## ARITHMETIC CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SIDON SETS

## GILLES PISIER

ABSTRACT. Let  $\hat{G}$  be any discrete Abelian group. We give several arithmetic characterizations of Sidon sets in  $\hat{G}$ . In particular, we show that a set  $\Lambda$  is a Sidon set iff there is a number  $\delta > 0$  such that any finite subset A of  $\Lambda$  contains a subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| \ge \delta |A|$  which is quasi-independent, i.e. such that the only relation of the form  $\sum_{\lambda \in B} \epsilon_{\lambda} \lambda = 0$ , with  $\epsilon_{\lambda}$  equal to  $\pm 1$  or 0, is the trivial one.

Let G be a compact Abelian group and let  $\hat{G}$  be the dual group. For any f in  $L_2(G)$ , we denote by  $\hat{f}$  the Fourier transform of f. A subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\hat{G}$  is called a Sidon set if there is a constant K with the following property: all the trigonometric polynomials f, such that  $\hat{f}$  is supported by  $\Lambda$ , satisfy

$$\sum |\hat{f}(\gamma)| \leq K ||f||_{C(G)}.$$

We will denote by  $S(\Lambda)$  the smallest constant K with this property. In the theory of Sidon sets (cf. e.g. [2]), there has always been considerable interest in the relations between this analytical definition and the arithmetic properties of the set  $\Lambda$  (in particular, in the case  $G = \mathbf{T}$  and  $\Lambda \subset \mathbf{Z}$ ). The aim of this note is to announce several arithmetic characterizations of Sidon sets.

Let us make more precise what we mean here by "arithmetic". We will denote by  $R_{\Lambda}$  the set of relations (with coefficients in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}$ ) satisfied by  $\Lambda$ , i.e. the set of all finitely supported families  $(\epsilon_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}^{\Lambda}$  such that  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} \lambda = 0$ .

By an "arithmetic" characterization is usually meant one which depends only on the set  $R_{\Lambda}$ . In [1], Drury<sup>1</sup> proved that such a characterization exists, but he could not produce any explicit one. Precisely, he proved the following: let  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  be two sets for which there is a bijection  $\phi: \Lambda' \to \Lambda$  such that the map  $\tilde{\phi}: R_{\Lambda} \to R_{\Lambda'}$ , defined by  $\tilde{\phi}((\epsilon_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) = (\epsilon_{\phi(\lambda')})_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'}$ , is also a bijection. Then,  $\Lambda$  is a Sidon set iff the same is true for  $\Lambda'$ . In other words, the property of "being a Sidon set" is determined by  $R_{\Lambda}$ . We give below several *explicit* arithmetic characterizations, from which the preceding result of Drury follows as a corollary.

To state our results, we will need some notation and terminology. We will denote by  $I_{\Lambda}$  the set of all finitely supported families  $(\epsilon_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\{-1, 0, 1\}^{\Lambda}$ . For any  $\gamma$  in  $\hat{G}$ , we will denote by  $R(\gamma, \Lambda)$  the number of ways to write  $\gamma$  as

Received by the editors July 14, 1982.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 43A46, 42A55; Secondary 41A46, 41A65. Key words and phrases. Sidon sets, dissociate sets, relations, arithmetic characterization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Drury considers only relations such that moreover  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} = 0$ , but this difference is not significant, since we can replace  $\Lambda$  by the set  $\tilde{\Lambda} \subset \hat{G} \times \mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \{(\lambda, 1) | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .

<sup>© 1983</sup> American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/82/0000-1035/\$01.75

a finite sum of the form  $\gamma = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} \lambda$  with  $(\epsilon_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $I_{\Lambda}$ . For any integer  $s \geq 0$ , we will denote by  $R_s(\gamma, \Lambda)$  the cardinal of the set of those  $(\epsilon_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $I_{\Lambda}$  such that  $\sum |\epsilon_{\lambda}| = s$  and  $\gamma = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \epsilon_{\lambda} \lambda$ . (Note that we have obviously  $R(\gamma, \Lambda) = \sum_{s \geq 0} R_s(\gamma, \Lambda)$ .) Let A be a finite subset of  $\Lambda$ . We have the following identity, for all  $\delta > 0$ .

(1) 
$$\prod_{\lambda \in A} [1 + \delta(\lambda + \overline{\lambda})] = \sum_{\gamma \in \widehat{G}} \gamma \left( \sum_{s \ge 0} \delta^s R_s(\gamma, A) \right).$$

We can now state our main theorem (we will denote by |A| the cardinality of a set A).

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Lambda$  be a subset of  $\hat{G}$  not containing 0. The following are equivalent.

(i)  $\Lambda$  is a Sidon set.

(ii) There is a number  $\theta < 1$  such that, for all finite subsets A of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\sum_{s\geq 0}\frac{1}{2^s}R_s(0,A)\leq 2^{\theta|A|}$$

(iii) There is a number  $\theta < 1$  such that, for all finite subsets A of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \hat{G}} R(\gamma, A) \le 3^{\theta|A|}$$

(iv) There is a number  $\theta < 1$  such that, for all finite subsets A of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$\left\{\sum_{\gamma\in\hat{G}}R(\gamma,A)^2\right\}^{1/2}\leq 3^{\theta|A|}.$$

The details of the proof can be found in [5]. The equivalence (iii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) is easy using the observation that  $\sum_{\hat{G}} R(\gamma, A) = 3^{|A|}$ . The proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) uses (1) for  $\delta = 1/2$  and the integrability properties of  $\sum_{\lambda \in A} \operatorname{Re} \lambda$ . The proof relies very much on the previous paper [4] and on the following result which is proved in [5].

**PROPOSITION.** The conditions of Theorem 1 are also equivalent to the following.

(v) There are numbers  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\rho < 1$  such that, for any finite subset A of  $\Lambda$ , we have

$$m\left(\left\{t\in G\left|\inf_{\lambda\in A}\operatorname{Re}\lambda(t)>
ho
ight\}
ight)\leq 2^{-lpha|A|}.$$

(vi) There is a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that, for any finite subset A of  $\Lambda$ , we can find points  $t_1, \ldots, t_N$  in G, with  $N \ge 2^{\alpha|A|}$  such that  $\sup_{\lambda \in A} |\lambda(t_i) - \lambda(t_j)| \ge \alpha$  for all  $i \ne j$ .

The equivalence of (v) and (vi) is formal. The implication  $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$  yields an affirmative answer to Problem 8.3 in [4].

DEFINITION. We will say that a set  $\Lambda$  is a Rider set if there is some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sum_{s\geq 0} \delta^s R_s(0,\Lambda) < \infty$ . We will say that  $\Lambda$  is quasi-independent if  $R(0,\Lambda) = 1$ , or equivalently if  $R_s(0,\Lambda) = 0$  for all  $s \geq 1$ .

Such sets—and finite unions of such sets—are the only known examples of Sidon sets, and the main open problem in this theory is the converse:

PROBLEM. Is every Sidon set a finite union of Rider sets? Is it a finite union of quasi-independent sets?

In the particular case  $G = \mathbf{Z}(p)^{\mathbf{N}}$ , with p a prime number, a positive answer (as well as a complete arithmetic characterization) was given in [3]; very recently, J. Bourgain obtained a positive solution to the above problem, assuming more generally that p is a product of distinct prime numbers (private communication).

Actually, it is rather easy to check (see [5]) that any Rider set is a finite union of quasi-independent sets; therefore, the above problem reduces to the second question.

Assume that a set  $\Lambda$  is the union of k quasi-independent sets. In that case, any finite subset A of  $\Lambda$ , of cardinality n, must contain a quasi-independent subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| \ge n/k$ . Therefore, if the above problem had a positive solution, any Sidon set should verify the above property for some k. It turns out that this is true.

THEOREM 2. A subset  $\Lambda$  of G is a Sidon set iff

(vii) there is an integer k such that any finite subset A of  $\Lambda$  contains a quasiindependent subset  $B \subset A$  with  $|B| \ge |A|/k$ .

The proof that Sidon sets satisfy (vii) is given in [5]. The converse follows from Theorem 2.3 in [4], since any quasi-independent set B is a Sidon set with S(B) majorized by some absolute constant. In some sense, Theorem 2 reduces the above problem to a purely combinatorial question: Is every set satisfying (vii) a finite union of quasi-independent sets?

## REFERENCES

- 1. S. Drury, Birelations and Sidon sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 53 (1975), 123-128.
- 2. J. López and K. Ross, *Sidon sets*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 13, Dekker, New York, 1975.
- M. P. Malliavin Brameret and P. Malliavin, Caractérisation arithmétique des ensembles de Helson, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 264 (1967), 192–193.
- G. Pisier, De nouvelles caractérisations des ensembles de Sidon, Advances in Math. Supplementary Studies, Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 7 B (1981), 685–726.
- <u>—</u>, Condition d'entropie et caractérisations arithmétiques des ensembles de Sidon, Proc. Conf. on Modern Topics in Harmonic Analysis (Torino/Milano, June/July 1982), Instituto di Alta Mathematica (to appear).

Equipe d'Analyse, Université Paris VI, 4, Place Jussieu, Tour 46/0–4éme Etage, 75230–Paris Cedex05