# Large Time Behavior and Uniqueness of Solutions of a Weakly Coupled System of Reaction-Diffusion Equations 

Noriaki UMEDA<br>Tokyo Metropolitan University<br>(Communicated by M. Sakai)

## 1. Introduction

We consider nonnegative solutions of the initial value problem for a weakly coupled system

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial u_{i}(x, t)}{\partial t}=\Delta u_{i}(x, t)+u_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(x, t), & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, t>0, i \in N^{*}  \tag{1}\\ u_{i}(x, 0)=u_{i, 0}(x), & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, i \in N^{*}\end{cases}
$$

where $N \geq 1, N^{*}=\{1,2, \cdots N\}, d \geq 1, p_{i}>0\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ and $u_{i, 0}\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ are nonnegative bounded and continuous functions. Throughout this paper we mean $u_{N+i}=u_{i}, u_{N+i, 0}=$ $u_{i, 0}, p_{N+i}=p_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{N}\right), u_{0}=\left(u_{1,0}, u_{2,0}, \cdots, u_{N, 0}\right)$.

Problem (1) has a nonnegative and bounded solution at least locally in time (see Theorem 2.1). For any given initial value $u_{0}$, let $T^{*}=T^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)$ be the maximal existence time of the solution. If $T^{*}=\infty$, it is called a global solution. On the other hand, if $T^{*}<\infty$, there exists $i \in N^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow T^{*}}\left\|u_{i}(t)\right\|_{\infty}=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When (2) holds, we say that the solution blows up in a finite time.
Since the pioneering work of Fujita [6], the blow up and global existence of solutions to weakly coupled semilinear parabolic systems have been studied by several authors ([2], [3], [4] and [7]).

In the previous paper ([8]), we have considered the case $p_{i} \geq 1\left(i \in N^{*}\right), p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}>$ 1 and proved the following results.
(I) If $2 \max _{i \in N^{*}}\left\{1+p_{i}+p_{i} p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_{i+N-2}\right\} \geq d\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}-1\right)$, then $T^{*}<\infty$ for every nontrivial solution $u(t)$ of (1);
(II) If $2 \max _{i \in N^{*}}\left\{1+p_{i}+p_{i} p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_{i+N-2}\right\}<d\left(p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}-1\right)$, then there exist both non-global solutions and non-trivial global solutions of (1).

In [8], we also have considered the large time behavior of global solutions. These results extend the previous results for the case $N=2$ ([2] and [7]). We also refer [4] and the references therein for the study of the blow-up rate in the case (I) for $N \geq 1$.

In this article, we consider the case $p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N} \leq 1$ with $p_{i}>0$ and we may allow the situation $p_{i}>1$ for some $i$. Our first result is the following global existence of solutions.

THEOREM 1. Assume that $0<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N} \leq 1$. Let $u(t)$ be a nonnegative solution of (1), and let $T^{*}=T^{*}\left(u_{0}\right)$ be the maximal existence time of the solution. Then $T^{*}=\infty$, i.e., every solution is global.

The uniqueness of solutions to (1) is a delicate issue, because the nonlinearity does not satisfy the Lipschitz condition when $p_{i}<1$ for some $i$. Actually, the uniqueness of solutions does not hold in general and we can have the following two theorems.

THEOREM 2. Assume that $0<p_{i}<1\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ and $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$. The problem (1) has a unique nonnegative solution.

THEOREM 3. Assume that $0<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}<1$ and $u_{0} \equiv 0$. Then any nontrivial nonnegative solution of (1) has the form

$$
u_{i}(x, t ; s)=c_{i}(t-s)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}} \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right)
$$

where $(r)_{+}=\max \{r, 0\}, s$ is any nonnegative constant and $c_{i}, \alpha_{i}(i \in N)$ are positive constants given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{i}=\frac{1+p_{i}+p_{i} p_{i+1}+\cdots+p_{i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_{i+N-2}}{1-p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}}  \tag{3}\\
c_{i}^{p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}-1}=\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i+2}^{p_{i} p_{i+1}} \cdots \alpha_{i+N-1}^{p_{i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_{i+N-2}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case of $N \leq 2$, Theorem 2 has been proved under the weaker condition $0<$ $p_{1} p_{2}<1$ [3; Theorem (a)]. However, it is an open problem whether Theorem 2 is true or not under the weaker condition $p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}<1$ for the case $N \geq 3$.

Finally, we consider the asymptotic behavior of solutions of (1) as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
THEOREM 4. Assume that $0<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}<1$. Then, for any nontrivial nonnegative solution $u(t)$ of (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha_{i}} u_{i}(x, t)=c_{i} \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds uniformly in $\boldsymbol{R}^{d}$, where $c_{i}, \alpha_{i}$ are positive constants given by (3).
As for the global existence, the case $N=1$ is easy ([1]). The case $N=2$ was studied by M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero ([2; Theorem 1]). As for the uniqueness of solutions, the same results have been obtained by J. Aguirre and M. Escobedo ([1]) in the case $N=1$,
and by M. A. Herrero and M. Escobedo ([3]) in the case $N=2$. Theorems 1, 2 and 3 in this paper extend these results to the general case $N \geq 3$. Basically Theorems 1,2 and 3 can be proved in a similar way to that in [2] and [3]. But for our big system, the procedure to obtain the key differential inequalities (23) and (24) below becomes very complicated. To control this big system properly, we make use of Lemma 2.2 which is a new observation. Moreover, to obtain an important lower bound estimate (Lemma 3.2), we also need to control more complicated iteration process than the one for the case $N=2$. Theorem 4 is completely new even for the case $N=1,2$. We also note that in the proof of Theorem 4 we employ a new comparison argument which yields a simple proof of the global existence under the condition $0<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}<1$ even for the case $N=2$.

In $\S 2$ we prove Theorem 1. Theorems 2 and 3 are proved in $\S 3$ and finally Theorem 4 is proved in $\S 4$.

For simplicity, we use the following notation throughout this paper:

$$
p_{i, j}= \begin{cases}p_{i} p_{i+1} \cdots p_{j}, & (i<j), \\ p_{i}, & (i=j), \\ 1, & (i>j) .\end{cases}
$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

First we note the local existence of solutions of (1).
THEOREM 2.1. Let $p_{i}>0\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ and assume that $u_{0}$ is nonnegative, continuous and bounded. Then there exists $T>0$ such that (1) admits $a$ nonnegative and bounded classical solution $u$ in $[0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$.

Proof. Although we follow the same argument as in [2; Theorem 2.1] for the case $N=2$ and [ 1 ; Lemma (1.3)] for the case $N=1$, we give the outline of the proof for reader's convenience. For arbitrary $T>0$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T}=\left\{u:[0, T] \rightarrow\left(L^{\infty}\right)^{N} ;\|u\|_{E_{T}}<\infty\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\|u\|_{E_{T}}=\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|u_{i}(t)\right\|_{\infty}\right\} .
$$

We consider in $E_{T}$ the related integral system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(t)=S(t) u_{i, 0}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s) d s, \quad i \in N^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(t) \xi$ represents the solution of the heat equation with an initial function $\xi$ :

$$
S(t) \xi(x)=(4 \pi t)^{-d / 2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{d}} e^{-|x-y|^{2} / 4 t} \xi(y) d y .
$$

Note that in the closed subset $P_{T}=\left\{u \in E_{T} ; v_{i} \geq 0\left(i \in N^{*}\right)\right\}$ of $E_{T}$, (1) is reduced to (6). If $p_{j}<1$ for some $j$, let $\left\{g_{j, n}\right\}$ be a sequence of globally Lipschitz continuous functions such that, for any fixed $n>0$

$$
g_{j, n}(r)= \begin{cases}0, & r \leq 0, \\ c_{j, n} r, & 0<r \leq 1 / 2 n, \\ r^{p_{j}}, & r>1 / 2 n,\end{cases}
$$

where $c_{j, n}=(2 n)^{1-p_{j}}$. Consider now the approximating problems for (1) as in [2]:

$$
\begin{cases}\left(u_{i, n}\right)_{t}-\Delta u_{i, n}=u_{i+1, n}^{p_{i}}, & t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \text { if } p_{i} \geq 1,  \tag{7}\\ \left(u_{j, n}\right)_{t}-\Delta u_{j, n}=g_{j, n}\left(u_{j+1, n}\right), & t>0, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \text { if } p_{j}<1, \\ u_{i+1, n}(0)=u_{i+1,0}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \text { if } p_{i} \geq 1, \\ u_{j+1, n}(0)=u_{j+1,0}+1 / n, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, \text { if } p_{j}<1\end{cases}
$$

We put $\tilde{u}_{n}=\left(u_{1, n}, u_{2, n}, \cdots, u_{N, n}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{n}\left(\tilde{u}_{n}\right)(t)= & \left(S(t) u_{1, n}(0)+\Phi_{1, n}\left(u_{2, n}\right)(t), S(t) u_{2, n}(0)+\Phi_{2, n}\left(u_{3, n}\right)(t),\right. \\
& \left.\cdots, S(t) u_{N, n}(0)+\Phi_{N, n}\left(u_{1, n}\right)(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\Phi_{i, n}\left(u_{i+1, n}\right)(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+1, n}^{p_{i}}(s) d s & \left(p_{i} \geq 1\right) \\
\Phi_{j, n}\left(u_{j+1, n}\right)(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) g_{j, n}\left(u_{j+1, n}\right)(s) d s & \left(p_{j}<1\right)
\end{array}
$$

Then we can easily obtain the following estimates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(S(\cdot) u_{1, n}(0), S(\cdot) u_{2, n}(0), \cdots, S(\cdot) u_{N, n}(0)\right)\right\|_{E_{T}} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|u_{i, n}(0)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \left\|\left(\Phi_{1, n}\left(u_{2, n}\right), \Phi_{2, n}\left(u_{3, n}\right), \cdots, \Phi_{N, n}\left(u_{1, n}\right)\right)\right\|_{E_{T}} \leq C T \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left\|U_{i, n}\right\|_{E_{T}}^{p_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
U_{1, n} \\
U_{2, n} \\
\vdots \\
U_{N-1, n} \\
U_{N, n}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & u_{2, n} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & u_{3, n} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{N, n} \\
u_{1, n} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $B_{R}=\left\{\tilde{u}_{n} \in E_{T} ;\left\|\tilde{u}_{n}\right\|_{E_{T}} \leq R\right\}$. If $R$ is large enough and $T>0$ is small enough, one can easily see from the above inequalities that $\Psi_{n}$ is a strict contraction from $B_{R} \cap P_{T}$
into itself, whence there exists a unique fixed point $\tilde{u}_{n} \in B_{R} \cap P_{T}$ which solves

$$
\begin{cases}u_{i, n}(t)=S(t) u_{i, n}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+1, n}^{p_{i}}(s) d s, & i \in N^{*}, p_{i} \geq 1  \tag{8}\\ u_{j, n}(t)=S(t) u_{j, n}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) g_{j, n}\left(u_{j+1, n}(s)\right) d s, & j \in N^{*}, p_{j}<1\end{cases}
$$

Thus we obtain a unique nonnegative and bounded solution $\tilde{u}_{n}(t)$ to (8) in $\mathbf{R}^{d} \times[0, T)$ for some $T$. Furthermore, we can show

$$
u_{i, n}(t) \leq u_{i, m}(t) \quad \text { if } n \geq m
$$

where we use the argument of [1; Lemma (1.3)]. Therefore, the sequences $\left\{u_{i, n}(t)\right\}$ are nonincreasing with respect to $n$ and bounded below. So, we can define $u_{i}(t)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{i, n}(t)$. Then we can conclude that $u_{i}(t)$ satisfies (6) (see [1]).

To complete the proof of Theorem 2.1, let $u(x, t)$ be the nonnegative and bounded solution of (6) that has been obtained in $[0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$ for some $T>0$. By (6), $u(x, t)$ is continuous in $[0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Moreover, by considering the difference quotients $(1 / h)\left\{u_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{j-1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.x_{j}+h, x_{j+1}, \cdots, x_{d}, t\right)-u_{i}(x, t)\right\}$ with $h \rightarrow 0$, one easily sees that $\partial u_{i}(x, t) / \partial x_{j}$ is locally bounded in $\mathbf{R}^{d} \times[\tau, T)$ for $j=1,2, \cdots, d$ and any $\tau$ such that $0<\tau<T$. Then $u_{i}^{p_{i+1}}\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ are locally Hölder continuous functions in space uniformly with respect to time. It then follows from the representation formula (6) that $u$ is a classical solution of (1) in $\mathbf{R}^{d} \times(0, T)$ (see [5;Chapter 1, Theorem 10]).

REMARK. By the proof of Theorem 2.1, solutions are unique when $p_{i}=1\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$. If this assumption is dropped, this result is false in general. For instance, see Theorem 3.

Next, we show an important lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.
Lemma 2.2. Let $p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N} \leq 1$. Then, there exists $m \in N^{*}$ such that

$$
p_{N+m-k+1, N+m} \leq 1
$$

for any $k \in N^{*}$.
Proof. If $p_{i} \leq 1$ for any $i \in N^{*}$, it is obvious. We consider the other cases. We say that $P=p_{k, k+m}=p_{k} p_{k+1} \cdots p_{k+m}$ satisfies the property ( $\sharp$ ), if

$$
p_{k+m} \leq 1, p_{k+m-1, k+m} \leq 1, \cdots, p_{k+1, k+m} \leq 1, p_{k, k+m} \leq 1
$$

hold. We also say that $P$ is a good block if $P \leq 1$. We define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i_{1,1}=\min \left\{i ; 1<i \leq N, p_{i-1} \leq 1, p_{i}>1\right\} \\
i_{1,2}=\min \left\{i ; i_{1,1}<i \leq N, p_{i-1} \leq 1, p_{i}>1\right\} \\
\vdots \\
i_{1, k(1)}=\min \left\{i ; i_{1, k(1)-1}<i \leq N, p_{i-1} \leq 1, p_{i}>1\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k(1)$ is the least number such that $\left\{i ; i_{1, k(1)}<i \leq N, p_{i-1} \leq 1, p_{i}>1\right\}$ becomes empty. We also use the convention $i_{1, k(1)+1}=i_{1,1}$. Then we put

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}(1)=\prod_{l=i_{1,1}}^{i_{1,2}-1} p_{l} \\
& P_{1}(2)=\prod_{l=i_{1,2}}^{\substack{i_{1,2}-1}} p_{l} \\
& \vdots \\
& P_{1}(k(1))=\prod_{l=i_{1, k(1)}}^{i_{1, k(1)+1}-1} p_{l} \\
&\left(=p_{i_{1, k(1)}} p_{i_{1, k(1)}+1} \cdots p_{N} p_{1} \cdots p_{i_{1,1}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Here we used the convention $p_{N+i}=p_{i}(i \in \mathbf{Z})$ in the last expression. It is easy to see that if $P_{1}(m)=p_{i_{1, m}} p_{i_{1, m}+1} \cdots p_{i_{1, m+1}-1}$ is a good block, then $P_{1}(m)$ satisfies the property $(\sharp)$.

By repeating these procedure, we define

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i_{2,1}=\min \left\{i ; 1<i \leq k(1), P_{1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{1}(i)>1\right\} \\
i_{2,2}=\min \left\{i ; i_{2,1}<i \leq k(1), P_{1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{1}(i)>1\right\} \\
\vdots \\
i_{2, k(2)}=\min \left\{i ; i_{2, k(2)-1}<i \leq k(1), P_{1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{1}(i)>1\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k(2)$ is the least number such that $\left\{i ; i_{2, k(2)}<i \leq k(1), P_{1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{1}(i)>1\right\}$ becomes empty. We also use the convention $i_{2, k(2)+1}=i_{2,1}$. We put

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& P_{2}(1)=\prod_{l=i_{2,1}}^{i_{2,2}-1} P_{1}(l), \\
& P_{2}(2)=\prod_{l=i_{2,2}}^{i_{2,3}-1} P_{1}(l) \\
& \vdots \\
& P_{2}(k(2))=\prod_{l=i_{2, k(2)}}^{i_{2, k}(2)+1}-1 \\
&\left(=P_{1}\left(i_{2, k(2)}\right) P_{1}\left(i_{2, k(2)}+1\right) \cdots P_{1}(k(1)) P_{1}(1) \cdots P_{1}\left(i_{2,1}-1\right)\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Here we used the notation $P_{1}(k(1)+i)=P_{1}(i)(i \in \mathbf{Z})$ for the last expression. We also note that if $P_{2}(l)$ is a good block, then $P_{2}(l)$ satisfies the property ( $\sharp$ ).

Furthermore, by repeating the above procedure inductively and using the assumption $p_{1, N} \leq 1$, we arrive at number $l$ such that

$$
i_{l, 1}=\min \left\{i ; 1<i \leq k(l+1), P_{l-1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{l-1}(i)>1\right\}
$$

where $\left\{i ; i_{l, 1}<i \leq k(l-1), P_{l-1}(i-1) \leq 1, P_{l-1}(i)>1\right\}$ becomes empty. We put

$$
P_{l}(1)=P_{l-1}\left(i_{l, 1}\right) P_{l-1}\left(i_{l, 1}+1\right) \cdots P_{l-1}\left(i_{k(l-1)-1}\right) P_{l-1}(1) \cdots P_{l-1}\left(i_{l-1}-1\right) .
$$

Here, we rewrite $P_{l}(1)$ in an original form without changing the order of multiplications. Then we obtain

$$
P_{l}(1)=p_{m-N+1} \cdots p_{m-1} p_{m}
$$

for some $m \in N^{*}$. Then $P_{l}(1)$ satisfies the property $(\sharp)$ and here this $m$ is a desired one.
We also collect the following inequalities which will be frequently used in the proofs of Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 1.

## Lemma 2.3 .

(I) For all nonnegative numbers $a$ and $b$, it holds that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(a+b)^{p} \leq 2^{p-1}\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) & \text { if } p \geq 1, \\
(a+b)^{p} \leq\left(a^{p}+b^{p}\right) & \text { if } p \leq 1 .
\end{array}
$$

(II) (Jensen's inequality) Let $v=v(x, t)$ be any nonnegative function. Then it holds that, for all $t>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S(t) v(s))^{q} & \leq S(t) v^{q}(s) \\
\left(\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) v(s) d s\right)^{q} & \leq t^{q-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) v^{q}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

if $q \geq 1$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S(t) v^{q}(s) & \leq(S(t) v(s))^{q} \\
\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) v^{q}(s) d s & \leq t^{1-q}\left(\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) v(s) d s\right)^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $q \leq 1$.
We omit the proof of Lemma 2.3, since it is well-known. We also use the semigroup property $S(t-s)(S(s-r) u(r))=S(t-r) u(r)$ frequently.

First, we establish the basic estimate which will be used frequently in the iteration process of the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2.4. If $p_{i}>1, p_{i, i+1}>1, \cdots, p_{i, i+j-1}>1$, and $p_{i, i+j} \leq 1$ then there exist $g_{h}(t)(i \leq h \leq i+j)$ such that

$$
u_{i}(t) \leq S(t) u_{i, 0}+\sum_{h=i}^{i+j-1} g_{h}(t) S(t) u_{h+1,0}^{p_{i, h}}+g_{i+j}(t) u_{i+j+1}^{p_{i, i+j}}(t) .
$$

and $g_{h}(t)=O\left(t^{\gamma_{h}}\right)$ with some $\gamma_{h}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK. By taking $\gamma=\max \left\{\gamma_{h} ; i \leq h \leq i+j\right\}$, we may write $g_{h}(t)=O\left(t^{\gamma}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any $i \leq h \leq i+j$. We will use this convention frequently in the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. It follows from (6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(t)=S(t) u_{i, 0}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left\{S(s) u_{i+1,0}+\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+2}^{p_{i+1}}(r) d r\right\}^{p_{i}} d s \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have
(10)

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i}(t) \leq & S(t) u_{i, 0}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left[2^{p_{i}-1} S(s) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.+2^{p_{i}-1}\left(\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+2}^{p_{i+1}}(r) d r\right)^{p_{i}}\right] d s \\
= & S(t) u_{i, 0}+2^{p_{i}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}} d s \\
& +2^{p_{i}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+2}^{p_{i+1}}(r) d r\right)^{p_{i}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

From (II) of Lemma 2.3, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}(t) \leq & S(t) u_{i, 0}+2^{p_{i}-1} t S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}} \\
& +2^{p_{i}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) s^{p_{i}-1} \int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}}(r) d r d s  \tag{11}\\
\leq & S(t) u_{i, 0}+2^{p_{i}-1} t S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}}+2^{p_{i}-1} t^{p_{i}} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-r) u_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}}(r) d r
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting $u_{i+2}(r)=S(r) u_{i+2,0}+\int_{0}^{r} S(r-s) u_{i+3}^{p_{i+2}}(s) d s$ into the integral of the last inequality of (11), we obtain

$$
u_{i}(t) \leq S(t) u_{i, 0}+g_{i}(t) S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+g_{i}(t) t^{p_{i}-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left(S(t-r)\left[S(r) u_{i+2,0}+\int_{0}^{r} S(r-s) u_{i+3}^{p_{i+2}}(s) d s\right]^{p_{i, i+1}}\right) d r \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i}(t)=2^{p_{i}-1} t$. Using Jensen's inequality again for $p_{i, i+1}>1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}(t) \leq & S(t) u_{i, 0}+g_{i}(t) S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}}+g_{i+1}(t) S(t) u_{i+2,0}^{p_{i, i+1}} \\
& +g_{i+1} t^{p_{i, i+1}-1}(t) \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+3}^{p_{i, i+2}}(s) d s \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{i+1}(t)=2^{p_{i}+p_{i, i+1}-2} t^{1+p_{i}}$. Since $p_{i}>1, p_{i, i+1}>1, \cdots, p_{i, i+j-1}>1$, we repeat the arguments from (9) to (13) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}(t) \leq & S(t) u_{i, 0}+g_{i}(t) S(t) u_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}}+g_{i+1}(t) S(t) u_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}}+\cdots \\
& +g_{i+j-1}(t) S(t) u_{i+j, 0}+g_{i+j-1} t^{p_{i, i+j-1}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+j+1}^{p_{1, i+j}}(s) d s \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{i+k}(t)=2^{p_{i}+p_{i, i+1}+\cdots+p_{i, i+k}-k-1} t^{1+p_{i}+p_{i, i+1}+\cdots+p_{i, i+k-1}}(j>1)$. Now we substitute $u_{i+j+1}(s)=S(s) u_{i+j+1,0}+\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+1}}(r) d r$ in the last term of (14):

$$
\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+j+1}^{p_{1, i+j}}(s) d s
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+j+1}^{p_{1, i+j}}(s) d s \\
&= \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(S(s) u_{i+j+1,0}+\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+1}}(r) d r\right)^{p_{1, i+j}} d s \\
& \leq t^{1-p_{i, i+j}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\right. \\
&\left.\times\left(S(s) u_{i+j+1,0}+\int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+1}}(r) d r\right) d s\right\}^{p_{i, i+j}} \\
& \leq t^{1-p_{i, i+j}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} S(t) u_{i+j+1,0} d s\right. \\
&\left.+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) \int_{0}^{s} S(s-r) u_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+1}}(r) d r d s\right)^{p_{i, i+j}} \\
& \leq t\left(S(t) u_{i+j+1,0}+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-r) u_{i+j+2}^{p_{i+j+1}}(r) d r\right)^{p_{i, i+j}} \\
&= t u_{i+j+1}^{p_{i, i+j}(t) .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting this into (14), we conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(t) \leq S(t) u_{i, 0}+\sum_{h=i}^{i+j-1} g_{h}(t) S(t) u_{h+1,0}^{p_{i, h}}+g_{i+j}(t) u_{i+j+1}^{p_{i, i+j}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i+j}(t)=t^{p_{i, i+j-1}} g_{i+j-1}(t)$. Thus Lemma 2.4 is proved.

Proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we can assume $m=N-1$ in Lemma 2.2. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j(1)=\min \left\{i ; 1 \leq i \leq N-1, p_{1, i} \leq 1\right\}, \\
& j(2)=\min \left\{i ; j(1)<i \leq N-1, p_{j(1)+1, i} \leq 1\right\}, \\
& \quad \vdots \\
& j(h)=\min \left\{i ; j(h-1)<i \leq N-1, p_{j(h-1)+1, i} \leq 1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $h$ is the least number, such that

$$
\left\{i ; j(h)<i \leq N-1, p_{j(h)+1, i} \leq 1\right\}
$$

becomes empty. Then we have the following general situation which will be divided into $h$ blocks:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { 1-blocks }: & p_{1}>1, p_{1,2}>1, \cdots, p_{1, j(1)-1}>1, p_{1, j(1)} \leq 1, \\
\text { 2-blocks }: & p_{j(1)+1}>1, p_{j(1)+1, j(1)+2}>1, \\
& , \cdots, p_{j(1)+1, j(2)-1}>1, p_{j(1)+1, j(2)} \leq 1, \\
& \vdots \\
& \\
h \text {-blocks }: & p_{j(h-1)+1}>1, p_{j(h-1)+1, j(h-1)+2}>1, \\
& , \cdots, p_{j(h-1)+1, j(h)-1}>1, p_{j(h-1)+1, j(h) \leq 1,},
\end{array}
$$

where from $j(h)=N-1, p_{j(h-1)+1, j(h)}=p_{j(h-1)+1, N-1} \leq 1$. Although some $k$-block may become degenerate so that just $p_{j(k)} \leq 1$, if $j(h+1)=j(h)+1$, we consider the general situation above without loss of generality.

By using Lemma 2.4 for 1-block, there exist $0<\gamma_{1}<\infty$ and $f_{i}(t)(i=1,2, \cdots, j(1)-$ 1) and $\bar{f}_{j(1)}(t)$ behaving like $O\left(t^{\gamma_{1}}\right)$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq S(t) u_{1,0}+\sum_{h=1}^{j(1)-1} f_{h}(t) S(t) u_{h+1,0}^{p_{1, h}}+f_{j(1)}(t) u_{j(1)+1}^{p_{1, j(1)}} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 2.4 again for 2-block, there exist $0<\gamma_{2}<\infty$ and $\bar{f}_{i}(t)(j(1)+1 \leq$ $i \leq j(2)$ ) behaving like $O\left(t^{\gamma_{2}}\right)$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j(1)+1} \leq S(t) u_{j(1)+1,0}+\sum_{h=j(1)+1}^{j(2)-1} \bar{f}_{h}(t) S(t) u_{h+1,0}^{p_{j(1)+1, h}}+\bar{f}_{j(2)}(t) u_{j(2)+1}^{p_{j(1)+1, j(2)}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (16) with (17), and using (I) of Lemma 2.3 for $p_{1, j(1)} \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1} \leq & S(t) u_{1,0}+\sum_{k=1}^{j(1)-1} f_{k}(t) S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{1, k}}+\bar{f}_{j(1)}(t)\left\{S(t) u_{j(1)+1,0}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=j(1)+1}^{j(2)-1} \bar{f}_{k}(t) S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{j(1)+1, k}}+\bar{f}_{j(2)}(t) u_{j(2)+1}^{p_{j(1)+1, j(2)}}\right\}^{p_{1, j(1)}}  \tag{18}\\
\leq & S(t) u_{1,0}+\sum_{k=1}^{j(2)-1} f_{k}(t) U_{k}(t)+f_{j(2)}(t) u_{j(2)+1}^{p_{1, j(2)}}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
U_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{1, k}} & (1 \leq k \leq j(1)-1) \\ {\left[S(t) u_{j(1)+1,0}\right]_{1, j(1)}^{p_{1, ~}}} & (k=j(1)), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{j(1)+1, k}}\right]^{p_{1, j(1)}}} & (j(1)+1 \leq k \leq j(2)-1)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j(1)}(t) & =\bar{f}_{j(1)} \\
f_{k}(t) & =\bar{f}_{j(1)} \bar{f}_{k}^{p_{1, j(1)}} \quad(j(1)+1 \leq k \leq j(2)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Iterating this process for $m$-blocks ( $m=1,2, \cdots, h$ ), we can obtain that there exist $0<\gamma_{h}<$ $\infty$ and $f_{j(2)+1}, f_{j(2)+2}, \cdots, f_{j(h)}$ behaving like $O\left(t^{\gamma / h}\right)$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq S(t) u_{1,0}+\sum_{k=1}^{j(h)-1} f_{k}(t) U_{k}(t)+f_{j(h)}(t) u_{j(h)+1}^{p_{1, j(h)}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U_{k}(t)= \begin{cases}S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{1, k}} & (1 \leq k \leq j(1)-1), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{j(1)+1,0}\right]^{p_{1, j(1)}}} & (k=j(1)), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{j(1)+1, k}}\right]^{p_{1, j(1)}}} & (j(1)+1 \leq k \leq j(2)-1), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{j(2)+1,0}\right]^{p_{1, j(2)}}} & (k=j(2)), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{j(2)+1, k}}\right]^{p_{1, j(2)}}} & (j(2)+1 \leq k \leq j(3)-1), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{j(3)+1,0}\right]^{p_{1, j(3)}}} & (k=j(3)), \\ \multicolumn{1}{c}{\quad} & \\ {\left[S(t) u_{j(h-1)+1,0}\right]^{p_{1, j(h-1)}}} & (k=j(h-1)), \\ {\left[S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{p_{j(h-1)+1, k}}\right]^{p_{1, j(h-1)}}} & (j(h-1)+1 \leq k \leq j(h)-1)\end{cases}
$$

Put $f(t)=1+\sum_{k=1}^{j(h)} f_{k}(t)$. Since $j(h)=N-1$, if follows from (19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq f(t)\left\{S(t) u_{1,0}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-2} U_{k}(t)+u_{N}^{p_{1, N-1}}\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{l} \leq l\left(a_{1}^{\alpha}+a_{2}^{\alpha}+\cdots+a_{l}^{\alpha}\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ holds for $\alpha \geq 1$ and $a_{j} \geq 0$. Applying this inequality as $\alpha=1 / p_{1, N-1}$ (note $p_{1, N-1} \leq 1$ by our assumption), we obtain from (20) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq N f(t)\left\{\left(S(t) u_{1,0}\right)^{1 / p_{1, N-1}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-2} U_{k}^{1 / p_{1, N-1}}(t)+u_{N}(t)\right\}^{p_{1, N-1}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since all $p_{N-1}, p_{N-2, N-1}, \cdots, p_{1, N-1}$ are not more than 1 by our assumption, Jensen's inequality yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k}^{1 / p_{1, N-1}}(t) \leq S(t) u_{k+1,0}^{1 / p_{k+1, N-1}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N-2$. Therefore substituting (22) in (21), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1} \leq N f(t)\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} S(t) u_{k, 0}^{1 / p_{k, N-1}}+u_{N}(t)\right\}^{p_{1, N-1}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this in the $N$-th equality of (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{N}\right)_{t}-\Delta u_{N} \leq(N f(t))^{p_{N}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} S(t) u_{k, 0}^{1 / p_{k, N-1}}+u_{N}(t)\right\}^{p_{1, N}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w(x, t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} S(t) u_{k, 0}^{1 / p_{k, N-1}}+u_{N}(t) \\
w(x, 0)=\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} u_{k, 0}^{1 / p_{k, N-1}}+u_{N, 0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we find $w_{t}-\Delta w=u_{N t}-\Delta u_{N t}$. Since $p_{1, N} \leq 1$, we have $w^{p_{1, N}} \leq 1+w$, and hence it follows that

$$
w_{t}-\Delta w \leq(N f(t))^{p_{N}} w^{p_{1, N}} \leq(N f(t))^{p_{N}}(1+w)
$$

Let $v$ be the solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{t}=(N f(t))^{p_{N}}(1+v) \\
v(0)=\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}} w(x, 0)=v_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we have $w(t) \leq v(t)$ by the standard comparison theorem and

$$
v(t)=\left(1+v_{0}\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}(N f(s))^{p_{N}} d s\right)-1
$$

Since $v$ is global, $w$ is also global. By the definition of $w$ this means $u_{N}$ is global. From (6) we see that all $u_{N-1}, u_{N-2}, \cdots, u_{1}$ are global.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2 and 3

Lemma 3.1. Let $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$, and let $u(x, t)$ be a solution of (1). Then for any $\tau>0$ there exist constants $c>0$ and $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, \tau) \geq c \exp \left(-\alpha|x|^{2}\right) \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We employ the same argument as in [2; Theorem 2.4] and [3; Lemma 1]. Assume for instance that $u_{1,0} \not \equiv 0$. By shifting the origin if necessary, we may assume that there exists $R>0$ such that $v=\inf \left\{u_{1,0}(\xi) ;|\xi| \leq R\right\}>0$. Since $u_{1}(t) \geq S(t) u_{1,0}$, it holds that

$$
u_{1}(t) \geq v \exp \left(-|x|^{2} / 2 t\right)(4 \pi t)^{-d / 2} \int_{|y| \leq R} \exp \left(-|y|^{2} / 2 t\right) d y
$$

Defining $\bar{u}_{1}(t)=u_{1}\left(t+\tau_{0}\right)$ for some $\tau_{0}>0$, we obtain

$$
\bar{u}_{1}(0)=u_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)>c \exp \left(-\alpha|x|^{2}\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{1}{2 \tau_{0}}, \quad c=\nu(4 \pi \tau)^{-d / 2} \int_{|y|<R} \exp \left(-\frac{|y|^{2}}{2 \tau}\right) d y \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the corresponding result for $u_{N}(t)$, we note that, if $p_{N} \geq 1$, Jensen's inequality yields

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{N}(t) & \geq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(S(s) u_{1,0}\right)^{p_{N}} d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{t}\left(S(t-s) S(s) u_{1,0}\right)^{p_{N}} d s=\int_{0}^{t}\left(S(t) u_{1,0}\right)^{p_{N}} d s  \tag{27}\\
& \geq t\left(S(t) u_{1,0}\right)^{p_{N}}
\end{align*}
$$

and, if $p_{N}<1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{N}(t) & \geq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(S(s) u_{1,0}\right)^{p_{N}} d s \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) S(s) u_{1,0}^{p_{N}} d s  \tag{28}\\
& \geq t S(t) u_{1,0}^{p_{N}}
\end{align*}
$$

From (27) and (28), the estimate for $u_{N}$ in (25) holds with a different choice of $\alpha$ and $c$ from the one previously made in (26). By repeating this procedure, we obtain the desired results for $u_{N-1}, u_{N-2}, \cdots, u_{2}$. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $u(x, t)$ be any nontrivial solution of (1) with $0<p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{N}<1$ and $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t) \geq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}, \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are positive constants given by (3).
Proof. We take the same strategy as in [3; Lemma 2]. Assume first that $u_{1,0}(x) \geq$ $c \exp \left(-\alpha|x|^{2}\right)$ for some $c>0$ and $\alpha>0$. For simplicity, we consider the case $0<p_{i}<1$ $\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$. We will mention the proof for the general case $0<p_{1, N}<1$ at the end of the proof. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(t) \exp \left(-\alpha|x|^{2}\right)=(1+4 \alpha t)^{-d / 2} \exp \left(\frac{-\alpha|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha t}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(x, t) \geq S(t) u_{1,0} \geq c(1+4 \alpha t)^{-d / 2} \exp \left(\frac{-\alpha|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha t}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}(x, t) \geq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{1}(x, s)\right)^{p_{N}} d s \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (31) into (32) and using (30), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{N}(x, t) \geq & c^{p_{N}} \int_{0}^{t}(1+4 \alpha s)^{-d\left(p_{N}-1\right) / 2}\left(1+4 \alpha s+4 \alpha p_{N}(t-s)\right)^{-d / 2} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha s+4 \alpha p_{N}(t-s)}\right) d s  \tag{33}\\
\geq & c^{p_{N}}(1+4 \alpha t)^{-d / 2} \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N} t}\right) t
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used $p_{N} \leq 1$. We substitute this inequality into (6) and use (30) to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{N-1}(x, t) \geq & c^{p_{N-1, N}} \int_{0}^{t}(1+4 \alpha s)^{-d p_{N-1} / 2} \\
& \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N} s+4 \alpha p_{N-1, N}(t-s)\right)^{-d / 2} \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N} s\right)^{d / 2} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N-1, N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N} s+4 \alpha p_{N-1, N}(t-s)}\right) s^{p_{N-1}} d s \\
\geq & c^{p_{N-1, N}}(1+4 \alpha t)^{-d p_{N-1} / 2}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N} t\right)^{-d / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\times \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N-1, N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N-1, N} t}\right) \frac{t^{p_{N-1}}}{p_{N-1}+1} .
$$

Now we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1-k}(x, t) \geq c^{p_{N-k+1, N}} g_{k}(t) \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N-k+1, N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N-k+1, N} t}\right) \frac{t^{P_{k}}}{A_{k}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $k \geq 1$, where $P_{k}$ and $A_{k}$ are positive constants and $g_{k}(t)$ is a positive monotone decreasing function of $t$ which will be determined inductively. Here we use the convention $p_{N+j}=p_{j}, u_{N+j}=u_{j}(j \in \mathbf{Z})$. The inductive relations are obtained as follows. From (34) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{-k}(x, t) \geq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{1-k}(x, s)\right)^{p-k} d s \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{-k}(x, t) \geq & c^{p_{N-k, N}} g_{k}^{p_{-k}}(t)\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-k+1, N} t\right)^{-d / 2} \\
& \times \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N-k, N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N-k, N} t}\right) \frac{t^{P_{k} p_{-k}+1}}{A_{k}^{p_{-k}}\left(P_{k} p_{-k}+1\right)}  \tag{36}\\
= & c^{p_{N-k, N}} g_{k+1}(t) \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha p_{N-k, N}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{N-k, N} t}\right) \frac{t^{P_{k+1}}}{A_{k+1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then from (33) and (36), we get the following relation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=1, \quad P_{k+1}=P_{k} p_{-k}+1  \tag{37}\\
A_{1}=1, \quad A_{k+1}=A_{k}^{p_{-k}} P_{k+1} \\
g_{1}(t)=(1+4 \alpha t)^{-d / 2}, \quad g_{k+1}(t)=g_{k}^{p_{-k}}(t)\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-k+1, N} t\right)^{-d / 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $k \geq 1$. Now, by using (37), we easily see that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{k}=1+p_{-k+1}+p_{-k+1,-k+2}+\cdots+p_{-k+1,-1},  \tag{38}\\
A_{k}=\prod_{l=2}^{k} P_{l}^{p_{N-k+1, N-l}}, \\
g_{k}(t)=\prod_{l=1}^{k}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-k+l+1, N} t\right)^{-d p_{-k+1,-k+l-1} / 2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $k \geq 2$. From (34) with $k=j N$, noting $p_{N-j N+1, N}=p_{1, j N}=p_{1, N}^{j}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{1}(x, t) & =u_{1-j N}(x, t) \\
& \geq c^{\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j}} \bar{g}_{j}(t) \exp \left(-\frac{\alpha\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j}|x|^{2}}{1+4 \alpha p_{1, N}^{j} t}\right) \frac{t^{\bar{P}_{j}}}{\bar{A}_{j}} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{P}_{j}=P_{j N}, \bar{A}_{j}=A_{j N}$ and $\bar{g}_{j}(t)=g_{j N}(t)$. We rewrite $\bar{P}_{j}, \bar{A}_{j}$ and $\bar{g}_{j}(t)$ to see their asymptotic behaviors as $j \rightarrow \infty$. First it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{P}_{j} & =P_{j N}=1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, j N-1} \\
& =\left(1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right)\left(1+p_{1, N}+p_{1, N}^{2}+\cdots+p_{1, N}^{j-1}\right)  \tag{40}\\
& =\frac{\left(1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right)\left(1-\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j}\right)}{1-p_{1, N}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, we need the following expression $P_{j N+k}(1 \leq k \leq N)$ by using $\bar{P}_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j N+k} & =1+p_{-(j N+k-1)} P_{j N+k-1}=1+p_{1-k} P_{j N+k-1} \\
& =1+p_{1-k}\left(1+p_{-(j N+k-2)} P_{j N+k-2}\right) \\
& =1+p_{1-k}+p_{1-k} p_{2-k} P_{j N+k-2}  \tag{41}\\
& =\cdots \\
& =1+p_{1-k}+p_{1-k, 2-k}+\cdots+p_{1-k,-1}+p_{1-k, 0} P_{j N} \\
& =1+p_{N+1-k}+p_{N+1-k, N+2-k}+\cdots+p_{N+1-k, N-1}+p_{N+1-k, N} \bar{P}_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (40) into (41), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{j N+k}= & 1+p_{N-k+1}+p_{N-k+1, N-k+2}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1, N-1} \\
& +p_{N-k+1, N}\left(1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right) \frac{1-p_{1, N}^{j}}{1-p_{1, N}} \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

for $j \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$. We also note that this formula is also true even for $j=0$. Now, we express $\bar{A}_{j}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{A}_{j} & =\prod_{l=1}^{j N} P_{l}^{p_{-j N+1,-l}} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{N} P_{k}^{p_{-j N+1,-k}} \times P_{N+k}^{p_{-j N+1,-(N+k)}} \times \cdots \times P_{(j-1) N+k}^{p_{-j N+1,-((j-1) N+k)}}  \tag{43}\\
& \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{N} A_{k, j} \quad(j \geq 2),
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A_{k, j}=\prod_{l=0}^{j-1} P_{l N+k}^{p-j N+1,-(l N+k)}
$$

Note that for $0 \leq l \leq j-1$

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{-j N+1,-(l N+k)} & =p_{j N-j N+1, j N-(l N+k)} \\
& =p_{1,(j-l) N-k}=p_{1,(j-l-1) N+(N-k)}  \tag{44}\\
& =\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-l-1} p_{1, N-k}
\end{align*}
$$

Then using (42) and (44), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k, j} & =\prod_{l=0}^{j-1} P_{l N+k}^{\left(p_{1, N}^{j-l-1} p_{1, N-k}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{l=0}^{j-1}\left[\beta_{k}+\sigma_{k}\left(1-\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{l}\right)\right]^{\left(p_{1, N}^{j-l-1} p_{1, N-k}\right)} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used the notation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\beta_{k}=1+p_{N+1-k}+p_{N+1-k, N+2-k}+\cdots+p_{N+1-k, N-1}  \tag{46}\\
\sigma_{k}=p_{N+1-k, N}\left(1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right) \frac{1}{1-p_{1, N}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N$. Noting $p_{i, j} p_{1, N}=p_{i, j} p_{j+1, N+j}=p_{i, N+j}$, we see

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{k}+\sigma_{k}= & \left\{\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)\left(1+p_{N-k+1}+p_{N-k+1, N-k+2}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1, N-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+p_{N-k+1, N}\left(1+p_{1}+p_{1,2}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{1-p_{1, N}} \\
= & \left\{\left(1+p_{N-k+1}+p_{N-k+1, N-k+2}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1,2 N-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(1+p_{N-k+1}+p_{N-k+1, N-k+2}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1,2 N-1}\right) p_{1, N}\right\} \frac{1}{1-p_{1, N}} \\
= & \left\{\left(1+p_{N-k+1}+p_{N-k+1, N-k+2}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1,2 N-1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\left(p_{N-k+1,2 N-k}+p_{N-k+1,2 N-k+1}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1,2 N-1}\right)\right\} \frac{1}{1-p_{1, N}} \\
= & \frac{1+p_{N-k+1}+\cdots+p_{N-k+1,2 N-k-1}}{1-p_{1, N}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(47)

It follows from (45) and (47) that

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{k, j} & \leq \prod_{l=0}^{j-1}\left(\frac{1+p_{N-k+1}+\cdots+p_{N+1-k, 2 N-k-1}}{1-p_{1, N}}\right)^{p_{1, N-k}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-l-1}} \\
& =\alpha_{N+1-k}^{p_{1, N-k} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-l-1}}  \tag{48}\\
& =\alpha_{N+1-k}^{p_{1, N-k}\left(1-\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j}\right) /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)} \\
& \leq \alpha_{N+1-k}^{p_{1, N-k} /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N$ and any $j \geq 1$.
Next, we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{j+1}(t)=\prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1} / 2} \bar{g}_{j}^{p_{1, N}}(t) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j \geq 0$. Here $\bar{g}_{0} \equiv 1$.
Proof of (49): By (37), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{j+1}(t)= & g_{j N+N}(t)=g_{j N+N-1}^{p_{-(j N+N-1)}}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-(j N+N-1)+1, N} t\right)^{-d / 2} \\
= & g_{j N+N-1}^{p_{1}}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{2, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d / 2} \\
= & {\left[g_{j N+N-2}^{\left.p_{-(j N+N-2)}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-(j N+N-2)+1, N} t\right)^{-d / 2}\right]^{p_{1}}}\right.} \\
& \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{2, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d / 2} \\
= & \left(1+4 \alpha p_{2, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d / 2}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N-(j N+N-2)+1, N} t\right)^{-d p_{1} / 2} g_{j N+N-2}^{p_{1} p_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used $p_{-(j N+N-l)}=p_{l}$ and
(50)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{N-(j N+N-l)+1, N} & =p_{l-j N+1, N}=p_{l+1, N+j N} \\
& =p_{l+1, N} \cdot\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating this procedure by using (50), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{j+1}(t)= & \left(1+4 \alpha p_{2, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d / 2} \\
& \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{3, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d p_{1 / 2}} \\
& \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{4, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d p_{1,2} / 2} \\
& \times \cdots \\
& \times\left(1+4 \alpha p_{N+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j} t\right)^{-d p_{1, N-1 / 2}} \\
& \times g_{j N}^{p_{1, N}}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the formula (49).

Using the formula (49) inductively, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{g}_{j}(t)= & \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1 / 2}} \bar{g}_{j-1}^{p_{1, N}}(t) \\
= & \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1 / 2}} \\
& \times \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-2} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1} p_{1, N} / 2} \bar{g}_{j-2}^{\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{2}}(t) \\
= & \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1 / 2}} \\
& \times \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-2} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1} p_{1, N} / 2}  \tag{51}\\
& \times \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-3} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{2} / 2} \\
& \times \cdots \\
& \times \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right) t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-2} / 2} \\
& \times \bar{g}_{1}^{\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1}}(t) \\
= & \prod_{l=1}^{N}\left[\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{k} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-k-1 / 2}}\right] \\
\equiv & \prod_{l=1}^{N} g_{l j}(t)(j \geq 1) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\underline{\lim }_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_{l j}(t) \geq 1 \quad(1 \leq l \leq N)\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of (52): First, we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1} j t & =\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1-k} 4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{k} t \\
& \geq \sum_{k=0}^{j-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-k-1} \log \left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N} t\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{k}\right)  \tag{53}\\
& =\log \left[\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{k} t\right)^{\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1-k}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used $x \geq \log (1+x)$ for $x \geq 0$. Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{l, j}(t) & =\prod_{k=0}^{j-1}\left(1+4 \alpha p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{k} t\right)^{-d p_{1, l-1}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-k-1} / 2} \\
& \geq \exp \left(-d p_{1, l-1} / 2 \times 4 \alpha t p_{l+1, N}\left(p_{1, N}\right)^{j-1} j\right) \\
& \rightarrow 1 \quad(j \rightarrow+\infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $p_{1, N}<1$. Therefore we obtain the desired estimate.
Now we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\lim }_{j \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_{j}(t) \geq 1 . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (39), we can conclude by (40) and (54) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{1}(x, t) & \geq \frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{p_{1}} \alpha_{3}^{\left.p_{1,2} \cdots \alpha_{N}^{p_{1, N-1}}\right)^{1 /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)}} t^{\left(1+p_{1}+\cdots+p_{1, N-1}\right) /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)}\right.} \\
& =c_{1} t^{\alpha_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As to the general case, we take arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, and set $u_{i, \varepsilon}(t) \equiv u_{i}(t+\varepsilon)$. One then has

$$
u_{i, \varepsilon}(t)=S(t) u_{i, \varepsilon}(0)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s) u_{i+1, \varepsilon}^{p_{i}}(s) d s,
$$

where by Lemma 3.1, $u_{1, \varepsilon}(0) \geq c \exp \left(-\alpha|x|^{2}\right)$ with some $c$ and $\alpha$. Therefore, the preceding argument shows $u_{1, \varepsilon}(t) \geq c_{1} t^{\alpha_{1}}$, and accordingly

$$
u_{1}(t)=u_{1}(\varepsilon+(t-\varepsilon)) \geq c_{1}(t-\varepsilon)^{\alpha_{1}},
$$

whence follows the result, because $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary.
This completes the proof for $i=1$. The estimate for $i \geq 2$ can be obtained in the same way.

Finally, we remark on the proof for the general case $0<p_{1, N}<1$. Even for this general case, we can slightly modify the above computations to get similar estimates as before. Precisely, we can obtain the estimate (39) with a slightly different $\bar{g}_{j}(t)$. Here $\bar{g}_{j}(t)$ satisfies (49), where only the number $p_{l+1, N}$ should be replaced by a certain number. Thus, we have
the estimate (52) even for the general case. So, we can conclude the same result under the general assumption $p_{1, N}<1$.

We prepare the following lemma which will be used in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\left\{v_{i}(t)\right\}\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$ be the nonnegative continuous function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t} v_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s) d s \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) . \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $p_{1, N}<1$. Then

$$
v_{i}(t) \leq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}
$$

with $c_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ defined by (3).
Proof. From (55)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}} v_{i}^{p_{N+i-1}}\left(s_{N}\right) d s_{N}\right)^{p_{N+i-2}} \cdots d s_{2}\right)^{p_{i}} d s_{1} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
V_{i}(t)=\sup _{s \in[0, t]}\left\|v_{i}(s)\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{i}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}} V_{i}^{p_{N+i-1}}(t) d s_{N}\right)^{p_{N+i-2}} \cdots d s_{2}\right)^{p_{i}} d s_{1} \\
& \leq V_{i}^{p_{1, N}}(t) \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}} d s_{N}\right)^{p_{N+i-2}} \cdots d s_{2}\right)^{p_{i}} d s_{1} \\
& \leq V_{i}^{p_{1, N}}(t) t^{1+p_{i}+p_{i, i+1}+\cdots p_{i, i+N-2}}\left\{\left(p_{N+i-2}+1\right)^{p_{i, i+N-3}}\right. \\
& \times\left(p_{N+i-3, N+i-2}+p_{N+i-2}+1\right)^{p_{i, i+N-4}} \\
& \left.\times \cdots \times\left(p_{i, i+N-2}+\cdots+p_{i, i+1}+p_{i}+1\right)\right\}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{i}(t) \leq & t^{\alpha_{i}}\left\{\left(p_{N+i-2}+1\right)^{p_{i, i+N-3}}\right. \\
& \times\left(p_{N+i-3, N+i-2}+p_{N+i-2}+1\right)^{p_{i, i+N-4}} \\
& \left.\times \cdots \times\left(p_{i, i+N-2}+\cdots+p_{i, i+1}+p_{i}+1\right)\right\}^{-1 /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)} \\
\equiv & c_{i}^{+} t^{\alpha_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(t) \leq c_{i}^{+} t^{\alpha_{i}} . \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the stronger estimate, put $\theta_{i}=\sup _{t \in[0, \infty]} v_{i}(t) / t^{\alpha_{i}} \leq c_{i}^{+}$so that $v_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}$. Substituting $v_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}$ into (56), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{i}(t) & \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{0}^{s_{1}} \cdots\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}}\left(\theta_{i} s_{N}^{\alpha_{i}}\right)^{p_{N+i-1}} d s_{N}\right)^{p_{N+i-2}} \cdots d s_{2}\right)^{p_{i}} d s_{1} \\
& \leq \theta_{i}^{p_{1, N}} \frac{t^{\alpha_{i}}}{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}} \cdots \alpha_{i+N-1}^{p_{i, i+N-2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by the definition of $\theta_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\theta_{i} \leq \theta_{i}^{p_{1, N}} \frac{1}{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}} \cdots \alpha_{i+N-1}^{p_{i, i+N-2}}}
$$

and hence

$$
\theta_{i} \leq\left(\frac{1}{\alpha_{i} \alpha_{i+1}^{p_{i}} \alpha_{i+2}^{p_{i, i+1}} \cdots \alpha_{i+N-1}^{p_{i, i+N-2}}}\right)^{1 /\left(1-p_{1, N}\right)}=c_{i}
$$

Thus $v_{i} \leq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}$.
Proof of Theorem 2. We take the same strategy as in [3;Lemma 3]. Suppose that for some $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$ there exist two different solution $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \cdots, u_{N}(t)\right)$ and ( $\bar{u}_{1}(t)$, $\left.\bar{u}_{2}(t), \cdots, \bar{u}_{N}(t)\right)$ defined in some strip $S_{T}=(0, T) \times \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Then it follows from (6), Lemma 3.2 and the mean value theorem that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(u_{1}(t)-\bar{u}_{1}(t)\right)_{+} \leq \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{2}^{p_{1}}(s)-\bar{u}_{2}^{p_{1}}(s)\right)_{+} d s \\
& \leq p_{1} c_{2}^{p_{1}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{2}(s)-\bar{u}_{2}(s)\right)_{+} s^{\left(p_{1}-1\right) \alpha_{2}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

In a similar way, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(u_{2}(t)-\bar{u}_{2}(t)\right)_{+} \leq p_{2} c_{3}^{p_{2}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{3}(s)-\bar{u}_{3}(s)\right)_{+} s^{\left(p_{2}-1\right) \alpha_{3}} d s \\
\vdots \\
\left(u_{N}(t)-\bar{u}_{N}(t)\right)_{+} \leq p_{N} c_{1}^{p_{N}-1} \int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(u_{1}(s)-\bar{u}_{1}(s)\right)_{+} s^{\left(p_{N}-1\right) \alpha_{1}} d s
\end{gathered}
$$

From these, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\left(u_{1}-\right. & \left.\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}(t) \|_{\infty} \\
\leq & p_{1, N} c_{1}^{p_{N}-1} c_{2}^{p_{1}-1} \cdots c_{N}^{p_{N-1}-1} \int_{0}^{t} s_{1}^{\left(p_{1}-1\right) \alpha_{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{s_{1}} s_{2}^{\left(p_{2}-1\right) \alpha_{3}} \cdots\right. \\
& \left.\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}} s_{N}^{\left(p_{N}-1\right) \alpha_{1}}\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}\left(s_{N}\right)\right\|_{\infty} d s_{N}\right) \cdots d s_{2}\right] d s_{1}  \tag{58}\\
= & p_{1, N} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{N} \int_{0}^{t} s_{1}^{\left(p_{1}-1\right) \alpha_{2}}\left[\int_{0}^{s_{1}} s_{2}^{\left(p_{2}-1\right) \alpha_{3}} \cdots\right. \\
& \left.\left(\int_{0}^{s_{N-1}} s_{N}^{\left(p_{N}-1\right) \alpha_{1}}\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}\left(s_{N}\right)\right\|_{\infty} d s_{N}\right) \cdots d s_{2}\right] d s_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we used the relation $c_{1}^{p_{N}-1} c_{2}^{p_{1}-1} \cdots c_{N}^{p_{N-1}-1}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \cdots \alpha_{N}$ which can be seen from the definition of $c_{j}$ and $\alpha_{j}$.

We next show that the integrand above is indeed locally integrable. To this end, we first notice that

$$
\left\|\left(u_{i}-\bar{u}_{i}\right)_{+}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|\left(u_{i+1}-\bar{u}_{i+1}\right)_{+}(s)\right\|_{\infty}^{p_{i}} d s \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right)
$$

holds, since all $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{N}$ are less than one and $\left(a^{p}-b^{p}\right)_{+} \leq(a-b)_{+}^{p}$ holds for any nonnegative constants $a$ and $b$ if $0<p<1$. Then Lemma 3.3 yields

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1} t^{\alpha_{1}}
$$

with $\alpha_{1}$ as in (3). We also note the relation $p_{i} \alpha_{i+1}+1=\alpha_{i}\left(i \in N^{*}\right)$. This implies that the right-hand side in (58) is convergent. Moreover, substituting this in (58), from $p_{i} \alpha_{i+1}+1=\alpha_{i}$ ( $i \in N^{*}$ ), we find

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq p_{1, N} c_{1} t^{\alpha_{1}} .
$$

We may now use this to obtain a new bound for $\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}(t)\right\|_{\infty}$ via (58). Iterating this procedure $k$ times, we obtain

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}-\bar{u}_{1}\right)_{+}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq p_{1, N}^{k} c_{1} t^{\alpha_{1}}
$$

Now by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from $p_{1, N}<1$ that $u_{1} \equiv \bar{u}_{1}$, whence $u_{2} \equiv \bar{u}_{2}, \cdots, u_{N} \equiv$ $\bar{u}_{N}$ holds.

Proof of Theorem 3. Although we follow the same argument as in [3;Lemma 4], we give the proof for the sake of completeness. Let $u$ be a nontrivial solution of (1) satisfying the assumption in Theorem 3. Then by (6), for $i \in N^{*}$

$$
\left\|u_{i}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{i+1}(s)\right\|_{\infty}^{p_{i}} d s
$$

holds and Lemma 3.3 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{i}(t)\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

with positive constants $c_{i}, \alpha_{i}$ defined by (3). By the hypothesis, there exist $i \in N^{*}, t>0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ such that $u_{i}(x, t)>0$. Without loss of generality, assume that $u_{1}(x, t)>0$, and define $\tau$ by

$$
\tau=\inf \left\{t>0: u_{1}(x, t)>0\right\} .
$$

From Lemma 3.1, $u_{i}(x, t)>0$ for any $i \in N^{*}, x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$ and $t>\tau$. Now take $\bar{t}>\tau$ and set

$$
\bar{u}_{i}(x, t)=u_{i}(x, t+\bar{t}) .
$$

Then $\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}, \cdots, \bar{u}_{N}\right)$ solves (1) and $\bar{u}_{i}>0$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2,

$$
u_{i}(x, t+\bar{t}) \geq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}
$$

for any $t \geq 0$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t) \geq c_{i}(t-\tau)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, t \geq 0$. Now choose any $\underline{t}<\tau$ and define

$$
\underline{u}_{i}(x, t)=u_{i}(x, t+\underline{t}) .
$$

By our choice of $\tau,\left(\underline{u}_{1}, \underline{u}_{2}, \cdots, \underline{u}_{N}\right)$ solves (1), with $\underline{u}_{i}(0)=0$. Therefore, by (58)

$$
u_{i}(x, t+\underline{t}) \leq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}}
$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^{N}$. Letting $\underline{t} \rightarrow \tau$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t) \leq c_{1}(t-\tau)_{+}^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, t \geq 0$, and the conclusion follows from (60) and (61).

## 4. Proof of Theorem 4

LEMMA 4.1. Assume $0<p_{1, N}<1$ and consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
v_{i t}=\Delta v_{i}+v_{i+1}^{p_{i}}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, & t>0, \quad i \in N^{*}  \tag{62}\\
v_{i}(x, 0)=v_{i, 0}, & x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}, & i \in N^{*}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with a positive constant $v_{i, 0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}^{1 / \alpha_{i}} \alpha_{i} v_{i, 0}^{p_{i} \alpha_{i+1} / \alpha_{i}}=v_{i+1,0}^{p_{i}} \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v_{i}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}(x, t)=c_{i}\left\{t+\left(\frac{v_{i, 0}}{c_{i}}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{i}}\right\}^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i \in N^{*}$, then $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{N}\right)$ satisfyes (62), where $c_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are positive constants given by (3).

Proof. It is easy to see that (64) is a solution of (62).

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. It is obvious for the case $u_{0} \equiv 0$ from Theorem 3. We show Theorem 4 for the case $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$. From Lemma 3.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t) \geq c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose $v_{i, 0}$ which satisfies (63) and $v_{i, 0} \geq 2\left\|u_{i, 0}\right\|_{\infty}$. Define $v_{i}$ by (64). Then we claim the following estimate:

$$
v_{i}(x, t)>u_{i}(x, t) \quad\left((x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \times[0, \infty), i \in N^{*}\right) .
$$

If not, $v_{i}(x, t) \leq u_{i}(x, t)$ holds at some point $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R}^{d} \times[0, \infty)$ for some $i$. We put

$$
t_{i}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; v_{i}(x, t) \leq u_{i}(x, t) \text { for some } x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}\right\} \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right) .
$$

From (6),

$$
v_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)=S(t)\left(v_{i, 0}-u_{i, 0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} S(t-s)\left(v_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s)-u_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s)\right) d s
$$

and from $v_{i, 0}(x)>u_{i, 0}(x)$,

$$
S(t)\left(v_{i, 0}-u_{i, 0}\right)>0 .
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
0=v_{i}\left(x, t_{i}\right)-u_{i}\left(x, t_{i}\right)>\int_{0}^{t_{i}}\left[S\left(t_{i}-s\right)\left(v_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s)-u_{i+1}^{p_{i}}(s)\right)\right](x) d s
$$

for some $x \in \mathbf{R}^{d}$. Then we obtain

$$
t_{i}>t_{i+1}
$$

Inductively, we have

$$
t_{i}>t_{i+1}>\cdots>t_{N+i-2}>t_{N+i-1}>t_{N+i}=t_{i}
$$

and we get the contradiction. Therefore it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}(x, t)<c_{i}\left\{t+\left(\frac{v_{i, 0}}{c_{i}}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{i}}\right\}^{\alpha_{i}} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (65) and (66), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i} t^{\alpha_{i}} \leq u_{i}(x, t)<c_{i}\left\{t+\left(\frac{v_{i, 0}}{c_{i}}\right)^{1 / \alpha_{i}}\right\}^{\alpha_{i}} . \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (67) by $t^{-\alpha_{i}}$ and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\alpha_{i}} u_{i}(x, t)=c_{i} \quad\left(i \in N^{*}\right)
$$

uniformly in $\mathbf{R}^{d}$.
Acknowledgement. The author expresses his sincere gratitude to Professor K. Mochizuki and Professor K. Kurata for their constant encouragement and valuable advices, and to the referee for his valuable comments for improving the paper.

## References

[1] J. AGUIRRE and M. Escobedo, A Cauchy problem for $u_{t}-\Delta u=u^{p}$ with $0<p<1$. Asymptotic behavior of solutions., Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 8 (1986-87), 175-203.
[2] M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, Boundedness and blow up for a semilinear reaction-diffusion system, J. Differential Equations 89 (1991), 176-202.
[3] M. Escobedo and M. A. Herrero, A uniqueness result for a semilinear reaction-diffusion system, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 175-185.
[4] M. Fila and P. Quittner, The blow-up rate for a semilinear parabolic system, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 238 (1999), 468-476.
[5] A. Friedman, Partial differential equations of parabolic type, Robert E. Krieger publishing company (1983).
[6] H. Fujita, On the blowing up of solutions of the Cauchy problem for $u_{t}-\Delta u=u^{1+\alpha}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. of Tokyo, Sect. I 13 (1960), 65-79.
[7] K. Mochizuki, Blow-up, life span and large time behavior of solution of weakly coupled system of reactiondiffusion equation, Advance in Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation and Stochastics, World Scientific Publishing (1998), 175-197.
[8] N. UMEDA, Blow-up and large time behavior of solutions of a weakly coupled system of reaction-diffusion equations, Tsukuba J. Math. 27 (2003), 31-46.

Present Address:
Department of Mathematics,
Tokyo Metropolitan University,
Minamiosawa, Hachioji-shi, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan.

