

# AN INFINITE PRIMARY ABELIAN GROUP WITHOUT PROPER ISOMORPHIC SUBGROUPS<sup>1</sup>

BY PETER CRAWLEY

Communicated by R. S. Pierce, April 18, 1962

In a recent article<sup>2</sup> Beaumont and Pierce considered the problem of determining those abelian groups which have proper isomorphic subgroups. For primary groups they obtained the following: if the cardinality of a reduced primary abelian group  $G$  is either  $\aleph_0$  or greater than  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , then  $G$  has a proper isomorphic subgroup. The question remained whether or not this result holds for all infinite reduced primary groups. Here we answer this question by showing that *there exists an infinite primary abelian group without elements of infinite height which has no proper isomorphic subgroups*.

Throughout this note the usual terminology and notation<sup>3</sup> is employed with possibly the following exception: if  $x$  is an element of a group then  $[x]$  denotes the cyclic subgroup generated by  $x$ . The cardinality of a set  $M$  is denoted by  $|M|$ .

Let  $p$  be a fixed but arbitrary prime. For each  $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $C_k = [g_k]$  be a cyclic group of order  $p^k$  generated by the element  $g_k$ . Let  $C$  be the torsion subgroup of the complete direct sum of the groups  $C_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ ). The elements  $x$  in  $C$  are then countable sequences

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots)$$

where  $x_k \in C_k$  and the orders of the  $x_k$ 's are uniformly bounded; the element  $x_k$  is called the  $k$ th component of  $x$ . Also  $C$  is a closed  $p$ -group in that every Cauchy sequence in  $C$  has a limit.<sup>4</sup> Set  $h_k = p^{k-1}g_k$ , and define the elements  $b_k$  and  $c_k$  ( $k=1, 2, \dots$ ) by

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= (0, \dots, 0, g_k, 0, \dots), \\ c_k &= (0, \dots, 0, h_k, 0, \dots) = p^{k-1}b_k. \end{aligned}$$

Each  $c_k$  is then an element of order  $p$ . Moreover if  $x$  is an element in  $C$  of order  $p$ , then there is a sequence of integers  $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots$  such that

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant NSF G-17957.

<sup>2</sup> R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Partly transitive modules and modules with proper isomorphic submodules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1959), 209-219.

<sup>3</sup> See, for example, L. Fuchs, *Abelian groups*, Budapest, 1958.

<sup>4</sup> *Ibid.*, p. 114.

$$x = (u_1h_1, u_2h_2, u_3h_3, \dots),$$

and  $x$  is the limit in  $C$  of the Cauchy sequence

$$u_1c_1, u_1c_1 + u_2c_2, u_1c_1 + u_2c_2 + u_3c_3, \dots.$$

Let  $\sigma = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\}$  be a sequence of elements in  $C$  of order  $p$  such that  $s_k$  has height at least  $k-1$  for each  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . If  $x = (u_1h_1, u_2h_2, u_3h_3, \dots)$  is any element in  $C$  of order  $p$ , then the elements

$$u_1s_1, u_1s_1 + u_2s_2, u_1s_1 + u_2s_2 + u_3s_3, \dots$$

form a Cauchy sequence and hence have a limit in  $C$ ; this limit we denote by  $x^\sigma$ . Furthermore, if  $\sigma$  has the property, that for *no* integers  $u$  and  $v$  is it true that  $s_k = uc_k$  for all  $k \geq v$ , then  $\sigma$  is called *distinguished*.

We now observe the following: if  $\sigma = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\}$  is distinguished, then there exists a set  $T_\sigma$  of elements of order  $p$  such that  $|T_\sigma| = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and such that for every pair of distinct elements  $x, y \in T_\sigma$ ,

$$[x] + [x^\sigma] + [y] + [y^\sigma] = [x] \oplus [x^\sigma] \oplus [y] \oplus [y^\sigma].$$

In constructing  $T_\sigma$  there are three cases to-consider. The first: there is an infinite sequence of integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots$  such that  $s_{n_k}$  has height greater than  $n_k-1$  for each  $k=1, 2, \dots$  (and hence each  $s_{n_k}$  has its first  $n_k$  components zero). In this case we may assume that  $n_{k+1}-1$  is greater than the height of  $s_{n_k}$  for each  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $S \subseteq \{n_2, n_3, n_4, \dots\}$ , and define an element  $x(S)$  by

$$x(S)_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq n_1 \text{ and } i \notin S, \\ h_i & \text{if } i = n_1 \text{ or } i \in S, \end{cases}$$

where  $x(S)_i$  denotes the  $i$ th component of  $x(S)$ . Then the set  $T_\sigma = \{x(S) \mid S \subseteq \{n_2, n_3, n_4, \dots\}\}$  is easily seen to have the desired properties. The second case is: there is an infinite sequence of integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots$  such that  $s_{n_k}$  has height  $n_k-1$  and has *more* than one nonzero component for each  $k=1, 2, \dots$ . In this case we may assume that  $n_{k+1}$  is greater than the index of the second nonzero component of  $s_{n_k}$  for each  $k$ . If for every  $S \subseteq \{n_2, n_3, n_4, \dots\}$  an element  $x(S)$  is defined as in the preceding case, then

$$T_\sigma = \{x(S) \mid S \subseteq \{n_2, n_3, n_4, \dots\}\}$$

again has the desired properties. The remaining case is the following: there is an integer  $m$  such that  $s_i$  has height  $i-1$  and exactly one nonzero component for each  $i \geq m$ . In this case, since  $\sigma$  is distinguished, there are two infinite disjoint sequences of integers  $n_1, n_2,$

$n_3, \dots$  and  $m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots$ , and two integers  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $u \not\equiv v \pmod{p}$  and such that for each  $k$  we have  $n_k, m_k \geq m$ ,  $s_{n_k} = uc_{n_k}$ , and  $s_{m_k} = vc_{m_k}$ . Let  $S \subseteq \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}$  and define an element  $x(S)$  by

$$x(S)_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq n_k \text{ and } i \neq m_k \text{ for all } k \in S \cup \{1\}, \\ h_i & \text{if } i = n_k \text{ or } i = m_k \text{ for some } k \in S \cup \{1\}, \end{cases}$$

where  $x(S)_i$  denotes the  $i$ th component of  $x(S)$ . Then the set  $T_\sigma = \{x(S) \mid S \subseteq \{2, 3, 4, \dots\}\}$  has the required properties.

It is clear that there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  distinct distinguished sequences. Let  $\Omega$  be the first ordinal of cardinality  $2^{\aleph_0}$ , and let

$$\alpha \leftrightarrow \sigma_\alpha$$

be a one-one correspondence between the distinguished sequences and the ordinals  $\alpha < \Omega$ . Let  $c$  be any element of order  $p$  in  $C$  which is not in the subgroup generated by the elements  $c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots$ .

We now construct a subgroup  $P_\alpha \subseteq \{x \in C \mid px = 0\}$  for each  $\alpha < \Omega$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $P_\alpha \supseteq P_\beta \supseteq \{c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots\}$  for all  $\beta \leq \alpha$ ;
- (ii)  $|P_\alpha| \leq |\alpha| \aleph_0$ ;
- (iii)  $c \notin P_\alpha$ ;
- (iv) there exists an element  $x_\alpha \in P_\alpha$  such that  $c - x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha} \in P_\alpha$ .

Suppose  $P_\beta$  has been constructed for each  $\beta < \alpha$ . Set

$$P'_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} P_\beta + [c_1] + [c_2] + [c_3] + \dots$$

It then follows that  $|P'_\alpha + [c]| \leq |\alpha| \aleph_0 < 2^{\aleph_0}$ , and since there are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  pairwise disjoint subgroups of form

$$[x] \oplus [x^{\sigma_\alpha}], \quad x \in T_{\sigma_\alpha}$$

there exists an element  $x_\alpha \in T_{\sigma_\alpha}$  such that

$$([x_\alpha] \oplus [x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha}]) \cap (P'_\alpha + [c]) = 0.$$

If  $c \in P'_\alpha + [x_\alpha] + [c - x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha}]$ , then there are integers  $u, v$  and an element  $y \in P'_\alpha$  such that

$$c = y + ux_\alpha + v(c - x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha}).$$

Consequently

$$ux_\alpha - vx_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha} \in P'_\alpha + [c],$$

whence  $u \equiv v \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . But then  $c = y \in P'_\alpha$ , an impossibility. Therefore if we set

$$P_\alpha = P'_\alpha + [x_\alpha] + [c - x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha}],$$

then  $c \notin P_\alpha$ , and it follows that  $P_\alpha$  satisfies (i)–(iv).

Having constructed the sequence  $P_\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \Omega$ ), define the subgroup  $P$  by

$$P = \bigcup_{\alpha < \Omega} P_\alpha.$$

Let  $G$  be a pure subgroup of  $C$  such that

$$G \supseteq \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$$

and

$$\{x \in G \mid px = 0\} = P.$$

Suppose  $f$  is an isomorphic mapping of  $G$  into itself. Then the sequence  $\sigma_f$  of the elements

$$f(c_1), f(c_2), f(c_3), \dots$$

is a sequence of elements of order  $p$ , and clearly each  $f(c_k)$  has height at least  $k-1$ . Suppose  $\sigma_f$  is not distinguished. Then there are integers  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $f(c_k) = uc_k$  for all  $k \geq v$ . Let  $Q$  be the subgroup of those elements in  $P$  which are limits of Cauchy sequences from the subgroup generated by  $c_v, c_{v+1}, c_{v+2}, \dots$ , that is,  $Q$  is the subgroup of  $P$  consisting of these elements whose first  $v-1$  components are zero. Observe that  $f(x) = ux$  for all  $x \in Q$ , and since  $u$  is necessarily relatively prime to  $p$ , we have  $f(Q) = Q$ . Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $G$  consisting of those elements whose first  $v-1$  components are zero. Since  $G$  contains the elements  $b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots$ ,  $H$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ . Moreover

$$\{x \in H \mid px = 0\} = Q = \{y \in f(H) \mid py = 0\},$$

and it follows that  $f(H)$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $x$  be any element of order  $p$  in  $G$ . Then there are integers  $w_1, \dots, w_{v-1}$  and an element  $y \in Q$  such that

$$x = w_1c_1 + \dots + w_{v-1}c_{v-1} + y,$$

and since  $f(H)$  is pure we infer that  $G/f(H)$  has rank  $v-1$ . Suppose there is an element  $z \in G$  such that  $x \equiv p^{v-1}z \pmod{f(H)}$ . Then  $z$  has order  $p^v$  modulo  $f(H)$ , and since  $f(H)$  is pure we may assume that the actual order of  $z$  is  $p^v$ . The order of  $p^{v-1}z$  is therefore  $p$ , and clearly the first  $v-1$  components of  $p^{v-1}z$  are zero. Hence  $p^{v-1}z \in Q$ , and as  $x - p^{v-1}z \in Q$  we conclude that  $x \in Q \subseteq f(H)$ . Thus every element in  $G/f(H)$  of order  $p$  has height at most  $v-2$ , and it follows that

$G/f(H)$  is finite. Consequently there exists a *finite* subgroup  $F$  such that

$$G = F \oplus f(H).$$

Furthermore

$$f(G) = F' \oplus f(H)$$

where  $F' = F \cap f(G)$ . Since  $f(G) \cong G$ , it follows that  $F' \cong F'$ ; whence  $F = F'$ . Hence  $f(G) = G$ , and  $f$  is an automorphism when  $\sigma_f$  is not distinguished. Suppose then that  $\sigma_f$  is distinguished. Then there is an ordinal  $\alpha < \Omega$  such that  $\sigma_\alpha = \sigma_f$ . If the element  $x_\alpha$  has the form  $x_\alpha = (u_1h_1, u_2h_2, u_3h_3, \dots)$ , then  $x_\alpha$  is the limit in  $C$ , and hence the limit in  $G$  since  $G$  is pure, of the Cauchy sequence

$$u_1c_1, u_1c_1 + u_2c_2, u_1c_1 + u_2c_2 + u_3c_3, \dots,$$

and consequently  $f(x_\alpha)$  is the limit in  $f(G)$  (and hence the limit is  $C$ ) of the Cauchy sequence

$$u_1f(c_1), u_1f(c_1) + u_2f(c_2), u_1f(c_1) + u_2f(c_2) + u_3f(c_3), \dots$$

But this limit is  $x_\alpha^{\sigma_f} = x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha}$ , whence  $f(x_\alpha) = x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha} \in G$ . Since  $c - x_\alpha^{\sigma_\alpha} \in G$  it follows that  $c \in G$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\sigma_f$  cannot be distinguished. We conclude that every isomorphic mapping of  $G$  into itself is an automorphism, and the proof is complete.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA