

## A Remark to Harris's Theorem on Percolation

M. Miyamoto

Yoshida College, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Received March 25, 1975

**Abstract.** Harris's theorem on percolation is generalized to a dependent case by his own method.

Let  $T$  be the set of bonds in the plane square lattice  $Z^2$ . We adopt the following

**Notations.**

$\Omega = \{0, 1\}^T$ ; the set of configurations of 0 and 1 in  $T$ .

$X_t(\omega) = \omega(t)$  for  $t \in T$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

$X = \{X_t; t \in T\}$ .

$X^{-1}(i) \equiv X^{-1}(i, \omega) = \{t \in T; X_t(\omega) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1$ .

$P$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega$ .

Harris [3] proved following

**Theorem.** *If a random field  $(\Omega, P; X)$  is independent at each  $t \in T$ , and if  $P(X_t = 0) = P(X_t = 1) = 1/2$  for every  $t$ , then neither  $X^{-1}(0)$  nor  $X^{-1}(1)$  has infinite connected components a.s.*

His method can be applied to generalize the above to a dependent case. For  $V \subset T$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_V$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{X_t; t \in V\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}_\infty = \bigcap_V \mathcal{B}_{V^c}$ , where  $V$  runs over the set of all finite subsets of  $T$ . Let  $\partial V$  be the set of bonds which meet bonds in  $V$  at right angles.

**Theorem.** *We assume that a random field  $(\Omega, P; X)$  satisfies the following conditions;*

- (1) (*Spatial symmetry*)  $P$  is invariant under shift, rotation by right angles and reflection in the axis in  $T$ .
- (2) (*Symmetry of configurations*)  $P$  is invariant under interchange of 0 and 1.
- (3)  $P$  is everywhere dense.
- (4)  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$  is trivial, if it is measured by  $P$ .
- (5) (*The FKG inequality*) If  $f(\omega)$  and  $g(\omega)$  are non-decreasing functions of  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\omega)g(\omega)P(d\omega) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\omega)P(d\omega) \cdot \int_{\Omega} g(\omega)P(d\omega).$$

- (6) (*Markovian property*) For each  $A \in \mathcal{B}_V$ ,

$$P(A|\mathcal{B}_{V^c}) = P(A|\mathcal{B}_{\partial V}).$$

Then, neither  $X^{-1}(0)$  nor  $X^{-1}(1)$  has infinite connected components a.s.

These conditions are satisfied by the Ising model with the nearest neighbour attraction at high temperature for a suitable value of the chemical potential. (For details, see [1, 2] and [4].)

**Lemma 1.** (Cf. Lemmata 5.1, 2, and 3 in [3].) *Let  $R_1$  be the probability that the origin  $(0, 0)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$ . Then,  $R_1 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R_1 > 0$ . For a positive integer  $j$ , let  $E_j$  be the event that a point  $(0, j)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0, y \leq j\}$ . By spatial symmetry (1), we have  $P(E_j) = R_1 > 0$ . The point-wise ergodic theorem of Birkhoff combined with (4) assures that frequency of occurrence of events  $E_1, E_2, \dots$  is equal to  $R_1$  a.s. Therefore, infinitely many  $E_j$ 's occur a.s.

Assume that the event  $E_j$  occurs. For a positive integer  $k$ , let  $B_k$  be the event that  $X_t = 0$  for each bond  $t$  in the set  $\{k \leq x \leq k+1, 0 \leq y \leq j\}$ . Since  $P(B_k) > 0$  by (3), Birkhoff's ergodic theorem again implies that infinitely many  $B_k$ 's occur a.s. A connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0, 0 \leq y \leq j\}$  containing  $(0, j)$  is blocked by barricades  $B_k$ , so that the infinite connected component appearing in the event  $E_j$  crosses the  $x$ -axis. a.s. Thus we a.s. have infinitely many chains of 1-bonds which connect points on the  $x$ -axis to points on the  $y$ -axis.

Denote by  $T^*$  the lattice dual to  $T$ . Let  $X_{t^*}^*(\omega) = X_t(\omega)$  for a bond  $t^*$  in  $T^*$  which crosses a bond  $t$  in  $T$ . A random field  $X^* = \{X_{t^*}^*; t^* \in T^*\}$  is homomorphic to  $X$ .

Therefore, we a.s. have infinitely many chains of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}, y \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$  which connect points on an axis  $\{y = -\frac{1}{2}\}$  to points on an axis  $\{x = -\frac{1}{2}\}$ . By symmetry of configurations (2), we a.s. have infinitely many chains of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}, y \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$  with the same property as above. Since chains in  $X^{-1}(1)$  and those in  $X^{*-1}(0)$  can not intersect with each other, there exist chains in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}, y \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$  which connect points on  $\{y = -\frac{1}{2}\}$  to points on  $\{x = -\frac{1}{2}\}$  and do not pass a point  $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ .

The infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}$  containing the origin necessarily crosses the above mentioned chains of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}, y \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$ , which is absurd. Thus, we have  $R_1 = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.** (Cf. Lemma 6.1 in [3].) *Let  $R_2$  be the probability that the origin belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\}$ . Then,  $R_2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $R_2 > 0$ . For a positive integer  $j$ , let  $W_j$  be the event that a point  $(j, 0)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{y \geq 0\}$ , a point  $(j, -1)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{y \leq -1\}$  and  $X_{t_j} = 1$  where  $t_j$  is a bond connecting  $(j, 0)$  to  $(j, -1)$ . By the FKG inequality and symmetry (1) and (2), we have

$$P(W_j) \geq R_2^2 \cdot P(X_{t_j} = 1) = R_2^2/2 > 0.$$

Therefore, Birkhoff's ergodic theorem implies that infinitely many  $W_j$ 's occur a.s.

Assume that the event  $W_j$  occurs. The infinite connected components of 1-bonds which appears in  $W_j$  necessarily cross the  $y$ -axis both above and strictly below the origin a.s. by Lemma 1. We a.s. have chains of bonds in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\}$  connecting a point on the  $y$ -axis above the origin to a point on the  $y$ -axis strictly

below the origin. Therefore by homomorphism between  $X$  and  $X^*$  and by symmetry (2), we have chains of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$  connecting a point on  $\{x = -\frac{1}{2}\}$  above the  $x$ -axis to a point on  $\{x = -\frac{1}{2}\}$  strictly below the  $x$ -axis.

The infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\}$  containing the origin necessarily crosses the above chains of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$ , which is absurd. Thus, we have  $R_2 = 0$ .

A set  $V = \{|x| \leq n, |y| \leq n\}$  is called a *box*. The integer  $n$  in the definition of  $V$  is denoted by  $|V|$ . A chain of bonds which starts at a point on the  $y$ -axis, ends at a point on the  $y$ -axis strictly below the starting point and no other bond of which crosses the  $y$ -axis is called a *half-circuit*.

**Lemma 3.** (Cf. Lemma 7.1 in [3].) *For any box  $V$ , there exists a box  $V'$  such that with probability  $> 1/2$  there exists a half-circuit lying in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\} \cap (V' \setminus V)$ , starting above the box  $V$  and ending below it.*

*Proof.* A connected component of  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$  containing  $(1/2, j+1/2)$  is finite a.s. by Lemma 2, so that the point  $(1/2, j+1/2)$  is surrounded a.s. by half-circuits lying in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\}$ . The union of all the half-circuits in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\}$  surrounding  $(1/2, j+1/2)$  is divided into connected components  $C_1^j, C_2^j, \dots$ , each of which is finite a.s. by Lemma 2. The event that there exist infinitely many components  $C_1^j, C_2^j, \dots$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ , whose probability is 0 or 1 by (4).

Suppose it is 0, i.e., there exist only finitely many components a.s. Then there exists a maximal component  $C_\infty^j$ . For any  $j$  and  $j'$ , we have  $C_\infty^j = C_\infty^{j'}$  or  $C_\infty^j \cap C_\infty^{j'} = \emptyset$ . Along the boundary of  $\bigcup_{j=-\infty}^\infty C_\infty^j$ , there extends an infinite chain of bonds in  $X^{*-1}(0) \cap \{x \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}$ , which is impossible by Lemma 2. Therefore, there a.s. exist infinitely many components  $C_1^0, C_2^0, \dots$  surrounding the origin, all except finitely many of which are outside of the box  $V$ . Taking a box  $V'$  large enough, we get the result.

Let  $R$  be the probability that the origin belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1)$ .

**Lemma 4.** (Cf. Lemma 7.2 in [3].) *Suppose  $R > 0$ . For any box  $V$  and sufficiently large  $i$ , there exists a box  $V''$  with  $|V''| > i > |V|$  such that with probability  $> R^2/2^5$  there exists a chain in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap (V'' \setminus V)$  connecting  $(0, i)$  to  $(0, -i)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be the event that  $X_t = 0$  for all  $t \in V$ , let  $H_i$  be the event that  $(0, i)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1)$  and let  $C_i$  be the event that  $(0, i)$  belongs to an infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1) \cap V^c$ . Noting that  $A$  is decreasing, i.e.,  $\omega \leq \omega' \in A$  implies  $\omega \in A$ , we have by (5)

$$P(H_i \cap A) = P(C_i \cap A) \leq P(C_i)P(A),$$

i.e.  $P(H_i | A) \leq P(C_i)$ . The left-hand side of the inequality converges to  $P(H_0) = R$ , as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . For sufficiently large  $i$ , we have  $R/2 \leq P(C_i)$ .

Take any box  $V'$  such that  $|V'| > i$ . By Lemma 3, there exists a box  $V''$  such that with probability  $> 1/2$  there exists a half-circuit lying in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap \{x \geq 0\} \cap (V'' \setminus V')$ , starting above  $V'$  and ending below  $V'$ . Let  $C = C(\omega)$  be the maximal one of those half-circuits.

Let  $S$  be a half-circuit in  $\{x \geq 0\} \cap (V'' \setminus V')$  from above to below  $V'$ , let  $S_1$  be the union of  $S$  and its reflection in the  $y$ -axis and let  $S_1^{\text{int}}$  be the interior of the

circuit  $S_1$ . Let  $D(i, S)$  be the event that there exists a chain of bonds in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap (S_1^{\text{int}} \setminus V)$  connecting  $(0, i)$  to a bond in  $S$ . From symmetry (1), we have,  $P(D(i, S)) \geq P(C_i)/2 \geq R/2^2$  and  $P(D(-i, S)) \geq R/2^2$ .

Let  $E_S$  be the event that  $S$  is the maximal half-circuit  $C(\omega)$ , and let  $E'_S$  be the event that  $X_t=1$  for all  $t$  on the circuit  $S_1$  and outside of  $S_1$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(D(i, S) \cap D(-i, S) | E_S) &= P(D(i, S) \cap D(-i, S) | E'_S) \\ &\geq P(D(i, S) \cap D(-i, S)) \geq P(D(i, S)) \cdot P(D(-i, S)) \geq R^2/2^4. \end{aligned}$$

The equality above is valid by the Markovian property (6) and the first two inequalities are those of FKG. Thus, we have

$$P(D(i, S) \cap D(-i, S) \cap E_S) \geq P(E_S) \cdot R^2/2^4,$$

from which follows the desired result, since  $\sum_S P(E_S) > 1/2$ .

**Corollary 1.** *In Lemma 4, with probability  $> R^2/2^6$  we can take the chain clockwise.*

*Proof* is obvious from spatial symmetry (1).

**Corollary 2.** (Cf. Lemma 8.1 in [3].) *Let  $F_{V'', V}$  be the event that there exists a circuit in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap (V'' \setminus V)$  surrounding the origin. For any box  $V$ , there exists a box  $V''$  such that  $P(F_{V'', V}) \geq R^4/2^{12}$ .*

*Proof.* By Corollary 1, spatial symmetry (1) and the FKG inequality (5), for a box  $V$  and sufficiently large  $i$ , there exists a box  $V''$  with  $|V''| > i > |V|$  such that with probability  $> (R^2/2^6)^2$  there exist both clockwise chains and counterclockwise ones in  $X^{-1}(1) \cap (V'' \setminus V)$  connecting  $(0, i)$  to  $(0, -i)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Suppose  $R > 0$ . Then, there exists a circuit in  $X^{-1}(1)$  around the origin a.s.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be the event above. By Corollary 2 to Lemma 4, there exists a sequence  $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots$  of boxes such that  $P(F_{V_{k+1}, V_k}) \geq R^4/2^{12}$ . Let  $F_k = F_{V_{k+1}, V_k}$ . Take arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (4), there exists a sub-sequence  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$  such that

$$|P(F_{k_n}^c \cap F_{k_{n-1}}^c \cap \dots \cap F_{k_1}^c) - P(F_{k_n}^c)P(F_{k_{n-1}}^c \cap \dots \cap F_{k_1}^c)| < \varepsilon.$$

Noting that  $G^c \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^N F_{k_n}^c$  for arbitrary  $N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(G^c) &\leq P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^N F_{k_n}^c\right) \\ &\leq P(F_{k_N}^c)P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N-1} F_{k_n}^c\right) + |P(F_{k_N}^c)P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N-1} F_{k_n}^c\right) - P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^N F_{k_n}^c\right)| \\ &\leq (1 - R^4/2^{12})P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{N-1} F_{k_n}^c\right) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this procedure, we have

$$P(G^c) \leq (1 - R^4/2^{12})^{N-1} + \varepsilon/(R^4/2^{12}).$$

Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $P(G^c) = 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem.* Suppose  $R > 0$ . Then, by homomorphism between  $X$  and  $X^*$  and symmetry of configurations (2), there exists a circuit of 0-bonds in  $X^*$  around  $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$  a.s.

An infinite connected component of  $X^{-1}(1)$  containing the origin crosses the above circuit in  $X^*$ , which is impossible.

**References**

1. Dobrushin, R. L.: *Funkt. anal. pril.* **2**, 44—57 (1968)
2. Fortuin, C. M., Kasteleyn, P. W., Ginibre, J.: *Commun. math. Phys.* **22**, 89—103 (1971)
3. Harris, T. E.: *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **56**, 13—20 (1960)
4. Lanford III, O. E., Ruelle, D.: *Commun. math. Phys.* **13**, 194—215 (1968)

Communicated by G. Gallavotti

*Note Added in Proof.* Prof. G. Gallavotti kindly informed me that six conditions in our Theorem characterize the Ising model which has the nearest neighbour attraction, the zero external field and  $T \geq T_c$ .

