

ON TOPOLOGICAL COMPLETENESS¹

L. W. COHEN

Recently A. Weil² defined a uniform space as a set of points p such that for each α in a set A there is defined a set $U_\alpha(p) \subset S$, the class of sets $U_\alpha(p)$ satisfying the conditions:

I_A. $\prod_\alpha U_\alpha(p) = (p)$.

II_A. To each $\alpha, \beta \in A$ there is a $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, \beta) \in A$ such that $U_\gamma(p) \subset U_\alpha(p) U_\beta(p)$.

III_A. To each $\alpha \in A$ there is a $\beta(\alpha) \in A$ such that if $p', p'' \in U_{\beta(\alpha)}(q)$, then $p'' \in U_\alpha(p')$.

For the uniform space S , Weil introduced the concept of Cauchy family $\{M_\beta\}$ of sets. Such a family is defined by the conditions that the intersection of any finite number of sets of the family is non-empty and that to each $\alpha \in A$ there is a $p_\alpha \in S$ and a $\beta(\alpha)$ such that $M_{\beta(\alpha)} \subset U_\alpha(p_\alpha)$. Weil gives a theory of completeness in these terms.

The writer has considered³ a space S of points p and neighborhoods $U_\alpha(p)$ where α is an element of a set A such that:

I. $\prod_\alpha U_\alpha(p) = (p)$.

II. To each α and $\beta \in A$ and $p \in S$ there is a $\gamma = \gamma(\alpha, \beta; p)$ such that $U_\gamma(p) \subset U_\alpha(p) U_\beta(p)$.

III. To each $\alpha \in A$ and $p \in S$ there are $\lambda(\alpha), \delta(p, \alpha) \in A$ such that, if $U_{\delta(p, \alpha)}(q) U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p) \neq 0$, then $U_{\delta(p, \alpha)}(q) \subset U_\alpha(p)$.

The uniformity conditions here are lighter than those in II_A and III_A. A Cauchy sequence $p_n \in S$ was defined by the condition that for every $\alpha \in A$, n_α and $p_\alpha \in S$ exist such that $p_n \in U_\alpha(p_\alpha)$ for $n \geq n_\alpha$. S is complete if every Cauchy sequence has a limit. It was shown that there is a complete space S^* which contains a homeomorphic image of S such that the image of a Cauchy sequence in S is a convergent sequence in S^* .

It is the object of this paper to show that Weil's space is a special case of the space $S_{I,II,III}$ and that the notion of Cauchy family in this space leads to the same theory of completeness as that previously developed.

THEOREM 1. *If S satisfies III_A and $\beta^2(\alpha) = \beta(\beta(\alpha))$, then from $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q) U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(p) \neq 0$ follows $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q) \subset U_\alpha(p)$.*

¹ Presented to the Society, December 27, 1939.

² A. Weil, *Sur les Espaces à Structure Uniforme*, Paris, 1938.

³ L. W. Cohen, *On imbedding a space in a complete space*, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 5 (1939), pp. 174-183. Also Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 3 (1937), pp. 610-617, where the notion of topological completeness is introduced.

PROOF. Let $s \in U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q)U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(p)$. Then from $s, q' \in U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q)$ we have $q' \in U_{\beta(\alpha)}(s)$. Therefore $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q) \subset U_{\beta(\alpha)}(s)$. Similarly $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(p) \subset U_{\beta(\alpha)}(s)$. Now from $p \in U_{\beta(\alpha)}(s)$ and $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q) \subset U_{\beta(\alpha)}(s)$ we have $U_{\beta^2(\alpha)}(q) \subset U_{\alpha}(p)$.

COROLLARY. If S satisfies III_A, then S satisfies III.

PROOF. For any $p \in S$ and $\alpha \in A$ we need only take $\lambda(\alpha) = \delta(p, \alpha) = \beta(\beta(\alpha))$. The result is stronger than III since $\delta(p, \alpha)$ is independent of p .

From now on a space S is one satisfying I, II, III. A family of sets $\{M_{\beta}\}$ is a Cauchy family if the intersection of any finite number of M_{β} is non-empty and if for any $\alpha \in A$ there is a $\beta(\alpha)$ such that $M_{\beta(\alpha)} \subset U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})$ for some $p_{\alpha} \in S$. We will say that S is W -complete if, for every Cauchy family $\{M_{\beta}\}, \prod_{\beta} \overline{M_{\beta}} \neq \emptyset$, where $\overline{M_{\beta}}$ is the closure of M_{β} . We shall always use the notations $\lambda(\alpha), \delta(p, \alpha)$ in the sense of III.

THEOREM 2. S is W -complete if and only if every Cauchy family $\{U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})\}$ consisting of one $U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})$ for each $\alpha \in A$ has the property that $\prod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)}) \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF. Assume S is W -complete. If $\{U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})\}$ is a Cauchy family, then $\prod_{\alpha} \overline{U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)})} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\overline{U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p)} \subset U_{\alpha}(p)$ follows from III, the condition $\prod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)}) \neq \emptyset$ is necessary. Assume now that the condition is satisfied and let $\{M_{\beta}\}$ be a Cauchy family in S . To each $\alpha \in A$ there are $p_{\alpha} \in S$ and $M_{\beta(\alpha)}$ such that $M_{\beta(\alpha)} \subset U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})$. It is clear that $\{U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})\}$ is a Cauchy family. Hence there is $p \in \prod_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)})$. For any $\gamma \in A$, consider the $\lambda(\gamma)$ and $\alpha = \delta(p, \gamma)$ of III. Since $p \in U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)})U_{\lambda(\gamma)}(p), U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)}) \subset U_{\gamma}(p)$ and

$$M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha))} \subset U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)}) \subset U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)}) \subset U_{\gamma}(p).$$

Hence

$$0 \neq M_{\beta}M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha))} \subset M_{\beta}U_{\gamma}(p)$$

for all β, γ . Thus $p \in \prod_{\beta} \overline{M_{\beta}}$ and S is W -complete.

The space S^* referred to above is defined as follows. A family $\{U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})\}$, one for each $\alpha \in A$, such that for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A, U_{\alpha_1}(p_{\alpha_1})U_{\alpha_2}(p_{\alpha_2}) \dots U_{\alpha_n}(p_{\alpha_n}) \neq \emptyset$ is denoted by II. We write $\Pi' \sim \Pi''$ if for every $\alpha \in A$ there is a set $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ such that for some $p_{\alpha} \in S$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p'_{\alpha_i}) + \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p''_{\alpha_i}) \subset U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha}).$$

The relation $\Pi' \sim \Pi''$ classifies all Π into mutually exclusive classes $C(\Pi)$ which are the points P of S^* . The neighborhoods $U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P)$ are made up of all $Q = C(\Pi^Q) \in S^*$ where $\Pi^Q = \{U_\alpha(q_\alpha)\}$ is such that for some $\beta_i = \beta_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; P)$, $i = 1, \dots, m = m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; P)$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^m U_{\beta_i}(q_{\beta_i}) \subset \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\alpha_i}).$$

The space S^* (for which A^* is the set of all finite subsets of A) satisfies I, II and

III*. For each $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ and for $P \in S^*$ there exist $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; P)$, $i = 1, \dots, m = m(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; P)$, such that if $U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(Q) U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P) \neq 0$, then $U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(Q) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P)$.

The mapping $f(p) = C(\Pi^p)$, where $\Pi^p = \{U_\alpha(p)\}$, is the homeomorphism on S to a subset of S^* referred to above.

THEOREM 3. *S^* is W -complete.*

PROOF. We first show that if $\{U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})\}$, where each $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ occurs just once, is a Cauchy family in S^* , then

$$\prod_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \in A} \overline{U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(p^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})} \neq 0.$$

Consider the $U_\alpha^*(P^\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in A$. Now $P^\alpha = C(\Pi^{P^\alpha})$, $\Pi^{P^\alpha} = \{U_\gamma(p_\gamma^\alpha)\}$, $U_\gamma(p_\gamma^\alpha)$ being a neighborhood in S for each $(\alpha, \gamma) \in A$. Since, for each $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$, $\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}^*(P^{\alpha_i}) \neq 0$, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\alpha_i}^{\alpha_i}) \neq 0.$$

Hence the family $\Pi = \{U_\alpha(p_\alpha^\alpha)\}$ defines a $P = C(\Pi) \in S^*$. For any $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \in A$

$$\prod_{i=1}^m U_{\gamma_i}^*(P) = U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P).$$

Both $U_{\gamma_i}^*(P)$ and $U_{\gamma_i}^*(P^{\gamma_i})$ are the set of all $Q = C(\Pi^Q)$, $\Pi^Q = \{U_\beta(q_\beta)\}$ such that for some $\beta_{ji} = \beta_j(\gamma_i)$, $j = 1, \dots, k_i$, $\prod_{j=1}^{k_i} U_{\beta_{ji}}(q_{\beta_{ji}}) \subset U_{\gamma_i}(p_{\gamma_i}^{\gamma_i})$. Hence

$$U_{\gamma_i}^*(P) = U_{\gamma_i}^*(P^{\gamma_i}), \quad U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P) = \prod_{i=1}^m U_{\gamma_i}^*(P^{\gamma_i}).$$

Thus from the fact that $\{U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})\}$ is a Cauchy family we have for any sets $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \in A$

$$U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}) U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P) = U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}) \prod_{i=1}^m U_{\gamma_i}^*(P^{\gamma_i}) \neq 0.$$

It follows that

$$(1) \quad P \in \prod_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \in A} \overline{U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})}.$$

Now suppose that $\{M_\beta^*\}$ is a Cauchy family of sets in S^* . For every $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in A$ there is a $\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)$ such that for some $P^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n} \in S^*$, $M_\beta^*(\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n) \subset U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}^*(P^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n})$. Thus

$$0 \neq \prod_{i=1}^m M_{\beta(\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{n_i}^i)}^* \subset \prod_{i=1}^m U_{\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{n_i}^i}^*(P^{\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{n_i}^i})$$

for every finite set $(\gamma_1^i, \dots, \gamma_{n_i}^i) \in A$ and $\{U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n}^*(P^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_n})\}$ is a Cauchy family. Let $P \in S^*$ satisfy (1). For any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ and $P \in S^*$ let $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m) \in A$ be the set of $\gamma_i = \gamma_i(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n; P)$ satisfying III*. From III* and (1)

$$U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P).$$

Since $\{M_\beta^*\}$ is a Cauchy family, for any β and $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ we have

$$0 \neq M_\beta^* M_{\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)}^* \subset M_{\beta(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m)}^* \subset U_{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}^*(P^{\gamma_1 \dots \gamma_m}) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P),$$

$$M_\beta^* U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P) \neq 0.$$

Hence $P \in \prod_{\beta} \overline{M_\beta^*}$ and S^* is W -complete.

THEOREM 4. *If $\{M_\beta\}$ is a Cauchy family in S and $f(S) \subset S^*$ is the homeomorphism defined above, then there is a $P \in S^*$ such that*

1. $\prod_{\beta} \overline{f(M_\beta)} = (P)$,
2. for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ there are β_1, \dots, β_m such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^m f(M_{\beta_i}) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P).$$

PROOF. $f(M_\beta)$ is the class of $P = C(\Pi^p)$ for all $p \in M_\beta$ where for any $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ and $\lambda(\alpha_i)$ there are $\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))$ and $p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}$ such that

$$M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))} \subset U_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}) \subset U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}),$$

$$0 \neq \prod_{i=1}^n M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))} \subset \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}).$$

If $q \in \prod_{i=1}^n M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))}$, then for $\delta_i = \delta(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}, \alpha_i)$ we have

$$q \in U_{\delta_i}(q) U_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}), \quad U_{\delta_i}(q) \subset U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since

$$q \in \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\delta_i}(q) \subset \prod_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}(p_{\lambda(\alpha_i)}),$$

the family $\{U_{\alpha}(p_{\lambda(\alpha)})\} = \Pi$ defines a $P = C(\Pi) \in S^*$ such that

$$f(q) \in U_{\delta_1 \dots \delta_n}^*(f(q)) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P).$$

Hence for such $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$ there are $P^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} = P$ and $\beta_i = \beta(\lambda(\alpha_i)), i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$0 \neq \prod_{i=1}^n f(M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))}) \subset U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P).$$

Thus the family of all finite products $\{f(M_{\beta_1}) \dots f(M_{\beta_n})\}$ is a Cauchy family in S^* since $f(M_{\beta_1}) \dots f(M_{\beta_n}) = f(M_{\beta_1} \dots M_{\beta_n}) \neq 0$. We have

$$U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P) f(M_{\beta}) \supset \prod_{i=1}^n f(M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))}) f(M_{\beta}) \neq 0$$

for all β and all $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in A$. In other words

$$P \in \prod_{\beta} \overline{f(M_{\beta})}.$$

But the intersection of all $\overline{f(M_{\beta})}$ is P , for if P' is any other point there are sets $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n) \in A$ such that

$$U_{\alpha'_1 \dots \alpha'_n}^*(P) U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P') = 0$$

and

$$P' \in S^* - \overline{U_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}^*(P)} \subset S^* - \prod_{i=1}^n \overline{f(M_{\beta(\lambda(\alpha_i))})} \subset S^* - \prod_{\beta} \overline{f(M_{\beta})}.$$

We conclude with the remark that if S is W -complete, S is complete. Suppose p_n is a Cauchy sequence in S . Let $M_n = (p_n, p_{n+1}, \dots)$. Then the intersection of any finite set of M_n is non-empty and for any $\alpha \in A$ there is an $n(\alpha)$ such that $M_{n(\alpha)} \subset U_{\alpha}(p_{\alpha})$ for some $p_{\alpha} \in S$. Thus $\{M_n\}$ is a Cauchy family. S being W -complete, there is a $p \in \prod_n \overline{M_n}$. Now for p , any $\alpha \in A, \lambda(\alpha)$ and $\delta = \delta(p, \alpha)$, we have

$$M_{n(\delta)} \subset U_{\delta}(p_{\delta}), \quad 0 \neq U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p) M_{n(\delta)} \subset U_{\lambda(\alpha)}(p) U_{\delta}(p_{\delta}),$$

$$M_{n(\delta)} \subset U_{\delta}(p_{\delta}) \subset U_{\alpha}(p), \quad p_n \in U_{\alpha}(p), \quad n \geq n(\delta) = n(\delta(p, \alpha)), \quad \lim p_n = p.$$