

# HIGHER PRODUCTS

BY DAVID KRAINES<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by W. S. Massey, September 8, 1965

W. S. Massey has defined a class of higher order cohomology operations of several variables, the higher products [2]. In this paper, we shall present a relativized definition of the higher products. We shall go on to list some of the algebraic and functorial properties of these operations. Finally, we shall describe a related cohomology operation of one variable. In certain cases, the latter operation can be computed in terms of primary Steenrod operations.

**1. Notation and definitions.** Throughout this paper, let  $\bar{X}$  be a topological space and let  $(X_i, A_i)$  be pairs of subspaces of  $\bar{X}$ , for  $i=1, \dots, k$ , such that  $\bigcup_{r=1}^k A_r \subset \bigcap_{r=1}^k X_r$ . Furthermore, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ , assume that the triads  $(\bar{X}, A_i, A_j)$  are excisive in the singular cohomology theory. This condition is satisfied if each  $X_i$  and  $A_i$  are open in  $\bar{X}$  or if  $\bar{X}$  is a CW complex and each  $X_i$  and  $A_i$  are subcomplexes. Let  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  be cohomology classes in the singular cohomology groups  $H^{p_1}(X_1, A_1), \dots, H^{p_k}(X_k, A_k)$  respectively, where the coefficients are in a fixed commutative ring  $R$  with identity. Finally, let  $p(i, j) = \sum_{r=i}^j p_r - 1$  and  $(X, A) = (\bigcap_{r=1}^k X_r, \bigcup_{r=1}^k A_r)$ .

Under certain conditions, we may define the  $k$ -fold product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$ . Our definition shall be similar to the provisional definition of Massey [2].

**DEFINITION 1.** A defining system for  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle, A$ , is a set of singular cochains  $(a_{i,j})$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$  and  $(i, j) \neq (1, k)$ , satisfying the conditions:

$$(1.1) \quad a_{i,j} \in C^{p(i,j)+1}(\bigcap_{r=i}^j X_r, \bigcup_{r=i}^j A_r),$$

$$(1.2) \quad a_{i,i} \text{ is a cocycle representative of } u_i, \quad i=1, \dots, k \text{ and}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \delta a_{i,j} = \sum_{r=i}^{j-1} (-1)^{(j+1-r)p(i,r)} a_{i,r} a_{r+1,j}.$$

The related cocycle of  $A$  is the singular cocycle of  $C^*(X, A)$

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{(k+1-r)p(1,r)} a_{1,r} a_{r+1,k}.$$

**DEFINITION 2.** The  $k$ -fold product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is said to be defined if there is a defining system for it. If it is defined, then  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  consists of all classes  $w \in H^{p(1,k)+2}(X, A)$  for which there exists a defining system  $A$  whose related cocycle represents  $w$ .

If  $k=2$ , then the higher product  $\langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$  is the ordinary cup product

---

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by the National Science Foundation grant GP 2497. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor E. Spanier for his guidance.

$u_1u_2$ . If  $k=3$ , then  $\langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$  is defined if and only if the cup products  $u_1u_2=0$  and  $u_2u_3=0$ . In this case the related cocycles are of the form  $a_{12}a_{33}-(-1)^{p_1}a_{11}a_{23}$ . This is a secondary operation, the Massey triple product as defined in [4].

The  $k$ -fold product is a  $(k-1)$ -order cohomology operation of  $k$  variables. In order for  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  to be defined, it is necessary that the  $(k-2)$ -order operations  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle$  be defined and contain the zero element. In general this condition is not sufficient. There must exist defining systems  $A'$  and  $A''$  for  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle$  respectively, for which not only do the related cocycles of each cobound but also  $a'_{i,j}=a''_{i,j}$  for  $1 < i \leq j < k$ . In this case, we say that  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{k-1} \rangle$  and  $\langle u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle$  vanish simultaneously.

**2. Properties.** We take the position that the higher products are analogous to the cohomology cup product. The properties listed below are generalizations of well-known relations satisfied by the cup product.

**2.1. Naturality.** For  $i=1, \dots, k$ , let  $(Y_i, B_i)$  be pairs of subspaces of the topological space  $\bar{Y}$  satisfying the conditions of §1. Let  $g: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{X}$  be a continuous map such that the image of  $(Y_i, B_i)$  under  $g$  is contained in  $(X_i, A_i)$  and denote by  $g_i: (Y_i, B_i) \rightarrow (X_i, A_i)$  the induced map. Also, with  $(Y, B) = (\bigcap_{r=1}^k Y_r, \bigcup_{r=1}^k B_r)$ , let  $\bar{g}: (Y, B) \rightarrow (X, A)$  be the induced map. If  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined, then so is  $\langle g_1^*u_1, \dots, g_k^*u_k \rangle$  and  $\bar{g}^*\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle \subset \langle g_1^*u_1, \dots, g_k^*u_k \rangle$ .

**2.2. Scalar multiplication.** Assume that the product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined. Then  $\langle u_1, \dots, xu_i, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined for any  $x \in R$ ,  $t=1, \dots, k$  and  $x\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle \subset \langle u_1, \dots, xu_i, \dots, u_k \rangle$ .

**2.3. Coboundary formula.** For some  $t=1, \dots, k$ , assume that  $(X_t, A_t) = (B, C)$  and  $(X_i, A_i) = (Y, C)$  for  $i \neq t$ , where  $(Y, B, C)$  is a triple of topological spaces. If  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined as a subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+2}(B, C)$ , then  $\langle u_1, \dots, \delta u_t, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined as a subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+2}(Y, B)$  and

$$\delta\langle u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots, u_k \rangle \subset (-1)^m \langle u_1, \dots, \delta u_t, \dots, u_k \rangle$$

with  $m = \sum_{r=1}^{t-1} p_r + k$ .

**2.4. Loop suspension.** Let  $\pi: PX \rightarrow X$  be the path loop fibration over  $X$ . Then  $E_A = \pi^{-1}(A)$  is the space of paths in  $X$  starting from the base point and ending in  $A$ . The relative loop suspension homomorphism  $\sigma: H^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(E_A)$  is defined as the composite map

$$H^n(X, A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^n(PX, E_A) \xleftarrow{\delta} H^{n-1}(E_A).$$

Assume that  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined as a subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+2}(X, A)$ . Then  $\sigma \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is the subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+1}(E_A)$  consisting solely of the zero element.

2.5. *Associativity.* Let  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  be defined as a subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+2}(X, A)$  and let  $v \in H^q(X', A')$ , where  $(X', A')$  is also a pair of subspaces of  $\bar{X}$ . Then the  $k$ -fold product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_t v, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined for each  $t = 1, \dots, k$  as a subset of  $H^{p(1,k)+q+2}(X \cap X', A \cup A')$  and satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle v &\subset \langle u_1, \dots, u_k v \rangle, \\ v \langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle &\subset (-1)^{kq} \langle v u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle u_1, \dots, u_t v, u_{t+1}, \dots, u_k \rangle \cap \langle u_1, \dots, u_t, v u_{t+1}, \dots, u_k \rangle \neq \emptyset.$$

These relations may be interpreted as equalities modulo the sum of the indeterminacies.

2.6. *Symmetry.* Assume that the higher product  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle$  is defined. Then the symmetric product  $\langle u_k, \dots, u_1 \rangle$  is also defined and  $\langle u_1, \dots, u_k \rangle = (-1)^n \langle u_k, \dots, u_1 \rangle$  with  $n = \sum_{1 \leq r < s \leq k} p_r p_s + (k-1)(k-2)/2$ .

2.7. *Permutability.* Assume that all the  $k$ -fold products  $\langle u_t, \dots, u_k, u_1, \dots, u_{t-1} \rangle$  are defined simultaneously as subsets of  $H^{p(1,k)+2}(X, A)$ . Then there are classes  $w_t \in \langle u_t, \dots, u_{t-1} \rangle$ , for  $t = 1, \dots, k$ , such that  $\sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t(k+1)+\pi(t)} w_t = 0$ , where  $\pi(1) = 0$  and  $\pi(t) = (p_1 + \dots + p_{t-1})(p_t + \dots + p_k)$  for  $t > 1$ .

The proofs of these formulas and relations are computational in nature. For the proof of 2.5, we use the  $u_1$ -product of Steenrod [3] and a formula of G. Hirsch [1]. The formulas 2.6 and 2.7 require the use of a set of "commuting" chain homotopies which we may construct by means of the acyclic model theorem.

3. **The operation  $\langle u \rangle^k$ .** If we assume that  $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_k = u \in H^m(X, A)$ , then we can define a related higher order cohomology operation  $\langle u \rangle^k$  with less indeterminacy.

DEFINITION 1'. A defining system for  $\langle u \rangle^k, A^*$ , is a set of singular cochains  $(a_n)$ , for  $n = 1, \dots, k-1$ , satisfying the conditions:

- (3.1)  $a_n \in C^{n(m-1)+1}(X, A)$ ,
- (3.2)  $a_1$  is a cocycle representative of  $u$ , and
- (3.3)  $\delta a_n = \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{rn(m-1)} a_r a_{n-r}$ .

The related cocycle of  $A^*$  is the singular cocycle of  $C^*(X, A)$

$$(3.4) \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{rk(m-1)} a_r a_{k-r}.$$

DEFINITION 2'. The operation  $\langle u \rangle^k$  is said to be defined if there is a defining system for it. If it is defined, then  $\langle u \rangle^k$  consists of all classes  $w \in H^{k(m-1)+2}(X, A)$  for which there exists a defining system  $A^*$  whose related cocycle represents  $w$ .

If  $\langle u \rangle^k$  is defined, then so is the  $k$ -fold product  $\langle u, \dots, u \rangle$  and  $\langle u \rangle^k \subset \langle u, \dots, u \rangle$ . Also  $\langle u \rangle^k$  is defined if and only if  $\langle u \rangle^{k-1}$  is defined and contains the zero class.

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and let  $\beta$  be the Bockstein operator associated with the exact sequence of coefficient groups  $0 \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow Z_{p^2} \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, let  $P^m$  be the Steenrod  $p$ th power operation,

$$P^m: H^q(X; Z_p) \rightarrow H^{q+2m(p-1)}(X; Z_p).$$

THEOREM A. If  $u \in H^{2m+1}(X; Z_p)$ , then  $\langle u \rangle^p$  is defined as a single class in  $H^{2mp+2}(X; Z_p)$  and  $\langle u \rangle^p = -\beta P^m u$ .

If  $u$  is a one-dimensional class (mod  $p$ ) for any prime  $p$ , then we may completely characterize the operation  $\langle u \rangle^k$  by the following theorem.

THEOREM B. Let  $\iota \in H^1(Z_{p^n}; Z_p)$  be the mod  $p$  reduction of the fundamental class  $\iota_n$  of  $H^1(Z_{p^n}; Z_{p^n})$ . Then  $\langle \iota \rangle^p$  is defined as the single class  $-\beta_n \iota_n \in H^2(Z_{p^n}; Z_p)$ , where  $\beta_n$  is the Bockstein coboundary operator associated with the exact sequence of coefficient groups

$$0 \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow Z_{p^{n+1}} \rightarrow Z_{p^n} \rightarrow 0.$$

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Hirsch, *Quelques propriétés des produits de Steenrod*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 241 (1955), 923-925.
2. W. S. Massey, *Some higher order cohomology operations*. Symposium internacional de topología algebraica [International symposium on algebraic topology], pp. 145-154, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México and UNESCO, Mexico City, 1958.
3. N. E. Steenrod, *Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings*, Ann. of Math. 48 (1947), 290-316.
4. H. Uehara and W. S. Massey, *The Jacobi identity for Whitehead products*, Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, pp. 361-377, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1957.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY