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#### Abstract

Some points of the past Big Bang in the closed fourdimensional Friedman-model are found to be identical with points of the future collapse according to the bundle-boundary definition.


## 1. Introduction

Consider the closed Friedman-model $(M, g)$ with metric

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d s_{g}^{2}=R^{2}(\psi)\left\{d \psi^{2}-d \sigma^{2}-\sin ^{2} \sigma\left(d \vartheta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \vartheta d \varphi^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \text { with } R(\psi)=1-\cos \psi,
\end{aligned}
$$

with singularities at $\psi=0$ and $\psi=2 \pi$. We shall investigate the structure of the $b$-boundary for this space-time by working with, rather than the ten-dimensional orthonormal bundle $O(M)$ (see [1, 2]), a certain three-dimensional subbundle. The construction is as follows. Consider the timelike and totally geodesic twodimensional submanifolds $N c M$ with induced metric $\gamma$, given by

$$
\vartheta=\text { const } \text { and } \varphi=\text { const } .
$$

Moreover, there exists an orthonormal dyad field

$$
W_{\alpha}, \quad \alpha=2,3
$$

which is parallel along and orthogonal to $N$. Therefore we can construct a threedimensional submanifold $\tilde{N} c O(M)$, consisting of every orthonormal tetrad $Y_{i}$, $i=0, \ldots 3$ with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{A} \in T(N) & A=0,1 \\
Y_{\alpha}=W_{\alpha} & \alpha=2,3
\end{array}
$$

at every point of $N . \tilde{N}$ is isomorphic to $O(N)$. Furthermore the induced metric in $\tilde{N}$ is equal to the bundle metric $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $O(N)$, because any curve in $N$, which is horizontal with respect to $\gamma$ is horizontal with respect to $g$ as well. The metric $\tilde{\gamma}$ can be easily computed. This reduction method can be applied also to other space-times, e.g. the Schwarzschild and Reissner-Nordström space-times. If we now find curves, which connect two points in the fibres of the two singularities with arbitrarily small length in $\overline{O(N)^{\prime}}$, the Cauchy completion of $O(N)^{\prime 1}$ [1],

[^0]we have the two projected points identified. The construction of these curves is based on the two following facts:

1) For the two-dimensional submanifolds $N$ with induced metric $\gamma$ any fibre of the orthonormal bundle at $\psi=0$ and $\psi=2 \pi$ is degenerated to a point, i.e. all positively oriented orthonormal dyads at a point of such a singularity are identified. This surprising and interesting fact is crucial for the identification.
2) The bundle length of a horizontal lift of a curve $C \in N$ is the "Euclidean length", measured with the aid of the components $\vartheta^{i}(\dot{C})$ of the tangent vector $\dot{C}$ with respect to the choosen parallely propagated dyad [1]:

$$
L=\int d \lambda \sqrt{\sum_{i} \vartheta^{i}(\dot{C}(\lambda)) \vartheta^{i}(\dot{C}(\lambda))}
$$

$L$ depends on the dyad chosen and is called generalised affine length of $C$. It follows clearly, that the generalised affine length of a null geodesic can be made arbitrarily small by chosing appropriately the dyad.

Now, our curve connects an orthonormal dyad $X_{A p}$ at a point $p$ of the first with an orthonormal dyad $X_{A q}$ at a point $q$ of the second singularity. We first boost the dyad $X_{A p}$, so that its vectors approach a null direction. Then we parallely propagate this dyad along the null geodesic defined by this null direction and obtain some dyad at $q$. We then boost it to get the dyad $X_{A q}$. The bundle length of this curve can be made as small as we want. Hence, the points $p$ and $q$ are identified.

## 2. The Submanifolds $\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{M}$

The timelike two-dimensional submanifolds $N c(M, g)$, defined by $\vartheta=\mathrm{const}$ and $\varphi=$ const have an induced metric

$$
d s_{\gamma}^{2}=R^{2}(\psi)\left(d \psi^{2}-d \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

with $0 \leqq \sigma<2 \pi, \psi \neq 2 n \pi$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}, N=\mathbb{R}^{1} \times S^{1}$.
The orthonormal dyad field $W_{\alpha}, \alpha=2,3$

$$
W_{2}=(R \sin \sigma)^{-1} \partial / \partial \vartheta, \quad W_{3}=(R \sin \vartheta \sin \sigma)^{-1} \partial / \partial \varphi
$$

is parallel along and orthogonal to $N$. The existence of such vectorfields implies that $N$ is totally geodesic. We get the following maps and bundles:

$i_{1}$ is the embedding of $N$ in $M . \tilde{N}$ is the submanifold of $O(M)$ consisting of every orthonormal tetrad $Y_{i}, i=0, \ldots, 3$ with

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
Y_{A} & =i_{1 *} X_{A} & A & =0,1 \\
Y_{\alpha} & =W_{\alpha} & \alpha & =2,3 \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

at every point of $i_{1} N$, where $X_{A}$ is an arbitrary orthonormal dyad at the corresponding point in $N$.
$i_{2}$ is the embedding of $N$ in $O(M)$,
$\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ are the bundle projections.
$\tilde{i}$ maps an orthonormal dyad $X_{A}$ at the point $p \in N$ (i.e. $\tilde{p} \in O(N)$ ) to the tetrad $Y_{t}$ at the point $i_{1} p$ with (1), $\tilde{i} O(N)=\tilde{N}$.

Lemma 1. If $\tilde{p}(s)$ is a horizontal curve in $O(N)$, then $\tilde{x}(s)=h \tilde{p}(s)$ is also horizontal in $O(M)$.

Lemma 2. $\pi_{2} \circ h=i_{1} \circ \pi_{1}$.

## 3. The Metric in $O(N)^{\prime}$

One can easily calculate the metric $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $O(N)^{\prime}$. If $\chi$ is a canonical parameter of the one-parameter subgroup $L$ of the Lorentzgroup $\Lambda$ which acts as structure group in $O(N)^{\prime}$ and if the section $\chi=0$ is chosen to consist of the dyads $\left(R^{-1} \partial / \partial \psi\right.$, $\left.R^{-1} \partial / \partial \vartheta\right)$ one gets

$$
d s_{\hat{\gamma}}^{2}=\frac{e^{2 \chi}}{2} R^{2}(\psi)(d \psi-d \sigma)^{2}+\frac{e^{-2 \chi}}{2} R^{2}(d \psi-d \sigma)^{2}+\left(\frac{\dot{R}(\psi)}{R(\psi)} d \sigma+d_{\chi}\right)^{2}
$$

Proposition. $\tilde{\gamma}=h^{*} \tilde{g}$ or for $U, V \in T\left(O(N)^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(U, V)=\tilde{g}\left(h_{*} U, h_{*} V\right) .
$$

Proof. The standard horizontal and vertical vector fields

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{A},{ }^{2}{ }^{2} E_{1}^{0} \in T\left(O(N)^{\prime}\right) & A & =0,1 \quad \text { resp. } \\
B_{i},{ }^{4} E_{k}^{*} \in T\left(O(M)^{\prime}\right) & i, k & =0, \ldots, 3
\end{aligned}
$$

are orthonormal with respect to $\tilde{\gamma}$ resp. $\tilde{g}$. But the horizontal subspace $H_{\tilde{p}}(N) \subset$ $T\left(O(N)^{\prime}\right)$ at the point $\tilde{p} \in O(N)^{\prime}$ is maped into the horizontal subspace $H_{h \tilde{p}}(M) \subset$ $T\left(O(M)^{\prime}\right)$ by $h_{*}$ (Lemma 1). Furthermore by Lemma 2

$$
\pi_{2 *} \circ h_{*} C_{A \widetilde{p}}=i_{1_{*}} \circ \pi_{1_{*}} C_{A \widetilde{p}}=i_{1 *} X_{A p}=Y_{A i, p}=\pi_{2 *} B_{A n \widetilde{p}}
$$

Therefore

$$
B_{A}=h_{*} C_{A} \quad A=0,1 .
$$

For the vertical vector fields let $E_{1}^{0}$ be the element of the Liealgebra of the Lorentzgroup $\Lambda$, which generates the one parameter structure group $L(\chi)$ of the bundle $O(N)^{\prime}$. If $R_{L(\chi)}$ resp. $R_{L(\chi)}^{\prime}$ denote the action of $L(\chi)$ at the points $\tilde{p} \in O(N)^{\prime}$ resp. $\tilde{\chi} \in O(M)^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{2} \stackrel{*}{E}_{1 \tilde{p}}^{0} & =d / d \chi\left(R_{L(x)} \tilde{p}\right)_{\chi=0}, \\
{ }^{4} E_{1 h \tilde{p}}^{0} & =d / d \chi\left(R_{L(\chi)}^{\prime} h \tilde{p}\right)_{\chi=0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $R_{L(x)}$ transforms the dyad $X_{A}$ in the same way as the two vectors $Y_{A}=i_{1 *} X_{A}$ of the tetrad $Y_{i}$ are transformed by $R_{L(x)}^{\prime}$. Therefore from the definition of $h$ we have

$$
{ }^{4} \stackrel{*}{E}_{1}^{0}=h_{*}{ }^{2}{ }_{E}^{*}{ }_{1}^{0}
$$

which completes the proof.

Corollary. Let $\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2} \in O(N)^{\prime}$. Then $d_{\tilde{p}}\left(\tilde{p}_{1}, \tilde{p}_{2}\right) \geqq d_{\tilde{g}}\left(h \tilde{p}_{1}, h \tilde{p}_{2}\right)$ if $d_{\tilde{\gamma}}$ is the distance function in $O(N)^{\prime}$ as given by $\tilde{\gamma}$ and $d_{\tilde{g}}$, similarly for $O(M)^{\prime}$.

In the following chapter we consider the sequences $\left\{\tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\}:\left\{\left(\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}, 0\right)\right\}$ and

$$
\left\{\tilde{p}_{4 n}\right):\left\{\left(2 \pi-\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}-2 \chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)+2 \pi-2 \psi_{n}, 0\right)\right\}
$$

with $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{n}=0$. $\left\{\tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{p}_{4 n}\right\}$ (we anticipate here a result of Chapter 4) are Cauchy sequences without limit in $O(N)^{\prime}$ and determine therefore points $\tilde{p}_{1}$ and $\tilde{p}_{4}$ of the boundary $\dot{O}(N)^{\prime}$.

## 4. The Identification Curves

We construct a curve $\lambda_{n}$, consisting of three horizontal parts:
Part 1 connects the points $\tilde{p}_{1 n}:\left(\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}, 0\right)$ and $\tilde{p}_{2 n}:\left(\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}-\chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right), \chi_{n}\right)$ and is represented by the two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =\psi_{n}=\text { const }, \\
\sigma(\chi) & =\sigma_{0}-\chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / R\left(\psi_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\sigma_{0}=$ const, $\chi_{n}=-\alpha \ln R\left(\psi_{n}\right) \alpha>1$.
The length of this part is

$$
\left.\left.L_{1 n}=\left|\frac{R^{2}\left(\psi_{n}\right)}{\dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)} \int_{0}^{x_{n}} d \chi \sqrt{\operatorname{ch} 2 \chi}\right|<\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right\rvert\, R\left(\psi_{n}\right)^{2-\alpha}+R\left(\psi_{n}\right)^{2+\alpha}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right) \mid .
$$

Now, if $R(\psi)=R(2 \pi-\psi) \sim \psi^{\beta}$ for $\psi \rightarrow 0$ then

$$
L_{1 n} \sim \psi_{n}^{1+\beta-\alpha \beta}+\psi_{n}^{1+\beta+\alpha \beta},
$$

and for arbitrary $\beta>0$ there exists $\alpha$ with $1<\alpha<(\beta+1) / \beta$. Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{1 n}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad \psi_{n} \rightarrow 0
$$

With this part one can show the interesting fact, that the fibre of $\dot{O}(N)^{\prime}$ through the boundary point $\tilde{p}_{1} \in \dot{O}(N)^{\prime}$ is degenerated, i.e. that any two points in this fibre are identical. We shall give only the idea for the proof:

Consider the sequences $\left\{\tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}\right\}:\left\{\left(\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}+\delta_{n}, 0\right)\right\}$. Both of them determine the same boundary point $\tilde{p}_{1}$. To see that we construct a curve which connects $\tilde{p}_{1 n}$ and $v_{n}$ and consists of three parts $C_{1 n}, C_{2 n}, C_{3 n}$.
$C_{1 n}$ connects $\tilde{p}_{1 n}$ with $\tilde{q}_{1 n}:\left(\delta_{n}^{\gamma}, \sigma_{0}, 0\right)$ and is given by $d \sigma=d \chi=0$.
$C_{2 n}$ connects $\tilde{q}_{1 n}$ with $\tilde{q}_{1 n}^{\prime}:\left(\delta_{n}^{\gamma}, \sigma_{0}+\delta_{n}, 0\right)$ and is given by $d \psi=d \chi=0$.
$C_{3 n}$ connects $\tilde{q}_{1 n}^{\prime}$ with $v_{n}$ and is given by $d \sigma=d \chi=0$. Let $0<\gamma<\frac{1}{2}$.
The length of this curve fulfills $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L\left(C_{n}\right)=0$ if $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$. Now we construct a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}:\left\{\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}+\chi^{\prime} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / R\left(\psi_{n}\right), 0\right\} .\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence which determines the boundary point $\tilde{p}_{1}$. The curve $K_{n}$, given by the two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =\psi_{n}=\mathrm{const}, \\
\sigma(\chi) & =\sigma_{0}+\chi^{\prime} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)-\chi R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

connects $u_{n}$ with $\operatorname{Ra}(\chi)^{\prime} \tilde{p}_{1 n}:\left(\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}, \chi^{\prime}\right)$. But we have shown that for arbitrary $\chi^{\prime}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L\left(K_{n}\right)=0$, which completes the proof.

Part 2 is a horizontal lift of a null geodesic and connects $\tilde{p}_{2 n}$ with the point $\tilde{p}_{3 n}:\left(2 \pi-\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}-\chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)+2 \pi-2 \psi_{n}, \chi_{n}\right)$
and is represented by the two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(\psi)=\sigma_{0}-\chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)+\psi-\psi_{n} \\
& \chi(\psi)=\chi_{n}-\ln \left(R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / R(\psi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The length of this part is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad L_{2 n}=\left|\sqrt{2} \frac{e^{-x_{n}}}{R\left(\psi_{n}\right)} \int_{\psi_{n}}^{2 \pi-\psi_{n}} d \psi R^{2}(\psi)\right|<3 \sqrt{2} \pi R\left(\psi_{n}\right)^{\alpha-1} \\
& \text { since } \int_{0}^{2 \pi} R^{2}(\psi) d \psi<3 \pi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Part 3 connects the points $\tilde{p}_{3 n}$ and

$$
\tilde{p}_{4 n}:\left(2 \pi-\psi_{n}, \sigma_{0}-2 \chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)+2 \pi-2 \psi_{n}, 0\right)
$$

and is represented by the two functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi & =2 \pi-\psi_{n}=\text { const }, \\
\sigma(\chi) & =\sigma_{0}-2 \chi_{n} R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)+2 \pi-2 \psi_{n}+\chi R\left(\psi_{n}\right) / \dot{R}\left(\psi_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The length of this part is also $L_{1 n}$. Hence the length of the total curve fulfills

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} L_{n}=0 .
$$

Therefore, for $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $N$ with

$$
L\left(\lambda_{n}\right)<\varepsilon / 2 \text { and } R\left(\psi_{n}\right) \psi_{n}<\varepsilon / 2 \text { for } n>N .
$$

This means:

1. The sequences $\left\{\tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\},\left\{\tilde{p}_{4 n}\right\}$ have null distance, i.e. for $\varepsilon>0$ there exist $N$ with

$$
d_{\tilde{\tilde{y}}}\left(\tilde{p}_{1 n}, \tilde{p}_{4 m}\right)<L\left(\lambda_{m}\right)+\left|R\left(\psi_{n}\right) \psi_{n}-R\left(\psi_{m}\right) \psi_{m}\right|<\varepsilon, \quad n, m>N,
$$

therefore
$d_{\tilde{g}}\left(h \tilde{p}_{1 n}, h \tilde{p}_{4 m}\right)<\varepsilon$.
2. The sequence $\left\{\tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence without limit in $O(N)^{\prime}$. Therefore, and by 1 . the sequence $\left\{\tilde{p}_{4 n}\right\}$ is also a Cauchy sequence, also without limit in $O(N)^{\prime}$. Hence
$\left\{h \tilde{p}_{1 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{h \tilde{p}_{4 n}\right\}$
are Cauchy sequences in $O(M)^{\prime}$.
But the coordinates of the projections $p_{1 n}, p_{4 n}$ converge to
$p_{1 n} \xrightarrow{\text { coord. }}\left(0, \sigma_{0}\right)$,
$p_{4 n} \xrightarrow{\text { coord. }}\left(2 \pi, \sigma_{0}+2 \pi\right)$ wich is equivalent to $\left(2 \pi, \sigma_{0}\right)$.

Hence, $\left\{p_{1 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{4 n}\right\}$, also $\left\{i_{1} p_{1 n}\right\}$ and $\left\{i_{1} p_{4 n}\right\}$ approach the two "different" singularities at $\psi=0$ resp. $\psi=2 \pi$. But they are identified according to Schmidt's definition ( $b$-boundary) of a singularity.
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[^0]:    1 The prime denotes the connected component, i.e. here the manifolds consisting of every positively oriented orthonormal dyad resp. tetrad in every point of $N$ res. $M$.

