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#### Abstract

The two sided ideals of the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by local v. Neumann algebras are investigated.


## I. Introduction

B. Misra [1] has shown that the algebra of all local observables is simple when the following conditions are fulfilled:

1. The algebra is given as a concrete $C^{*}$-algebra in a Hilbert space fulfilling the usual assumptions of local ring systems.
2. The rings associated with bounded open regions are v. Neumann algebras.
3. For any bounded open region $\mathcal{O}$ exists another bounded open region $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ containing $\mathcal{O}$ such that the ring associated $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ is a factor.

The third condition, however, has not been derived from the other two assumptions even when we assume that the von Neumann algebra generated by the global $C^{*}$-algebra is a factor. Since in recent years different representations of the $C^{*}$-algebra of all local observables have been discussed [2], [3], [4] it is desirable to have a characterization of all two-sided ideals in the general case where 3 . is not assumed. We will show that the theorem of Misra stays true without assuming 3., i.e. the $C^{*}$-algebra generated by all local observables is simple if it contains no center. For later use we will also consider some more general algebras.

## II. Assumptions and notations

We denote by $\mathcal{O}$ open bounded regions in the Minkowski-space and write:
$\mathcal{O}_{1} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ if $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ are spacelike separated.
$\mathcal{O}_{1}<\mathcal{O}_{2}$ if $\mathcal{O}_{1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{2}$ and there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{3} \subset \mathcal{O}_{2}$ with $\mathcal{O}_{1} \times \mathcal{O}_{3}$.
$\mathcal{O}_{1} \ll \mathcal{O}_{2}$ if there exists a neighbourhood $\mathscr{N}$ of the origin such that $\mathcal{O}_{1}+x<\mathcal{O}_{2}$ for all $x \in \mathscr{N}$.

We denote by a local ring system $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ a family of rings of operators in a fixed Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ submitted to the following conditions:

1. $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})$ is a $v$. Neumann algebra for all $\mathcal{O}$ and
a) $\mathcal{O}_{1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{2} \Rightarrow \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \subset \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$
b) $\mathscr{R}_{\infty}=\left\{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\right\}^{\prime \prime}$
c) $\mathfrak{R}=$ smallest $C^{*}$-algebra containing $\left\{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\right\}$.
2. In $\mathscr{H}$ exists a unitary representation $U(x)$ of the translation groups with
a) $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O}+x)=U(x) \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O}) U^{-1}(x)$
b) The spectrum of $U(x)$ is contained in the closure of the future lightcone.
c) $U(x) \in \mathscr{R}_{\infty}$, which can be assumed without loss of generality by [5].
3. If $\mathcal{O}_{1} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ then $\mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \subset \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ (local commutativity).

We denote by a generalized local ring system $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$ a family of rings of operators in a fixed Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$ submitted to the following conditions:
4. $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ is a von Neumann algebra for all $\mathcal{O}$ with
a) $\mathcal{O}_{1} \subset \mathcal{O}_{2}$ then $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \subset \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$
b) $\mathscr{S}_{\infty}=\left\{\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\right\}^{\prime \prime}$
c) $\mathscr{S}=$ smallest $C^{*}$-algebra containing $\left\{\bigcup_{\mathscr{O}} \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\right\}$.
5. There exists a local ring system $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ with
a) $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ for all $\mathcal{O}$.
b) If $U(x)$ is the representation of the translation group given by $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ then

$$
\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O}+x)=U(x) \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O}) U^{-1}(x)
$$

3. If $\mathcal{O}_{1} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ then $\mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \subset \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$.

Let $\psi \in \mathscr{H}$ and $P_{0}$ be the energy operator. We say $\psi$ is analytic for the energy if $\psi$ is in the domain of every power $P_{0}^{n}$ and the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left\|P_{0}^{n} \psi\right\| \cdot \frac{z^{n}}{n!}$ has a nonzero radius of convergence.

## III. Some properties of local rings

For the investigation of the ideals of local ring systems we need certain properties of local rings which we study first.
III. 1 Theorem. Assume we have a continuous representation $U(t)$ of a one-parametric group with semi-bounded spectrum. Moreover assume we have two projections $E, F$ such that

$$
U(t) E U^{-1}(t) F=F U(t) E U^{-1}(t)
$$

for $|t|<1$. If we have $E \cdot F=0$ then follows $U(t) E U^{-1}(t) F=0$ for all $t$.

Proof. In order to make the proof transparent we make first a special assumption, namely, that the spectrum of $U(t)$ is bounded. In this case $U(t)=\exp \{i t P\}$ with $P$ a bounded self-adjoint operator and hence $\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}} U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ is also a bounded self-adjoint operator and

$$
\frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}} U(t) E U^{-1}(t)=U(t)\left\{\frac{d^{n}}{d \tau^{n}} U(\tau) E U^{-1}(\tau)\right\}_{\tau=0} U^{-1}(t)
$$

can be written as $U(t)\left\{A_{n}^{+}-A_{n}^{-}\right\} U^{-1}(t)$ where $A_{n}^{+}$resp. $A_{n}^{-}$are the positive resp. negative parts of $\left\{\frac{d^{n}}{d \tau^{n}} U(\tau) E U^{-1}(\tau)\right\}_{\tau=0}$ which are also bounded. Assume we have already proven $F\left(A_{n}^{+}-A_{n}^{-}\right)=0$ for $n=0,1, \ldots, N$. We want to show that this holds also for $n=N+1$. Now $F\left(A_{N}^{+}-A_{\bar{N}}^{-}\right)=0$ implies $F A_{N}^{+}=F A_{\bar{N}}^{-}=0 . F U(t) A_{N}^{+} U^{-1}(t)$ is a positive operator for $|t|<1$ and since for arbitrary $\psi \in \mathscr{H}$ the function $\left(\psi, F U(t) A_{N}^{+} U^{-1}(t) \psi\right)$ is analytic in $t$, positive for real $t$ with $|t|<1$ and zero at $t=0$, we see that this function must have a zero of second order and hence by Schwartz inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left|\left(\psi, F U(t) A_{N}^{+} U(-t) \psi\right)\right| \leqq|t|^{2}\|\psi\|^{2}\left\|A_{N}^{+}\right\| e^{\|P\|} \\
& \text { and } \quad\left|\left(\psi, F U(t) A_{N}^{-} U(-t) \psi\right)\right| \leqq|t|^{2}\|\psi\|^{2}\left\|A_{N}^{-}\right\| e^{\|P\|} .
\end{align*}
$$

But this implies $F \frac{d^{N}}{d t^{N}} U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ has a zero of second order at $t=0$ and hence $F \frac{d^{N+1}}{d t^{N+1}} U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ is zero at $t=0$. Since $F U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ is zero at $t=0$ by assumption, $F \frac{d^{n}}{d t^{n}} U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ is zero at $t=0$ by induction for all $n$. Since $P$ was a bounded operator we see that $F U(t) E U^{-1}(t)$ is an entire analytic function and hence identically zero.

Now the general case. Without loss of generality we can assume $U(t)=\exp \{i t P\}$ with $P$ a positive operator. Consider the operator $e^{-P} F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}$ which is the boundary-value of an analytic function holomorphic in $0<\operatorname{Im} t<1$ and bounded by 1 in this strip. The operator $e^{-P} U(t) E U^{-1}(t) F e^{-P}$ is holomorphic in $-1<\operatorname{Im} t<0$ and bounded by 1 . Since now

$$
e^{-P} F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}=e^{-P} U(t) E U^{-1}(t) F e^{-P}
$$

for real $t,-1<t<1$, we see that $e^{-P} F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}$ is holomorphic in the unit circle and bounded by 1 . Since it is a positive operator for real $t,|t|<1$ and zero at $t=0$, it must have a zero of second order or $\left\|e^{-P} F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq|t|^{2}$ for $|t|<1$. But this implies

$$
\left\|e^{-P} F \frac{d}{d t} U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq \frac{|t|}{1-|t|} \quad \text { for } \quad|t|<1
$$

Let $h$ be real, then $U(h) E U^{-1}(h)-E$ is a self-adjoint operator and let $G_{h}^{+}$, resp. $G_{h}^{-}$be the projections onto the positive resp. negative part. $G_{h}^{+}$ and $G_{h}^{-}$commute with $F$ for sufficiently small $h$.

Let now $t$ be real then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqq F U(t) G_{h}^{+}(U(h) E U(-h)-E) U(-t) \\
& \quad=F U(t) G_{h}^{+} U(h) E U(-h) G_{h}^{+} U(-t)-F U(t) G_{h}^{+} E G_{h}^{+} U(-t)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
F U(-h) G_{h}^{+} E G_{h}^{+} U(h)=0
$$

This implies

$$
F U(-h) G_{h}^{+} E U(h)=0 .
$$

In the same manner we get:

$$
F G_{h}^{-} U(h) E U(-h)=0
$$

From this follows:

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{2} e^{-P} F U(t)\left(G^{+} E+E G^{+}\right) U(-t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq c \cdot|t|^{2}|t+h|^{2}
$$

since the positiv and negativ part have a zero at $t=0$ and $t=h$.
In the same manner we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\frac{1}{2} e^{-P} F U(t)\left(G^{-} U(h) E U(-h)+U(h) E U(-h) G^{-}\right) U(-t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq \\
& \leqq c^{\prime}|t|^{2}|t+h|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding both equations we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\frac{1}{2} e^{-P} F U(t)\left\{G^{-} U(h) E U(-h)+U(h) E U(-h)+G^{+} E+E G+\right\} U(-t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq \\
\leqq c^{\prime \prime}|t|^{2}|t+h|^{2}
\end{array}
$$

But this gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|e^{-P} F U(t) \frac{E+U(h) E U(-h)}{2} U(-t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq c^{\prime \prime}|t|^{2}|t+h|^{2}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{4} \| e^{-P} F U(t)\left\{\left(G_{h}^{+}-G_{h}^{-}\right)(E-U(h) E U(-h))+\right. \\
& \\
& +\left(E-U(h) E U(-h)\left(G_{h}^{+}-G_{h}^{-}\right)\right\} U(-t) e^{-P} \|
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last term converges weakly to zero for $h$ going to zero we see that the remainder has a zero of fourth order.

Hence:

$$
\left\|e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}\right\| \leqq|t|^{4}
$$

Assume now we have shown that $e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}$ has a zero of order $2 n$. Then $\frac{1}{t^{2 n-2}} \frac{d}{d t} e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}$ has a zero of first order. Repeating the same argument we find $\frac{1}{t^{2 n-2}} \frac{d}{d t} e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}$ has a zero of second order or $e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}$ has a zero of order $2 n+2$ and by induction it has a zero of all orders. But this implies $e^{-P} F U(t) E U(-t) e^{-P}$ is identically zero for $|t|<1$. Since it is for
arbitrary real $t$ the boundary-value of an analytic function holomorphic in $0<\operatorname{Im} t<1$, it follows by analytic continuation that

$$
e^{-P} F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) e^{-P}=0
$$

for all real $t$. Since $e^{-P}$ is an invertible operator we get

$$
F U(t) E U^{-1}(t) \equiv 0 \quad \text { qed. }
$$

As a next step we have to generalize a lemma proved in an earlier paper ([4] corollary 7) for our generalized situation. This lemma tells us that every operator belonging to a bounded region which maps one vector analytic for the energy onto another vector also analytic for the energy commutes with all translations.
III.2. Lemma. Let $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$ be a generalized local ring system and $\{\mathscr{R}(0)\}$ the local ring system contained in $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$. Let $A \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ for some $\mathcal{O}, \psi \in \mathscr{H}$ be a vector analytic for the energy, and assume $A \psi$ is also analytic for the energy. Then for every $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ exists a projection in $\bigcap_{x} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ such that $E \psi=\psi$ and $A \cdot E \in \bigcap_{x} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $B_{1} \ldots B_{n} \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right), x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in \mathscr{N}, B_{i}(x)=U(x) B_{i} U^{-1}(x)$ then we have

$$
B_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots B_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) A=A B_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots B_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in \mathscr{N} .
$$

Now $B_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots B_{n}\left(x_{n}\right) A$ and $A B_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots B_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)$ are both boundaryvalues of holomorphic functions since $\psi$ and $A \psi$ are analytic for the energy. Since these functions coincide for $x_{1} \ldots x_{n} \in \mathscr{N}$ they coincide everywhere. Hence we get for $B \in\left\{\bigcup_{x} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ the relation $B A \psi=A B \psi$. Let now $E$ be the projection onto the closure of the vector space $\left\{\bigcup_{x} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime \prime} \psi$ then we get $B A E=A E \cdot B$ or $A E \in\left\{\bigcup_{x} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime}$. But also $E \in\left\{\bigcup_{x} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime}$ and $E$ has the property $E \psi=\psi$. Since $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \supset \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ for $\mathcal{O}_{1} \times \mathcal{O}_{2}$ we have

$$
\left\{\underset{x}{\bigcup_{\mathscr{S}}} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime \prime} \supset\left\{{\left.\underset{x}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime \prime}=\mathscr{R}_{\infty} .}\right.
$$

Hence $E$ and $A E$ are elements from $\bigcap_{x} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}+x\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ qed.
In the following argument we have to consider equivalent projections. We say two projections $E_{1}, E_{2}$ from a fixed von Neumann algebra $R$ are equivalent when we can find in $R$ a partially isometric operator $V$ with $E_{1}=V V^{*}, E_{2}=V^{*} V$. If $E_{1}$ is equivalent to $E_{2}$ then we write $E_{1} \sim E_{2}$ $\bmod R$. (For a detailed discussion see [7] chap. III.) With this notation we get
III.3. Theorem. Let $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$ and $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ be as in Lemma III.2. Let $E$ be a projection in $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Assume moreover that there exists a vector $\psi$ analytic for the energy such that $\mathscr{R}_{\infty} \psi$ is dense in the Hilbert space.
a) If $\mathcal{O}_{1}>\mathcal{O}$ and $F$ is the smallest projection in the center $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right)$ of $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ with $F E=E$ then $E \sim F \bmod \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$.
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b) If $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ then there exists an $F \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ with $F \sim E$ and $F E=E$.

This theorem enlightens the well-known result that the local rings are not finite [8], [9], [10] by showing explicitly some projections which are not finite.

Proof. a) Let $\psi$ be the cyclic vector analytic for the energy. Then by the Reeh-Schlieder theorem we have for any $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O}), \overline{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O}) \psi}=\mathscr{H}$ ([11], [5] Lemma 5). Now define the projection $F$ by $F \mathscr{H}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \psi}$. We have $F \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime}$. But since $\mathcal{O}_{1}>\mathcal{O}$ there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{2} \times \mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{2} \subset \mathcal{O}_{1}$ hence
$F \mathscr{H}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \psi}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right) E \psi}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E R\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right) \psi}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \mathscr{H}}$.
Therefore we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} F \mathscr{H}=\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} \overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \mathscr{H}} & =\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \mathscr{H}} \\
& =\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} \mathscr{H}}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \mathscr{H}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This means $F \mathscr{H}$ is invariant under $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ or $F \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ hence $F \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right)$. Since we have $\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) F \psi}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) E \psi}=F \mathscr{H}$ follows $F \mathscr{H}=\overline{\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} F \psi} \sim E \mathscr{H}=\overline{S\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)^{\prime} E \psi} \bmod \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ ([7] chap. III § 1 corollaire de Théorème 2). It is easy to see that $F$ is the smallest projection in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right)$ with the property $F E=E$.
b) If now $\mathcal{O}_{1} \mathrm{~m} \mathcal{O}$ then from $(1-F) E=0$ follows by theorem III.1. that $(1-F) U(x) E U^{-1}(x)=0$ for all $x$ which are timelike. Since $(1-F) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) e^{-P_{0}}$ with $P_{0}$ the energy-operator is the boundaryvalue of an analytic function, it follows that $(1-F) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) e^{-P o}$ vanishes for all $x$ and hence $(1-F) U(x) E U^{-1}(x)=0$ for all $x$, which is equivalent to $F U(x) E U^{-1}(x)=U(x) E U^{-1}(x)$ for all $x$. If now $\Phi$ is any vector analytic for the energy and $g(x)$ a function with compact support in momentumspace then $\int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi$ is again analytic for the energy and we have the relation

$$
F \int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi=\int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi
$$

Hence by Lemma III.2. there exists for any $\mathcal{O}_{2} \gg \mathcal{O}$, a projection $G$ with the properties $G \in \bigcap_{x} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}+x\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$,

$$
G \int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi=\int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi
$$

for all $g(x)$ with compact support in momentumspace and all $\Phi$ analytic for the energy such that $F G \in \bigcap_{x} \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}+x\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$. This implies $G E \Phi=E \Phi$. On the other hand we have for $B \in\left\{\bigcup_{x} \mathscr{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}+x\right)\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ the relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
B F \int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi & =F B \int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi \\
& =B \int d x g(x) U(x) E U^{-1}(x) \Phi
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $F G=G$. This means $E \sim G \bmod \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right)$ since $E \sim F \geqq$ $\geqq G \geqq E$. Choosing $\mathcal{O}_{2}>\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ in an arbitrary position we get the desired result since $G \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{2}\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$.

## IV. The structure of two sided ideals

Now we are prepared to study the two sided ideals of local ring-systems. First we need a
IV.1. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ be an increasing sequence of von Neumann algebras, $\mathscr{R}_{m} \subset \mathscr{R}_{n}$ for $m<n$. Denote by $\mathfrak{R}$ the normclosure of $\bigcup_{n} \mathscr{R}_{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a nonzero norm-closed twosided ideal of $\mathfrak{Q}$ then $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{R}_{n}$ contains a nonzero element for some $n$.

Proof. Let $A=A^{*} \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $\|A\|=1$. Then for some $n$ exists an operator $B \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ with $B=B^{*}$ and $\|A-B\| \leqq \frac{1}{8}$. Since $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ is a von Neumann algebra there exist projections $E_{n}, n=-4,-3, \ldots+4$, $E_{n} E_{m}=0$ for $n \neq m$ such that $\left\|B-\sum_{n=-4}^{+4} \frac{n}{4} E_{n}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{8}$. From $|A|=1$ follows that not all $E_{n}=0$ for $|n| \geqq 3$. Combining both equations we get $\left\|A-\sum \frac{n}{4} E_{n}\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{4}$. Denote by $\Pi$ a faithful representation of $\Re / \mathcal{J}$; then we have $\left\|\sum \frac{n}{4} \Pi\left(E_{n}\right)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{4}$. Since we have again $\Pi\left(E_{n}\right) \Pi\left(E_{m}\right)$ $=\delta_{n m} \Pi\left(E_{n}\right)$ follows $\Pi\left(E_{n}\right)=0$ for $n>2$ or $E_{n} \in \mathbb{J}$ since $\Pi$ was a faithful representation of $\Re / \mathcal{J}$ qed.
IV.2. Lemma. Let $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ and $\mathscr{R}$ be as in the preceding lemma, and $\mathfrak{J}$ a norm closed twosided ideal then $\mathfrak{I}$ coincides with the normclosure of $\mathfrak{J} \cap\left\{\bigcup_{n} \mathscr{R}_{n}\right\}$.

Proof. Let $A=A^{*} \in \mathscr{I}$ and $\|A\|=1$. Give $\varepsilon>0$ then exists a $\mathscr{R}_{n}$ and an operator $B \in \mathfrak{R}_{n} \cap I$ such that $\|A-B\| \leqq 2 \varepsilon$. This holds since we can find a $B_{1} \in \mathscr{R}_{n}$ with $\left\|A-B_{1}\right\| \leqq \varepsilon$ and a $B \in \mathscr{R}_{n} \cap \mathfrak{J}$ with $\left\|B-B_{1}\right\| \leqq \varepsilon$ (see the proof of Lemma IV.1.). But this implies $A$ is a norm limit of elements in $\mathfrak{J} \cap\left\{{\underset{n}{n}}^{\mathscr{R}_{n}}\right\}$ qed.

The combination of the last two lemmas with the results of section III gives us
IV.3. Theorem. Let $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$ be a generalized local ring system and $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ the local ring system contained in $\{\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\}$. Assume we have a vector $\psi$ analytic for the energy such that $\mathscr{R}_{\infty} \psi$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}$. If $\mathfrak{J}$ is a non-trivial two-sided ideal in $\subseteq$ then
a) $\mathfrak{I} \cap\left\{\mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \mathscr{S}\right\}$ is a non-trivial ideal;
b) $\mathscr{J}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \subseteq$ i.e. $I$ is the smallest norm-closed ideal in $\mathfrak{S}$ containing $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{S}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ be a two-sided ideal, then by IV.1. and IV.2. $\mathfrak{J} \cap\left\{\bigcup_{n} \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})\right\}$ is not empty and $\mathfrak{J}$ is the norm closure of this set. Let now $A \in \mathscr{J} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Then also its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts are in $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Hence it is sufficient to consider the self-adjoint elements.

Let $A=A^{*}=\int_{-M}^{+M} \lambda d E_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{I} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Since $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ is a v. Neumann algebra we find that $\int_{-M}^{-\varepsilon}+\int_{+\varepsilon}^{M} d E_{\lambda}$ is also in $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Denote by $M(\mathcal{O})$ the set of projections in $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$. Then the ideal generated by $M(\mathcal{O})$ is norm dense in $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ because if $A=A^{*}=\int_{-M}^{+M} \lambda d E_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{O} \cap \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ then $E_{-\varepsilon}+\left(1-E_{+\varepsilon}\right)$ is contained in $M(\mathcal{O})$. Hence $A\left\{E_{-\varepsilon}+\left(1-E_{+\varepsilon}\right)\right.$ is in the ideal generated by $M(\mathcal{O})$. But $\left\|A-\left(E_{-\varepsilon}+\left(1-E_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) A\right\| \leqq \varepsilon$ which means that $A$ is in the norm closure of the ideal generated by $M(\mathcal{O})$. Since now $\mathfrak{J}$ is a two-sided ideal and $\mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ a von Neumann algebra, it follows from $E \sim F \bmod \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ and $E \in M(\mathcal{O})$ that also $F \in M(\mathcal{O})$. Now by theorem III.3. follows that for $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ there exists a projection $F$ in $\mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ with $F E=E$ and $F \sim E \bmod \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$. Hence $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{S}$ is a non-trivial ideal since $1 \notin \mathfrak{J}$. This proves a). Let now $\mathfrak{G}$ be the twosided ideal generated by $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{S}$ then $\mathfrak{G} \subset \mathfrak{J}$. But $\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} M(\mathcal{O})$ generates J. If $E \in M(\mathcal{O})$ there exist $F \sim E \bmod \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$ and $F E=E$ with $F \in \mathscr{J} \cap$ $\cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{S}$. Hence $E \in \mathfrak{G}$ which implies $\bigcup_{\mathcal{O}} M(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathfrak{G}$ or $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ and thus $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{I}$ which proves statement b) and the theorem.

## V. Application to local ring systems

If we restrict ourselves to local ring systems then it is possible to remove the assumption about the existence of a vector which is cyclic for $\mathscr{R}_{\infty}$. Theorem III.3. becomes:
V.1. Theorem. Let $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ be a local ring system and $E$ be a projection in $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})$
a) If $\mathcal{O}_{1}>\mathcal{O}$ and $F$ is the smallest projection in the center $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right)$ with $F E=E$ then $E \sim F \bmod \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$,
b) Is $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ then $F \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right) \cap \mathcal{B}(\Re)$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{R})$ denotes the center of the $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathfrak{R}$.

Proof. Let $G_{\alpha}$ be a family of projections in $\mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{1}$ such that $G_{\alpha} G_{\beta}=0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta, \sum_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}=1$ and in $G_{\alpha} \mathscr{H}$ exists a vector $\psi_{\alpha}$ analytic for the energy such that $\mathscr{R}_{\infty} \psi_{\alpha}=G_{\alpha} \mathscr{H}$. By virtue of theorem III.3. we have $F G_{\alpha} \sim E G_{\alpha} \bmod \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \cdot G_{\alpha} . \operatorname{Let} F_{\alpha}$ be the smallest projection in $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)\right)$ with $F_{\alpha} G_{\alpha}=G_{\alpha}$ then we get $F_{\alpha} F \sim F_{\alpha} E \bmod \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \cdot F_{\alpha}$ ([7] chap. I $\S 2$ prop. 2). But since now $\bigcup_{\alpha} F_{\alpha} \mathscr{H}=\mathscr{H}$ follows $F \sim E \bmod \mathscr{R}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right)$. This
proves statement a). Let now $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$, then by theorem III.3. b) we have $F \cdot G_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$. Hence $F=\sum_{\alpha} F E_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ which proves b).

This result makes it possible to generalize also theorem IV.3. we get:
V.2. Theorem. Let $\{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{O})\}$ be a local ring system and $\beta$ be the center of $\mathfrak{R}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{J}$ norm-closed two-sided ideals of $\mathfrak{R}$ then
a) $\mathfrak{J}$ is not the zero ideal if and only if $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is not the zero ideal
b) $\mathfrak{J}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathcal{B}$.
c) The map $\rightarrow \mathfrak{J} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is one-to-one mapping from the two-sided ideals of $\mathfrak{R}$ onto the ideals of $\mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Since we have used in the proof of theorem IV.3. only the fact that to every projection $E \in \mathscr{S}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{1} \gg \mathcal{O}$ exists a projection $F \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathcal{O}_{1}\right) \cap \mathscr{R}_{\infty}^{\prime}$ with $F \sim E$ and $F E=E$ the statements a) and b) are a simple consequence of IV.3. and V.1. Now statement c) follows from the fact that $\Omega$ commutes with $\Re$. Hence if $\Omega$ is an ideal in $\Omega$ the ideal generated by $\Omega$ is $\Re \cdot \Omega$ which implies that $\Omega=\Omega \cap \Re \cdot \Omega$ or together with b) the map $\mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J} \cap \mathcal{B}$ is one-to-one.
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