac{\omega_{m_1+k_1N_1} \omega_{m_2+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1} \omega_{m_2}} = \frac{\omega_{n_1+k_1N_1} \omega_{n_2+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{n_1} \omega_{n_2}}$$

for all non-negative integers k_1, k_2 . In this case we write $(m_1, m_2) \sim (n_1, n_2)$.

We assume that p is a polynomial in the form of

$$p(z, w) = \sum \{a_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha; \alpha \in I\}.$$

We say that p is *transparent* if we have $\alpha \sim \beta$ for any two nonzero coefficients a_α, a_β of p . We partition the set I into equivalent classes $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_K$. We see that the polynomial

$$q_k(z, w) = \sum \{a_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha; \alpha \in \Omega_k\}$$

is transparent for each $1 \leq k \leq K$. We put the sequence $\{p_1, \dots, p_K\}$ which we sort $\{q_1, \dots, q_K\}$ in the lexicographic order of the minimal multi-index of the polynomials. Then the decomposition

$$p = p_1 + \dots + p_K$$

is called the *canonical decomposition* of p .

Let \mathbb{S}_2 be an algebra over \mathbf{C} generated by the operators S_1, S_1^*, S_2 , and S_2^* . For any nonzero function $f \in H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$, we put $\mathbb{S}_2 f = \{Tf; T \in \mathbb{S}_2\}$. We set X_f the closure of $\mathbb{S}_2 f$ in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. We call X_f the reducing subspace generated by f . We see that X_f is the smallest reducing subspace containing f . Now we denote that $\text{Span} X$ is the closed linear span of a set X in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$.

Lemma 1. *If $f(z, w) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha$ is a transparent polynomial, then*

$$X_f = \text{Span}\{f z^{k_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2} : k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

Proof. Let $X = \text{Span}\{f z^{k_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2} : k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $f \in X \subset X_f$. From the definition of X_f , it is sufficient to show that X is a reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. The definition of X follows that X is invariant under S_1 and S_2 . We will calculate that X is invariant under S_1^* . We fix some positive integer k_1 and write $k_1 = k_1' + 1$. Then

$$S_1^*(f z^{k_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2}) = S_1^* S_1(f z^{k_1' N_1} w^{k_2 N_2}).$$

Let (m_1, m_2) be the minimal multi-index of nonzero coefficients of f . Then for any multi-index (α_1, α_2) of nonzero coefficients of f , we prove

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1^*(f z^{k_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2}) &= S_1^* S_1 \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha z^{\alpha_1 + k'_1 N_1} w^{\alpha_2 + k_2 N_2} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha \frac{\omega_{\alpha_1 + k_1 N_1} \omega_{\alpha_2 + k_2 N_2}}{\omega_{\alpha_1 + k'_1 N_1} \omega_{\alpha_2 + k_2 N_2}} z^{\alpha_1 + k'_1 N_1} w^{\alpha_2 + k_2 N_2} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha \frac{\omega_{m_1 + k_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}}{\omega_{m_1 + k'_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}} z^{\alpha_1 + k'_1 N_1} w^{\alpha_2 + k_2 N_2} \\
&= \frac{\omega_{m_1 + k_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}}{\omega_{m_1 + k'_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha z^{\alpha_1} w^{\alpha_2} \right) z^{k'_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2} \\
&= \frac{\omega_{m_1 + k_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}}{\omega_{m_1 + k'_1 N_1} \omega_{m_2 + k_2 N_2}} f z^{k'_1 N_1} w^{k_2 N_2} \in X,
\end{aligned}$$

because p is transparent. This shows that X is invariant under S_1^* . The same argument shows that X is invariant under S_2^* . \square

For any subspace X of $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ with $X \neq \{0\}$, let (m_1, m_2) be the minimal multi-index such that there exists some $f \in X$ with $f^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) \neq 0$ but $g^{(k_1, k_2)}(0, 0) = 0$ for all $g \in X$ and $(k_1, k_2) < (m_1, m_2)$. We will call (m_1, m_2) the order of X at the origin.

Proposition 2. *Let X be a nonzero reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ and let (m_1, m_2) be the order of X at the origin. Then the extremal problem*

$$\sup\{\operatorname{Re} f^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) : f \in X, \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

has a unique solution G with $\|G\| = 1$ and $G^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) > 0$. Furthermore, G is a polynomial in the form of $G(z, w) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha$.

Proof. If f is a function in X with Taylor expansion $f(z, w) = \sum_{\alpha} a_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha$, then $f^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) = a_{(m_1, m_2)} m_1! m_2!$. Then $|a_{m_1, m_2} m_1! m_2!|^2 \leq \frac{(m_1! m_2!)^2}{\omega_{m_1} \omega_{m_2}} \sum_{\alpha} \omega_\alpha |a_\alpha|^2$ so the mapping $f \mapsto f^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0)$ is a bounded linear functional on $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. It follows that the extremal problem has a unique solution G with $\|G\| = 1$ and $G^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) > 0$. To show that G is the above polynomial, we prove $S_1^* G = 0$ and $S_2^* G = 0$. We put $g_f = \frac{G + S_1 f}{\|G + S_1 f\|}$ for $f \in X$. Since $\operatorname{Re} g_f^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) \leq G^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0)$, it is easy to see that $\|G + S_1 f\| \geq 1$ for all $f \in X$. From this inequality we obtain $G \perp S_1 X$. Since $S_1^* G \in X$, we have $\langle S_1 S_1^* G, G \rangle = 0$, or $S_1^* G = 0$. Similarly we see that $S_2^* G = 0$. Therefore the degree of G is less than N_1 in z -valuable and N_2 in w -valuable. \square

The function G in Proposition 2 will be called the *extremal function* of X .

Lemma 3. Suppose X is a reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ and

$$p(z, w) = \sum_{\alpha \in I} b_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha = p_1 + p_2 + \cdots + p_n$$

is the canonical decomposition of the polynomial p . If $p \in X$, then $p_i \in X$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Let $(m_1^{(i)}, m_2^{(i)})$ be the minimal multi-index of p_i . We note that if $i < j$, then $(m_1^{(i)}, m_2^{(i)})$ and $(m_1^{(j)}, m_2^{(j)})$ are not equivalent, and $(m_1^{(i)}, m_2^{(i)}) < (m_1^{(j)}, m_2^{(j)})$. We will show that $p_1 \in X$. Choose positive integers k_1 and k_2 such that

$$\frac{\omega_{m_1^{(1)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(1)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(1)}, m_2^{(1)}}} \neq \frac{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(n)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}, m_2^{(n)}}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(n)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}, m_2^{(n)}}} p - (S_1^*)^{k_1} (S_2^*)^{k_2} (S_1)^{k_1} (S_2)^{k_2} p \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(n)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(n)}, m_2^{(n)}}} - \frac{\omega_{m_1^{(k)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(k)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(k)}, m_2^{(k)}}} \right) p_k, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$(S_1^*)^{k_1} (S_2^*)^{k_2} (S_1)^{k_1} (S_2)^{k_2} p = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\omega_{m_1^{(k)}+k_1N_1, m_2^{(k)}+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1^{(k)}, m_2^{(k)}}} p_k.$$

We see that the above polynomial is in X and the coefficient of p_1 is nonzero. If some of the coefficients of p_2, \dots, p_{n-1} are nonzero, then we can vanish the coefficients of these polynomials in the same way. After at most $n-1$ steps, we will have a nonzero constant multiple of p_1 , which belongs to X . Thus $p_1 \in X$. For $i = 2, \dots, n$, we see $p_i \in X$ in the same way. \square

Proposition 4. The extremal function of any reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ is transparent.

Proof. Let X be a reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. We get G , the extremal function of X by Proposition 2. If $G = g_1 + \cdots + g_n$ is the canonical decomposition of G into transparent polynomials, then g_1 contains the term $(G^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0)/m_1!m_2!)z^{m_1}w^{m_2}$, where (m_1, m_2) is the order of zero of X at the origin. The polynomial g_1 satisfies the condition of extremal problem in Proposition 2; $\|g_1\| \leq \|G\| = 1$, $g_1^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0) = G^{(m_1, m_2)}(0, 0)$, and $g_1 \in X$ by Lemma 3. The fact that G is extremal implies that G is equal to g_1 and is transparent. \square

Proposition 5. If p is a transparent polynomial and $Y \subset X_p$ is a reducing subspace, $Y = \{0\}$ or X_p .

Proof. We assume $Y \neq \{0\}$. Let G_Y be its extremal function of Y . Then G_Y is a polynomial of degree less than (N_1, N_2) from Proposition 2. On the other hand, from the definition of X_p , there is some function $f(z^{N_1}, w^{N_2})$ in $\text{Hol}(\mathbf{D}^2)$ such that $pf = G_Y$. We consider the degree of these polynomials, we see that f is constant therefore $p \in Y$. This implies $X_p \subset Y$ or $X_p = Y$. \square

3. Main Result

We remark that we can extend results proved by Stessin and Zhu. Here we show a part of our result.

Theorem 6. *Every reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ is generated by no more than N_1N_2 transparent polynomials.*

Proof. Let X be a nonzero reducing subspace of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. Let G be the extremal function of X . From Proposition 4, G is transparent. And also X contains the reducing subspace X_G which is minimal from Proposition 5. Let $Y = X \ominus X_G$. We note that the term of $z^{m_1}w^{m_2}$ is contained in X where (m_1, m_2) is the minimal multi-index of G , but the term of $z^{m_1}w^{m_2}$ is not contained in Y from the definition of Y . Therefore through this process, we can make the order of zero of Y at the origin strictly greater than the order of zero of X at the origin. If $Y \neq \{0\}$, then we find the extremal function G' which is transparent and we consider $Y \ominus X_{G'}$. We continue these processes no more than N_1N_2 times because the number of the terms in the extremal functions is no more than N_1N_2 by Proposition 2. \square

Corollary 7. *The reducing subspaces of the operators of multiplication by z, w in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ is $\{0\}$ and $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$.*

Proof. Let X be a nonzero reducing subspace of these operators in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. Then the extremal function of X is constant. It is easy to see that $X = H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$. \square

A weight sequence ω is of type I if for each $(m_1, m_2), (n_1, n_2) \in I$ with $(m_1, m_2) \neq (n_1, n_2)$ there exist some integers $k_1, k_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\omega_{m_1+k_1N_1} \omega_{m_2+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{m_1} \omega_{m_2}} \neq \frac{\omega_{n_1+k_1N_1} \omega_{n_2+k_2N_2}}{\omega_{n_1} \omega_{n_2}}.$$

A weight sequence ω is of type II if it is not of type I.

If ω is of type I, then the only transparent polynomials are the monomials in the form of $a_\alpha(z, w)^\alpha$ where $\alpha \in I$, hence there are $2^{N_1N_2} - 2$ proper reducing subspaces of S_1 and S_2 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$, and they are the direct partial sums of X_{m_1, m_2} 's, where

$$X_{m_1, m_2} = \text{Span}\{z^{m_1+k_1N_1}w^{m_2+k_2N_2}; k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

If ω is of type II, then every reducing subspace is generated by no more than N_1N_2 transparent polynomials.

Example 8. Let $N_1 = N_2 = 2$. For a real number β with $-1 < \beta < \infty$, we put $\gamma_n = \frac{n!\Gamma(2+\beta)}{\Gamma(2+\beta+n)}$. We see that the weighted Bergman space $A_\beta^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ has the weight of type I, where $\omega_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} = \gamma_{\alpha_1} \gamma_{\alpha_2}$. A direct calculation shows that $z - w$ is not transparent. Concretely

$$\frac{\omega_{3 \ 0}}{\omega_{1 \ 0}} \neq \frac{\omega_{2 \ 1}}{\omega_{0 \ 1}}.$$

This expression shows that the multi-indices $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 0)$ are not equivalent. Moreover

$$\frac{\omega_{2 \ 1}}{\omega_{0 \ 1}}(z - w) - S_1^* S_1(z - w) = \left(\frac{\omega_{2 \ 1}}{\omega_{0 \ 1}} - \frac{\omega_{3 \ 0}}{\omega_{1 \ 0}} \right) z \in \mathbb{S}_2(z - w).$$

We also see that the monomial w is in $\mathbb{S}_2(z - w)$. Therefore the reducing subspace X_{z-w} contains the transparent polynomials z and w , and we get $X_{z-w} = X_z \oplus X_w$.

4. Reducing subspaces of M_z^N

In this section, we consider $N_1 = 0$ or $N_2 = 0$. Without loss of generality, we can put $N_2 = 0$. The problem is determining the reducing subspaces of S_1 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$.

Proposition 9. *Suppose the weight ω is of type I. Every reducing subspace of S_1 in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^2)$ is the direct partial sums of X_m 's, where*

$$X_m = \text{Span}\{z^{m+kN_1} f(w); k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, f \in H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D})\}.$$

Proof. We can show this result in the same way as above. □

We can extend this result to the weighted Hardy space $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^n)$.

Theorem 10. *Suppose the weight ω is of type I. We fix $N_1, \dots, N_l \in \mathbf{N}$. Every reducing subspace of $M_{z_1}^{N_1}, \dots, M_{z_l}^{N_l}$ in $H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^n)$ is the direct partial sums of X_m 's, where*

$$X_{m_1, \dots, m_l} = \text{Span}\{z_1^{m_1+k_1N_1} \dots z_l^{m_l+k_lN_l} f(w); k_1, \dots, k_l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, f \in H_\omega^2(\mathbf{D}^{n-l})\}.$$

Acknowledgement. The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor T. Nakazi for his valuable advice and constant encouragements. The author is grateful to the referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which have contributed to the final preparation of the paper.

References

- [1] A. Brown and P. R. Halmos, *Algebraic Properties of Toeplitz Operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **213** (1963), 89–102.

- [2] R. G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory. Second Edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **179**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [3] P. R. Halmos, *Shifts on Hilbert spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **208** (1961), 102–112.
- [4] Yanyue Shi and Yufeng Lu, *Reducing Subspaces for Toeplitz Operators on the polydisk*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50** (2013), 687–696.
- [5] M. Stessin and K. Zhu, *Reducing subspace of weighted shift operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), 2631–2639.

Sapporo Seishu High School, Sapporo 064–0916, Japan
E-mail address: s.kawahara@sapporoseishu.ed.jp

Received April 18, 2014

Revised July 17, 2014