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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, let $q, k$ be two positive integers, and let $a, b$ be two non-zero complex numbers. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k+1$, and $f=a \Leftrightarrow G(f)=b$, where $G(f)=P\left(f^{(k)}\right)+H(f)$ be a differential polynomial of $f$ satisfying $q \geq \gamma_{H}$, and $\left.\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma}\right|_{H}<k+1$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.
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1. Introduction. Let $f$ and $g$ be meromorphic functions on a domain $D$, and let $a$ and $b$ be two complex numbers. If $g(z)=b$ whenever $f(z)=a$, we write

$$
f(z)=a \Rightarrow g(z)=b
$$

If $f(z)=a \Rightarrow g(z)=b$ and $g(z)=b \Rightarrow f(z)=$ $a$, we write

$$
f(z)=a \Leftrightarrow g(z)=b
$$

If $f(z)=a \Leftrightarrow g(z)=a$, we say that f and g share a on $D$.

Let $a_{i}(z),(i=1,2, \ldots, q-1), b_{j}(z),(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$ be analytic in $D, n_{0}, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}$ be nonnegative integers. Set

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\omega)=\omega^{q}+a_{q-1}(z) \omega^{q-1}+\ldots+a_{1}(z) \omega \\
M\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)=f^{n_{0}}\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{n_{1}} \ldots\left(f^{(k)}\right)^{n_{k}} \\
\gamma_{M}=n_{0}+n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k} \\
\Gamma_{M}=n_{0}+2 n_{1}+\ldots+(k+1) n_{k}
\end{gathered}
$$

$M\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$ is called the differential monomial of $f, \gamma_{M}$ the degree of $M\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{M}$ the weight of $M\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$.

Let $M_{j}\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right),(j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ be differential monomials of $f$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)= b_{1}(z) M_{1}\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)+\ldots \\
&+b_{n}(z) M_{n}\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right), \\
& \gamma_{H}=\max \left\{\gamma_{M_{1}}, \gamma_{M_{2}}, \ldots, \gamma_{M_{n}}\right\}, \\
& \Gamma_{H}= \max \left\{\Gamma_{M_{1}}, \Gamma_{M_{2}}, \ldots, \Gamma_{M_{n}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$H\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$ is called the differential polynomial of $f, \gamma_{H}$ the degree of $H\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{H}$ the weight of $H\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)$. Set
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma}\right|_{H} & =\max \left\{\frac{\Gamma_{M_{1}}}{\gamma_{M_{1}}}, \frac{\Gamma_{M_{2}}}{\gamma_{M_{2}}}, \ldots, \frac{\Gamma_{M_{n}}}{\gamma_{M_{n}}}\right\} \\
G(f) & =P\left(f^{(k)}\right)+H\left(f, f^{\prime}, \ldots, f^{(k)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Schwick[1] was the first to draw a connection between values shared by functions in $\mathcal{F}$ and the normality of the family $\mathcal{F}$. Specifically, he proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, and let $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ be three distinct complex numbers. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}, f$ and $f^{\prime}$ share $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

Fang[2] proved the following theorem.
Theorem B. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, let $k$ be a positive integer, and let a be a non-zero complex number. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}, f \neq 0$, and $f=a \Leftrightarrow f^{(k)}=a$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

Fang and Zalcman[3] improved Theorem B as follows:

Theorem C. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $a, b$ be two non-zero complex numbers. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k+1$, and $f=a \Leftrightarrow f^{(k)}=b$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in D.

In this paper, we extended Theorem C as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, let $q, k$ be two positive integers, and let $a, b$ be two non-zero complex numbers. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k+1$, and $f=a \Leftrightarrow G(f)=b$, where $G(f)=P\left(f^{(k)}\right)+H(f)$ be a differential polynomial of $f$ satisfying $q \geq \gamma_{H}$, and $\left.\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma}\right|_{H}<k+1$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is
normal in $D$.
As an application of Theorem 1, we have the following example.

Example 1. Let k be a positive integer, let $f_{n}(z)=n e^{z}$, let $\mathcal{F}=\left\{f_{n}(z): n=1,2, \ldots\right\}$, let $D=$ $\{z:|z|<1\}$, and let $G(f)=f^{(k)}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}, f \neq 0$ and $f=1 \Leftrightarrow G(f)=1$. By Theorem 1, we obtain that $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.

From Theorem 1, we can get
Corollary 2. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of meromorphic functions in a domain $D$, let $a_{1}(z), a_{2}(z), \ldots, a_{k}(z)$ be holomorphic functions in $D$, let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $a, b$ be two non-zero complex numbers. If, for each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the zeros of $f$ have multiplicity at least $k+1$, and $f=a \Leftrightarrow L(f)=b$, where $L(f)=f^{(k)}+a_{1}(z) f^{(k-1)}+a_{2}(z) f^{(k-2)}+\ldots+a_{k}(z) f$, then $\mathcal{F}$ is normal in $D$.
2. Some Lemmas. For the proof of Theorem 1 , we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1[4]. Let $k$ be a positive integer, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of functions meromorphic on the unit disc $\triangle$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k$, and suppose that there exists $A \geq 1$ such that $\left|f^{(k)}(z)\right| \leq A$ whenever $f(z)=0$. Then if $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at $z_{0}$, there exist, for each $0 \leq \alpha \leq k$,
a) points $z_{n} \in \triangle, z_{n} \rightarrow z_{0}$;
b) functions $f_{n} \in \mathcal{F}$; and
c) positive numbers $\rho_{n} \rightarrow 0$
such that $\rho_{n}^{-\alpha} f_{n}\left(z_{n}+\rho_{n} \zeta\right)=g_{n}(\zeta) \rightarrow g(\zeta)$ locally uniformly with respect to the spherical metric, where $g$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function on $C$, all of whose zeros have multiplicity at least $k$, such that $g^{\#}(\zeta) \leq g^{\#}(0)=k A+1$. In particular, $g$ has order at most 2 .

Lemma 2[5]. Let $f(z)$ be a meromorphic fuction of finite order in the plane, let $k$ be a positive integer, and let $b$ be a non-zero complex number. If the zeros of $f(z)$ have multiplicity at least $k+1$, the poles are multiple, and $f^{(k)}(z) \neq b$, then $f(z)$ is a constant.
3. Proofs of Theorems 1. Without lose of generality we assume that $D=\{|z|<1\}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is not normal at point 0 . Then by Lemma 1, for $\alpha=k$, there exist $f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}, z_{j} \rightarrow 0$, and $\rho_{j} \rightarrow 0^{+}$such that $g_{j}(\zeta)=\rho_{j}^{-k} f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right)$ converges locally uniformly to a non-constant function $g(\zeta)$. Moreover, $g(\zeta)$ is of order at most 2 and only zeros of multiplicity at least $k+1$. Set $Q(\omega)=\omega^{q}+a_{q-1}(0) \omega^{q-1}+\ldots+a_{1}(0) \omega$,

We claim that:
(i) $Q\left(g^{(k)}\right) \neq b$;
(ii) the poles of $g$ are multiple.

Suppose now that $Q\left(g^{(k)}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\right)=b$. we claim that $Q\left(g^{(k)}\right) \not \equiv b$. Otherwise, $g$ must be a polynomial of exact degree $k$, which contradicts the fact that each zero of g has multiplicity at least $\mathrm{k}+1$. Since $Q\left(g^{(k)}\right)\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=b$. Obviously, $g\left(\zeta_{0}\right) \neq \infty$. Hence there exists $\delta>0$ such that $g(\zeta)$ is analytic on $G_{2 \delta}=\{\zeta$ : $\left.\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|<2 \delta\right\}$. Thus $g_{j}^{(i)}(\zeta)(i=0,1,2, \ldots, k)$ are analytic on $G_{\delta}=\left\{\zeta:\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|<\delta\right\}$ for large j and $g_{j}^{(i)}(\zeta)$ converges uniformly to $g^{(i)}(\zeta)(i=0,1,2, \ldots, k)$ on $\bar{G}_{\delta}=\left\{\zeta:\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right| \leq \delta\right\}$.

As

$$
\begin{aligned}
G\left(f_{j}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right)-b= & P\left(f_{j}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right)\right) \\
& +H\left(f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right) \\
& -b,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right) \rho_{j}^{(k+1) \gamma_{M_{i}}-\Gamma_{M_{i}}} \\
& \quad \times M_{i}\left(g_{j}, g_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{j}^{(k)}\right)(\zeta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering $b_{i}(z)$ are analytic on $\mathrm{D}(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|b_{i}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right)\right| \leq M\left(\frac{1+r}{2}, b_{i}(z)\right)<\infty, \\
& \quad \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large j .
Hence we deduce from $\left.\frac{\Gamma}{\gamma}\right|_{H}<k+1$ that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right) \rho_{j}^{(k+1) \gamma_{M_{i}}-\Gamma_{M_{i}}} M_{i}\left(g_{j}, g_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{j}^{(k)}\right)(\zeta)
$$

converges uniformly to 0 on $D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}=\left\{\zeta:\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|<\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$.
Thus we know that $G\left(f_{j}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta\right)-b$ converges uniformly to $Q\left(g^{(k)}\right)-b$ on $D_{\frac{\delta}{2}}=\left\{\zeta:\left|\zeta-\zeta_{0}\right|<\frac{\delta}{2}\right\}$.

Hence, by Hurwitz's theorem we deduce that there exist $\zeta_{j}, \zeta_{j} \rightarrow \zeta_{0}$ such that, for large $j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(g_{j}^{(k)}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right) \rho_{j}^{(k+1) \gamma_{M_{i}}-\Gamma_{M_{i}}} \\
& \quad \times M_{i}\left(g_{j}, g_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus
$P\left(f_{j}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)\right)+H\left(f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)=b$.
It follows from $f=a \Leftrightarrow G(f)=b$ that

$$
f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)=a
$$

Thus

$$
g_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=\frac{f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)}{\rho_{j}^{k}}=\frac{a}{\rho_{j}^{k}}
$$

we have $g\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=\infty$, which contra$\operatorname{dicts} Q\left(g^{(k)}\left(\zeta_{0}\right)\right)=b$. This proves (i).

Now we prove (ii). Suppose $g\left(\zeta_{0}\right)=\infty$. Since $g \not \equiv \infty$, there exists a closed disc $K=\{\zeta: \mid \zeta-$ $\left.\zeta_{0} \mid \leq \delta\right\}$ on which $1 / g$ and $1 / g_{j}$ are holomorphic (for j sufficiently large) and $1 / g_{j} \rightarrow 1 / g$ uniformly. Hence, $1 / g_{j}(\zeta)-\rho_{j}^{k} / a \rightarrow 1 / g(\zeta)$ on $K$, and since $1 / g$ is nonconstant, there exist $\zeta_{j}, \zeta_{j} \rightarrow \zeta_{0}$, such that (for j large enough)

$$
\frac{1}{g_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}-\frac{\rho_{j}^{k}}{a}=0
$$

Hence $f_{j}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)=a$. Thus we have
$P\left(f_{j}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)\right)+H\left(f_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, f_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right)=b$.
Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(g_{j}^{(k)}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}\left(z_{j}+\rho_{j} \zeta_{j}\right) \rho_{j}^{(k+1) \gamma_{M_{i}}-\Gamma_{M_{i}}} \\
& \quad \times M_{i}\left(g_{j}, g_{j}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{j}^{(k)}\right)\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=b
\end{align*}
$$

We can get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{1}{g_{j}}\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}}{g_{j}^{2}}  \tag{2}\\
\left(\frac{1}{g_{j}}\right)^{\prime \prime}=-\frac{g_{j}^{\prime \prime}}{g_{j}^{2}}+2 \frac{\left(g_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{g_{j}^{3}}
\end{gather*}
$$

for $k \geq 3$, mathematical induction shows that

$$
\left(\frac{1}{g_{j}}\right)^{(k)}=-\frac{g_{j}^{(k)}}{g_{j}^{2}}+k!\frac{\left(g_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{k}}{g_{j}^{k+1}}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_{i} g_{j}^{i}
$$

Thus
(4) $g_{j}^{(k)}=g_{j}^{2}\left[k!\frac{\left(g_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{k}}{g_{j}^{k+1}}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} A_{i} g_{j}^{i}-\left(\frac{1}{g_{j}}\right)^{(k)}\right]$.

Thus by (1), (2), (3), (4) and $q \geq \gamma_{H}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& (k!)^{q}\left(\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{g_{j}^{2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}\right)^{k q} g_{j}^{(k+1) q}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)  \tag{5}\\
& \quad+\sum_{i=0}^{(k+1) q-1} B_{i} g_{j}^{i}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=b
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{i}$ is a polynomial in $(1 / g)^{\prime},(1 / g)^{\prime \prime}, \cdots$, $(1 / g)^{(k)}$.

Since $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} g_{j}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=\infty$, by (5) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}[ & (k!)^{q}\left(\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{g_{j}^{2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}\right)^{k q} g_{j}^{(k+1) q-1}\left(\zeta_{j}\right) \\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{(k+1) q-1} B_{i} g_{j}^{i-1}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[(k!)^{q}\left(\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{g_{j}^{2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}\right)^{k q} g_{j}^{(k+1) q-2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right. \\
\left.\quad+\sum_{i=1}^{(k+1) q-1} B_{i} g_{j}^{i-2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)\right]=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Proceeding inductively, we obtain

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left[-\frac{g_{j}^{\prime}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}{g_{j}^{2}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}\right]^{k}=0
$$

It follows that $\left.(1 / g(\zeta))^{\prime}\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{0}}=0$, so that $\zeta_{0}$ is a multiple pole of $g(\zeta)$. Hence no pole of $g$ is simple. This proves (ii).

It follows $Q\left(g^{(k)}\right) \neq b$ and the definition of $Q(\omega)$ that there exist a non-zero constant c satisfying $g^{(k)} \neq c$. Hence by Lemma 2, we can deduce that $g$ is a constant, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{F}$ is normal on $D$.
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