ARITHMETIC SUBGROUPS OF ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

BY ARMAND BOREL AND HARISH-CHANDRA

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, July 22, 1961.

A complex algebraic group G is in this note a subgroup of GL(n, C), the elements of which are all invertible matrices whose coefficients annihilate some set of polynomials $\{P_{\mu}[X_{11}, \dots, X_{nn}]\}$ in n^2 indeterminates. It is said to be defined over a field $K \subset C$ if the polynomials can be chosen so as to have coefficients in K. Given a subring B of C, we denote by G_B the subgroup of elements of G which have coefficients in B, and whose determinant is a unit of B. Assume in particular G to be defined over Q. Then G_Z is an "arithmetically defined discrete subgroup" of G_R , or, more briefly, an arithmetic subgroup of G_R . A typical example is the group of units of a nondegenerate integral quadratic form, and as a matter of fact, the main results stated below generalize facts known in this case from reduction theory. The proofs will be published elsewhere.

1. Reductive groups. A complex algebraic group G is an algebraic torus (a torus in the terminology of [1]) if it is connected and can be diagonalized or, equivalently, if it is birationally isomorphic to a product of groups C^* [1, Chapter II]. The group G is reductive if its identity component G^0 may be written as $G^0 = T \cdot G'$, where T is a central algebraic torus, and G' is an invariant connected semi-simple group, or, equivalently, if all rational representations of G are fully reducible.

LEMMA 1. Let $G_1 \supset \cdots \supset G_m$ be reductive algebraic subgroups of GL(n, C), defined over R. Then there exists $a \in SL(n, R)$ such that the groups $a \cdot G_{iR} \cdot a^{-1}$ are stable under $x \rightarrow x$ $(i = 1, \dots, m)$.

This lemma, formulated in a somewhat different terminology, is due to G. D. Mostow [4]. Lemma 1, for m = 1, implies easily that the usual properties of maximal compact subgroups and of the Iwasawa decompositions (see [7] for instance) are valid for real algebraic reductive groups.

LEMMA 2. Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group, H an algebraic subgroup. Then G/H is an affine variety if and only if H is reductive. If G and H are defined over Q, and H is reductive, there exists a rational representation $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(m, C)$, defined over Q, such

that there is a point $v \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ whose orbit under G is closed and whose isotropy group is H.

The fact that if G/H is an affine variety (or more generally a Stein manifold) then H is reductive, is due to Matsushima [3] (whose proof can be simplified using ordinary cohomology with complex coefficients). The converse is stated in [3] (and attributed to Iwahori and Sigiura); it can be proved by realizing G/H as a closed orbit in a suitable linear representation.

2. Siegel domains. Let $G \subset GL(n,C)$ be an algebraic reductive group defined over $R, G_R = K \cdot A \cdot N$ an Iwasawa decomposition of G_R , and \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{n} the Lie algebras of G_R , K, A, N respectively. K is a maximal compact subgroup, A is real diagonalizable, connected, N is unipotent, a is orthogonal to f with respect to the Killing form. Let further $\psi \subset \mathfrak{a}^*$ be the set of roots of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{a} (the restricted roots) and, for $\alpha \in \psi$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g}, [h, x] = \alpha(h) \cdot x, h \in \mathfrak{a}\}$. Then, for a suitable ordering on \mathfrak{a}^* , we have $\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha>0} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$. Let A_t = { $a \in A$, $\alpha(\log a) \leq t$, $\alpha \in \psi$, $\alpha > 0$ }, (t > 0). A Siegel domain of G_R , with respect the given Iwasawa decomposition, is a subset $\mathfrak{S}_{t,\omega}$ $= K \cdot A_t \cdot \omega$, where ω is a compact set in N. The $\mathfrak{S}_{t,\omega}$'s, ordered by inclusion, form a filtered set, and their union is G_R . One would obtain equivalent families by letting α run only through the *simple* restricted roots in the definition of A_t , or by replacing A_t by $a_0 \cdot A^-(a_0 \in A)$, A^- being the exponential of the negative Weyl chamber. It is easily seen that if G is semi-simple, a Siegel domain has finite Haar measure.

A standard Siegel domain \mathfrak{S} in $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Siegel domain with respect to the usual Iwasawa decomposition (where K = O(n), A is the group of diagonal matrices with strictly positive entries, N the group of upper triangular unipotent matrices) such that $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ $= \mathfrak{S} \cdot SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. The existence of such domains is classical. By a well known theorem of Siegel [8], given $x \in GL(n, \mathbb{Q})$, the set of $y \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{S} \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $\mathfrak{S} \cap \mathfrak{S} \cdot x \cdot y \neq \emptyset$) is finite. $\mathfrak{S} \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ will be called a standard Siegel domain of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

LEMMA 3. Let $\pi: SL(n, C) \rightarrow GL(m, C)$ be a right rational representation, defined over $Q, v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ be a point whose orbit under SL(n, R) is closed and whose isotropy group in SL(n, R) is stable under $x \rightarrow tx$, and let \mathfrak{S} be a standard Siegel domain of SL(n, R). Then $v \cdot \pi(\mathfrak{S}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^m$ is finite.

This lemma can be proved more generally for a rational representation of a reductive group, a suitable Iwasawa decomposition and a Cartan involution compatible with it, but the above special case suffices for the applications. Applied to the natural representation of SL(n, C) in the space of quadratic forms, it yields the finiteness of the number of reduced integral forms with a given nonzero determinant, stated first by Hermite [2].

3. Fundamental sets for arithmetic subgroups. As is well known, Hermite has used the result just mentioned to construct a fundamental set for the group of units of a nondegenerate quadratic form F in the space of majorizing forms of F[2]. The construction of the set U below is in a sense a generalization of his procedure.

THEOREM 1. Let G be a connected complex algebraic group defined over **Q**. Then there exists an open set U in G_R with the following properties: (i) $G_R = U \cdot G_Z$; (ii) $K \cdot U = U$ for a suitable maximal compact subgroup of G_R ; (iii) For any $x \in G_Q$, $U^{-1} \cdot U \cap (x \cdot G_Z \cup G_Z \cdot x)$ is finite; (iv) if G has no nontrivial rational character defined over Q, U has finite Haar measure.

The group G is the semi-direct product of a reductive group and an invariant unipotent group N, both defined over Q. Since N_R/N_Z is compact, the proof of Theorem 1 is easily reduced to the case where G is reductive. Assuming moreover, as we may, $G \subset SL(n, C)$, we take a right rational representation $\pi: SL(n, C) \rightarrow GL(m, C)$ such that $\pi(SL(n, Z)) \subset SL(m, Z)$, and that there exists $v \in Z^m$ whose orbit is closed and whose isotropy group is G. The existence of π follows mainly from Lemma 2. Let $a \in SL(n, R)$ be such that $a \cdot G_R \cdot a^{-1}$ is stable under $x \rightarrow tx$ (Lemma 1) and \mathfrak{S} be an open neighborhood of a standard Siegel domain of SL(n, R) contained in a standard Siegel domain. By Lemma 3, there exists a finite number of elements $b_1, \dots, b_m \in SL(n, Z)$ such that

(1)
$$v \cdot \pi(\mathbf{SL}(n, \mathbf{Z})) \cap v \cdot \pi(a^{-1}\mathfrak{S}) = \left\{ v \cdot \pi(b_1^{-1}), \cdots, v \cdot \pi(b_m^{-1}) \right\}.$$

We have $G_R = a^{-1} \cdot H$, where H is the set of elements in SL(n, R)which map $v \cdot \pi(a^{-1})$ onto v. From this, (1) and the equality SL(n, R) $= \mathfrak{S} \cdot SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ it follows easily that $U = \bigcup_i (G_R \cap a^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{S} \cdot b_i)$ satisfies (i), (ii). Property (iii) is then a consequence of the theorem of Siegel recalled in §2. When G is semi-simple, property (iv) follows from the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. Let $G_1 \subset G$ be algebraic semi-simple groups. Assume that there are Iwasawa decompositions $G_{1R} = K_1 \cdot A_1 \cdot N_1$, $G_R = K \cdot A \cdot N$ of G_{1R} and G_R such that $K_1 \subset K$, $A_1 \subset A$, $N_1 \subset N$, and that a positive root of the Lie algebra of G_R with respect to the Lie algebra of A, for the ordering defined by N, restricts to a positive root of the Lie algebra of G_{1R} , for the ordering defined by N_1 , Let \mathfrak{S} be a Siegel domain of G_R with respect to the Iwasawa decomposition $K \cdot A \cdot N$, and $x \in G_R$. Then $\mathfrak{S} \cdot x \cap G_{1R}$ is contained in a finite number of translates of a Siegel domain of G_{1R} .

[November

By an elementary argument, property (iii) implies the

COROLLARY. Let G be a complex algebraic group defined over Q. Then G_Z is finitely generated.

The result on reduced forms stated at the end of §2 implies the finiteness of the number of classes of integral forms with a given non-zero determinant. The latter has the following generalization.

THEOREM 2. Let G be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over Q, and $\pi: G \rightarrow GL(m, C)$ a rational representation defined over Q, and $H = G_Z \cap \pi^{-1}(GL(m, Z))$. Then for any closed orbit X of G in C^m , the integral points of X form a finite number of orbits of H.

COROLLARY. Let G, G' be connected algebraic groups, defined over Q, and $\mu: G \rightarrow G'$ a rational surjective homomorphism with finite kerneldefined over Q (an isogeny). Then $\mu(G_Z)$ and G'_Z are commensurable.

4. Arithmetic subgroups with compact fundamental sets. The quotient G_R/G_Z is compact for instance when G is the orthogonal group of a form which does not represent zero. This fact has the following generalization, which had been conjectured by R. Godement:

THEOREM 3. Let G be a complex algebraic group defined over Q. Then the following conditions are equivalent: (i) G_R/G_Z is compact: (ii) the identity component of G has no nontrivial rational character defined over Q, and every unipotent element of G_Q (or, equivalently, of G_Z) belongs to the radical of G_Q .¹

REMARKS. (1) Theorem 1, its corollary and Theorem 3 were known essentially for the classical groups (see [6; 8; 9]). Theorem 3 for algebraic tori is proved in [5]. As is known, it is easy to derive from them similar results on groups of matrices with coefficients in the ring of integers of a number field K, which belong to an algebraic group defined over K.

(2) Theorem 3 and known properties of semi-simple Lie algebras imply easily that a connected semi-simple Lie group G always has discrete subgroups H such that G/H is compact.

 $^{^1}$ We understand that another proof of Theorem 3 has since been given by G. D. Mostow and T. Tamagawa.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Borel, Groupes linéaires algébriques, Ann. of Math. vol. 64(1956) pp. 20-80.

2. C. Hermite, Oeuvres complètes, Vol. 1, Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905.

3. Y. Matsushima, Espaces homogènes de Stein des groupes de Lie complexes, Nagoya Math. J. vol. 16 (1960) pp. 205-218.

4. G. D. Mostow, Self-adjoint group, Ann. of Math. vol. 62 (1955), pp. 44-55.

5. T. Ono, Sur une propriété arithmétique des groupes algébriques commutatifs, Bull. Soc. Math. France vol. 85 (1957) pp. 307-323.

6. K. G. Ramanathan, Unit of fixed points in involutorial algebras, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Algebraic Number Theory, Tokyo, 1955.

7. Séminaire S. Lie, Théorie des algèbres de Lie, Topologie des groupes de Lie, Paris, 1954-1955.

8. C. L. Siegel, *Einheiten quadratischer Formen*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg vol. 13 (1939) pp. 209-239.

9. A. Weil, Discontinuous subgroups of classical groups, Notes, University of Chicago, 1958.

The Institute for Advanced Study and Columbia University

SOME PROPERTIES OF ADELE GROUPS ATTACHED TO ALGEBRAIC GROUPS

BY ARMAND BOREL

Communicated by Deane Montgomery, July 22, 1961

This note is a sequel to the previous one [1], and is devoted to some applications of the results of the latter to adele groups. The results are valid for linear algebraic groups defined over number fields, but this case is easily reduced to that of groups defined over Q [3, Chapter I], to which we shall limit ourselves for simplicity.

The notation of [1] is freely used. For the unexplained notions concerning adeles, see [2; 3].

1. Adeles. Let G be a connected algebraic linear group defined over Q. The adele group attached to G is denoted by G_A . The group G_Q is identified with the subgroup of principal adeles of G_A ; it is discrete. We put

$$G_A^{\bullet} = G_{\mathbb{R}} \times \prod_{p \text{ prime}} G_{Z_p}$$
 (Z_p : ring of *p*-adic integers).

By definition, G_A^0 , endowed with the product topology, is an open subgroup of G_A . The group G is said to be of type (F) if G_A is the union of a *finite* number of double cosets $G_A^0 \cdot x \cdot G_Q(x \in G_A)$ [2].