

ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY FOR THE THIRD EIGENVALUE OF THE LAPLACE–BELTRAMI OPERATOR ON \mathbb{S}^2

NIKOLAI NADIRASHVILI & YANNICK SIRE

Abstract

We prove Hersch's type isoperimetric inequality for the third positive eigenvalue on \mathbb{S}^2 . Our method builds on the theory we developed to construct extremal metrics on Riemannian surfaces in conformal classes for any eigenvalue.

1. Introduction

Let (\mathbb{S}^2, g) be a Riemannian manifold diffeomorphic to the two-sphere. Denote by

$$0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots,$$

the (discrete) spectrum of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on (\mathbb{S}^2, g) . Consider now, the following quantity

$$\Lambda_k(\mathbb{S}^2) = \sup_g \lambda_k(g) A_g(\mathbb{S}^2),$$

the *extremal* spectrum of \mathbb{S}^2 , i.e., the suprema for fixed area (the area of M is denoted $A_g(M)$) of the eigenvalues λ_k among *all* metrics on M .

In [Her70], Hersch proved that

$$\Lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^2) = 8\pi.$$

Similarly, in [Nad02], the first author proved that

$$\Lambda_2(\mathbb{S}^2) = 16\pi.$$

Our method to prove the main Theorem of this paper provides actually a simpler proof of this result.

The goal of the present paper is to prove

Theorem 1.1. *The following holds*

$$\Lambda_3(\mathbb{S}^2) = 24\pi.$$

Received June 19, 2015.

Notice that $\lambda_3(g)A_g(\mathbb{S}^2)$ tends to 24π when (\mathbb{S}^2, g) is bubbling into three equal round spheres.

The proof relies on the theory we developed in [NS15a, NS15b] for suprema of eigenvalues in conformal classes and minimal submanifolds on the sphere (see Section 2 for a reminder of the main results), which connects λ_k -maximizing metrics on surfaces with harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces into \mathbb{S}^n . Notice that already in [Ber73] Berger pointed out a connection of certain extremal eigenvalue problems with minimal submanifolds of the spheres and in [YY80] Yang and Yau proved an isoperimetric inequality for λ_1 on the projective plane related to the problem with some properties of minimal surfaces in \mathbb{S}^4 .

For higher eigenvalues on the sphere we make the following conjecture

Conjecture. *The following holds*

$$\Lambda_k(\mathbb{S}^2) = 8\pi k.$$

2. Suprema of eigenvalues on conformal classes

Let M be a compact, boundaryless, connected, smooth Riemannian surface. Instead of considering the quantity $\Lambda_k(M)$, we will restrict the supremum to the conformal class of a given background metric g_{round} of the surface M . We define

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]) = \sup_{\tilde{g} \in [g], A_{\tilde{g}}(M)=1} \lambda_k(\tilde{g}).$$

First, in [NS15b] we proved the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (M, g) be a smooth connected compact boundaryless Riemannian surface. For any $k \geq 1$ and a sequence of metrics $g'_i = (g'_i)_{n \geq 1} \in [g]$ of the form $g'_i = \mu'_i g$ such that*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k(g'_i) = \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]),$$

there exists a subsequence of metrics $g'_{i_n} = (g_n)_{n \geq 1} \in [g]$, $g_n = \mu_n g$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_k(g_n) = \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g]),$$

and a probability measure μ such that

$$\mu_n \rightharpoonup^* \mu \text{ weakly in measure as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, the following decomposition holds

$$(1) \quad \mu = \mu_r + \mu_s,$$

where μ_r is a C^∞ nonnegative function and μ_s is the singular part given, if not trivial, by

$$\mu_s = \sum_{i=1}^K c_i \delta_{x_i},$$

for some $K \geq 1$, $c_i \geq 0$ and some bubbling points $x_i \in M$. Furthermore, the number K satisfies the bound

$$K \leq k - 1.$$

Moreover, the weights c_i satisfy: there exists m_j such that $1 \leq m_j \leq k$ and

$$(2) \quad c_j = \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{m_j}(\mathbb{S}^2, [\text{ground}])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])}.$$

The regular part of the limit density μ , i.e., μ_r is either identically zero or μ_r is absolutely continuous with respect to the Riemannian measure with a smooth positive density vanishing at most at a finite number of points on M .

Furthermore, if we denote A_r the volume of the regular part μ_r , i.e., $A_r = A_{\mu_r g}(M)$, then A_r satisfies: there exists m_0 such that $1 \leq m_0 \leq k$ and

$$(3) \quad A_r = \frac{\tilde{\Lambda}_{m_0}(M, [g])}{\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])}.$$

Finally, if we denote \mathcal{U} the eigenspace of the Laplacian on $(M, \mu_r g)$ associated to the eigenvalue $\tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])$, then there exists a family of eigenvectors $\{u_1, \dots, u_\ell\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that the map

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell, \\ x \rightarrow (u_1, \dots, u_\ell) \end{cases}$$

is a harmonic map into the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{\ell-1}$.

Notice that in the case when M is a sphere the map ϕ is automatically conformal and hence ϕ is a minimal immersion [SY97]. Assuming in this case that $\mathbb{S}^{\ell-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a unit sphere $M = \mathbb{S}^2$ and \tilde{g} is a metric induced on M by the map

$$\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell,$$

we will have $\tilde{g} = \mu_r g \tilde{\Lambda}_k(M, [g])/2$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we heavily rely on some properties of the minimal immersions from \mathbb{S}^2 into Euclidean spheres, which is a crucial statement in our previous theorem. In the following we denote by $\mathbb{D}_r(x)$ the disk of radius r and center $x \in M$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We divide the proof into several steps. The general idea of the proof is by contradiction on the triviality of the singular part of the limiting measure μ_s in Theorem 2.1. Indeed, the proof goes as follows: in a first step, we prove that if the singular part is non-identically vanishing then Theorem 1.1 follows. In a second step, we assume the contrary and

reach a contradiction. This latter step is the main part of the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.1. Bubbling phenomenon and proof of Theorem 1.1. We start by a lemma on the decomposition of the spectrum in the case of a singular extremal metric (i.e., μ_s is not identically zero).

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a subsequence $\mu_n g$ of the sequence of metrics $\mu'_i g$ in Theorem 2.1 with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j^n\}_{j \geq 0}$. Consider a smooth cut-off function ψ_r on M such that $0 \leq \psi_r \leq 1$, $\psi_r = 0$ on $\mathbb{D}_{r/2}(\tilde{x})$ and $\psi_r = 1$ on $M \setminus \mathbb{D}_r(\tilde{x})$ where \tilde{x} is a blow up point in Theorem 2.1. Define the sequence of metrics $h_n = \psi_{2^{-n}} \mu_n g$ and ρ_n on \mathbb{S}^2 such that (\mathbb{S}_+^2, ρ_n) is isometric to $(\mathbb{D}_{2^{-n}}, \mu_n g - h_n)$. We extend ρ_n by 0 on \mathbb{S}_-^2 . Denote by $\{\alpha_i^n\}_{i \geq 0}$ and $\{\beta_i^n\}_{i \geq 0}$ the sequences of eigenvalues of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on (M, h_n) and (\mathbb{S}^2, ρ_n) respectively.*

Fix a natural number $N \geq 1$. Suppose the following limits exist:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i^n = \lambda_i,$$

for $i = 0, \dots, N$. Then there exists a subsequence n_m and natural numbers $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ such that the following limits hold

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i^{n_m} = \alpha_i,$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \beta_i^{n_m} = \beta_i,$$

and furthermore

$$\{\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_N\} = \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N_1}\} \cup \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{N_2}\},$$

where the union of sets is taken considering the multiplicity of the eigenvalues.

Proof. Consider μ_n the sequence of densities given by Theorem 2.1 with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i^n\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ on $(M, \mu_n g)$. The densities μ_n are smooth nonnegative functions that might vanish on subsets of M . In that case, the eigenvalues are given by the standard variational formulae. Recall that the sequence of densities μ_n is bounded. Let $\mathbb{D}^2 \subset M$ be a disk and $x_0 \in \mathbb{D}^2$. By boundedness of μ_n , we can assume that for any neighborhood $G \subset \mathbb{D}^2$ of x_0 there is N such that for all $n \geq N$, $\mu_n < 1$ on $\mathbb{D}^2 \setminus G$. Denote by u_i^n the eigenvectors of the Laplace–Beltrami operator associated to λ_i^n on (M, μ_n) . Let U^n be the linear span of u_1^n, \dots, u_N^n and consider $u \in U^n$ with the normalization $\|u\|_{L^2(M)} = 1$. Then of course, one has $\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C$ independently of n . Define the sets

$$\Omega_m = \mathbb{D}_{2^{-m}}(\tilde{x}) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{4^{-m}}(\tilde{x}).$$

Now remember that each function u_n^i satisfies a Schrödinger equation with bounded potential. So by standard elliptic estimates (see also [NS15a]), one has that there exists $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|u_i^n\|_{L^2(\Omega_m)} \leq C(1 - \epsilon)^m,$$

for any m and sufficiently large n . Now define the continuous functions,

$$(5) \quad \varphi_m^1 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } M \setminus \mathbb{D}_{2^{-m}}(\tilde{x}), \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{D}_{4^{-m}}(\tilde{x}), \\ \text{harmonic on } \mathbb{D}_{2^{-m}}(\tilde{x}) \setminus \mathbb{D}_{4^{-m}}(\tilde{x}). \end{cases}$$

Set $\varphi_m^2 = 1 - \varphi_m^1$. By the very previous definitions, one then gets

$$\|\nabla(\varphi_m^1 u)\|_{L^2(M)} + \|\nabla(\varphi_m^2 u)\|_{L^2(M)} \leq C(1 - \epsilon)^m.$$

Consequently, at least one of the two following inequalities holds

$$\frac{\|\nabla(\varphi_m^1 u)\|_{L^2(M)}^2}{\|(\varphi_m^1 u)\|_{L^2(M)}^2} \leq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2} + C(1 - \epsilon)^m,$$

or

$$\frac{\|\nabla(\varphi_m^2 u)\|_{L^2(M)}^2}{\|(\varphi_m^2 u)\|_{L^2(M)}^2} \leq \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(M)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(M)}^2} + C(1 - \epsilon)^m.$$

Hence, the N^{th} eigenvalue of the disjoint union of (M, h_{n_m}) and $(\mathbb{S}^2, \rho_{n_m})$ is uniformly bounded and hence taking a subsequence we may assume that there exists two numbers N_1 and N_2 such that $N_1 + N_2 = N$ and the limits in the lemma exist.

We now prove the last part of the lemma. Let $b_1 \leq \dots \leq b_N$ be the ordering of the set $\{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{N_1}\} \cup \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{N_2}\}$ with respect to the multiplicities. From the previous inequalities on the Rayleigh quotients, one has

$$b_i \leq \lambda_i \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

For the reverse inequality, let f be a smooth nonnegative function on M bounded by one on \mathbb{D}^2 . Let λ_i^0 be the sequence of eigenvalues of (M, fg) and λ_i^ϵ be the eigenvalues on $(M \setminus \mathbb{D}_\epsilon(\tilde{x}), fg)$ with zero boundary data on $\partial\mathbb{D}_\epsilon(\tilde{x})$. Therefore, by standard elliptic estimates, one has

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i^\epsilon = \lambda_i^0.$$

Moreover, for any $N, \delta > 0$ there exists ϵ such that

$$\lambda_i^\epsilon < \lambda_i^0 + \delta, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

It follows that

$$b_i \geq \lambda_i,$$

and this concludes the lemma. q.e.d.

Let μ be the extremal measure on \mathbb{S}^2 defined in Theorem 2.1 for the third eigenvalue λ_3 . Composing metrics g_n with suitable Möbius transformations of the sphere we may always assume that the regular part μ_r of the extremal metric is non-identically zero. Indeed, in that case we have $K = 1$ or 2 . In formulas (2) and (3), we now identify the values of m_j and m_0 . Since we assume bubbling, the splitting Lemma (see Lemma 3.1) imposes that m_0 and m_j are different from 3 and then $m_0, m_j \in \{1, 2\}$. Furthermore, one has

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_1(\mathbb{S}^2) = 8\pi,$$

and

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_2(\mathbb{S}^2) = 16\pi.$$

Therefore, the quotient c_j/A_r belongs to $\{1, \frac{1}{2}, 2\}$. Owing to the fact that $c_j + A_r = 1$ since the total area of the manifold is 1 , this leads to the three following cases

- 1) $A_r = \frac{1}{2}$
- 2) $A_r = \frac{1}{3}$
- 3) $A_r = \frac{2}{3}$

By Theorem 2.1, one has

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1(g'_i) = 0.$$

Hence, by the previous lemma, in all cases, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_3(g'_i) \\ & \leq \sup\{\lambda_1(\mu_r g_{\text{round}}), \lambda_1(\mu_s g_{\text{round}}), \lambda_2(\mu_r g_{\text{round}}), \lambda_2(\mu_s g_{\text{round}})\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case (3), one has

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_3(g'_i) \leq \lambda_1(3\mu_s g_{\text{round}}) = \lambda_2\left(\frac{3}{2}\mu_r g_{\text{round}}\right).$$

Hence, by Hersch's theorem and by [Nad02] in cases (2) and (3) Theorem 1.1 follows. The case (1) is ruled out since we are not obtaining an extremal value of the third eigenvalue.

3.2. No bubbling phenomenon and contradiction. We now assume that there is no bubbling, i.e., $\mu_s \equiv 0$ (see Theorem 2.1) and we want to reach a contradiction, i.e., there is always bubbling and then the previous argument gives the desired result.

The strategy of the proof is based on the characterization of the extremal metric in terms of minimal immersions into Euclidean spheres. Due to previous results, only two possible immersions occur and we will rule them out separately.

By a result in [HOHON99], the multiplicity of λ_3 on \mathbb{S}^2 is less than or equal to 5 . Let ϕ be the minimal immersion into a standard sphere constructed in Theorem 2.1. Then by a result of Barbosa (and Calabi)

(see [Bar79, Cal67]), we have that $\ell - 1$ is an even integer and since, by construction, ℓ is less or equal the multiplicity of the eigenvalue, one has only two cases: either $\ell - 1 = 2$ or $\ell - 1 = 4$. Therefore, only remains two types of minimal immersions denoted

$$\phi_1 : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2,$$

and

$$\phi_2 : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^4,$$

given by eigenvectors of the extremal metric. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let*

$$(6) \quad \psi : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$$

be a branched conformal immersion into a standard sphere with at least 3 sheets. Let f be a metric induced on \mathbb{S}^2 by the immersion ψ . Then there are at least 3 eigenvalues below 2 on (\mathbb{S}^2, f) .

Remark 3.2. Note that Theorem 1.1 holds if the number of sheets of the previous minimal immersion ψ is no more than 3 since $\Lambda_3(\mathbb{S}^2) \geq 24\pi$. The equality in the last inequality is attained when (\mathbb{S}^2, g) is bubbling into three equal round spheres.

Let $\psi_j : (\mathbb{S}^2, f_j) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^2_{round})$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of isometric immersions and denote $\{\lambda_i^j\}_i$ the sequence of eigenvalues on (\mathbb{S}^2, f_j) . If ψ_j converges uniformly to ψ then λ_i^j are convergent to λ_i as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus if $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{S}^2$ are the branching points of the immersion (6), then for proving Theorem 3.1 we may assume without loss of generality that the ramification numbers of the branching points $(x_i)_{i=1,\dots,k}$ are equal to 2, all points $\psi(x_i)$ are different and, moreover, any three points of the set $\{\psi(x_1), \dots, \psi(x_k)\}$ are not on the same big circle of \mathbb{S}^2 .

Let $\gamma \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be a simple closed loop. We call γ an *arc* if there are two branching points $x_n, x_m \in \gamma$ and $\psi(\gamma)$ is an arc of a big circle on \mathbb{S}^2 connecting points $\psi(x_n)$ and $\psi(x_m)$. We call also γ an arc between x_n and x_m .

The proof of the previous Theorem 3.1 is a consequence of

Lemma 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, there are two nonintersecting simply connected domains $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ such that $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$ are arcs.*

Proof. Let γ be an arc between x_0 and x_n and γ' be an arc between x_0 and x_m . We claim that $x_n = x_m$.

Assume not. Let G be a simply connected neighborhood of $\psi(\gamma \cup \gamma')$, such that $\psi^{-1}(G)$ contains no other branching points except of x_0, x_n, x_m . Let D be a connected component of $\psi^{-1}(G)$ containing the

arcs γ and γ' . Since the branching index of x_0 is 1 the map $\psi : D \rightarrow \psi(D) \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ is a two-sheet branching immersion. Since there are three branching points on D of branching indexes 1 ∂D is a closed simple loop and hence D is a topological disk. On the other hand, by Riemann–Hurwitz theorem the map $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ has exactly one branching point. This is a contradiction.

Let γ, γ' be two arcs between two different pairs of branching points x_0, x_n and y_0, y_n . We claim that $\gamma \cap \gamma' = \emptyset$.

Assume not. Let G be a simply connected neighborhood of $\psi(\gamma \cup \gamma')$, such that $\psi^{-1}(G)$ contains no other branching points except of x_0, x_n, y_0, y_n . Let D be a connected component of $\psi^{-1}(G)$ containing the arcs γ and γ' . Since γ and γ' are simple closed curves on \mathbb{S}^2 they have exactly two points of intersection which are projected into a one point by map ψ . Hence, the map $\psi : D \rightarrow \psi(D) \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ is a two-sheet branching immersion. Since there are four branching points on D of branching indexes 1 ∂D is a union of two disjoint closed simple loops and hence D is a topological annulus. On the other hand, again by Riemann–Hurwitz theorem the map $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ has exactly two branching points, hence a contradiction.

For $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$ we denote by ℓ_i a segment of the big circle on \mathbb{S}^2 connecting $\psi(x_1)$ to $\psi(x_{i+1})$. We will show that there exists an index j such that $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$ and

$$D = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \psi^{-1}(\ell_j)$$

is disconnected. To prove that we may assume without loss of generality that any three branching points x_1, x_2, x_3 (say) are not on the same big circle otherwise we can shift each of them without changing the topological structure of the covering. Denote now

$$L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_i,$$

$$\Gamma = \psi^{-1}(L),$$

and

$$G = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \Gamma.$$

The set Γ is a union of simple arcs on \mathbb{S}^2 with their intersections in the set $\psi^{-1}(x_1)$. Assume that Γ does not separate \mathbb{S}^2 . Then the monodromy transformation of \mathbb{S}^2 corresponding to a closed loop surrounding x_1 is trivial, or in other words x_1 is not a branching point. Consequently, the set G is disconnected. Therefore, there exists j as before such that D is disconnected.

Thus there exists an arc between x_1 and x_j . Therefore, for any n , $1 \leq n \leq k$ there exist a unique m , $1 \leq m \leq k$ such that there is an arc between x_n and x_m . Denote by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_l$, $2l = k$, arcs between the

corresponding pairs of branching points. As we proved before, the arcs γ_i have no mutual intersections. Denote

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq l} \gamma_i.$$

Then Γ separate \mathbb{S}^2 on $l+1$ domains among which there are at least two simply connected, which we can take as Ω_1, Ω_2 . This gives the desired result. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be the domains defined in Lemma 3.3. Then $\partial\Omega_1, \partial\Omega_2$ are arcs and $s_1 := \psi(\partial\Omega_1), s_2 := \psi(\partial\Omega_2)$ are subsets of big circles, say of $S_1, S_2 \subset \mathbb{S}^2$.

The maps

$$\psi : \Omega_i \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus s_i,$$

$i = 1, 2$, are diffeomorphisms and $\psi^{-1}(S_1)$ (resp. $\psi^{-1}(S_2)$) separates Ω_1 (resp. Ω_2) into two domains D_1 and D_2 . Thus we have four domains D_1, D_2, D_3, D_4 on \mathbb{S}^2 , D_1, \dots, D_4 without mutual intersection, such that $\psi(D_i)$ are hemispheres. Therefore, the ground state of (D_i, f) with a Dirichlet boundary condition on ∂D_i is equal to 2. Hence, the third eigenvalue of \mathbb{S}^2 is ≤ 2 and since $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3 \cup D_4$ is a proper subset of \mathbb{S}^2 the last inequality is strict: $\lambda_3 < 2$. q.e.d.

After ruling out the case of minimal immersion from \mathbb{S}^2 into \mathbb{S}^2 , we now rule out the case of the other minimal immersions.

Lemma 3.4. *There is no map ψ which is a minimizing harmonic map from \mathbb{S}^2 with the extremal metric into the Euclidean sphere \mathbb{S}^4 .*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that such a map exists, i.e., the map ϕ_2 introduced before is nontrivial. The map ϕ_2 corresponds to $\ell = 5$, i.e., the maximal possible multiplicity for λ_3 by [HOHON99]. Then we can write (by definition of the discrete spectrum counted with multiplicity)

$$\lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_7.$$

Assuming that \mathbb{S}^2 endorsed by the metric induced by the immersion we will have $2 = \lambda_3 = \dots = \lambda_7$. By a result of Calabi [Cal67], we know also that if ψ is a minimal immersion then the area is quantized

$$A_g(\psi(\mathbb{S}^2)) = 4\pi d,$$

where d is an integer. Assume that

$$A_g(\phi_2(\mathbb{S}^2)) = 4\pi n.$$

We introduce another minimal immersion ϕ_3 generated by eigenvectors from \mathbb{S}^2 into $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{S}^4$ such that $\phi_3 : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ is an n -sheeted branched covering. By a deep result of Loo [Loo89], see also [Kot94] the set \mathcal{M} of all minimal immersions from \mathbb{S}^2 into \mathbb{S}^4 is connected for fixed area, which is the case by a result of Calabi. Indeed, it is locally

even a manifold (see [Ver85]) so it is path-connected. Therefore, there exists a continuous deformation of minimal immersions from \mathbb{S}^2 into \mathbb{S}^4 connecting the minimal immersions $\psi = \phi_2$ and ϕ_3 . By Theorem 3.1 the eigenvalue equal to 2 of $(\mathbb{S}^2, \tilde{g})$ is at least the fourth eigenvalue and \tilde{g} is the pull-back metric by ϕ_3 . As previously mentioned, ψ is a minimal immersion associated to a harmonic map given by eigenvectors with an extremal eigenvalue of multiplicity 5. However, since for ϕ_2 the eigenvalue equal to 2 is the third one and since the spectrum is continuously depends on the deformation this implies that there is a minimal immersion $\tilde{\psi}$ along the deformation such that for $\tilde{\psi}$ the eigenvalue 2 is still the third eigenvalue for the corresponding metric on \mathbb{S}^2 and there is at least one additional eigenvalue coming into the value 2 and raising the multiplicity of 2 at least to 6 and then by Lemma 3.1, we reach a contradiction.

q.e.d.

References

- [Bar79] Jo ao Lucas Marquês Barbosa. An extrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal immersions from S^2 into S^n . *J. Differential Geom.*, 14(3):355–368 (1980), 1979.
- [Ber73] M. Berger. Sur les premières valeurs propres des variétés riemanniennes. *Compositio Math.*, 26:129–149, 1973.
- [Cal67] Eugenio Calabi. Minimal immersions of surfaces in Euclidean spheres. *J. Differential Geometry*, 1:111–125, 1967.
- [Her70] Joseph Hersch. Quatre propriétés isopérimétriques de membranes sphériques homogènes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B*, 270:A1645–A1648, 1970.
- [HOHON99] M. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, T. Hoffmann-Ostenhof, and N. Nadirashvili. On the multiplicity of eigenvalues of the Laplacian on surfaces. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 17(1):43–48, 1999.
- [Kot94] Motoko Kotani. Connectedness of the space of minimal 2-spheres in $S^{2m}(1)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 120(3):803–810, 1994.
- [Loo89] Bonaventure Loo. The space of harmonic maps of S^2 into S^4 . *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 313(1):81–102, 1989.
- [Nad02] Nikolai Nadirashvili. Isoperimetric inequality for the second eigenvalue of a sphere. *J. Differential Geom.*, 61(2):335–340, 2002.
- [NS15a] Nikolai Nadirashvili and Yannick Sire. Conformal spectrum and harmonic maps. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 15(1):123–140, 182, 2015.
- [NS15b] Nikolai Nadirashvili and Yannick Sire. Maximization of higher order eigenvalues and applications. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 15(4):767–775, 2015.
- [SY97] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau. *Lectures on harmonic maps*. Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, II. International Press, Cambridge, MA, 1997.
- [Ver85] Jean-Louis Verdier. Applications harmoniques de S^2 dans S^4 . In *Geometry today (Rome, 1984)*, volume 60 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 267–282. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1985.

- [YY80] Paul C. Yang and Shing Tung Yau. Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of compact Riemann surfaces and minimal submanifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (4), 7(1):55–63, 1980.

CNRS, I2M UMR 7353
CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES ET INFORMATIQUE
MARSEILLE

FRANCE

E-mail address: nikolay.nadirashvili@univ-amu.fr

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 404 KRIEGER HALL
3400 N. CHARLES STREET
BALTIMORE, MD 21218
USA

E-mail address: sire@math.jhu.edu