Commun. math. Phys. 34, 131 – 134 (1973) © by Springer-Verlag 1973

# On a Question of André Verbeure

## J. F. Gille\*

Centre de Physique Théorique, C.N.R.S. Marseille, Cedex 2, France

Received April 6; in revised form May 1, 1973

**Abstract.** We show that a non discrete state of van Hove's Universal Receptacle in the fermion case is not unitarily equivalent to any quasi-free state.

We wish to consider the following question of A. Verbeure which arose during an informal seminar given by J. Manuceau and myself concerning local gauge's implementation.

The question was: Are all the states constructed in Van Hove's Universal Receptacle unitarily equivalent to the quasi-free states? We shall show that the answer to the question is negative.

## I. Introduction

Let  $\mathscr{A} \equiv \overline{\mathscr{A}(H,s)}$  denote the C.A.R.-algebra. (H,s) is a real separable Hilbert space and  $\mathscr{A}$  is generated by the elements  $B(\psi), \psi \in H$  with the property that:

see [1].

$$[B(\psi), B(\varphi)]_+ = 2s(\psi, \varphi) I_+$$

We shall call the states (representations) of Van Hove's Universal Receptacle (V.H.U.R.), the states (representations) of  $\mathscr{A}$  constructed as follows ([2, 3]).

Let

$$H = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} H_k, \quad H_k = \llbracket \psi_k^1, \psi_k^2 
bracket$$
 $\pi_k(B(\psi_k^j)) = \sigma^j, \quad \sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i^j \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\pi_k$  is a \*-representation of  $\mathscr{A}_k \equiv \overline{\mathscr{A}(H_k, s)}$  into  $\mathscr{H}_k = \mathbb{C}^2$ . Let us define  $\pi$ , a representation of  $\mathscr{A}$  into  $\mathscr{H} = \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathscr{H}_k$ 

$$\pi(B(\psi_k^j)) = \bigotimes_l^{k-1} \sigma_l^3 \otimes \sigma_k^j \otimes \bigotimes_l^{\infty} I_{l+1}^k I_l,$$
  
$$\sigma_l^3 = \sigma^3 = -i \sigma^1 \sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad I_l = I_{\mathbb{C}^2}$$

\* Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

We shall write  $\pi = \bigotimes_{k=N}^{\infty} \pi_k$ . See [4].

Let  $\Omega_k$  be a unitary vector in  $\mathscr{H}_k$ ,  $\Omega_k = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  and  $\Omega = \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_k$ ,  $\mathscr{H}^{\Omega} = \bigotimes_{k=\infty}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}_{k}, \ \pi_{\Omega}(x) = \pi(x) | \mathscr{H}^{\Omega} \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{A}. \text{ For any decomposable } \Omega \text{ such}$ that  $\Omega_k$ 's are unitary the state  $\omega_{\Omega} = (\Omega | \pi_{\Omega}(\cdot) \Omega)$  will be called V.H.U.R.state relating to decomposition  $(H_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Definition 1.1. A representation  $\pi_{\Omega}$  (a state  $\omega_{\Omega}$ ) is discrete if and only if  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k(1-x_k) < +\infty$  with  $x_k = |\alpha_k|^2$ .

Definition 1.2. A quasi-free state of  $\mathscr{A}$  is a state  $\omega_A$  fully defined by the operator A on H, such that

$$\omega_A(B(\psi) B(\varphi)) = s(\psi, \varphi) + is(A\psi, \varphi)$$

(see [1, 5]...).

Moreover, if A obeys  $A^2 = -1$ , then  $\omega_A$  is a pure state (= Fock state) [1].

### **II.** Proposition

**Statement.** For any non discrete V.H.U.R.-state  $\omega_{\alpha}$ , there does not exist any quasi-free state of  $\mathscr{A}$  which is unitarily equivalent to  $\omega_{\Omega}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\omega_K$  be a pure quasi-free state of  $\mathscr{A}$ ,  $(\mathscr{H}_K, \pi_K, \Xi_K)$  the corresponding Gelfand troika.  $\forall x \in \mathscr{A}, \ \omega_K(x) = (\Xi_K | \pi_K(x) \Xi_K).$ 

We may construct  $(\mathscr{H}_K, \pi_K, \Xi_K)$  as follows:

A collection of two-dimensional spaces  $F_j$  exists with  $KF_j = F_j$ such that  $H = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{N}} F_j$ . Let  $F_j = [\varphi_j^1, \varphi_j^2]$  and  $\pi_j(B(\varphi_j^l)) = \sigma^l$ , l = 1, 2, $\mathscr{H}_{K} = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}}^{\mathscr{U}(\Xi)} \mathscr{H}_{j}, \mathscr{H}_{j} = \mathbb{C}^{2} \text{ and } \Xi_{K} = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Xi_{j}, \ \Xi_{j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \omega_{K} \text{ is quasi-}$ 

free [6]

From [7, 8],  $\omega_{\mathbf{K}} = \int_{\Gamma} E_{\gamma}(\cdot) d\mu_{\mathbf{K}}(\gamma)$  where  $\mu_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a Borel measure of mass one on  $\Gamma = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  equipped with product topology. States  $E_{\gamma}$  are extremal states constructed from vectors  $\Omega = \bigotimes_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j \\ \beta_i \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\gamma = (\gamma_j)_j$ ,  $\gamma_j = |\alpha_j|$  and  $\gamma_j = 0$  or 1. Here  $\mu_K$  is concentrated on  $\gamma$  where  $\Xi_j = \begin{pmatrix} \Xi_j^2 \\ \Xi^2 \end{pmatrix}$  $\gamma_i = \Xi_i^1 = 0$  or 1.

Let  $\hat{\mu}_K$  denote the measure on  $\Gamma$  which corresponds with  $\pi_K$  in the Gårding-Wightman classification [9]. If X is a Borel set in  $\Gamma$ 

$$\hat{\mu}_{K}(X) = \sum_{m_{1}}^{\infty} 2^{-m} \mu_{K}(X + \delta^{m}), \text{ where } \{\delta^{m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} = \Delta$$
$$= \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma_{j} = 0 \text{ but for a finite number of } j\text{'s}\}.$$

 $\hat{\mu}_{\kappa}$  is concentrated on  $\gamma + \Delta$ .

Now let us consider the representation  $\pi_{\Omega}$  as defined in the introduction, the measure  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is corresponding to it in the Gårding-Wightman classification.  $\mu_{\Omega} = \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_k$  on  $\Gamma = \prod_{k'}^{\infty} \{0, 1\}$  with

$$\mu_k(0) = |\beta'_k|^2, \quad \mu_k(1) = |\alpha'_k|^2, \quad \alpha'_k \beta'_k \neq 0 \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{\alpha'_k}{\beta'_k} \sim \bigotimes_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{\alpha_k}{\beta_k}^1.$$

Suppose  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is discrete. Then  $\exists \gamma' \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mu_{\Omega}(\gamma') > 0$  i.e.

$$\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\alpha'_k|^{2\gamma_k} |\beta'_k|^{2(1-\gamma_k)} > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k(1-x_k) < +\infty ,$$

therefore  $\pi_{\Omega}$  is discrete.

Conversely, suppose  $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k(1-x_k) < +\infty$ . Then  $\exists M, N \in \mathbb{N}, M \cap N = \emptyset, M \cup N = \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{k \in M} x_k < +\infty$$
 and  $\sum_{k \in N} (1-x_k) < +\infty$ .

Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_k = 0$  if  $k \in M$  and  $\gamma_k = 1$  if  $k \in N$ . Then

$$\mu_{\Omega}(\gamma) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} x_k^{\gamma_k} (1 - x_k)^{(1 - \gamma_k)} > 0 ,$$

hence [9]  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is discrete.

More, if this condition occurs,  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is concentrated on  $\gamma + \Delta$ . In the contrary  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is a non-atomic measure. So, a non discrete state  $\omega_{\Omega}$  to which corresponds (via G.N.S.) a Gårding-Wightman measure  $\mu_{\Omega}$  is unable to be unitarily equivalent to any quasi-free state  $\omega_{K}$ , the measure  $\hat{\mu}_{K}$  which corresponds to it being a discrete one, therefore inequivalent to  $\mu_{\Omega}$  [[10], Proposition 3.6, quoted by [9]).

### **III.** Conclusion

We have exhibited a class of states useful in external field problems ([2, 3]) which are not unitarily equivalent to any quasi-free state.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ~ is the weak equivalence of  $C_0$ -vectors defined by von Neumann [11].

I wish to thank J. Manuceau, M. Sirugue, D. Testard and A. Verbeure for helpful discussions. I should like also to thank D. A. Owen for reading the manuscript.

#### References

- 1. Balslev, E., Manuceau, J., Verbeure, A.: Commun. math. Phys. 8, 315 (1968)
- 2. Van Hove E.: Physica 18, 145 (1952)
- 3. Wightman, A. S.: Proceedings of the 1967 International Conference on Particles and Fields. London-New York: Interscience Publishers 1967
- 4. Powers, R.T.: Thesis (Princeton 1967)
- 5. Araki, H.: Publ. RIMS Kyoto University 6, 385-442 (1970/71)
- 6. Gille, J. F., Manuceau, J.: J. Math. Phys. 13, 2002 (1972)
- 7. Reed, M.C.: J. Functional Anal. 8, 450-468 (1971)
- 8. Shale, D. Stinespring, W.: Ann. Math. 80, 365 (1964)
- 9. Gårding, L., Wightman, A.S.: Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. US 40, 617 (1954)
- 10. Guichardet, A.: Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 83, 1-52 (1966)
- 11. Von Neumann, J.: Compos. Math. 6, 1-77 (1938)

J. F. Gille Centre de Physique Théorique C.N.R.S. 31, chemin J. Aiguier F-13274 Marseille, Cedex 2, France