

## ON SIMPLICITY OF CERTAIN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRAS

BY V. G. KAC<sup>1</sup>

**1. The main statements.** Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be a complex  $(n \times n)$ -matrix. Denote by  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)$  a complex Lie algebra with  $3n$  generators  $e_p, f_p, h_i, i \in I = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and the following defining relations ( $i, j \in I$ ):

$$[e_p, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h_i, \quad [h_p, h_j] = 0, \quad [h_p, e_j] = a_{ij} e_j, \quad [h_p, f_j] = -a_{ij} f_j.$$

Set  $\tilde{C} = \{c_1 h_1 + \dots + c_n h_n \mid a_{1j} c_1 + \dots + a_{nj} c_n = 0, j \in I\}$ ; clearly,  $\tilde{C}$  lies in the center of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)$ . Set  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^n, \Gamma_+ = \{(k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \Gamma \mid k_i \geq 0\} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be the standard basis of  $\Gamma$ . For  $\eta = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$  set  $T_\eta = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} k_i k_j - \sum_i a_{ii} k_i$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Provided that  $a_{ij} = a_{ji}, i, j \in I$ , and  $T_\eta \neq 0$  for any  $\eta \in \Gamma_+ \setminus \Pi$ , the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)/\tilde{C}$  is simple,*

**COROLLARY 1.** *Provided that  $A$  is a real symmetric matrix with positive entries, the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)/\tilde{C}$  is simple.*

**COROLLARY 2.** *The Lie algebra  $K_2$  with the generators  $e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2, h$  and the defining relations  $[e_p, f_j] = \delta_{ij} h, [h, e_i] = e_i, [h, f_i] = -f_i$  is simple.*

**PROOF.**

$$K_2 = \tilde{\mathfrak{G}} \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) / \tilde{C}.$$

Corollary 2 has been conjectured in [1]. Further one can find a motivation for this problem. Setting  $\deg e_i = -\deg f_i = \alpha_i, \deg h_i = 0, i \in I$ , defines a  $\Gamma$ -gradation  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be the sum of all graded ideals in  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)$  intersecting  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_0$  trivially. We set  $\mathfrak{G}(A) = \tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)/\mathfrak{Z}$ ; let  $\mathfrak{G}(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  be the induced gradation. Note that if  $D$  is a nondegenerate diagonal matrix, then  $\mathfrak{G}(DA) \simeq \mathfrak{G}(A)$ ; the matrices  $A$  and  $DA$  are called *equivalent*. Let  $C$  be the image of  $\tilde{C}$  in  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$ ; then  $C$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$  [1]. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{G}(A)/C$  has no graded ideals if and only if [2]

(m) for any  $i, j \in I$  there exists  $i_1, \dots, i_r \in I$  such that  $a_{ii_1} a_{i_1 i_2} \dots a_{i_{r-1} j} \neq 0$ .

If  $A$  is the Cartan matrix of a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{G}$ ,

Received by the editors September 24, 1979.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970).

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by the NSF grant.

then  $\mathfrak{U} \simeq \mathfrak{U}(A)$ . In general, the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{U}(A)$  are infinite dimensional. A number of applications of these algebras in various fields of mathematics have been found in the last decade. The Lie algebra  $K_2$  plays the role of a “test” algebra in [1]. Due to the fact that  $K_2$  is simple, we immediately obtain a stronger form of Theorem 1 from [1].

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that matrix  $A$  satisfies the condition (m). Then there are only the following three possibilities:*

(i)  *$A$  is equivalent to the Cartan matrix of a simple finite dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{U}$  (and  $\mathfrak{U}(A) \cong \mathfrak{U}$ );*

(ii)  *$A$  is equivalent to one of the matrices from Tables 1–3 [1], and the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of  $\mathfrak{U}(A)$  is 1 (the construction of  $\mathfrak{U}(A)/C$  is given by Lemma 22 [1]);*

(iii)  *$\mathfrak{U}(A)$  contains a free subalgebra of rank 2 and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{U}(A)/C$  is simple.*

Suppose that the matrix  $A$  is symmetric. Then there exists an invariant symmetric bilinear form  $(,)$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}(A)$  which is uniquely defined by the properties (a)  $(h_i, h_j) = a_{ij}$  and  $(e_i, f_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j \in I$ , (b)  $(\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\alpha, \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\beta) = 0$  for  $\alpha \neq -\beta$ , (c)  $\text{Ker}(, ) = \mathfrak{F} + \tilde{C}$  [1]. Let  $\sigma$  be an involutive antiautomorphism of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}(A)$  defined by  $\sigma(e_i) = f_i$ ,  $\sigma(f_i) = e_i$ ,  $\sigma(h_i) = h_i$ . On each  $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$ , we introduce a bilinear form by  $B_\alpha(x, y) = (x, \sigma(y))$ ,  $x, y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\alpha$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_+} \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  is freely generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , we can fix a basis in each  $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\alpha$  which does not depend on  $A$ . Let  $\varphi_\alpha = \varphi_\alpha(A)$  be the determinant of the matrix of  $B_\alpha$  in this basis. This is a function on the space of symmetric  $(n \times n)$ -matrices. It follows from Theorem 1 that provided that  $T_\eta \neq 0$  for any  $\eta \in \Gamma_+ \setminus \Pi$ , the Lie algebra  $\tilde{\mathfrak{U}}(A)/\tilde{C}$  is simple. Hence,  $\varphi_\alpha$  is different from 0 outside the hyperplanes  $T_\eta = 0$ ,  $\eta \in \Gamma_+ \setminus \Pi$ , and we obtain

**THEOREM 3.** *Up to a nonzero constant factor (depending on the basis) one has:*

$$\varphi_\alpha(A) = \prod_{\eta \in \Gamma_+ \setminus \Pi} T_\eta^{c_{\eta, \alpha}}$$

where  $c_{\eta, \alpha}$  are nonnegative integers.

**REMARK.** An interesting open question is to compute the exponents  $c_{\eta, \alpha}$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that  $c_{\eta, \alpha} = 0$  if  $\alpha = k\alpha_i$  or  $\alpha - \eta \notin \Gamma_+ \cup \{0\}$ . It is also clear that  $\text{deg } \varphi_\alpha = (\text{height } \alpha - 1) \dim \tilde{\mathfrak{U}}_\alpha$ .

**2. Proof of Theorem 1.** Set  $\mathfrak{n}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_\pm} \mathfrak{U}_{\pm\alpha}$  and  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{U}_0$ ; then  $\mathfrak{U}(A) = \mathfrak{n}_- \otimes \mathfrak{S} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ . Since  $\mathfrak{U}(A)/C$  is simple ([1, Lemma 6]) the theorem will follow from the fact that  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  is a free Lie algebra with free generators  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ . To prove this, we employ the *highest weight representations*  $M(\lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}^*$ , of

$\mathfrak{G}(A)$  [3]. We recall that  $M(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{G}(A)) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+)} \mathbf{C}_\lambda$ , where  $\mathbf{C}_\lambda$  is a 1-dimensional representation defined by  $\mathfrak{n}_+(1) = 0$ ,  $h(1) = \lambda(h)$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ . The gradation of  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$  induces a gradation:  $M(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Gamma_+ \cup \{0\}} M(\lambda)_{-\eta}$ . We set  $\text{ch } M(\lambda) = e^\lambda \sum_{\eta} (\dim M(\lambda)_{-\eta}) e^{-\eta}$ . Clearly one has

$$\text{ch } M(\lambda) = e^\lambda \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{-\dim \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha}}.$$

From now on we will assume that  $A$  is symmetric. We recall the definition of the Casimir operator  $\tilde{\Omega}$  on the space  $M(\lambda)$  (in a slightly modified form, cf. [3]). The form  $(, )$  on  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}(A)$  induces a bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$  which we also denote by  $(, )$ . Note that  $(, )$  is nondegenerate on  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$ . We define a bilinear form on  $\Gamma$  by setting  $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = a_{ij}$ ; we set  $h_\eta = \sum k_i h_i$  for  $\eta = \sum k_i \alpha_i$ . We choose in each  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$ , a basis  $e_\alpha^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ , and in  $\mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha}$  a dual basis  $e_{-\alpha}^{(i)}$ . We define  $\rho \in \mathfrak{G}^*$  by  $\rho(h_i) = 1/2a_{ii}$ ,  $i \in I$ . Finally, we define  $\Omega$  as follows:

$$\Omega(v) = ((\eta, \eta) - 2(\lambda + \rho)(h_\eta))v + 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_+} \sum_i e_{-\alpha}^{(i)} e_\alpha^{(i)}(v), v \in M(\lambda)_{-\eta}.$$

A direct verification (cf. [4, Proposition 2.7]) shows that  $\Omega = 0$ . This and the fact that  $M(\lambda)$  is irreducible if any vector killed by all  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma_+$ , lies in  $M(\lambda)_0$ , gives the following lemma (see [5] for a more precise statement).

LEMMA 1. *If  $(\eta, \eta) - 2(\lambda + \rho)(h_\eta) \neq 0$  for any  $\eta \in \Gamma_+$ , then the  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$ -module  $M(\lambda)$  is irreducible.*

Now we are able to complete the proof of Theorem 1. Consider the  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$ -module  $M = M(0)$ . The module  $M$  contains submodules  $L_i = U(\mathfrak{G}(A))(M(0)_{-\alpha_i})$ ; set  $L = \sum_i L_i$ . Clearly,  $\dim M/L = 1$  and the  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$ -module  $L_i$  is isomorphic to  $M(-\alpha_i)$ . Moreover, since  $(\eta, \eta) - 2(\rho - \alpha_i)(h_\eta) = T_{\eta + \alpha_i}$ , by Lemma 1,  $M(-\alpha_i)$  is irreducible and therefore  $L$  is a direct sum of  $L_i$ 's. Hence, we have  $\text{ch } M/L = 1 = \text{ch } M(0) - \sum_i \text{ch } M(-\alpha_i)$ . This gives the following formula:

$$(1) \quad \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma_+} (1 - e^{-\alpha})^{\dim \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha}} = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{-\alpha_i}.$$

But (1) is equivalent to the fact that  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  is freely generated by  $f_i$ ,  $i \in I$  (indeed, the inverse of the left-hand side of (1) is the generating function of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$ ; but  $\mathfrak{n}_-$  is free  $\iff U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  is free [6]  $\iff$  the generating function of  $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$  is the inverse of the right-hand side of (1)).

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. It follows from §II 6 of [1] (see also [2, Lemma 3.11]) that each time when  $A$  is not one of the matrices of (i) or (ii), the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$  contains  $K_2$  and therefore (by Theorem 1) contains a free subalgebra of rank 2.

REMARK. The problem about the defining relations for arbitrary  $\mathfrak{G}(A)$  is still open; the first unclear case is  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  (see the conjecture in [1, §II 7]). I think that this problem can be solved by a detailed study of the functions  $\varphi_\alpha$ .

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. V. G. Kac, *Simple irreducible graded Lie algebras of finite growth*, Math. USSR—Izv. **2** (1968), 1271–1311.
2. B. Ju. Weisfeiler and V. G. Kac, *Exponentials in Lie algebras of characteristic  $p$* , Math. USSR—Izv. **5** (1971), 777–803.
3. V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function*, Functional Anal. Appl. **8** (1974), 68–70.
4. ———, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, Dedekind's  $\eta$ -function, classical Möbius function and the very strange formula*, Advances in Math. **30** (1978), 85–136.
5. V. G. Kac and D. A. Kazhdan, *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Advances in Math. **34** (1979), 97–108.
6. N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139