THEOREM 3. If the conditions of Theorem 2 part A are satisfied and if in addition the quantities ψ_1 , ψ_2 and δ satisfy the inequality

$$2\pi > \delta(\csc\psi_1 - \csc\psi_2)$$

then the circle of convergence is not a cut for the function.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. P. Dienes, The Taylor series, Oxford, 1931.
- 2. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, Oxford University Press, 1939, pp. 99-100.

LEHIGH UNIVERSITY

A NOTE ON THE HILBERT TRANSFORM

LYNN H. LOOMIS

The Hilbert transform of f(t), $-\infty < t < \infty$, is $1/\pi$ times the Cauchy principal value

$$\bar{f}(x) = P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(t)}{t-x} dt = \lim_{\delta \to 0+} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{f(x+t) - f(x-t)}{t} dt.$$

If $f(t) \in L_p$, p > 1, then $\bar{f}(x) \in L_p$, and a considerable literature is devoted to studying the relationship of such pairs of "conjugate" functions to the theory of functions analytic in a half-plane. More to the point of the present note is a series of papers studying the Hilbert transform along strictly real variable lines ([2, 3]; further bibliography in [2]).

Much less is known about $\bar{f}(x)$ when $f(t) \in L_1$. Plessner found by applying complex variable methods to the theory of Fourier series that if $f(t) \in L_1$ then $\bar{f}(x)$ exists almost everywhere (see [1, p. 145]). Besicovitch [4] proved Plessner's result using only the theory of sets, starting from his own previous real variable investigation of the L_2 transform case. S. Pollard [5] showed how Besicovitch's proof could be extended to prove the existence a.e. of the principal value of the Stieltjes integral

$$\bar{f}(x) = P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dF(t)}{t - x},$$

Received by the editors April 11, 1946.

¹ Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

where F(t) is continuous and of bounded variation over $(-\infty, \infty)$. In general $\bar{f}(x)$ is not summable, but Kolmogoroff [6] found, using a contradiction argument, that there exists a constant A such that the set where $\bar{f}(x) > M > 0$ has measure at most A||f||/M, where $||f|| = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(t)| dt$. Titchmarsh [7] was able to refine Besicovitch's existence proof so that it implied this bound, with a numerical value for A.

The present note contains a new direct real-variable proof of the Plessner existence theorem and the Kolmogoroff bound. In fact, this bound in a sense is the central tool for the existence proof, a device which allows for the first time the L_1 results to be obtained without recourse to the L_2 transform theory.

LEMMA 1. If $c_i > 0$ and

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{x - a_i},$$

then the set of points where g(x) > M (M > 0) consists of n intervals whose total length is precisely $(\sum c_i)/M$. The set where g(x) < -M has the same length.

Since $g(a_i-)=-\infty$, $g(a_i+)=\infty$ and g'(x)<0 for all x, there are precisely n points m_i such that $g(m_i)=M$, and $a_i< m_i< a_{i+1}$, $i=1, \dots, n-1, a_n< m_n$. The set where g(x)>M thus consists of the intervals (a_i, m_i) and has the total length

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (m_i - a_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i.$$

But the numbers m_i are the roots of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{x-a_i} = M,$$

whose cross-multiplied form is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \left[\prod_{j \neq i} (x - a_{j}) \right] = M \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - a_{i}),$$

or

$$Mx^{n}-\left[M\sum a_{i}+\sum c_{i}\right]x^{n-1}+\cdots=0,$$

so that

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} m_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} + \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}.$$

The first part of the lemma follows from (1) and (2); the proof for g(x) < -M is almost identical.

LEMMA 2. Let F(t) be increasing over $(-\infty, \infty)$ with finite total variation V(F). If $(x_i - \delta_i, x_i + \delta_i)$, $j = 1, \cdots, n$, are disjoint intervals such that

(3)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{x_i-\delta_i} + \int_{x_j+\delta_i}^{\infty} \frac{dF(t)}{t-x_j} > M > 0,$$

then $\sum \delta_i \leq 4V(F)/M$. The same inequality is implied if the integral is less than -M, $j=1, \dots, n$.

Let t_i , $i=1, \dots, N$ be a finite subdivision including the points $x_i - \delta_i$, x_i , $x_i + \delta_i$ for $j=1, \dots, n$, and such that the approximating Riemann sums for (3), with the integrand evaluated at the left-hand end points, remain greater than M. Thus, if $\Delta_i = F(t_{i+1}) - F(t_i)$,

$$(4) \sum_{i \in I_i} \frac{\Delta_i}{t_i - y} > M$$

for $y = x_i$, where the set I_i of omitted indices is defined by

$$\bigcup_{i\in I_j}(t_i,\,t_{i+1})=(x_i-\delta_i,\,x_i+\delta_i).$$

Since the left member of (4) is an increasing function of y for $x_i - \delta_i < y < x_i + \delta_i$, the inequality (4) holds for $x_i \le y < x_i + \delta_i$. For every such y one of the following inequalities is therefore satisfied:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\Delta_i}{t_i - y} > \frac{M}{2}, \qquad \sum_{i \in I_i} \frac{\Delta_i}{t_i - y} < -\frac{M}{2}.$$

Applying Lemma 1 and summing over j, we have

$$\sum \delta_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{2\Delta_i}{M} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i \in I_j} \frac{2\Delta_i}{M} \leq \frac{4}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \Delta_i \leq \frac{4}{M} V(F).$$

To prove the second part of the lemma we only need to observe that the integral in (3) is less than -M if and only if after replacing F(t) by -F(-t) and x_i by $-x_i$ it is greater than M.

COROLLARY. If F(t) is of bounded variation in Lemma 2 then $\sum \delta_i \leq 8 V(F)/M$.

This follows at once upon applying the lemma to the increasing and decreasing parts, F_1 and F_2 , of F, using $V(F) = V(F_1) + V(F_2)$.

Preliminary to the theorem we remark that if f(t) has the value 1 in

(a, b) and 0 elsewhere, then its Hilbert transform exists except at the two points a and b, and has the value $\log |(x-b)/(x-a)|$. In particular the Hilbert transform of any step function exists except at a finite number of points.

THEOREM. Let F(t) be of bounded variation over $(-\infty, \infty)$. Then its Hilbert-Stieltjes transform

$$\bar{f}(x) = P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dF(t)}{t - x}$$

exists almost everywhere, and, for every positive M, the set where $\bar{f}(x) > M$ has measure at most 16V(F)/M, as does the set where $\bar{f}(x) < M$.

We first prove the existence of $\bar{f}(x)$. It is sufficient to show that, given ϵ , for every x except in a set of measure less than ϵ

(5)
$$\left| \int_{x-\delta}^{x-\delta'} + \int_{x+\delta'}^{x+\delta} \frac{dF(t)}{t-x} \right| \le \epsilon$$

for all sufficiently small δ and δ' . Now the absolutely continuous part of F can be approximated to within ϵ' by the integral F_1 of a step function h, $F_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t h(t)dt$, and the singular part of F can be approximated to within ϵ' by a singular function F_2 whose variation is confined to a closed set of measure 0, that is, which is constant on the intervals of an open set M whose complement has measure zero. Thus, taking $\epsilon' = \epsilon^2/192$, we have $F = F_1 + F_2 + F_3$, where $V(F_3) < \epsilon^2/96$. Let E_{ϵ} be the set of x for which the inequality

(6)
$$\left| \int_{a}^{x-\delta'} + \int_{a+t}^{x+\delta} \frac{dF_3(t)}{t-x} \right| \le \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

fails to hold for arbitrarily small δ and δ' ($\delta' < \delta$). Then for every x in E_{ϵ}

(7)
$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{x-\Delta} + \int_{x+\Delta}^{\infty} \frac{dF_3(t)}{t-x} \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{6}$$

for arbitrarily small Δ . By Vitali's theorem a disjoint sequence of intervals $(x_i - \Delta_i, x_i + \Delta_i)$ satisfying (7) can be chosen so as to cover E_{ϵ} except for a set of measure 0. Then by Lemma 2, corollary, $m(E_{\epsilon}) \leq 2\sum \Delta_i \leq 2 \cdot 8 V(F_3) \cdot 6/\epsilon < \epsilon$.

Since $F_1(t)$ is the integral of a step function, its Hilbert-Stieltjes transform (the Hilbert transform of h(t)) exists except at a finite number of points, which we add to E_{\bullet} . Since $F_2(t)$ is constant on the intervals of M, its Hilbert-Stieltjes transform obviously exists except

on the complement of M, which we add to E_{ϵ} . Thus if x is not in the enlarged E_{ϵ} there is a Δ such that, for all δ and δ' less than Δ , (6) holds for F_1 , F_2 and F_3 , and hence (5) holds, as was to be proved.

The second part of the theorem follows immediately from Lemma 2, corollary, where the intervals $(x_i - \delta_i, x_i + \delta_i)$ are chosen by Vitali's theorem to cover almost entirely the set where $\bar{f}(x) > M$ (< -M), so that the measure of this set is not greater than $2\sum \delta_i \leq 16 V(F)/M$

COROLLARY. If
$$0 and $p+q > 1$, then $|\vec{f}(x)|^p/(1+|x|)^q \in L_1$.$$

This follows immediately from the fact that the decreasing function on $(0, \infty)$ which is equimeasurable with $|\bar{f}(x)|$ is dominated by K/x.

In case F(t) is singular and increasing, it can be shown with little difficulty that the constant 16 can be replaced by 1, and this is best possible since 1/x itself is the Hilbert-Stieltjes transform of the function F(t) which is 1 when t < 0 and 0 when $t \ge 0$. This is probably the correct value of the constant in the general case.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A. Zygmund, Trigonometrical series, Warsaw, 1935.
- 2. G. H. Hardy, On Hilbert transforms, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. vol. 3 (1932) pp. 102-112.
- 3. J. Cossar, On conjugate functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 45 (1939) pp. 369-381.
- 4. A. S. Besicovitch, On a general metric property of summable functions, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 1 (1926) pp. 120-128.
- 5. S. Pollard, Extension to Stieltjes integrals of a theorem due to Plessner, J. London Math. Soc. vol. 2 (1926) pp. 37-41.
- 6. A. Kolmogoroff, Sur les fonctions harmonique conjuguées at les series de Fourier, Fund. Math. vol. 7 (1925) pp. 24-29.
- 7. E C. Titchmarsh, On conjugate functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 29 (1929) pp. 49-80.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY