AN ALMOST-ORTHOGONALITY PRINCIPLE WITH APPLICATIONS TO MAXIMAL FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED TO CONVEX BODIES

BY ANTHONY CARBERY¹

1. Introduction. Let B be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n , normalised to have volume one. Let M be the centred Hardy-Littlewood maximal function defined with respect to B, i.e.

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{t} t^{-n} \int_{tB} |f(x-y)| \, dy.$$

Let \tilde{M} be the lacunary maximal operator,

$$\tilde{M}f(x) = \sup_{k} 2^{-kn} \int_{2^k B} |f(x-y)| \, dy.$$

Considerable interest has recently been shown in the behaviour of these operators for large n, see [1, 2, 8, 9, 10]. When B is the ball, Stein has shown [8] that M is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 , with a constant <math>C_p$ depending only on p, and not on n; Stein and Strömberg [10] have shown that for p larger than 1, the L^p operator norm of M is at most linear in the dimension. More recently Bourgain has proved that the L^2 operator norm of M is bounded by an absolute constant independent of the body and the dimension [1]. It is the purpose of this note to extend this result to p > 3/2, and to all p > 1 if we instead consider M.

THEOREM 1. (i) Let p > 3/2. Then there exists a constant C_p , depending only on p and not on B or n, such that $||Mf||_p \leq C_p ||f||_p$.

(ii) Let p > 1. Then there exists a constant D_p , depending only on p and not on B or n, such that $||Mf||_p \leq D_p ||f||_p$.

It has recently been brought to the author's attention that part (i) of the theorem has been proved by Bourgain² in the special case that B is the cube [2]. Here we show that Theorem 1 in fact follows from Bourgain's previous analysis together with a general almost-orthogonality principle for maximal functions, Theorem 2. A weaker version of this principle appears in [6], where it is also applied to various operators including maximal functions and Hilbert transforms along curves. A similar principle due to Michael Christ appears in [4].

Full details of the proofs, together with further applications, will appear elsewhere.

Received by the editors September 13, 1985.

¹⁹⁸⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 42B15, 42B25.

¹Partially supported by an NFS grant.
²NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. Theorem 1 has been proved in full independently by J. Bourgain.

2. An almost-orthogonality principle. Let T_{jv} , $j \in Z$, $v \in S$, be a family of linear operators (multiplier operator in our application). Here S is any indexing set. Suppose there are linear operators R_j $(j \in Z)$ such that $\sum R_j = I$. Consider the maximal operator $T_*f(x) = \sup_{jv} |T_{jv}f(x)|$.

DEFINITION. (i) T_* is weakly bounded (with respect to R_i) on L^q if

$$\sup_{k} \left\| \sup_{jv} |T_{jv}R_{j+k}f| \right\|_{q} \le C \|f\|_{q}.$$

(ii) T_* is strongly bounded on L^q if for some sequence a_k satisfying $\sum a_k^t < \infty, \ 0 < t \le 1,$

$$\left\|\sup_{jv}|T_{jv}R_{j+k}f|\right\|_q\leq a_k\|f\|_q.$$

With this definition it is clear that strong boundedness on L^q implies boundedness on L^q , which implies weak boundedness on L^q , provided of course that the R_j 's are uniformly bounded on L^q . Moreover, if T_* is strongly bounded on some L^{q_0} space and weakly bounded on L^{q_1} , then T_* is bounded on L^q for all q strictly between q_0 and q_1 .

DEFINITION. A family T_{jv} of linear operators is essentially positive if there exist linear operators S_{jv} and U_{jv} , with $S_{jv} \ge 0$, $U_{jv} \ge 0$, S_* bounded on L^r , $1 < r \le \infty$, and such that $T_{jv} = U_{jv} - S_{jv}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 \leq p < 2$, and let T_{jv} be an essentially positive family of linear operators. Suppose there exists a $q \neq p$ (we shall assume q > p) such that T_* is strongly bounded on L^q , and suppose there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_j \|\sup_v |T_{jv}f|\|_r \leq C_r \|f\|_r$ and $\|(\sum |R_jf|^2)^{1/2}\|_r \leq C_r \|f\|_r$ for r in $(p, p + \varepsilon)$. Then T_* is bounded on L^r for all r in (p, q].

REMARK. There is a similar but simpler principle when $p \geq 2$, whose statement and proof we omit.

PROOF. We first assume that for all but finitely many j, $T_{jv} = 0$ for all v, and we shall obtain a bound for T_* independent of this finite number N. So, fixing an r with p < r < q, we may assume that $||T_*f||_r \le A(N)||f||_r$.

We consider first inequalities of the form

$$\left\| \left\| \sup_{v} |T_{jv}g_{j}| \right\|_{l^{s}} \right\|_{L^{t}} \leq C_{s,t} \| \|g_{j}\|_{l^{s}} \|_{L^{t}}.$$

By assumption, (*) holds for s=t in $(p,p+\varepsilon)$. It also holds with t=r and $s=\infty$, with constant B(N) depending on A(N) since U_{jv} and S_{jv} are positive. Thus by interpolation there exists an \tilde{r} , $p<\tilde{r}< r$, such that (*)

holds for $t = \tilde{r}$ and s = 2. Now,

$$\left\| \sup_{jv} |T_{jv} R_{j+k} f| \right\|_{\tilde{\tau}} \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{j} \sup_{v} |T_{jv} R_{j+k} f|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\tilde{\tau}}$$

$$\leq D(N) \left\| \left(\sum_{j} |R_{j+k} f|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{\tilde{\tau}}$$

$$\leq C_{r} D(N) \|f\|_{\tilde{\tau}}.$$

So T_* is weakly bounded on $L^{\tilde{r}}$ and hence by the comment preceding the theorem it is bounded on L^r with constant E(N). However, keeping track of constants, we see that for some 0 < t < 1 and some numbers a and b, $E(N) \le a + bA(N)^t$. Thus $A(N) \le c$, for some c independent of N, concluding the proof of the theorem.

3. An auxiliary proposition. We now specialise to operators of the form $(T_{jt}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = m(2^{j}t\xi)f^{\wedge}(\xi)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq t \leq 2$. We wish to apply Theorem 2 in the case q=2, and so we need simple criteria for determining when a maximal operator is bounded on L^2 , and when a maximal operator of the form $\sup_{1 \leq t \leq 2} |K_t * f|$ is bounded on L^p .

PROPOSITION. Let $K^{\wedge} = m \in L^{\infty}$. Then

(i) $\|\sup_{0 < t < \infty} |K_t * f|\|_2 \le C \|f\|_2$ if for some $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\sup_{w \in S^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^\infty |u^{\alpha+1} (d/du)^{\alpha} [u^{-1} m(uw)]|^2 \, du/u \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

(ii) $\|\sup_{1\leq t\leq 2} |K_t*f|\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$ if for some $\alpha > 1/p$ (or $\alpha = 1$ if p = 1) both m and $(\xi \cdot \nabla)^{\alpha}m$ are L^p multipliers.

REMARKS. (i) Here, $(d/du)^{\alpha}$ is the fractional differentiation operator defined for example in [3], and

$$(\xi\cdot
abla)^{lpha}m(\xi)=(d/du)^{lpha}m(u\xi)|_{u=1}=\int (2\pi ix\cdot \xi)^{lpha}K(x)e^{2\pi ix\cdot \xi}\,dx.$$

(ii) When m is radial, part (i) of the proposition is in [3]. Other similar criteria for L^2 boundedness of maximal operators appear in [1, 5 and 7].

PROOF. Write

$$\frac{m(t\xi)}{t} = C_{\alpha} \int_0^{\infty} (u-t)_+^{\alpha-1} (d/du)^{\alpha} [m(u\xi)/u] du.$$

Therefore,

$$|K_t*f| \le C_\alpha \int_0^\infty (1-t/u)_+^{\alpha-1} t/u |P_u^\alpha f| \, du/u,$$

where $(P_u^{\alpha}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = u^{\alpha+1}(d/du)^{\alpha}[m(u\xi)/u]f^{\wedge}(\xi)$. Thus, if p=2 and $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} |K_t * f| \le C_{\alpha} \left(\int_0^{\infty} |P_u^{\alpha} f|^2 du / u \right)^{1/2},$$

and so

$$\left\| \sup_{0 < t < \infty} |K_t * f| \right\|_2 \le C_\alpha \|f\|_2$$

if the hypothesis of part (i) is fulfilled. If $p \neq 2$ and $t \geq 1$,

$$|K_t * f| \le C_{\alpha} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} |(1 - t/u)_{+}^{\alpha - 1} t/u|^q du \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} |P_u^{\alpha} f|^p du/u^p \right)^{1/p}$$

$$\le C_{\alpha} t^{1/q} \left(\int_{1}^{\infty} |P_u^{\alpha} f|^p du/u^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \text{if } 1/p + 1/q = 1 \text{ and } \alpha > 1/p.$$

Hence

$$\left\|\sup_{1\leq t\leq 2}|K_t*f|\,\right\|_p\leq C_\alpha\left(\int_1^\infty\|P_u^\alpha f\|_p^p\,du/u^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

But the L^p operator norm of P^{α} is controlled by the L^p multiplier norms of m and $(\xi \cdot \nabla)^{\alpha} m$.

- **4. Proof of Theorem 1.** At this point we shall assume that the reader is familiar with the contents of [1], where, amongst other things, Bourgain proves that there exist a number L = L(B) and an $A \in SL(n, R)$ such that if $K = \chi_{A(B)}$, then
 - (a) $|\dot{K}^{\wedge}(\xi)| \leq C(|\xi|L)^{-1}$,
 - (b) $|K^{\wedge}(\xi) 1| \leq C|\xi|L$,
 - (c) $|\xi \cdot \nabla K^{\wedge}(\xi)| \leq C$,

with C an absolute constant.

We shall obtain a Littlewood-Paley decomposition of R^n , $I = \sum R_j$, such that $\|(\sum |R_jf|^2)^{1/2}\|_p \leq C_p\|f\|_p$, $1 , with <math>C_p$ independent of n, then observe that the essentially positive family of operators $(K - P_L)_{t>0}$ has maximal function strongly bounded on L^2 with respect to this decomposition, with constant independent of everything. Here P is the Poisson kernel; it is of course true that P_* is bounded on $L^p(R^n)$, 1 with constant independent of <math>n (see [8]). Finally we show that $\|\sup_{1\leq t\leq 2} |K_t*f|\|_p \leq C_p\|f\|_p$, with C_p independent of B and n if p>3/2, which will conclude the proof once we apply Theorem 2; for the case of M this third step is not required since $\|K\|_1 = 1$.

Each of these steps is easy; for the first we merely take $R_j = P_{2^{j+1}} - P_{2^j}$; then $(\sum |R_j f|^2)^{1/2} \le (\log 2)^{1/2} g_1(f)(x)$, g_1 being the classical Littlewood-Paley function, which Stein has shown [9] to satisfy $||g_1(f)||_p \le C_p ||f||_p$, $1 , with <math>C_p$ independent of n.

For the second step, one may apply part (i) of the proposition to each of the operators $(K - P_L)R_k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, using (a)–(c) to obtain $||[K - P_L]R_{k*}||_2 \le Ca_k||f||_2$, with $\sum a_k^t < \infty$, $0 < t \le 1$. This is not exactly what being strongly bounded on L^2 means, but a slight modification of this argument will give precisely what we require.

Finally, observe that K^{\wedge} has L^1 multiplier norm 1, and by (c) above $(\xi \cdot \nabla)K^{\wedge}$ has L^2 multiplier norm dominated by an absolute constant; after setting up the appropriate complex-analytic interpolation argument, one obtains that $(\xi \cdot \nabla)^{\alpha}K^{\wedge}$ has L^p multiplier norm dominated by an absolute

constant if $\alpha < 2/p'$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, $1 . An application of part (ii) of the proposition yields <math>\|\sup_{1 \le t \le 2} |K_t * f|\|_p \le C_p \|f\|_p$, with C_p depending only on p if 1/p < 2/p', which is p > 3/2.

5. Concluding remark. The reader will observe that only the last of the three steps does not work for all p > 1; if the method is to succeed further, results of the form $(\xi \cdot \nabla)K^{\wedge}$ having L^p multipler norm not depending on B or $n, p \neq 2$, would be useful. Of course the L^1 multiplier norm of this operator is essentially n. Is it possible to do better than interpolation between p = 1 and p = 2 for this operator? Such results, if true, would give a new expression to the philosophy that, for large n, "most of the mass of a convex body is situated near its boundary".

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Bourgain, On high dimensional maximal functions associated to convex bodies (preprint).
 - 2. ____, Maximal functions in R^n and cubes (preprint).
- 3. A. Carbery, Radial Fourier multipliers and associated maximal functions, Recent Progress in Fourier Analysis, North-Holland Math. Studies Vol. 111, 1985, 49–56.
- 4. ____, Differentiation in lacunary directions and an extension of the Marcin-kiewicz multiplier theorem (in preparation).
- 5. H. Dappa and W. Trebels, On maximal functions generated by Fourier multipliers (manuscript).
- 6. J. Duoandikoetxea and J. L. Rubio de Francia, Maximal and singular integral operators via Fourier transform estimates (preprint).
- 7. C. Sogge and E. M. Stein, Averages of functions over hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n (preprint).
- 8. E. M. Stein, The development of square functions in the work of Antoni Zygmund, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 7 (1982), 359-376.
- 9. ____, Some results in harmonic analysis in \mathbb{R}^n , for $n \to \infty$, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 9 (1983), 71-73.
- 10. E. M. Stein and J. O. Strömberg, Behavior of maximal functions in \mathbb{R}^n for large n, Ark Mat. 21 (1983), 259–269.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637

Current address: Division of Mathematics, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, Sussex BN1 9QH, England