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#### Abstract

The exact solution of the Dirac equation with a central potential, in the semi-relativistic approximation, is derived and formulae for phase shifts and eigenvalue equations are given.


## Introduction

The integro-iteration method, introduced in Ref. [1] is applied to the solution of the Dirac's coupled radial equations. The solutions are obtained in a form similar to that of the Schrödinger equation [2], i.e., in simple series which converge strongly when the following restrictions are imposed on the potential $V(r)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{r \rightarrow 0}(r) \rightarrow r^{-\beta} \beta \leqq 1 \tag{1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} V(r) d r<\infty \quad \text { for } \quad 0<a<\infty \tag{1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (1 b) excludes the Coulomb potential, but in this case the solutions are already known [3, 4]. On the other hand in cases with a screened or modified Coulomb potential [5] the method is applicable and one can get results to any desired accuracy.

## I. Formulation

In semi-relativistic approximation the Dirac equation with central potential, after separation of the angular part, [3], is reduced to a system of two coupled radial equations [5];

$$
\begin{align*}
(E+V+m) F_{v}+\frac{d G_{v}}{d r}-\frac{v}{r} G_{v} & =0 \\
-(E+V-m) G_{v}+\frac{d F_{v}}{d r}+\frac{v+2}{r} F_{v} & =0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the same notation as in Ref. [5], but for simplicity we have put $\hbar=c=1$, and $v=l$ for $j=l-\frac{1}{2}$ and $v=-l-1$ for $j=l+\frac{1}{2}$.

If we put

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{v} & =\frac{g_{v}}{r} \\
F_{v} & =\frac{f_{v}}{r} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain the more symmetrical form:

$$
\begin{align*}
(E+V+m) f_{v}+g_{v}^{\prime}-\frac{v+1}{r} g_{v} & =0  \tag{4}\\
-(E+V-m) g_{v}+f_{v}^{\prime}+\frac{v+1}{r} f_{v} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

For $V=0$ the solutions of (4) are readily obtainable and are expressed in terms of Bessel functions if $E^{2}-m^{2}=k^{2}>0$ and modified Bessel functions if $E^{2}-m^{2}=-\kappa^{2}<0$.

Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be two independent solutions of (4), with $V=0$, regular respectively irregular at the origin, corresponding to $g_{v}^{0}$ and $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ those corresponding to $f_{v}^{0}$. We normalize them in such a way that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & u_{2}  \tag{5}\\
v_{1} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right|=1^{1}
$$

Next we look for a solution of (4) in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{v}(r)=C_{1}(r) u_{1}(r)+C_{2}(r) u_{2}(r) \\
& f_{v}(r)=C_{1}(r) v_{1}(r)+C_{2}(r) v_{2}(r) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{1}(r)$ and $C_{2}(r)$ are functions to be specified, such that (6) are solutions of Eqs. (4). Using Lagrange's method of undetermined coefficients, and taking into account (5) we find:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}^{\prime}(r)=-C_{1} V\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)-C_{2} V\left(u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& C_{2}^{\prime}(r)=+C_{2} V\left(u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right)+C_{1} V\left(u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the integro-iteration method [1] we find the general solution of $(7)^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}(r)=\lambda_{1} e^{-f(r)} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{a, a}-\lambda_{2} e^{-f(r)} \int_{a}^{r} A_{22} e^{2 \not f\left(r^{\prime}\right)} \Phi_{2}\binom{r^{\prime}}{a, a} d r^{\prime} \\
& C_{2}(r)=\lambda_{2} e^{f(r)} \Phi_{2}\binom{r}{a, a}+\lambda_{1} e^{f(r)} \int_{a}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 \not f\left(r^{\prime}\right)} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{a, a} d r^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

[^0]where $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ are arbitrary constants,
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}=V\left[u_{1}^{2}+v_{1}^{2}\right] \\
& A_{22}=V\left[u_{2}^{2}+v_{2}^{2}\right]  \tag{9}\\
& A_{12}=V\left[u_{1} u_{2}+v_{1} v_{2}\right] \\
& f(r)=\int_{a}^{r} A_{12} d r^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}\binom{r}{a, a} & =1-\int_{a}^{r} A_{22} e^{2 f} d r^{\prime} \int_{a}^{r^{\prime}} A_{11} e^{-2 \not} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime \prime}}{a, a} d r^{\prime \prime}  \tag{10a}\\
\Phi_{2}\binom{r}{a, a} & =1-\int_{a}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 f} d r^{\prime} \int_{a}^{r^{\prime}} A_{22} e^{2 f} \Phi_{2}\binom{r^{\prime \prime}}{a, a} d r^{\prime \prime} \tag{10b}
\end{align*}
$$

The regular solution at $r=0$ is obtained from (8), if we put $\lambda_{2}=0$ and $a=0$, i.e.:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}(r)=e^{-f(r)} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0}  \tag{11}\\
& \quad C_{2}(r)=e^{f(r)} \int_{0}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 \not} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{0,0} d r^{\prime} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{v} & =u_{1} e^{-f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0}+u_{2} e^{\nrightarrow} \int_{0}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 \not f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{0,0} d r^{\prime}  \tag{12}\\
f_{v} & =v_{1} e^{-f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0}+v_{2} e^{\ngtr} \int_{0}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 \not f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{0,0} d r^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

For the existence of the solution (11), or (12), we have only to consider the convergence of the central function $\Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0}$. The last is guaranteed by the condition [1]:

$$
\bar{q}=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|A_{22} e^{2 f}\right| d r^{\prime} \int_{0}^{r^{\prime}}\left|A_{11} e^{-2 f}\right| d r^{\prime \prime}<\infty .
$$

If the potential $V(r)$ fullfils the conditions (1) then the function

$$
f(r)=\int_{0}^{r} A_{12} d r^{\prime}
$$

is bounded for any $0 \leqq r \leqq \infty$. Let be $|\nmid|<\mu$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{q} & \leqq e^{4 \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|A_{22}\right| d r \int_{0}^{r}\left|A_{11}\right| d r^{\prime} \\
& \leqq e^{4 \mu} \int_{0}^{\infty}|V|\left\{\left|u_{2}^{2}\right|+\left|v_{2}^{2}\right|\right\} d r \int_{0}^{r}|V|\left\{\left|u_{1}^{2}\right|+\left|v_{1}^{2}\right|\right\} d r^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The r.h.s. consists of four terms. If we apply for each of them the argument used in [2] § III we prove that all of them are bounded, provided that the potential $V(r)$ obeys conditions (1).

## II. Results and Discussion

(i) The application of the integro-iteration method leads, also in the present case, to the explicit expressions of the radial wave functions in a very simple way.
(ii) For $E^{2}-m^{2}=k^{2}>0$ (scattering problems) we find for the phase shifts $\eta_{v}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \eta_{v}=+\frac{e^{f(\infty)} \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{11} e^{-2 f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0} d r}{e^{-f(x)} \Phi_{1}\binom{\infty}{0,0}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=l$ or $-l-1$. It is understood that for every case we have to employ, for the calculations of $\not \not, A_{11}$ and $A_{22}$, the corresponding expressions of $u_{j}, v_{j}(j=1,2)$ given in the Appendix.
(iii) On the other hand if $E^{2}-m^{2}=-\kappa^{2}<0$ (bound states) we find the eigenvalue equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}\binom{\infty}{0,0}=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) The phase function [6] also is explicitly obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r)=+\frac{e^{f(r)} \int_{0}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{0,0} d r^{\prime}}{e^{-f(r)} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that the phase function (15) is the solution of the Riccati equation:

$$
S^{\prime}=\left[A_{11}+2 A_{12} S+A_{22} S^{2}\right]
$$

or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=V\left[\left(u_{1}+u_{2} S\right)^{2}+\left(v_{1}+v_{2} S\right)^{2}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
S(0)=0 .
$$

This expression is found in [6]. The difference is due to the different "normalization" of $u_{i}, v_{i}$ which we adopted in order to have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & u_{2} \\
v_{1} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$

(v) From (12) we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} V\left(g_{v} u_{1}+f_{v} v_{1}\right) d r=\int_{0}^{\infty} A_{11} e^{-f} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0} d r \\
+ & \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{12} e^{\neq} d r \int_{0}^{r} A_{11} e^{-2 \nmid} \Phi_{1}\binom{r^{\prime}}{0,0} d r^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts the second term in the r.h.s. we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} V\left(g_{v} u_{1}+f_{v} v_{1}\right) d r=e^{f(x)} \int_{0}^{\infty} A_{11} e^{-2 \neq} \Phi_{1}\binom{r}{0,0} d r \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In a similar way we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} V\left(g_{v} u_{2}+f_{v} v_{2}\right) d r=-e^{-f(\infty)} \Phi_{1}\binom{\infty}{0,0}+1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (17) and (18) we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tan \eta_{v}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} V\left(g_{v} u_{1}+f_{v} v_{1}\right) d r}{1-\int_{0}^{\infty} V\left(g_{v} u_{2}+f_{v} v_{2}\right) d r} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $v=l$ or $-l-1$.
The expression (19) is analogeous to that given by Parzen [7], Eq. (71).
(vi) Finally we mention that the method can be applied with the same easiness to the scattering by a modified Coulomb field and it could be useful for the determination of the nuclear charge density $\varrho(r)$ and the corresponding formfactors [5].

## Appendix

If we put in (4) $V=0$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(E+m) f_{v}^{0}+g_{v}^{0 \prime}-\frac{v+1}{r} g_{v}^{0}=0 \\
-(E-m) g_{v}^{0}+f_{v}^{0 \prime}+\frac{v+1}{r} f_{v}^{0}=0 \tag{A.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

The system can be reduced to two uncoupled Bessel differential equations. If $u_{1}, u_{2}$ correspond to $g_{v}^{0}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}$ to $f_{v}^{0}$ we make the following choice of the solutions:
(i) $v=l, E^{2}-m^{2}=k^{2}>0$
$u_{1}=(E+m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(k r), \quad v_{1}=(E-m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} J_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(k r)$,
$u_{2}=-(E+m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(k r), \quad v_{2}=-(E-m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} Y_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(k r)$.
(ii) $v=-l-1, E^{2}-m^{2}=k^{2}>0$
$u_{1}=(E+m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(k r), \quad v_{1}=-(E-m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} J_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(k r)$,
$u_{2}=-(E+m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} Y_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(k r), \quad v_{2}=(E-m)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi r}{2}} Y_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(k r)$.
(iii) $v=l, E^{2}-m^{2}=-\kappa^{2}<0$
$u_{1}=(m+E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r), \quad v_{1}=-(m-E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\kappa r)$,
$u_{2}=(m+E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r), \quad v_{2}=(m-E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{l+\frac{3}{2}}(\kappa r)$.
(iv) $v=-l-1, E^{2}-m^{2}=-\kappa^{2}<0$
$u_{1}=(m+E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r)$,
$v_{1}=-(m-E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r)$,
$u_{2}=(m+E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r), \quad v_{2}=(m-E)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} K_{l-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa r)$.
With thischoice the couples $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ satisfy Eqs. (A.1) and, [8]

$$
\operatorname{det}^{-}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
u_{1} & u_{2} \\
v_{1} & v_{2}
\end{array}\right|=1
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ For explicit expressions of $u_{j}, v_{J}(j=1,2)$ for every case see Appendix.
    ${ }^{2}$ We use the same notation as in Ref. [1].

