RECURRENCE OF SYMBOLIC ELEMENTS IN DYNAMICS1

RUFUS OLDENBURGER

- 1. Introduction. Morse and Hedlund [1] have given a symbolic treatment of modern theoretical dynamics as developed by Birkhoff [2] and others. In the Morse-Hedlund viewpoint the concept of recurrence plays an important role. To establish various theorems on symbolic trajectories Morse and Hedlund introduced "symbolic elements," the analogues of line elements on ordinary trajectories, a symbolic element being the notion of a trajectory T and a particular symbol in T. In the present paper we shall be concerned primarily with the question: "How are recurrence of a trajectory T and elements based on T related?"
- 2. **Definitions.** For terms defined elsewhere and used here the reader is referred to other papers [1, 3]. Let rays R_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4) be given by

$$\alpha_{i1} \alpha_{i2} \alpha_{i3} \cdots$$

The distance E_1E_2 between the elements $E_1 = (R_1, R_2)$ and $E_2 = (R_3, R_4)$ is defined to be 1/n where n is such that $a_{1j} = a_{3j}$, $a_{2j} = a_{4j}$ for each value of j in the range $1, 2, \dots, n$, while

$$(a_{1,n+1}, a_{2,n+1}) \neq (a_{3,n+1}, a_{4,n+1}).$$

The element E_1 is the ray

$$A_1A_2A_3\cdots,$$

where A_i denotes the pair of symbols (a_{1i}, a_{2i}) . In what follows the term "recurrence of E_1 " designates the recurrence of the ray (1). We recall that a ray (1) is *recurrent* if for each n there is an m such that each n-block in (1) is contained in each m-block of (1). For each n the value R(n) of the *recurrency* function of (1) is the smallest m with the property just mentioned.

3. **Recurrence.** It is evident that the recurrence of a single element based on a trajectory T does not imply the recurrence of T.

Theorem 1. If each element based on a trajectory T is recurrent, T is recurrent.

The recurrence of each ray based on T obviously implies the recur-

¹ Presented to the Society, December 28, 1939, under the title Symbolic elements in dynamics.

rence of T. The recurrence of each element based on T implies the recurrence of each ray based on T, whence T is recurrent.

THEOREM 2. There exists a recurrent trajectory with a non-recurrent element.

If α is irrational, the trajectory $T(0, \alpha)$ of Morse and Hedlund [4] is recurrent and is of the form $R^{-1}abR$. The element (bR, aR) is not recurrent.

The proof of the theorem to follow is simple and will be omitted.

Theorem 3. A trajectory T is identical with its inverse if and only if $T = R^{-1}R$ or $T = R^{-1}aR$ for some ray R and symbol a.

THEOREM 4. If a trajectory T is recurrent and is identical with its inverse, each element based on T is recurrent.

$$R = HG^{-1}B^{-1}BGR_2,$$

We suppose, finally, that $T = R^{-1}aR$ for some ray R and symbol a. Let r and s be arbitrary non-negative integers. We consider an ele-

ment $E = (D^{-1}aR, R_1)$ where D is the leading r-block of R so that $R = DR_1$, R_1 being a subray of R. We let G denote the leading s-block of R_1 so that $R_1 = GR_2$ where R_2 is a subray of R_1 . Evidently, T contains the subblock $G^{-1}D^{-1}aDG$ and the initial block G of R_1 matches the leading s-block G of G in any block $G^{-1}D^{-1}aDG$ of G in any block $G^{-1}D^{-1}aDG$ of G in any block G in G in

By Theorem 3 the above argument proves that each element E based on T is recurrent.

THEOREM 5. If for some block C and ray R a trajectory T is of the form $R^{-1}CR$, and if each element based on T is recurrent, the trajectory T is identical with its inverse.

We suppose first that C is of even length 2q, and we accordingly write $C = C_1C_2$ where C_1 and C_2 are of length q. We consider the element $E = (C_1^{-1}R, C_2R)$ based on T. Since E is recurrent, C_2 occurs in the subray R matched in C_2R by a block C_1^{-1} in $C_1^{-1}R$. It follows that C_1^{-1} matches C_2 in R, whence $C_1 = C_2^{-1}$ and $T = T^{-1}$.

If now C is of odd length 2q+1, we write $C=C_1aC_3$ where C_1 and C_3 are of length q. Let the initial symbol of R be denoted by b. Since the element $E=(C_1^{-1}R, aC_3R)$ is recurrent, there is a subblock aC_3 of R matched in aC_3R by a block $C_1^{-1}b$ in the ray $C_1^{-1}R$. Hence there is a block C_3 in R matching a block C_1^{-1} in R. Thus $C_1=C_3^{-1}$ and $T=T^{-1}$.

The Morse recurrent trajectory T extensively studied in the literature is a trajectory identical with its inverse, whence each element based on T is recurrent. Morse and Hedlund gave methods termed projection, reduction, association, and substitution by which one can obtain a recurrent trajectory from a given recurrent trajectory. One can prove readily that the elements based on the trajectories obtained by derivation from the Morse recurrent trajectory are recurrent.

Elsewhere the author proved (3) that the exponent trajectory T_e of a recurrent trajectory T is generated by a finite number of symbols, and is recurrent. Let T_1, T_2, \cdots be a sequence of trajectories such that T_i is the exponent trajectory of T_{i-1} for each i. We term T_2, T_3, \cdots the successive exponent trajectories of T. One can prove readily that the successive exponent trajectories of the Morse recurrent trajectory are each of the form $R^{-1}aR$ where a is a symbol and R is a ray, whence the elements based on these successive trajectories are recurrent.

We let $R_i(n)$ denote the recurrency function of the element E_i based on a trajectory T. If for each i the set $R_i(1)$, $R_i(2)$, \cdots is bounded, T is said to be E-recurrent. For the Morse recurrent trajectory one

can prove that the set $R_i(1)$ is not bounded whence this trajectory is not E-recurrent. The existence of a nonperiodic E-recurrent trajectory is still an open question.

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Morse and G. A. Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 60 (1938), pp. 815-866.
- 2. G. D. Birkhoff, *Dynamical Systems*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 9, New York, 1927.
- 3. R. Oldenburger, Exponent trajectories in symbolic dynamics, Transactions of this Society, vol. 46 (1939), pp. 453-466.
- **4.** Morse and Hedlund, *Symbolic dynamics*, II. *Sturmian trajectories*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 52 (1940), pp. 1-42.

Illinois Institute of Technology