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Introduction. Let $G_{n}=U_{n, n}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$ be the quasi-split unitary group of $2 n$-dimension with respect to a quadratic extension $\boldsymbol{K} / k$ of number fields. The basic identity of Rankin-Selberg integral established in [4], [5] interpolates the standard $L$-function of a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_{n}(\boldsymbol{A})$. In [5], the two of main steps in the theory of Rankin-Selberg method were carried out, though the group was $G_{n}=S p_{n}$, or $O_{n, n}$;
(1) the investigation of analytic properties of the global Rankin-Selberg integral and,
(2) the computations of unramified local integrals.

These two parts can be carried out entirely in the same way as [5] also for our group $G_{n}=$ $U_{n, n}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$, which we shall state in $\S 1$.

The main part of this paper is devoted to the study of local integrals including finite ramified and archimedean places. We shall extend the method of [5] to adapt to representations that cannot be embedded in principal series representations. We rewrite these integrals by the Godement-Jacquet zeta integrals and obtain the analytic continuations of them. Then it is seen that, at finite ramified places, they can be made constant for a suitable choice of a test function, which enables us to prove the finiteness of poles of the partial standard $L$-function by the usual procedure of the Rankin-Selberg method.

The author would like to thank Takao Watanabe for many helpful advices and encouragement.

Notation. Let $k$ be a number field and $k_{v}$ be the completion of $k$ at a place $v$ of $k$. Let $G_{n}=$ $U_{n, n}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$ be the quasi-split form of unitary group of $2 n$-dimension defined with respect to a quadratic extension $\boldsymbol{K} / k$ of number fields. The Galois involution of $\boldsymbol{K} / k$ is denoted by $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. We realize the group of $k$-points of $G_{n}$ as
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$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{n}(k)=\left\{g \in G L_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{K}) \mid g J_{n}^{t} \bar{g}=J_{n}\right\}, \\
\text { where } J_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1_{n} \\
-1_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We often write simply as $G_{n}=G_{n}(k)$, if there is no fear of confusion. If a place $v$ splits (resp. remains prime) in $\boldsymbol{K}, G_{n}\left(k_{v}\right)$ is isomorphic to $G L_{2 n}\left(k_{v}\right)$ (resp. $U_{n, n}\left(\boldsymbol{K}_{v} / k_{v}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{K}_{v}=\boldsymbol{K} \otimes_{k}$ $k_{v}$ is a quadratic field extension of $k_{v}$ ). Let $K_{n, v}$ be the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G_{n}\left(k_{v}\right)$.

Let $T_{n}$ (resp. $A_{n}$ ) be the maximal $k$-torus (resp. maximal $k$-split torus) given by

$$
T_{n}=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, \bar{t}_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n}^{-1}\right) \mid t_{i} \in \boldsymbol{K}^{\times}\right\},
$$

$$
A_{n}=\left\{\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, a_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n}^{-1}\right) \mid a_{i} \in k^{\times}\right\}
$$

and let $\chi_{i}$ be the $k$-rational character of $A_{n}$ defined by $\chi_{i}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, a_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, a_{n}^{-1}\right)\right)=a_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Then $\left\{\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right\}$ forms a $\boldsymbol{Z}$ basis of $X^{*}\left(A_{n}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(A_{n}, \boldsymbol{G}_{m}\right)$. Let $B_{n}=T_{n}$ $\ltimes N_{n}$ be the Borel subgroup of $G_{n}$, of which the unipotent radical $N_{n}$ is the subgroup consists of elements of the form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}u & x \\ 0^{t} & \bar{u}^{-1}\end{array}\right)$, where $u \in$ $G L_{n}(\boldsymbol{K})$ is upper triangular with ones in diagonals, and $x \in \operatorname{Mat}_{n}(\boldsymbol{K})$ is such that $x={ }^{t} \bar{x}$. Let $\Phi_{n}$ $=\Phi\left(G_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ be the relative root system of $G_{n}$ with respect to $A_{n}$ and let $\Phi_{n}^{+}$be the set of positive roots corresponding to $B_{n}$ explicitly given by $\Phi_{n}^{+}=\left\{2 \chi_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n), \chi_{i} \pm \chi_{j}(1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n)\right\}$. Denote by $W_{n}=W\left(G_{n}, A_{n}\right)$ the relative Weyl group of $G_{n}$. For each $\alpha \in \Phi$, let $N_{n, \alpha}$ be the root subgroup determined by $\alpha$.

For each integer $r$ with $1 \leqslant r \leqslant n$, let $P_{n}^{(r)}$ $=M_{n}^{(r)} \ltimes U_{n}^{(r)}$ be the maximal parabolic $k$-subgroup of $G_{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}^{(r)} & =\left\{\iota\left(x,\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x & A & & B \\
& A & & B \\
\hline & { }^{t} \tilde{x}^{-1} & \\
& & D
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, \begin{array}{l}
x \in G L_{r}(\boldsymbol{K}), \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right) \in G_{n-r}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
U_{n}^{(r)}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc|cc}
1_{r} & * & * & * \\
& 1_{n-r} & * & 0 \\
\hline & & 1_{r} & 0 \\
& & * & 1_{n-r}
\end{array}\right) \in N_{n}\right\}
$$

Every proper parabolic $k$-subgroup of $G_{n}$ is contained in a conjugate of some $P_{n}^{(r)}$.

The Galois form of the $L$-group of $G_{n}$ is $G L_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{C}) \times \operatorname{Gal}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$, where the non-trivial element of $\operatorname{Gal}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$ acts on $G L_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ by $g \mapsto$ $J_{n}{ }^{t} g^{-1} J_{n}^{-1}$. Now, let $r_{\text {st }}$ be the $4 n$-dimensional representation of ${ }^{L} G_{n}$ induced from the standard $2 n$-dimensional representation of the connected component $G L_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{C})$ of ${ }^{L} G_{n}$. Then, for a cuspidal automorphic representation $\pi=\otimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ of $G_{n}(\boldsymbol{A})$, the $L$-factor $L_{\mathrm{st}}\left(s, \pi_{v}\right)=L\left(s, \pi_{v}, r_{\mathrm{st}}\right)$ is defined for any unramified places $v$ (see [1]). The automorphic $L$-function we shall consider is a partial one, defined by the infinite product

$$
L_{\mathrm{st}}(s, \pi)=\prod_{v ; \text { unramified }} L_{\mathrm{st}}\left(s, \pi_{\nu}\right),
$$

which converges for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large.

1. Review of global theory. Let $G_{n}=$ $U_{n, n}(\boldsymbol{K} / k)$ act on a $2 n$-demensional non-degenerate skew-hermitian space $(V, \phi)$ over $\boldsymbol{K}$ as the isometry group. Then the space $(V \oplus V, \phi$ $\bigoplus(-\phi)$ ) also is skew-hermitian and non-degenerate, of which the isometry group can be identified with $G_{2 n}$. In this way have an embedding $i: G_{n} \times G_{n} \hookrightarrow G_{2 n}$. Let $P$ be the stabilizer of the isotropic subspace $V^{d}=\{(v, v) \in V \bigoplus V ; v \in$ $V$ \} of $V \bigoplus V$ in $G_{2 n}$. It is a 'Siegel-type' maximal parabolic subgroup of $G_{2 n}$, whose Levi part is isomorphic to $G L_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{K})$.

Let $\delta_{P(\boldsymbol{A})}$ be the module of $P(\boldsymbol{A})$ and for each $s \in \boldsymbol{C}$, set $\delta_{s}(\cdot)=\left(\delta_{P(\boldsymbol{A})}(\cdot)\right)^{s / 2 n}$. Let $\mathscr{T}(s)=$ $\operatorname{ind}_{P(\boldsymbol{A})}^{G_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{A})}\left(\delta_{s}\right)$ be the unnormalized induction. For $f_{s} \in \mathscr{T}(s)$ and $h \in G_{2 n}(\boldsymbol{A})$, define

$$
E\left(h ; f_{s}\right)=\sum_{r \in P(k) \backslash G_{2 n}(k)} f_{s}(r h)
$$

The right hand side is known to converge absolutely for $R e(s)$ sufficiently large, uniformly for $h$ in a fixed compact set. It can be continued analytically to a meromorphic function on whole of $s \in \boldsymbol{C}$. Following the method of $[5, \S 5]$, put

$$
d(s)=\prod_{l=1}^{2 n} \zeta_{k}(2 s-2 l+2) \prod_{l=1}^{n} \zeta_{\boldsymbol{K}}(2 s-2 l+1)
$$

where $\zeta_{k}$ (resp. $\zeta_{\boldsymbol{K}}$ ) is the completed Dedekind $\zeta$-function of $k$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{K}$ ). $(d(s)$ is chosen so that it cancels all the "denominators" of $c$-functions appearing in the constant term of $\left.E\left(h ; f_{s}\right)\right)$. Then the number of poles of $d(s) \times E\left(h ; f_{s}\right)$ are finite
and located at integral and half integral points in the interval $[0,4 n]$. (The occurence of poles depends on the choice of $f_{s}$ ).

Let $\pi=\bigotimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $G_{n}(\boldsymbol{A}), \tilde{\pi}=\bigotimes_{v} \tilde{\pi}_{v}$ be the contragradient of $\pi, \varphi=\bigotimes_{v} \varphi_{v} \in \pi, \tilde{\varphi}=\bigotimes_{v} \tilde{\varphi}_{v} \in$ $\tilde{\pi}$ be cusp forms in the space of $\pi$ and $\tilde{\pi}$, and $f_{s}$ $=\otimes_{v} f_{s}^{(v)} \in \mathscr{T}(s)$. In [5], the following formal identity was established;

Theorem. [5,§1]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G_{n}(k) \backslash G_{n}(\boldsymbol{A})} \int_{G_{n}(k) \backslash G_{n}(\boldsymbol{A})} E\left(i\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) ; f_{s}\right) \varphi\left(g_{1}\right) \tilde{\varphi}\left(g_{2}\right) d g_{1} d g_{2} \\
=\prod_{v} \mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}^{(v)}, \varphi_{v}, \tilde{\varphi}_{v}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}^{(v)}, \varphi_{v}, \tilde{\varphi}_{v}\right) \\
& \quad=\int_{G_{n}\left(k_{v}\right)} f_{s}^{(v)}\left(i\left(g_{v}, 1\right)\right)\left\langle\pi_{v}\left(g_{v}\right) \varphi_{v}, \tilde{\varphi_{v}}\right\rangle d g_{v} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The product in the right hand side is taken over all the places $v$ of $k$.

Our main result is the following;
Theorem. The infinite product $L_{\mathrm{st}}(s, \pi)$ is analytically continued to a meromorphic function on whole of $\boldsymbol{C}$ and the number of poles of $L_{\mathrm{st}}(s, \pi)$ is finite.

By the same method as $[5, \S 6]$, it is possible to compute the local integrals at any unramified place $v:$ let $\phi_{s}^{(v)}$ be the unique element of $\mathscr{T}_{v}(s)$, whose restriction to $K_{2 n, v}$ is identically equal to 1 , and let $\varphi_{v}^{0} \in \pi_{v}, \tilde{\varphi}_{v}^{0} \in \tilde{\pi}{ }_{v}$ be non-zero $K_{n, v}$-fixed vectors. Then we have

$$
d_{v}(s) \mathscr{Z}\left(\phi_{s}^{(v)}, \varphi_{v}^{0}, \tilde{\varphi}_{v}^{0}\right)=b_{v}(s) L_{\mathrm{st}}\left(s-n+\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{v}\right)
$$

where $b(s)$ is defined by

$$
b(s)=\prod_{l=1}^{n} \zeta_{k}(2 s-2 l+1) \zeta_{k}(2 s-2 n-2 l+2)
$$

and $b_{v}(s)$ is the $v$-th local factor of $b(s)$.
To prove our main theorem, we need to study the local zeta integrals including ramified cases. The rest of this paper is devoted to this topic.
2. Local zeta integrals. Let us switch to the local notation. Write $F=k_{v}$ for a place $v$ of $k$, and put $E=F \otimes_{k} \boldsymbol{K}$. Write $G_{n}=U_{n, n}(E / F)$, the $F$-points of $G_{n}$ in $\S 1$. For simplicity we shall write $X=X(F)$ whenever $X$ is a group defined over $k$ in $\S 1$, and omit the sub-or superscript $v$ in all cases.

We fix the embedding $G_{n} \times G_{n} \hookrightarrow G_{2 n}$ (as in [5]) so that the subgroup $P$ is of the form
$\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{cc}g & * \\ 0 & g^{-1}\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, g \in G L_{2 n}(E)\right\}$. In particular, for $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right) \in G_{n}$,
(1) $i(g, 1)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1_{n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C & D & 0 & -C \\ C & D-1_{n} & 1_{n} & -C \\ 1_{n}-A & -B & 0 & A\end{array}\right)$.

Let $\pi$ be an irreducible admissible representation of $G_{n}$.

Proposition. For $\varphi \in \pi, \tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\pi}$, and $f_{s} \in$ $\mathscr{T}(s)$, the integral $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right)$ is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, and analytically continued to a rational function of $q^{-s}$, where $q$ is the residue degree of $F$ if $F$ is non-archimedean, and to a product of gamma functions and a polynomial in $s$ if $F$ is archimedean. Moreover, if $F$ is non-archimedean, it is possible to choose $f_{s}$, depending on $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}$ so that $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right) \equiv 1$.

We shall reduce $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right)$ to integrals of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{G L_{m}(E)} \int_{G L_{m}(E)} \Psi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \Psi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\left|\operatorname{det}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|_{E}^{s_{1}}  \tag{2}\\
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|_{E}^{s_{2}} \omega\left(z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}\right) d^{\times} z_{1} d^{\times} z_{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\Psi_{1}, \Psi_{2}$ are Schwartz-Bruhat functions on $\mathrm{Mat}_{m}(E)$, and $\omega$ is a certain matrix coefficient of an admissible representation of $G L_{m}(E)$. This can be written as a linear combination of the well-known Godement-Jacquet zeta integral ([3]); in fact, it is possible to take an elementary idempotent $\xi$ of the maximal compact subgroup $K_{m}$ of $G L_{m}(E)$ so that

$$
\int_{K_{m}} \Psi_{1}\left(k z_{1}\right) \xi(k) d k=\Psi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

Then (2) is equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G L_{m}(E)} \int_{G L_{m}(E)} \Psi_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \Psi_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\left|\operatorname{det}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|^{s_{1}}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(z_{2}\right)\right|^{s_{2}} \\
\left\{\int_{K_{m}} \xi(k) \omega\left(z_{1}^{-1} k z_{2}\right) d k\right\} d^{\times} z_{1} d^{\times} z_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

and it is easy to see that

$$
\int_{K_{m}} \xi(k) \omega\left(z_{1}^{-1} k z_{2}\right) d k=\sum_{i} \omega_{i}^{(1)}\left(z_{1}\right) \omega_{i}^{(2)}\left(z_{2}\right)
$$

for suitable matrix coefficients $\omega_{i}^{(1)}, \omega_{i}^{(2)}$ of the same representation, analogous to the integral formula on spherical functions.

Now we begin the proof of the proposition. If $E / F$ is split, i.e., if $E \simeq F \oplus F$, then $G_{n} \simeq$ $G L_{2 n}(F)$ and the study of the integral $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi\right.$, $\tilde{\varphi})$ has been essentially done in $[5, \S 6.1]$; it can be
written as (2), where $m=2 n$ and $E$ is replaced by $F$, thus the proposition follows from [3].

Now let $E / F$ be non-split, i.e., $E / F$ is a quadratic field extension. So the possible archimedean case is $E=\boldsymbol{C}, F=\boldsymbol{R}$.

If the representation $\pi$ is supercuspidal in non-archimedean case, then the matrix coefficient is compactly supported, for the center of the unitary group is compact, thus the claim is obvious. So, from now on, assume that the representation $\pi$ is not supercuspidal.

By Jacquet's subrepresentation theorem, in non-archimedean case we may choose a maximal parabolic subgroup $P_{n}^{(r)}$ of $G_{n}$, whose Levi part is isomorphic to $G L_{r}(E) \times G_{n-r}$, and an admissible (resp. irreducible supercuspidal) representation $\tau$ (resp. $\tau^{\prime}$ ) of $G L_{r}(E)$ (resp. $G_{n-r}$ ) such that $\pi$ is a subrepresentation of the normalized induction $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{n}^{\prime}}^{G_{n}}\left(\tau \otimes \tau^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\tilde{\pi}$, the contragradient of $\pi$, is realized as a quotient of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{n}}^{G_{n}}\left(\tilde{\tau} \otimes \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)$. If $F=$ $\boldsymbol{R}$, then $\pi$ can be embedded into a prineipal series, and we may consider the case $r=n$ only, where we shall understand that $\tau^{\prime}$ is trivial.

Consider $\varphi \in \pi$ as an element of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{n}^{\prime}}^{G_{n}}(\tau \otimes$ $\tau^{\prime}$ ), and regard $\tilde{\varphi} \in \tilde{\pi}$ as any of its representatives in $\operatorname{Ind}_{P_{n}^{\prime}}^{G_{n}}\left(\tilde{\tau} \otimes \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}\right)$. Then by rewriting the matrix coefficient of $\pi$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right)=\int_{G_{n}} f_{s}(i(g, 1))\langle\pi(g) \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\rangle d g \\
& =\int_{G_{n}} f_{s}(i(g, 1))\left(\int_{K_{n}}\langle\langle\varphi(g k), \tilde{\varphi}(k)\rangle\rangle d k\right) d g
\end{aligned}
$$

and by the Iwasawa decomposition $G_{n}=U_{n}^{(r)}$ $\times M_{n}^{(r)} K_{n}, d g=\delta_{P_{n}^{(n)}}(m)^{-1} d u d m d k$,

$$
=\int_{K_{n} \times K_{n}}\left\{\int_{U_{n}^{(n)}} \int_{M_{n}^{(n)}}^{n} f_{s}\left(i\left(u m k_{1} k_{2}^{-1}, 1\right)\right)\right.
$$

$$
\left.\left\langle\left\langle\varphi\left(u m k_{1}\right), \tilde{\varphi}\left(k_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle \delta_{P_{n}^{(n)}}(m)^{-1} d u d m\right\} d k_{1} d k_{2} .
$$

Here, $\langle\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\rangle$ is the natural pairing of $\tau \otimes \tau^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\tau} \otimes \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}$. The integration over $K_{n} \times K_{n}$ is not essential and we may only consider the case $k_{1}$, $k_{2}=1$ in the inner integral and the study of $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right)$ reduces to the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J:=\int_{U_{n}^{(n)}} \int_{M_{n}^{(r)}} f_{s}(i(u m, 1))\langle\langle\varphi(u m), \tilde{\varphi}(1)\rangle\rangle \\
& =\int_{U_{n}^{(n)}} \int_{M_{n}^{(n)}} f_{s}(i(u m, 1))\langle\langle\tau(m) \cdot \varphi(1), \tilde{\varphi}(1)\rangle\rangle \\
& \times \delta_{P_{n}^{(n)}}(m)^{-1} d u d m
\end{aligned}
$$

We may assume that $\varphi(1)=v \otimes w, \tilde{\varphi}(1)=\tilde{v}$ $\otimes \tilde{w}$ where $v \in \tau, \tilde{v} \in \tilde{\tau}, w \in \tau^{\prime}, \tilde{w} \in \tilde{\tau}^{\prime}$. Put
$\omega_{1}\left(m_{1}\right)=\left\langle\tau\left(m_{1}\right) v, \tilde{v}\right\rangle_{\tau}, \quad \omega_{2}\left(m_{2}\right)=\left\langle\tau^{\prime}\left(m_{2}\right) w, \tilde{w}\right\rangle_{\tau^{\prime}}$ for $m_{1} \in G L_{r}(E), m_{2} \in G_{n-r}$. Then our integral is of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
J=\int_{G L_{r}(E)} \int_{G_{n-r}}\left\{\int_{U_{n}^{(n)}} f_{s}\left(i\left(u m_{1} m_{2}, 1\right)\right) d u\right\} \\
\omega_{1}\left(m_{1}\right) \omega_{2}\left(m_{2}\right) \delta_{P_{n}^{(r)}}\left(m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} d m_{1} d m_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

As the function $\omega_{2}$ is compactly supported (or trivial) on $G_{n-r}$, we may furthur reduce the problem to

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{\prime}:= \int_{G L_{r}(E)}\left\{\int_{U_{n}^{(n)}} f_{s}\left(i\left(u m_{1}, 1\right)\right) d u\right\}  \tag{3}\\
& \omega_{1}\left(m_{1}\right) \delta_{P_{n}^{(n)}}\left(m_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} d m_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we write the integral over $U_{n}^{(r)}$ by the intertwining integral of principal series. By taking a suitable character $\mu_{s}$ of $T_{2 n}, \mathscr{T}(s)=$ $\operatorname{ind}_{P}^{G_{2 n}}\left(\delta_{s}\right)$ can be made into a submodule of the principal series $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)$. Explicitly $\mu_{s}$ is given by

$$
\mu_{s}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{2 n}, \bar{t}_{1}^{-1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{2 n}^{-1}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s-2 n+i-1 / 2}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $w_{r}$ be the element of $W_{2 n}$, the relative Weyl group of $G_{2 n}$, such that the action of $w_{r}^{-1}$ on $X^{*}\left(A_{2 n}\right)$ is given by

$$
w_{r}^{-1}:\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\chi_{1} \mapsto \chi_{1} & \chi_{n+1} \mapsto-\chi_{2 r} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\chi_{r} \mapsto \chi_{r} & \chi_{n+r} \mapsto-\chi_{r+1} \\
\chi_{r+1} \mapsto \chi_{2 r+1} & \chi_{n+r+1} \mapsto \chi_{n+r+1} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
\chi_{n} \mapsto \chi_{n+1} & \chi_{2 n} \mapsto \chi_{2 n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then,
$i\left(U_{n}^{(r)} \times 1\right)=\prod_{\alpha<0, w_{r}^{-1} \alpha>0} N_{2 n, \alpha}=w_{r} \cdot\left(\prod_{\alpha>0, w_{r} \alpha<0} N_{2 n, \alpha}\right) \cdot w_{r}^{-1}$.
Proof. Put
$\Phi^{-1}(r):=\left\{-\left(\chi_{i}-\chi_{j}\right) \mid n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+r<j \leqslant 2 n\right\}$
$\cup\left\{-2 \chi_{i} \mid n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+r\right\} \cup\left\{-\left(\chi_{i}+\chi_{j}\right)\right.$
$\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n<j \leqslant n+r \text {, or } n+1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n+r, \\ \text { or } n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+r<j \leqslant 2 n\end{array}\right.\right\}$.
Then, by the explicit embedding (1), we have $i\left(U_{n}^{(r)} \times 1\right)=\Pi_{\alpha \in \Phi^{-}(r)} N_{2 n, \alpha}$, and by an easy combinatorial discussion it is seen that $\Phi^{-}(r)=$ $\left\{\alpha \in \Phi_{2 n} \mid \alpha<0, w_{r}^{-1} \alpha>0\right\}$.

Consider the case $f_{s}=\rho(h) \phi_{s}, h \in G_{2 n}$, which can be regarded as an element of $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)$. By the standard theory of intertwining operators, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{U_{n}^{(r)}} \phi_{s}(i(u, 1) h) d u=\int_{\alpha>0, w_{r} \alpha<0} \prod_{N_{2 n, \alpha}}  \tag{4}\\
& \phi_{s}\left(w_{r} n w_{r}^{-1} h\right) d n=c_{w_{r}}\left(\mu_{s}\right) \phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}\left(w_{r}^{-1} h\right)
\end{align*}
$$

using the previous lemma. Here, $\phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}$ is the uni-
que element of $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(w_{r}^{-1} \cdot \mu_{s}\right)$ such that $\left.\phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}\right|_{K_{2 n}}$ $\equiv 1$, and the factor $c_{w_{r}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)$ is the one given in [2].

Now we give a certain integral representation of $\phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}$, using zeta functions of matrix rings. For $1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2 n$, let $\Psi_{k}$ (resp. $\Psi_{k}^{(0)}$ ) be a Schwartz-Bruhat function on $\mathrm{Mat}_{k \times 4 n}(E)$ (resp. the characteristic function of $\operatorname{Mat}_{k \times 4 n}\left(\mathscr{O}_{E}\right)$ in the non-archimedean case, and $\Psi_{k}^{(0)}(z)=\exp (-$ $\left.2 \pi \operatorname{Tr}\left(z^{t} \tilde{z}\right)\right)$ in the case $E=C$ ) and put for $h \in$ $G_{2 n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{{r_{k}}^{G n}}^{G_{2 n}}(h ; s)=\int_{G L_{k}(E)} \\
& \quad \Psi_{k}((\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{2 n} \mid z, 0, \ldots, 0) \cdot h)|\operatorname{det}(z)|_{E}^{s} d^{\times} z
\end{aligned}
$$

and $F_{k}(h ; s)=F_{\Psi_{k}^{(0)}}(h ; s)$. The right hand side converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, analytically continued to a meromorphic function on $\boldsymbol{C}$.

Lemma 2. The function $F_{\Psi_{r}}\left(h ; s_{1}\right) F_{\Psi_{2 r}}(h$; $\left.s_{2}\right) F_{\Psi_{n+r}}\left(h ; s_{3}\right) F_{\Psi_{2 n}}\left(h ; s_{4}\right)$ belongs to $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(w_{r}^{-1}\right.$. $\mu_{s}$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}(h)=\eta(s)^{-1} \times F_{r}\left(h ; s_{1}\right) F_{2 r}\left(h ; s_{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
F_{n+r}\left(h ; s_{3}\right) F_{2 n}\left(h ; s_{4}\right)
$$

where $s_{1}=2 s-3 n+2 r, s_{2}=-s+3 n-r$, $s_{3}=-r, s_{4}=s$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\eta(s)=\prod_{k=1}^{r} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{1}-k+1\right) \prod_{k=1}^{2 r} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{2}-k+1\right) \\
\prod_{k=1}^{n+r} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{3}-k+1\right) \prod_{k=1}^{2 n} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{4}-k+1\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. For $t=\operatorname{diag}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{2 n}, \bar{t}_{1}^{-1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\bar{t}_{2 n}^{-1}\right) \in T_{2 n}$, we have, by a routine computation,

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{r}^{-1} \cdot \mu_{s}(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s-2 n+i-1 / 2}  \tag{6}\\
\times \prod_{i=r+1}^{2 r}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{-s+n-2 r+i-1 / 2} \prod_{i=2 r+1}^{n+r}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s-2 n+i-r-1 / 2} \\
\times \prod_{i=n+r+1}^{2 n}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s-2 n+i-1 / 2}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is also easy to check that $F_{\Psi_{k}}(\operatorname{tnh} ; s)=\Pi_{i=1}^{k}$ $\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s} F_{k}(h ; s)$ for $t$ as above, $n \in N_{2 n}$. Therefore,
(7) $\quad F_{\Psi_{r}}\left(t n h ; s_{1}\right) F_{\Psi_{2 r}}\left(t n h ; s_{2}\right) F_{\Psi_{n+r}}\left(t n h ; s_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{\Psi_{2 n}}\left(t n h ; s_{4}\right)=\left.\prod_{i=1}^{r}\left|t_{i} s_{E}^{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}} \prod_{i=r+1}^{2 r}\right| t_{i}\right|_{E} ^{s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}} \\
& \times \prod_{i=2 r+1}^{n+r}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s_{3}+s_{4}} \prod_{i=n+r+1}^{2 n}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{s_{4}} F_{\Psi_{r}}\left(h ; s_{1}\right) \\
& \times F_{\Psi_{2 r}}\left(h ; s_{2}\right) F_{\Psi_{n+r}}\left(h ; s_{3}\right) F_{\Psi_{2 n}}\left(h ; s_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the normalizing factor of the principal series is given by

$$
\delta_{B_{2 n}}(t)^{1 / 2}=\prod_{i=1}^{2 n}\left|t_{i}\right|_{E}^{2 n-i+1 / 2}
$$

Comparing (6) and (7), and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}=s \\
s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}=-s+3 n-2 r \\
s_{3}+s_{4}=s-r \\
s_{4}=s
\end{array}\right.
$$

implies the first assertion. If $\Psi_{k}=\Psi_{k}^{(0)}$, it is of course right $K_{2 n}$-invariant, thus normalizing each $F_{k}\left(h ; s_{i}\right)$ so that is is equal to 1 at the identity, we have the lemma.

We return to the integral (3). Let us choose a suitable representative for $w_{r}$, and let $m_{1}=$ $c(x, 1) x \in G L_{r}(E)$. By a direct matrix computation, we have

$$
w_{r}^{-1} i\left(m_{1}, 1\right) w_{r}=\left(\begin{array}{ll|ll}
x^{\prime} & & & \\
& 1_{2(n-r)} & & \\
\hline & & { }^{t} \bar{x}^{\prime-1} & \\
& & & 1_{2(n-r)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\text { where } x^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1_{r} & 0 \\
j_{r}-j_{r} x & j_{r} x j_{r}^{-1}
\end{array}\right) \in G L_{2 r}(E)
$$

Here $j_{r}$ denotes the anti-diagonal $r \times r$ matrix whose non-zero entries are equal to 1 . Applying (5), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho(h) \phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}\left(w_{r}^{-1} i\left(m_{1}, 1\right)\right)=\rho\left(w_{r}^{-1} h\right) \phi_{w \bar{r}^{1} \cdot s}\left(w_{r}^{-1} i\left(m_{1}, 1\right) w_{r}\right) \\
=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{1}-k+1\right)\right)^{-1} \\
\quad \times\left|\operatorname{det}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{E}^{s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}} \int_{G L_{r}(E)}\left(\rho\left(w_{r}^{-1} h\right) \Psi_{r}^{(0)}\right) \\
((\overbrace{0, \ldots, 0}^{2 n} \mid(0, z) \cdot{ }^{t}{x^{\prime}}^{-1}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0)}_{2 n-2 r})|\operatorname{det}(z)|_{E}^{s_{1}} d^{\times} z .
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, by (4),

$$
\begin{gathered}
J^{\prime}=c_{w_{r}}\left(\mu_{s}\right) \int_{G L_{r}(E)} \rho(h) \phi_{w_{r}^{-1} \cdot s}\left(w_{r}^{-1} i\left(m_{1}, 1\right)\right) \omega_{1}\left(m_{1}\right) \\
\times \delta_{P_{n}^{(r)}}\left(m_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2} d m_{1}=c_{w_{r}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)\left(\prod_{k=1}^{r} \zeta_{E}\left(s_{1}-k+1\right)\right)^{-1} \\
\times \int_{G L_{r}(E)} \int_{G L_{r}(E)} \Psi_{r}^{\prime}\left((z, 0) \cdot \cdot^{t}{\bar{x}^{\prime-1}}^{-1} \omega_{1}(x)\right. \\
|\operatorname{det}(z)|_{E}^{s_{1}}|\operatorname{det}(x)|_{E}^{s_{2}+s_{3}+s_{4}-n+r / 2} d^{\times} z d x
\end{gathered}
$$

for $f_{s}=\rho(h) \phi_{s}$. Here, $\Psi_{r}^{\prime}$ indicates the restric-
tion of $\rho\left(w_{r}^{-1} h\right) \Psi_{r}^{(0)}$ to the $r \times 2 r$-submatrices formed by the $(2 n+1)$-st to the $(2 n+2 r)$-th columns, and used $\delta_{P_{n}^{(r)}}\left(m_{1}\right)=|\operatorname{det}(x)|_{E}^{2 n-r}$. By a simple change of variable, this reduces to (2). Hence the assertions of the proposition, except for the last, are proved for $f_{s}=\rho(h) \phi_{s}$.

The remaining part of the proposition is seen as follows: in non-archimedean case, if $R e(s)$ is large enough, $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)$ is generated by the vector $\phi_{s}$ as a $G_{2 n}$-module ([2], 3.6), and therefore $\mathscr{T}(s)$ coincides with $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(\mu_{s}\right)$. So for $R e(s)$ sufficiently large, it is enough to consider the case $f_{s}=\rho(h) \phi_{s}$. To prove the last part of the proposition, first choose the Schwartz-Bruhat functions $\Psi_{k}$ so that the corresponding Gode-ment-Jacquet zeta integrals are constant (this is possible by [3]). Yet in this case the element of $\operatorname{Ind}_{B_{2 n}}^{G_{2 n}}\left(w_{r}^{-1} \cdot \mu_{s}\right)$ given in Lemma 2. is an image of a finite sum of elements of the form $\rho(h) \phi_{s}$, by the intertwining integral. Therefore for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large enough, it is possible to choose a finite number of $h_{i} \in G_{2 n}$ such that $\mathscr{Z}\left(f_{s}, \varphi, \tilde{\varphi}\right) \equiv$ const., where $f_{s}=\sum \rho\left(h_{i}\right) \phi_{s}$. By analytic continuation it is constant for all $s \in \mathbf{C}$. This completes the proof of the proposition.
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