

## CELL-LIKE MAPPINGS OF HILBERT CUBE MANIFOLDS: APPLICATIONS TO SIMPLE HOMOTOPY THEORY

BY T. A. CHAPMAN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by R. D. Anderson, March 19, 1973

**ABSTRACT.** In this note an infinite-dimensional result is established which implies the following finite-dimensional result as a special case: If  $K, L$  are finite CW-complexes and  $f$  is a map of  $K$  onto  $L$  such that each point-inverse has trivial shape, then  $f$  is a simple homotopy equivalence.

**1. Introduction.** A *Hilbert cube manifold*, or  *$Q$ -manifold*, is a separable metric manifold modeled on the Hilbert cube  $Q$ . A mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be *CE*, or *cell-like*, provided that  $f$  is onto, proper (i.e. the inverse image of each compactum is compact), and each point-inverse  $f^{-1}(y)$  has trivial shape (in the sense of Borsuk [1]). Here is the main result of this note.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $X, Y$  are  $Q$ -manifolds and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a CE mapping, then  $f$  is proper homotopic to a homeomorphism of  $X$  onto  $Y$ .*

The key technical result needed for the proof of Theorem 1 is the solution of an infinite-dimensional CE handle problem, which is stated in Lemma 2 here and is the main result of [7]. The proof of Lemma 2 uses a considerable amount of infinite-dimensional topology along with the torus technique of [10], which was crucial in establishing a corresponding finite-dimensional result.

A CW-complex is *strongly locally-finite* provided that it is the union of a countable, locally-finite collection of finite subcomplexes. The following is an application of Theorem 1 to infinite simple homotopy equivalences of strongly locally-finite CW-complexes (see [9] for a definition of an infinite simple homotopy equivalence).

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $K, L$  are strongly locally-finite CW-complexes and  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a CE mapping, then  $f$  is an infinite simple homotopy equivalence.*

This generalizes a result of the author's [6], where it was shown that any homeomorphism between strongly locally-finite CW-complexes is an infinite simple homotopy equivalence. We remark that Cohen [8] had

---

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57C10; Secondary 58B05.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert cube manifold, CE mapping, infinite simple homotopy equivalence.

<sup>1</sup> Supported in part by NSF Grant GP-28374.

previously established a version of Theorem 2 for *CE* mappings of finite simplicial complexes in which the mappings are *PL*. More recently R. D. Edwards has proved a version of Theorem 2 for arbitrary *CE* mappings of countable, locally-finite, simplicial complexes.

**2. Some lemmas.** If  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are maps and  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ , then we say that  $f$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $g$  provided that for each  $x \in X$  there exists a  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing both  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ . If  $A \subset Y$ , then we say that  $f = g$  over  $A$  provided that  $f^{-1}(A) = g^{-1}(A)$  and  $f|_{f^{-1}(A)} = g|_{g^{-1}(A)}$ . We also say that  $f$  is 1-1 over  $A$  provided that  $f|_{f^{-1}(A)}$  is 1-1.

If  $X$  is a  $Q$ -manifold, then a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  is a *Z-set* in  $X$  provided that given any nonnull and contractible open subset  $U$  of  $X$ ,  $U \setminus A$  is also nonnull and contractible. The following result is established in [7].

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $Q$ -manifolds,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a *CE* mapping, and let  $A \subset Y$  be a *Z-set* in  $Y$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ , then there exists a *CE* mapping  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g$  is 1-1 over  $A$ ,  $g^{-1}(A)$  is a *Z-set* in  $X$ , and  $g$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f$ .*

For notation for the next result let  $R^n$  denote Euclidean  $n$ -space (where  $R^1 = R$ ) and let  $B_r^n$  denote the standard  $n$ -ball of radius  $r$ , with interior  $\text{Int}(B_r^n)$  and boundary  $\text{Bd}(B_r^n) = S_r^{n-1}$ . The following is the *CE* handle result of [7].

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $Q$ -manifold and let  $f: X \rightarrow B_1^k \times R^n \times Q$  be a *CE* mapping, for  $k \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $f$  is 1-1 over  $S_1^{k-1} \times R^n \times Q$  and  $f^{-1}(S_1^{k-1} \times R^n \times Q)$  is a *Z-set* in  $X$ . Then there exists a *CE* mapping  $g: X \rightarrow B_1^k \times R^n \times Q$  such that  $g = f$  over  $(S_1^{k-1} \times R^n \times Q) \cup (B_1^k \times (R^n \setminus \text{Int}(B_2^n)) \times Q)$  and  $g$  is 1-1 over  $B_1^k \times B_1^n \times Q$ .*

We now use Lemmas 1 and 2 to prove the following result which will be needed in the proof of Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $Q$ -manifolds,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a *CE* mapping,  $K$  be a finite simplicial complex, and let  $\varphi: K \times Q \times R \rightarrow Y$  be an open embedding. Then there exists *CE* mapping  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f$  over  $Y \setminus \varphi(K \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ ,  $g$  is 1-1 over  $\varphi(K \times Q \times [-1, 1])$ , and*

$$g \simeq f \text{ rel } X \setminus f^{-1}\varphi(K \times Q \times (-2, 2)).$$

**PROOF.** By taking a regular neighborhood of  $K$  in an Euclidean space we get a compact, combinatorial,  $n$ -manifold  $M$  which is simple homotopy equivalent to  $K$ . The main result of [11] asserts that if  $A$  and  $B$  are simple homotopy equivalent finite simplicial complexes, then  $A \times Q$  is homeomorphic to  $B \times Q$ . Thus no generality is lost by replacing  $K$  with a compact, combinatorial,  $n$ -manifold  $M$ .

By choosing a *PL* handle decomposition of  $M$  we can write  $M = M_{-1} \cup M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_n$ , where  $M_{-1}$  is a regular neighborhood of  $\partial M$  and each  $M_i$  is a compact *PL* submanifold of  $M$  which is obtained from  $M_{i-1}$  by adding handles of index  $i$ . For each  $i$ ,  $-1 \leq i \leq n$ , we will show how to construct a *CE* mapping  $g_i: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g_i = f$  over  $Y \setminus \varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ ,  $g_i$  is 1-1 over a neighborhood of

$$\varphi(M_i \times Q \times [-1, 1]),$$

and  $g_i \simeq f \text{ rel } X \setminus f^{-1}\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ .

For the construction of  $g_{-1}$  we first note that  $\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$  is a  $Z$ -set in the  $Q$ -manifold  $\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ . Applying Lemma 1 to the restricted *CE* mapping

$$f|: f^{-1}\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2)) \rightarrow \varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$$

we can find a *CE* mapping  $g'_{-1}: f^{-1}\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2)) \rightarrow \varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$  such that  $g'_{-1}$  is 1-1 over  $\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$ ,  $(g'_{-1})^{-1}\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $f^{-1}\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ , and  $g'_{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f|$ , for any prechosen open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ . By choosing  $\mathcal{U}$  sufficiently fine we can extend  $g'_{-1}$  to a *CE* mapping  $\tilde{g}_{-1}: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\tilde{g}_{-1} = f$  over  $Y \setminus \varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$  and  $\tilde{g}_{-1} \simeq f \text{ rel } X \setminus f^{-1}\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$ . The collaring theorem of [3], which asserts that every  $Q$ -manifold which is a  $Z$ -set in another  $Q$ -manifold is also collared in that  $Q$ -manifold, implies that  $\tilde{g}_{-1}^{-1}\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$  has a collar neighborhood in  $X$ . That is, there exists an open embedding

$$\alpha: \tilde{g}_{-1}^{-1}\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1]) \times [0, 1) \rightarrow X$$

such that  $\alpha(x, 0) = x$ , for all  $x \in \tilde{g}_{-1}^{-1}\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$ . It is also true that  $\partial M \times [-1, 1]$  has a collar neighborhood in  $M \times (-2, 2)$  which contains  $M_{-1} \times [-1, 1]$ . This is a finite-dimensional problem and uses the fact that  $M_{-1}$  is a regular neighborhood of  $\partial M$ . Thus  $\varphi(\partial M \times Q \times [-1, 1])$  has a collar neighborhood in  $\varphi(M \times Q \times (-2, 2))$  which contains  $\varphi(M_{-1} \times Q \times [-1, 1])$ . Using these collar neighborhoods it is easy to modify  $\tilde{g}_{-1}$  to get our desired  $g_{-1}$ .

To construct  $g_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , we just inductively work our way through the handles of the decomposition, applying Lemma 2 repeatedly. We leave the details to the reader.

Finally we will need a relative version of Theorem 1 for the compact case.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $X, Y$  be compact  $Q$ -manifolds,  $A \subset Y$  be a  $Z$ -set, and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a *CE* mapping such that  $f$  is 1-1 over  $A$  and  $f^{-1}(A)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ . Then there exists a homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g = f$  on  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $g \simeq f \text{ rel } f^{-1}(A)$ .*

**PROOF.** The triangulation theorem of [4] asserts that  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $K \times Q$ , for some finite simplicial complex  $K$ . The comments made at the beginning of the proof of Lemma 3 imply that  $Y$  is homeomorphic to  $M \times Q$ , for some compact, combinatorial,  $n$ -manifold  $M$ . Thus  $Y$  can be replaced by  $M \times Q$  and the main theorem of [2] concerning  $Z$ -sets in  $Q$ -manifolds implies that we can assume  $A \subset \partial M \times Q$ . [The main theorem of [3] implies that there is a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $M \times Q$  onto  $M \times [0, 1] \times Q$  which takes  $A$  into  $M \times \{0\} \times Q$ . Then  $h(A) \subset \partial(M') \times Q$ , where  $M' = M \times [0, 1]$ .]

Using Lemma 1 let  $\tilde{g}_{-1}: X \rightarrow M \times Q$  be a  $CE$  mapping such that  $\tilde{g}_{-1}$  is 1-1 over  $\partial M \times Q$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{-1}^{-1}(\partial M \times Q)$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$ ,  $\tilde{g}_{-1}$  is  $\mathcal{U}$ -close to  $f$ , for any prechosen open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $Y$ , and  $\tilde{g}_{-1} = f$  over  $A$ . [To see this we apply Lemma 1 to the restricted  $CE$  mapping  $f|: X \setminus f^{-1}(A) \rightarrow (M \times Q) \setminus A$ .] If  $\mathcal{U}$  is sufficiently fine, then we have  $\tilde{g}_{-1} \simeq f \text{ rel } f^{-1}(A)$ .

As in the proof of Lemma 3 let  $M = M_{-1} \cup M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_n$  be a  $PL$  handle decomposition of  $M$  and modify  $\tilde{g}_{-1}$  to get a  $CE$  mapping  $g_{-1}: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g_{-1}$  is 1-1 over a neighborhood of  $M_{-1} \times Q$ ,  $g_{-1} = \tilde{g}_{-1}$  over  $\partial M \times Q$ , and  $g_{-1} \simeq \tilde{g}_{-1} \text{ rel } \tilde{g}_{-1}^{-1}(\partial M \times Q)$ . Then we inductively work our way through the handles in a standard manner, applying Lemma 2 at each step.

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** In Lemma 4 we treated the compact case so let us assume that we have a  $CE$  mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are noncompact  $Q$ -manifolds. The triangulation theorem of [5] implies that we can replace  $Y$  by  $K \times Q$ , where  $K$  is a countable, locally-finite, simplicial complex. Write  $K = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ , where each  $K_n$  is a finite subcomplex of  $K$  such that  $K_n \subset \text{Int}(K_{n+1})$  and  $\text{Bd}(K_n)$  is a finite subcomplex which is  $PL$  bicollared in  $K$ . [To achieve this we might have to subdivide  $K$ .] Thus for each  $n \geq 1$  we have an open embedding

$$\varphi_n: \text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q \times R \rightarrow (\text{Int}(K_{n+1}) \setminus K_{n-1}) \times Q$$

such that  $\varphi_n(x, q, 0) = (x, q)$ , for all  $(x, q) \in \text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q$ . Moreover the  $\varphi_n$ 's can be chosen so that their images are pairwise disjoint.

It follows from Lemma 3 that there exists a  $CE$  mapping  $g_1: X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$g_1 = f \text{ over } (K \times Q) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q \times (-2, 2)),$$

$$g_1 \text{ is 1-1 over } \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q \times [-1, 1]),$$

and  $g_1$  is proper homotopic to  $f$ . Now consider the restricted  $CE$  mapping

$g_1|: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ , where

$$Y_1 = (K \times Q) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q \times (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})) \quad \text{and} \quad X_1 = g_1^{-1}(Y_1).$$

Note that  $Y_1$  is the union of compact  $Q$ -manifolds which are pairwise disjoint. Moreover the topological boundaries of these compact  $Q$ -manifolds (i.e. boundaries in  $K \times Q$ ) are  $Z$ -sets in  $Y_1$  such that  $g_1|$  is 1-1 over each one and the inverse image of each one under  $g_1|$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X_1$ . Thus Lemma 4, applied to these compact  $Q$ -manifolds, gives a homeomorphism  $\tilde{g}_2: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$  which extends to a homeomorphism  $g_2: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $g_2 = g_1$  over  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\text{Bd}(K_n) \times Q \times [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}])$  and  $g_2$  is proper homotopic to  $g_1$ . Thus  $g_2$  is our required homeomorphism.

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.** In [6] it was shown that if  $K, L$  are strongly locally-finite CW-complexes and  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a proper homotopy equivalence, then  $f$  is an infinite simple homotopy equivalence if  $f \times \text{id}: K \times Q \rightarrow L \times Q$  is proper homotopic to a homeomorphism of  $K \times Q$  onto  $L \times Q$ .

If  $f: K \rightarrow L$  is a  $CE$  mapping, then  $f \times \text{id}: K \times Q \rightarrow L \times Q$  is also a  $CE$  mapping. It follows from [12] that  $K \times Q$  and  $L \times Q$  are  $Q$ -manifolds. Thus Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1.

**5. Open questions.** We list here two questions which are related to Theorem 2 but which do not appear to be susceptible to the same techniques. In what follows let  $A$  be a compact ANR and let  $K, L$  be finite CW-complexes.

**Question 1.** *If  $f: K \rightarrow A, g: L \rightarrow A$  are  $CE$  mappings, then does there exist a simple homotopy equivalence  $h: K \rightarrow L$  such that  $gh \simeq f$ ?*

**Question 2.** *If  $f: A \rightarrow K, g: A \rightarrow L$  are  $CE$  mappings, then does there exist a simple homotopy equivalence  $h: K \rightarrow L$  such that  $hf \simeq g$ ?*

#### REFERENCES

1. K. Borsuk, *Concerning homotopy properties of compacta*, Fund. Math. **62** (1968), 223–254. MR **37** #4811.
2. T. A. Chapman, *Dense sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 399–426. MR **44** #1058.
3. ———, *On the structure of Hilbert cube manifolds*, Compositio Math. **24** (1972), 329–353.
4. ———, *Compact Hilbert cube manifolds and the invariance of Whitehead torsion*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), 52–56.
5. ———, *All Hilbert cube manifolds are triangulable* (preprint).
6. ———, *Classification of Hilbert cube manifolds and infinite simple homotopy types* (preprint).
7. ———, *Cell-like mappings of Hilbert cube manifolds: Solution of a handle problem* (in preparation).

8. M. Cohen, *Simplicial structures and transverse cellularity*, Ann. of Math. (2) **85** (1967), 218–245. MR **35** #1037.

9. L. C. Siebenmann, *Infinite simple homotopy types*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 73 = Indag. Math. **32** (1970), 479–495. MR **44** #4746.

10. ———, *Approximating cellular maps by homeomorphisms*, Topology **11** (1972), 271–294. MR **45** #4431.

11. J. E. West, *Infinite products which are Hilbert cubes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 1–25. MR **42** #1055.

12. ———, *Mapping cylinders of Hilbert cube factors*, General Topology and Appl. **1** (1971), no. 2, 111–125. MR **44** #5984.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY 40506