

NOTE ON A THEOREM ON QUADRATIC RESIDUES

KAI-LAI CHUNG

In this note we shall give a short proof of a known result:

THEOREM. *For every prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ there are more quadratic residues mod p between 0 and $p/2$ than there are between $p/2$ and p .*

An equivalent statement of this theorem is as follows (see E. Landau, *Vorlesungen über Zahlentheorie*, vol. 1, p. 129):

Für $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ haben mehr unter den Zahlen $1^2, 2^2, \dots, (p-1)^2/4$ ihren Divisionsrest mod p unter $p/2$ als über $p/2$.

For proof we shall use Fourier series with one of its applications, namely Gaussian sums.

Write $s^2 = qp + r$, $0 \leq r < p$, so that

$$\left[\frac{s^2}{p} \right] = q.$$

It is evident that we have

$$\left[\frac{2s^2}{p} \right] - 2 \left[\frac{s^2}{p} \right] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < p/2; \\ 1 & \text{if } r > p/2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have to prove that $\sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} ([2s^2/p] - 2[s^2/p]) < (p-1)/4$, or $\leq (p-1)/4$ since $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

By a well known expansion in Fourier series, we have

$$x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2n\pi x}{n\pi},$$

so that

$$[x] = x - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2n\pi x}{n\pi}.$$

Substituting, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{2s^2}{p} \right] - 2 \left[\frac{s^2}{p} \right] &= \frac{2s^2}{p} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (4n\pi s^2/p)}{n\pi} \\ &\quad - 2 \left\{ \frac{s^2}{p} - \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (2n\pi s^2/p)}{n\pi} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\pi} \left\{ \sin \frac{4n\pi s^2}{p} - 2 \sin \frac{2n\pi s^2}{p} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \left(\left[\frac{2s^2}{p} \right] - 2 \left[\frac{s^2}{p} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{p-1}{4} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\pi} \left\{ \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \left(\sin \frac{4n\pi s^2}{p} - 2 \sin \frac{2n\pi s^2}{p} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\pi} \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \sin \frac{4n\pi s^2}{p} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n\pi} \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \sin \frac{2n\pi s^2}{p}.$$

Now we have by the results on Gaussian sums,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) = 1, & \quad \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} e^{2n(2\pi i s^2/p)} = \sum_{(r/p)=1} e^{2\pi i r/p} = + \frac{i p^{1/2}}{2}; \\ \text{if } \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) = -1, & \quad \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} e^{2n(2\pi i s^2/p)} = \sum_{(r/p)=1} e^{-2\pi i r/p} = - \frac{i p^{1/2}}{2}; \\ \text{if } \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) = 0, & \quad \sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} e^{2n(2\pi i s^2/p)} = \frac{p-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking imaginary parts, we obtain

$$\sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \sin \frac{4n\pi s^2}{p} = \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) \frac{p^{1/2}}{2}.$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{s=1}^{(p-1)/2} \sin \frac{2n\pi s^2}{p} = \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \frac{p^{1/2}}{2}.$$

Therefore we have to prove that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) \frac{p^{1/2}}{2} \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \frac{p^{1/2}}{2};$$

that is,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{2n}{p} \right) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right).$$

This is equivalent to the relation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{-1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right), \quad \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{8};$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right), \quad \text{if } p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}.$$

Thus in each case we have to prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \geq 0.$$

Now Landau would call this last result trivial. But for the sake of completeness we give its proof here; we have in fact, for $s > 1$,

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \left(\frac{n}{p} \right) \right\} \prod_{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1^s} \left(\frac{p_1}{p} \right) \right) = 1,$$

where p_1 runs through the sequence of primes. The series being uniformly convergent for $s \geq 1$ its sum is continuous at $s = 1$. Hence the result follows.

TSING-HUA UNIVERSITY,
KUNMING, CHINA