GEOMETRIC PROGRAMMING: A UNIFIED DUALITY THEORY FOR QUADRATICALLY CONSTRAINED QUADRATIC PROGRAMS AND l_p -CONSTRAINED l_p -APPROXIMATION PROBLEMS¹

BY ELMOR L. PETERSON AND J. G. ECKER

Communicated by L. Cesari, August 31, 1967

The duality theory of geometric programming as developed by Duffin, Peterson, and Zener [1] is based on abstract properties shared by certain classical inequalities, such as Cauchy's arithmeticgeometric mean inequality and Hölder's inequality. Inequalities with these abstract properties have been termed "geometric inequalities" ([1, p. 195]). We have found a new geometric inequality, which we state below, and have used it to extend the "refined duality theory" of geometric programming developed by Duffin and Peterson ([2] and [1, Chapter VI]). This extended duality theory treats both quadratically-constrained quadratic programs and l_p -constrained l_p -approximation problems. By a quadratically constrained quadratic program we mean: to minimize a positive semidefinite quadratic function, subject to inequality constraints expressed in terms of the same type of functions. By an l_p -constrained l_p -approximation problem we mean: to minimize the l_p norm of the difference between a fixed vector and a variable linear combination of other fixed vectors, subject to inequality constraints expressed by means of l_p norms.

Both the classical unsymmetrical duality theorems for linear programming (Gale, Kuhn and Tucker [3], and Dantzig and Orden [4]) and the unsymmetrical duality theorems for linearly-constrained quadratic programs (Dennis [5], Dorn [6], [7], Wolfe [8], Hanson [9], Mangasarian [10], Huard [11], and Cottle [12]) can be derived from the extended duality theorems that we state below and have proved on the basis of the new geometric inequality.

The new geometric inequality is

$$\sum_{1}^{N+1} x_{i} y_{i} \leq y_{N+1} \left(\sum_{1}^{N} p_{i}^{-1} | x_{i} - b_{i}|^{p_{i}} + (x_{N+1} - b_{N+1}) \right) + \sum_{1}^{N} \left(q_{i}^{-1} y_{N+1}^{(1-q_{i})} | y_{i}|^{q_{i}} + b_{i} y_{i} \right) + b_{N+1} y_{N+1},$$

¹ Research partially supported by US-Army Research Office Durham, Grant 07701, at the University of Michigan.

which is valid for each x in E_{N+1} and each y in the cone

$$T = \{ y \in E_{N+1} | y_{N+1} \ge 0, \text{ and } y_{N+1} = 0 \text{ only if } y = 0 \},$$

with the understanding that $\sum_{1}^{N} q_i^{-1} y_{N+1}^{(1-q_i)} |y_i|^{q_i}$ is defined to be zero when y = 0. Here $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{N+1})$ is an arbitrary, but fixed, vector in E_{N+1} , and p_i and q_i are arbitrary, but fixed, real numbers that satisfy the conditions p_i , $q_i > 1$ and $1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Every quadratically-constrained quadratic program and every l_p -constrained l_p -approximation problem are special cases of the following program.

PRIMAL PROGRAM A. Find the infimum of $G_0(x)$ subject to the following constraints on x.

- (1) $G_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0$ for each k in $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$.
- (2) $x \in \mathcal{O}$.

Here

$$G_k(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{[k]} p_i^{-1} |x_i - b_i|^{p_i} + (x_{[k[} - b_{[k[}), k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, r,$$

where

$$[k] = \{m_k, m_k + 1, \dots, n_k\}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

 $[k] = n_k + 1, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r,$

and

$$m_0 = 1, m_1 = n_0 + 2, m_2 = n_1 + 2, \dots, m_r = n_{r-1} + 2, n_r + 1 = n.$$

The vector $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ is an arbitrary, but fixed, vector in E_n , and the arbitrary, but fixed, constants p_i satisfy the condition $p_i > 1$ for each i in [k], $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$. The set \mathfrak{P} is a fixed, but arbitrary, vector subspace of E_n .

To put an arbitrary quadratically-constrained quadratic program with m independent variables z_1, \dots, z_m into the form of primal program A, first observe that each positive semidefinite quadratic function $(\frac{1}{2})z^tC_z+c^tz$ can be factored as $(\frac{1}{2})(Dz)^t(Dz)+c^tz$ where D is an appropriate $m \times m$ matrix. In particular, the objective function for such a program can be factored to give a matrix D_0 and a row vector \mathbf{c}_0^t . Correspondingly, the kth constraint can be factored to give a matrix D_k and a row vector \mathbf{c}_k^t . Let $M = [D_0, \mathbf{c}_0^t, D_1, \mathbf{c}_1^t, \dots, D_r, \mathbf{c}_r^t]^t$ and specialize primal program A by letting

$$n_k = (k+1)m+k,$$
 $k=0, 1, \dots, r$
 $p_i = 2$ for each $i \in [k]$, $k=0, 1, \dots, r$,
 $b_i = 0$ for each $i \in [k]$, $k=0, 1, \dots, r$.

Finally, identify \mathcal{O} with the column space of the above matrix M; that is, let $\mathbf{x} = M\mathbf{z}$. With these specializations, primal program A is equivalent to the quadratically-constrained quadratic program.

All linearly-constrained quadratic programs can be obtained from the most general quadratically-constrained quadratic program by choosing the *i*th row vector of M equal to $\mathbf{0}$ for each i in [k], $k=1, 2, \cdots, r$. Moreover, all linear programs can be obtained by further restricting M so that its ith row vector equals $\mathbf{0}$ for each i in [0].

To obtain from primal program A the most general l_p -constrained l_p -approximation problem with m spanning vectors, choose $p_i = p_k$ for each i in [k], $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$, and identify $\mathcal O$ with the column space of an arbitrary $n \times m$ matrix M with]k[th row vector equal to $\mathbf O$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$.

The dual program corresponding to primal program A is

DUAL PROGRAM B. Find the supremum of v(y) subject to the following constraints on y.

- (1) $y_{10} = 1$.
- (2) For each integer k in $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ the vector component $y_{1k} \ge 0$, and $y_{1k} = 0$ only if $y_i = 0$ for each i in [k].
- (3) y∈D.

Here

$$v(y) = -\sum_{k=0}^{r} \left\{ \sum_{[k]} \left(q_i^{-1} y_{[k]}^{(1-q_i)} \mid y_i \mid^{q_i} + b_i y_i \right) + b_{[k]} y_{[k]} \right\}$$

where [k],]k[, and r are as defined in primal program A. The fixed vector $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ is identical to the vector \mathbf{b} of primal program A, and the constants q_i are determined from the constants p_i of primal program A by the condition

$$1/p_i + 1/q_i = 1$$
 for each i in [k], $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, r$.

The subset \mathfrak{D} of E_n is the orthogonal complement of the vector subspace \mathfrak{G} of primal program A.

If for primal program A we take any linearly-constrained quadratic program, or any linear program, then correspondingly dual program B reduces to the well-known dual program. To recognize this, two recollections and two elementary observations are needed. First, recall that appropriate row vectors of the matrix M must be set equal to $\mathbf{0}$ and then observe that the dual variable y_i corresponding to such a row vector is essentially unconstrained. Second, recall that $b_i = 0$

for each i in [k], $k=0, 1, 2, \cdots, r$, and then observe from the form of the resulting dual objective function v that when the variable y_i is essentially unconstrained for some i in some [k] this variable y_i can be set equal to zero without changing the constrained supremum of v(y). In the linearly-constrained quadratic case v reduces to the quadratic function

$$v(y) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{[0]} y_i^2 - b_{[0]} - \sum_{1}^{r} b_{[k]} y_{[k]}.$$

In the completely linear case v further reduces to the linear function

$$v(y) = -b_{10}[-\sum_{1}^{r} b_{1k}[y_{1k}].$$

We shall use the following standard terminology in stating our duality theorems. The objective function for a program is the function to be optimized (G_0 or v in our theory). A program is consistent if there is at least one point that satisfies its constraints. Each such point is said to be a feasible solution to the program. The constrained infimum (supremum) of the objective function for a consistent program is termed the infimum (supremum) of the program. A feasible solution to a program is optimal if the resulting value of the objective function is actually equal to the infimum (supremum) of the program. The infimum (supremum) of a program with an optimal feasible solution is said to be the minimum (maximum) of the program. Thus a program can have an infimum (supremum) without having a minimum (maximum), but not conversely.

The following existence theorem relates primal program A and its dual program B.

THEOREM 1. If primal program A is consistent, then it has a finite infimum M_A if, and only if, its dual program B is consistent. If dual program B is consistent, then it has a finite supremum M_B if, and only if, its primal program A is consistent.

Unlike Theorem 1, the following duality theorem is not symmetrical relative to primal program A and its dual program B.

THEOREM 2. If primal program A and its dual program B are both consistent, then

- (I) Program A has a finite infimum M_A and program B has a finite supremum M_B , with $M_A = M_B$.
 - (II) The infimum M_A of program A is actually a minimum.

(III) The supremum M_B of program B is actually a maximum if, and only if, there are nonnegative Kuhn-Tucker (Lagrange) multipliers that solve the saddle-point problem for program A.

Conclusion III can be strengthened so as to make Theorem 2 symmetrical relative to primal program A and its dual program B, if the class of programs is restricted to those programs for which primal program A has linear constraints. This strengthening is due to the well-known fact that each convex program with linear constraints has Kuhn-Tucker multipliers if it has a minimum.

The following duality theorem characterizes the sets of optimal feasible solutions.

THEOREM 3. Given an optimal feasible solution x' to primal program A, a feasible solution y to dual program B is optimal if, and only if, y satisfies the conditions

$$y_{1k}[G_k(x') = 0, k = 1, 2, \cdots, r]$$

and

$$y_i = y_{lk}(\operatorname{sgn}[x_i' - b_i]) | x_i' - b_i|^{p_i-1}, i \in [k], k = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

For such an optimal feasible solution y' the numbers y'_{1kl} , $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, are Kuhn-Tucker multipliers for primal program A. Given an optimal feasible solution y' to dual program B, a feasible solution x to primal program A is optimal if, and only if, x satisfies the conditions

$$y_{1k}[G_k(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots, r,$$

and

$$x_i = (\operatorname{sgn} y_i')(|y_i'|/y_{1kl}')^{(q_i-1)} + b_i, i \in [k], k \in P,$$

where

$$P = \{k \mid y'_{1k} > 0\}.$$

Proofs for the preceding theorems will be given elsewhere. Sensitivity analyses and a computational algorithm that takes advantage of the essentially linear of the dual constraints will be described in forthcoming papers.

References

- 1. R. J. Duffin, E. L. Peterson and C. Zener, Geometric programming, Wiley, New York, 1967.
- 2. R. J. Duffin and E. L. Peterson, Duality theory for geometric programming, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14 (1966), 1307-1349.

- 3. D. Gale, H. W. Kuhn and A. W. Tucker, Linear programming and the theory of games, Cowles Commission Monograph No. 13 (1951).
- 4. G. B. Dantzig and A. Orden, *Duality theorems*, RAND Report RM-1265, The RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, Calif., October, 1953.
- 5. J. B. Dennis, Mathematical programming and electrical networks, Wiley, New York, 1959.
- 6. W. S. Dorn, Duality in quadratic programming, Quart. Appl. Math. 18 (1960-1961), 155-162.
 - 7. ———, A duality theorem for convex programs, IBM Journal, 4 (1960), 407-413.
- 8. P. Wolfe, A duality theorem for nonlinear programming, Quart. Appl. Math. 19 (1961), 239-244.
- 9. M. A. Hanson, A duality theorem in nonlinear programming with nonlinear constraints, Australian J. of Statistics 3 (1961), 64-72.
- 10. O. L. Mangasarian, Duality in nonlinear programming, Quart. Appl. Math. 20 (1962-1963), 300-302.
- 11. P. Huard, "Dual programs" in Recent advances in mathematical programming, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963.
- 12. R. W. Cottle, Symmetric dual quadratic programs, Quart. Appl. Math. 21 (1963-1964), 237-243.

University of Michigan