J. M. THOMPSON

Using the results given in (4), we have the following equality,

$$\int_{w} \frac{m_{3}\left\{e \cdot \left[\Gamma(r_{i}, P) - \Gamma(r, P)\right]\right\}}{r_{i} - r} df(e_{P})$$
$$= \int_{e} \frac{f\left\{\Gamma(r_{i}, Q)\right\} - f\left\{\Gamma(r, Q)\right\}}{r_{i} - r} dQ.$$

The integrand of the left-hand member belongs to a sequence of measurable, uniformly bounded functions, as a function of P, whose limit exists when *i* becomes infinite; so we let *i* become infinite and interchange the order of integration and pass to the limit for the left-hand member. The same considerations hold for the integrand of the right-hand member as a function of Q. Using (13), we have

$$\int_{w} m_2 \{ e \cdot C(r, P) \} df(e_P) = \int_{e} \frac{\partial f \{ \Gamma(r, Q) \}}{\partial r} dQ.$$

The quantity $\partial f \{ \Gamma(r, Q) \} / \partial r$ is non-negative. Hence we may substitute this last equation in (5) and change the Stieltjes integral into a Lebesgue integral as we did above for the volume average. Thus we have established the theorem.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ERRATUM

In my paper entitled On the summability of a certain class of series of Jacobi polynomials (this Bulletin, vol. 41 (1935), pp. 541-549), the following change should be made; it conforms with the last proofs that I had seen.

Page 544, 8th line from the bottom: read $S_{n,k}^{(k)}$ instead of $S_{n,k}^{(k)}$.

A. P. COWGILL

752