RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

CLASSIFICATION OF THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF \$1(2, C)

BY RICHARD E. BLOCK

Let $\mathfrak g$ be a nonabelian Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field K of characteristic 0. One is interested in the (algebraically) irreducible representations of $\mathfrak g$ acting on a vector space which is allowed to be infinite dimensional. The subject of enveloping algebras is largely concerned with these, but even in the simplest nonabelian case, with $\mathfrak g=\mathfrak h$ the 3-dimensional (nilpotent) Heisenberg algebra, as Dixmier remarks in discussing the situation when $K=\mathbb C$ in the preface to [2], "a deeper study reveals the existence of an enormous number of irreducible representations of $\mathfrak h$... It seems that these representations defy classification. A similar phenomenon exists for $\mathfrak g=\mathfrak gl(2)$, and most certainly for all noncommutative Lie algebras."

However, as we shall see, the situation for \mathfrak{h} and for $\mathfrak{Sl}(2)$ turns out to be far nicer than hoped for. Indeed we announce here a determination and classification of all irreducible representations of \mathfrak{h} , of $\mathfrak{Sl}(2)$, and of the 2-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebra, and thus of the prototypes respectively of nilpotent, simple, and solvable Lie algebras. As a guide to the meaning of "classification" and because our results use the same invariants, consider a classical situation of an (associative) algebra for which the irreducible representations have long been classified, namely, the algebra B of formal linear differential operators with rational function coefficients, i.e., B = K(q)[p], the (noncommutative) polynomials in an indeterminate p where multiplication is determined by the relation pq - qp = 1. Then B is a left principal ideal domain. Therefore [3] a B-module M is simple if and only if $M \cong B/Bb$ for some $b \in B$ which is irreducible (i.e., b = ac implies a or c is a unit); and $B/Bb \cong B/Ba$ if and only if a and b are similar, i.e., there exists $c \in B$ such that $a \in B$ and a = ac in the principal ideal domain.

Received by the editors August 14, 1978.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 17B10, 17B35, 16A64; Secondary 16A04, 16A08, 16A18.

¹Research supported in part by grant NSF MCS 76-11413.

248 R. E. BLOCK

right g.c.d. and [b, c] a left l.c.m. (which always exist) (similar is the noncommutative generalization of associate).

The subalgebra K[q][p] of B generated by p, q is the Weyl algebra A_1 . Since $A_1 \cong U\mathfrak{h}/U\mathfrak{h}(z-\alpha)$ for $0 \neq z \in$ center \mathfrak{h} and $0 \neq \alpha \in K$, the problems of finding the irreducible representations for A_1 and for \mathfrak{h} are equivalent. Our solution for this problem as well as for $\mathfrak{El}(2)$ involves the new notion of preserving, defined in terms of certain polynomials which we now introduce. For $\alpha \in K$ let μ_{α} denote the valuation of K(q) determined by the prime $q-\alpha$ of K[q], and extend μ_{α} to a function (also denoted μ_{α}) on B by setting $\mu_{\alpha}(\Sigma_j b_j(q)p^j) = \min\{\mu_{\alpha}(b_j(q)) - j\mathfrak{h} \geqslant 0\}$. Then define $\theta_{\alpha,b}(\lambda) \in K[\lambda]$ ($\alpha \in K$, $b = \Sigma_j b_j(q)p^j \in B$) by

$$\theta_{\alpha,b}(\lambda) = \sum_{j} \{((q-\alpha)^{-\mu_{\alpha}b-j}b_{j}(q))(\alpha)\}(-1)^{j}\lambda(\lambda+1)\cdot\cdot\cdot(\lambda+j-1).$$

(It can be proved that μ_{α} is a valuation on B, and extends to a valuation on the quotient division ring whose residue field is $K(\lambda)$; then with φ_{α} the corresponding place, $\theta_{\alpha,b}(\lambda) = \varphi_{\alpha}((q-\alpha)^{-\mu_{\alpha}b}b)$.) Call b α -preserving if $\theta_{\alpha,b}(\lambda)$ has no positive integral root, and preserving it is α -preserving for all $\alpha \in K$. It can be shown that b is preserving if it is α -preserving for a certain finite set of α 's, in particular (when b is normalized to be in A_1) for the set of roots of the leading coefficient $b_r(q)$; thus if $K = \mathbb{C}$ the property of b being preserving is computable given the roots of $b_r(q)$.

The A_1 -module $(K[p], q - \alpha \text{ acts as } -d/dp)$ is simple and is precisely the simple A_1 -module for which q has α as an eigenvalue.

THEOREM 1. If $a \in B$ is irreducible and preserving then the A_1 -module $A_1/A_1 \cap Ba$ is simple.

THEOREM 2. If M is a simple A_1 -module then either $M \cong (K[p], q - \alpha$ acts as -d/dp) for some $\alpha \in K$ or $M \cong A_1/A_1 \cap Ba$ for some a as in Theorem 1.

Since the $A_1/A_1 \cap Ba$ above have no eigenvector for q, the following completes the classification of the simple A_1 -modules.

THEOREM 3. Two simple A_1 -modules $A_1/A_1 \cap Ba$, $A_1/A_1 \cap Bb$ are isomorphic if and only if a and b are similar (in B).

Now consider the case of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{Sl}(2,K)=\mathfrak{S}$, with canonical basis e,f,h. For $\beta\in K$ the map $e\to q,h\to 2qp-\beta,f\to -(qp-\beta)p$ extends to a homomorphism ρ_β of $U\mathfrak{S}$ to B. The simple \mathfrak{S} -modules for which e has an eigenvector v (with eigenvalue α) are as follows: if $\alpha=0$, the highest weight modules $L(\beta)$ ($\beta\in K$) (with $hv=\beta v$); if $\alpha\neq 0$, the simple Whittaker module $\operatorname{Wh}_\beta(\alpha)$ (see [1], [4]; $\alpha=\eta(e)$), with basis $t^0=v$, t^1 , ... where $ht^1=2t^{1+1}$, $et^1=\alpha(t-1)^1$, $ft^1=\alpha^{-1}(t+1)^1(-t-t^2+(\beta^2+2\beta)/4)$. The only isomorphisms among these

are $\operatorname{Wh}_{\beta}(\alpha) \cong \operatorname{Wh}_{\delta}(\alpha)$ whenever $\beta^2 + 2\beta = \delta^2 + 2\delta$. For any $\beta \in K$ write β' for the other root of $\lambda^2 + 2\lambda = \beta^2 + 2\beta$ i.e., $\beta' = -\beta - 2$.

THEOREM 4. Suppose $a \in U$ \$, $\beta \in K$, $\rho_{\beta}a$ is irreducible (in B) and $\rho_{\beta}a$ and $\rho_{\beta'}a$ are preserving. Then the U\$ -module $\rho_{\beta}U$ \$ $\rho_{\beta}U$ \$ \cap $B(\rho_{\beta}a)$ is simple.

THEOREM 5. If M is a simple U8-module then either $M \cong L(\beta)$ for some $\beta \in K$ or $M \cong \operatorname{Wh}_{\beta}(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in K$, $\alpha \neq 0$, or $M \cong \rho_{\beta}U8 / \rho_{\beta}U8 \cap B(\rho_{\beta}a)$ for some a as in Theorem 4.

Again the following completes the classification.

THEOREM 6. Two simple U8-modules $\rho_{\beta}U8/\rho_{\beta}U8 \cap B(\rho_{\beta}a)$, $\rho_{\delta}U8/\rho_{\delta}U8 \cap B(\rho_{\delta}b)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\beta^2 + 2\beta = \delta^2 + 2\delta$ and $\rho_{\beta}a$ and $\rho_{\beta}b$ are similar (in B).

Analogous results hold for the 2-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebra, realized say as the subalgebra $\mathfrak{b}=Kh+Ke$ of \mathfrak{S} , with the following changes: the simple \mathfrak{b} -modules for which e has an eigenvector are Wh₀(α) (for $\alpha \neq 0$) and, for each $\delta \in K$, $Kv \subseteq L(\delta)$; restrict β to 0 and change the condition on preserving to the condition that $\rho_0 a$ be preserving and $\theta_{0,\rho_0 a}(\lambda) \in K$ (or equivalently, $a=e^u(ec+\alpha)$ for some $u\in \mathbb{N}$, $c\in U\mathfrak{b}$ and $0\neq \alpha\in K$).

The ring B is the localization of its subrings A_1 and $\rho_{\beta}U$ 8 with respect to the multiplicative subset $S = K[q] - \{0\}$.

Theorem 7. Every simple B-module N contains a unique simple A_1 -submodule ψN and, for every $\beta \in K$, a unique simple $\rho_{\beta}U$ submodule $\psi_{\beta}N$; ψN (resp. $\psi_{\beta}N$) is contained in every nonzero A_1 - (resp. $\rho_{\beta}U$ -) submodule of N. Also $_BN\cong S^{-1}(\psi N)\cong S^{-1}(\psi_{\beta}N)$, and if M is a simple S-torsionfree A_1 -(resp. $\rho_{\beta}U$ -) module then $\psi(S^{-1}M)$ (resp. $\psi_{\beta}(S^{-1}M))\cong M$. Thus the map $N\to \psi N$ (resp. $N\to \psi_{\beta}N$) sets up a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of simple B-modules and the set of isomorphism classes of S-torsionfree simple A_1 -modules (resp. U\varepsilon-modules with the Casimir element $4fe+h^2+2h$ acting as $\beta^2+2\beta$).

Here is a formula involving the $\theta_{\alpha,b}(\lambda)$ which helps to explain their relevance to modules. If $a \in A$, then for the action on $(K[p], q - \alpha$ acts as -d/dp), for every positive integer s we have

(1)
$$(q-\alpha)^{-\mu}\alpha^a a \cdot p^{s-1} = \theta_{\alpha,b}(s)p^{s-1} + \text{lower terms.}$$

Somewhat similar formulas hold for the actions of U8 on $Wh_{\gamma}(\alpha)$ and $L(\delta)$. The proof of Theorem 1 begins by showing that a maximal ideal J of A properly containing $A \cap Ba$ intersects S, and so q has an eigenvector on A/J. Then one uses α -preserving and (1). Theorem 4 is similar. The remaining theorems use properties of minimal annihilators and localizations. Theorems 2, 5 and 7 also depend on the following.

250 R. E. BLOCK

LEMMA. If $b \in B$, there exists $d \in S$ such that bd^{-1} is preserving.

The proof of Theorem 7 also uses Theorem 1 and 4; if N = B/Bb where b is preserving then $\psi N = (A_1 + Bb)/Bb$.

REFERENCES

- D. Arnal and G. Pinczon, Sur certaines représentations de l'algèbre de Lie §[(2),
 C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 272 (1971), 1369-72.
- 2. J. Dixmier, Algèbres enveloppantes, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974; English transl., Enveloping algebras, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- 3. N. Jacobson, The theory of rings, Math. Surveys, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1943.
 - 4. B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92521