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1. Introduction. Let $\Omega=K^{c} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{3}$ where $K$ is a compact set whose boundary $\partial K$ is of class $C^{2}$. We put $\partial \Omega=\Gamma=\partial K, \hat{\Gamma}=\Gamma \times(0, \infty)$ and $\hat{\Omega}=\Omega \times(0, \infty)$. Then we consider the periodic problem for the heat convection equation (HCE):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{rlrl}
u_{t}+(u \cdot \nabla) u & =-(\nabla p) / \rho+\{1-\alpha(\theta-  \tag{1}\\
\left.\left.\Theta_{0}\right)\right\} g+\nu \Delta u & \text { in } \bar{\Omega}, \\
\theta_{t}+(u \cdot \nabla) \theta & =\kappa \Delta \theta & & \text { in } \hat{\Omega}, \\
& \text { in } \bar{\Omega},
\end{array}\right.
$$

(2) $\left.u(x, t)\right|_{\hat{r}}=0,\left.\theta(x, t)\right|_{\hat{r}}=\chi(x, t)(>0)$,

(3) $u(\cdot, T)=u(\cdot, 0), \theta(\cdot, T)=\theta(\cdot, 0)$.

Here $u=u(x)$ is the velocity vector, $p=p(x)$ is the pressure and $\theta=\theta(x)$ is the temperature; $\nu$, $\kappa, \alpha, \rho$ and $g=g(x)$ are the kinematic viscosity, the thermal conductivity, the coefficient of volume expansion, the density at $\theta=\Theta_{0}$ and the gravitational vector, respectively. As for the exterior problem of (HCE), Hishida [2] showed the global existence of the strong solution for the initial value problem (IVP) in the case that $K$ is a ball. Recently, Ōeda-Matsuda [7] showed the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions of (IVP) when $K$ is a compact set with the boundary of class $C^{2}$. Moreover, Ōeda [10] obtained the stationary weak solutions for the similar exterior domain to that of [7]. In [7] and [10], we used "the extending domain method" to get weak solutions. Namely, it is expected that the exterior domain $\Omega$ can be approximated by interior domains $\Omega_{n}=$ $B_{n} \cap \Omega\left(B_{n}\right.$ is a ball with radius $n$ and center at $O$ ) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (see Ladyzhenskaya [3]). The purpose of the present paper is to show the existence of periodic weak solutions of (HCE) by using "the extending domain method".
2. Preliminaries. We make several assumptions: (A1) $\omega_{0} \subset$ int $K\left(\omega_{0}\right.$ being a neighbourhood of the origine $O$ ) and $K \subset B=B(O, d)$; where $B$ is a ball with radius $d$ and center at $O$. (A2) $\partial \Omega=\Gamma=\partial K \in C^{2}$. (A3) $g(x)$ is a bounded and continuous vector function in $\boldsymbol{R}^{3} \backslash \omega_{0}$. Moreover
there exist $R_{0}>0, C_{R_{0}}>0$ such that $|g| \leq$ $C_{R_{0}} /|x|^{\frac{5}{2}+\varepsilon}$ for $|x| \geq R_{0}(\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary $)$. (A4) $\chi \in C^{2}(\Gamma \times[0, \infty))$ and is periodic with respect to $t$ with period $T$.

Remark 1. Thanks to (A3), we see $g \in$ $L^{p}(\Omega)$ for $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$.
We prepare a lemma which gives us an auxiliary function (see [1] p. 131 and [11] p.175):

Lemma 2.1. There is a function $\bar{\theta}(x, t)$ which possesses the following properties (i) ~ (iv): (i) $\bar{\theta}=\chi$ on $\hat{\Gamma}$. (ii) $\bar{\theta}(x, t) \in C_{0}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{x}^{3}\right)$ for any fixed $t$ and $\theta, \theta_{t}$ are continuous for $t \in[0, T]$. (iii) $\bar{\theta}$ is periodic in $t$ with period $T$. (iv) For any $\varepsilon>0$ and $p>1$, we can retake $\bar{\theta}$, if necessary, such that $\sup _{t \in[0, T]}\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{L^{p}}<\varepsilon$.

Now we make a change of variable: $\theta=\hat{\theta}+$ $\bar{\theta}$, and after changing of variable, we use the same letter $\theta$. Equations (1), (2), and (3) are transformed to the following:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
& u_{t}+(u \cdot \nabla) u=-(\nabla p) / \rho-\alpha \theta g+\nu \Delta u  \tag{4}\\
&+\left\{1-\alpha\left(\bar{\theta}-\Theta_{0}\right)\right\} g \quad \text { in } \hat{\Omega},  \tag{5}\\
& \operatorname{div} u=0 \quad \text { in } \hat{\Omega}, \\
& \theta_{t}+(u \cdot \nabla) \theta=\kappa \Delta \theta-(u \cdot \nabla) \bar{\theta}-\bar{\theta}_{t} \\
&+\kappa \Delta \bar{\theta}^{\prime} \quad \text { in } \hat{\Omega}, \\
&\left.u\right|_{\hat{r}}=0,\left.\theta\right|_{\hat{r}}=0, \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)=0,
\end{align*}\right.
$$

(6) $u(\cdot, T)=u(\cdot, 0), \theta(\cdot, T)=\theta(\cdot, 0)$.

We put $G=\Omega$ or $\Omega_{n}, \hat{G}^{\prime}=G \times[0, T]$ and $\widehat{G \cup \Gamma^{\prime}}=(G \cup \Gamma) \times[0, T]$. Then we write $W^{k, p}(G)=\left\{u ; D^{\alpha} u \in L^{p}(G),|\alpha| \leq k\right\}, \quad W_{0}^{k, p}(G)$ $=$ the completion of $C_{0}^{k}(G)$ in $W^{k, p}(G)$,
$D_{\sigma}(G)=\left\{\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(G) ; \operatorname{div} \varphi=0\right\}, \quad D(G)=\{\psi$ $\left.\in C_{0}^{\infty}(G \cup \Gamma) ; \psi(\Gamma)=0\right\}$,
$H_{\sigma}(G)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.H_{\sigma}^{1}(G)\right)=$ the completion of $D_{\sigma}(G)$ in $L^{2}(G)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.W^{1,2}(G)\right)$,
$H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=$ the completion of $D\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ in $W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ (it turns out $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)=W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ ),
$V($ resp.$W)=$ the completion of $D_{\sigma}(\Omega)$ (resp. $D(\Omega))$ in $\|\cdot\|_{N(\Omega)}$, where $\|u\|_{N(\Omega)}=\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$, $\hat{D}_{\sigma}(\hat{G})=\left\{\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\hat{G}^{\prime}\right) ; \operatorname{div} \varphi=0\right\}, \hat{D}(\hat{G})=\{\psi$
$\left.\in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\widehat{G \cup \Gamma^{\prime}}\right) ; \varphi(\hat{\Gamma})=0\right\}$,
$\hat{D}_{\sigma, \pi}(\hat{G})=\left\{\varphi \in C_{\sigma}(\hat{G}) ; \varphi(x, T)=\varphi(x, 0)\right\}, \hat{D}_{\pi}(\hat{G})$
$=\{\psi \in \hat{D}(\hat{G}) ; \psi(x, T)=\psi(x, 0)\}$,
$L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)=\left\{u \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right.$;
$u(x, T)=u(x, 0)$ a.e. $\left.x \in \Omega_{n}\right\}$,
$L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)=\left\{\theta \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right.$;
$\theta(x, T)=\theta(x, 0)$ a.e. $\left.x \in \Omega_{n}\right\}$,
$L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right) ;\right.$
$f(x, T)=f(x, 0)$ a.e. $x \in \Omega\}$.
We state some inequalities. (see Chap. I of [3]).

Lemma 2.2. Assume the space dimension is 3. $G$ is permitted unbounded. Then
(i) For $u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(G)($ or $V$ or $W$ ), we have
(7) $\|u\|_{L^{6}(G)} \leq c\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(G)}$, where $c=(48)^{1 / 6}$.
(ii) (Hölder's inequality) If each integral makes sense, then we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|((u \cdot \nabla) v, w)_{G}\right| \leq 3^{\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{r}}\|u\|_{L^{p}(G)} .  \tag{8}\\
\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q}(G)} \cdot\|w\|_{L^{r}(G)},
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p, q, r>0$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{r}=1$.
We state another lemma (see [3]):
Lemma 2.3. (Friedrichs). Suppose $G$ is a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$ and its boundary $\partial G$ is of class $C^{2}$. Let us take an orthonormal basis $\left\{w_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $L^{2}(G)$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a number $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{L^{2}(G)}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\varepsilon}}\left(u, w_{k}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon\|u\|_{W^{1, m_{(G)}}}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
\text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, m}(G),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m>\frac{2 n}{n+2}(n \geq 2), m \geq 1(n=1)$ and $N_{\varepsilon}$ is independent of $u$.
3. Results. We state the definition of a periodic weak solution.

Definition 3.1. ${ }^{t}(u, \theta) \in\left(L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap\right.$ $\left.L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)\right) \times\left(L^{2}(0, T ; W) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}(0, T\right.$; $\left.L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ ) is called a periodic weak solution of (HCE) if it satisfies (10) and (11):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(u, \varphi_{t}\right)+((u \cdot \nabla) \varphi, u)-\nu(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi)\right. \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left.-(\alpha g \theta, \varphi)+\left(\left(1-\alpha\left(\bar{\theta}-\Theta_{0}\right)\right) g, \varphi\right)\right\} d t=0$,
(11) $\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(\theta, \psi_{t}\right)+((u \cdot \nabla) \psi, \theta)-\kappa(\nabla \theta, \nabla \psi)\right.$ $\left.-((u \cdot \nabla) \bar{\theta}, \psi)-\left(\bar{\theta}_{t}, \psi\right)-\kappa(\nabla \bar{\theta}, \nabla \psi)\right\} d t=0$, for all $\varphi \in \hat{D}_{\sigma, \pi}(\hat{\Omega})$ and $\psi \in \hat{D}_{\pi}(\hat{\Omega})$.

Remark 2. Let $u \in V, \theta \in W$, then $u(\Gamma)$ $=0, \theta(\Gamma)=0$ and from (i) of Lemma 2.2, $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x)=0, \lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x)=0$.

Then we mention a main theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Suppose assumpions (A1) ~ (A4) are satisfied. If $3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{L^{\frac{2}{2}}(\Omega)}<\sqrt{\kappa \nu}$ (where $c$ $\left.=(48)^{\frac{1}{6}}\right)$, then a periodic weak solution of (HCE) exists.
4. Proof of results. To construct a periodic weak solution, we use "the extending domain method". We first show a lemma by which we have periodic weak solutions of interior problems ( $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ ) in domains $\Omega_{n}=B_{n} \cap \Omega$. We state the interior problem $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
& v_{t}+(v \cdot \nabla) v=-(\nabla p) / \rho-\alpha \Theta g \\
&+\nu \Delta v+\left\{1-\alpha\left(\bar{\theta}-\Theta_{0}\right)\right\} g \text { in } \hat{\Omega}_{n}, \\
& \operatorname{div} v=0 \text { in } \hat{\Omega}_{n}, \\
& \Theta_{t}+(v \cdot \nabla) \Theta=\kappa \Delta \Theta-(v \cdot \nabla) \bar{\theta}-\bar{\theta}_{t}, \\
&+\kappa \Delta \bar{\theta} \text { in } \hat{\Omega}_{n},
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

(13) $\left.v\right|_{\partial \Omega_{n}}=0,\left.\Theta\right|_{\partial \Omega_{n}}=0$, where $\partial \Omega_{n}=\Gamma+\partial B_{n}$,
(14) $u(\cdot, T)=u(\cdot, 0), \Theta(\cdot, T)=\Theta(\cdot, 0)$.

The definition of a periodic weak solution for the problem ( $\mathrm{P}_{n}$ ) is as follows:

Definition 4.1. ${ }^{t}(v, \Theta) \in\left(L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ $\times\left(L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ is called a periodic weak solution for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ if it satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(v, \varphi_{t}\right)+((v \cdot \nabla) \varphi, v)-\nu(\nabla v, \nabla \varphi)\right. \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left.-(\alpha g \Theta, \varphi)+\left(\left(1-\alpha\left(\bar{\theta}-\Theta_{0}\right)\right) g, \varphi\right)\right\} d t=0$,
(16) $\int_{0}^{T}\left\{\left(\Theta, \psi_{t}\right)+((v \cdot \nabla) \psi, \Theta)-\kappa(\nabla \Theta, \nabla \psi)\right.$
$\left.-((v \cdot \nabla) \bar{\theta}, \psi)-\left(\bar{\theta}_{t}, \psi\right)-\kappa(\nabla \bar{\theta}, \nabla \psi)\right\} d t=0$, for $\varphi \in \hat{D}_{\sigma, \pi}\left(\hat{\Omega}_{n}\right)$ and $\psi \in \hat{D}_{\pi}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{n}\right)$.

Here we will present an important lemma to carry out "the extending domain method".

Lemma 4.2. Suppose assumptions (A1)~(A4) are satisfied. Then there exists a satisfactory extension $\bar{\theta}$ which is independent of $\Omega_{n}$ such that, using it in common to all $\Omega_{n}$, we can construct a periodic weak solution ${ }^{t}\left(v_{n}, \Theta_{n}\right)$ of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $n$ be arbitrarily fixed. We use Galerkin's method. Let $\left\{w_{j}\right\} \subset$ $D_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\left\{z_{j}\right\} \subset D\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ ) be a sequence of functions, orthnormal in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ and total in $H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ (resp. $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ ). We put
(17) $v^{(m)}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j, m}(t) w_{j}, \quad \Theta^{(m)}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \beta_{j, m}(t) z_{j}$, then we consider an initial value problem for the following ordinary differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}\left(v^{(m)}(t), w_{j}\right)+\left(\left(v^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\right) v^{(m)}, w_{j}\right)  \tag{18}\\
&=-\nu\left(\nabla v^{(m)}, \nabla w_{j}\right)-\left(\alpha g \Theta^{(m)}, w_{j}\right) \\
& \quad+\left(\left\{1-\alpha\left(\bar{\theta}-\Theta_{0}\right)\right\} g, w_{j}\right), \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=-\kappa\left(\nabla \Theta^{(m)}, \nabla z_{j}\right)-\left(\left(v^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\right) \bar{\theta}, z_{j}\right) \\
-\left(\bar{\theta}_{t}, z_{j}\right)-\kappa\left(\nabla \bar{\theta}, \nabla z_{j}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where $1 \leq j \leq m$. Moreover, for ${ }^{t}(a, h-\bar{\theta}) \in$ $H_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{n}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
v^{(m)}(0)=v_{m 0}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(a, w_{j}\right) w_{j},  \tag{20}\\
\Theta^{(m)}(0)=\Theta_{m 0}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(h-\bar{\theta}(\cdot, 0), z_{j}\right) z_{j} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Multiplying (18) (resp. (19)) by $\alpha_{j, m}(t)$ (resp. $\left.\beta_{j, m}(t)\right)$, summing up with respect to $j$ and noticing $\left(\left(v^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\right) v^{(m)}, v^{(m)}\right)=0,\left(\left(v^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\right) \Theta^{(m)}, \Theta^{(m)}\right)$ $=0$, we have:
$(21) \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\nu\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}=-\left(\alpha g \Theta^{(m)}, v^{(m)}\right)$ $+\left(\left(1+\alpha \Theta_{0}\right) g, v^{(m)}\right)-\left(\alpha g \bar{\theta}, v^{(m)}\right)$,
(22) $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}=$ $-\left(\left(v^{(m)} \cdot \nabla\right) \bar{\theta}, \Theta^{(m)}\right)-\left(\bar{\theta}_{t}, \Theta^{(m)}\right)-\kappa\left(\nabla \bar{\theta}, \nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right)$. Considering the assumption (A3) and Lemma 2.2, we have from (21)
(23) $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\nu\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}$

$$
\leq 3 \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot\left\|\Theta^{(m)}\right\|_{6} \cdot\left\|v^{(m)}\right\|_{6}+\left(1+\alpha \Theta_{0}\right) .
$$

$$
\|g\|_{\frac{6}{5}} \cdot\left\|v^{(m)}\right\|_{6}+3 \alpha\|g\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \cdot\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3} \cdot\left\|v^{(m)}\right\|_{6}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\kappa \nu}}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\nu}{2}\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

$$
+\frac{\nu}{4}\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\left(1+\alpha \Theta_{0}\right)^{2} c^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{\frac{6}{5}}^{2}
$$

$$
+\frac{\nu}{4}\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{9 c^{2} \alpha^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{2}^{2} \cdot\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2}
$$

here $\quad\|g\|_{p}=\|g\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},\|\bar{\theta}\|_{p}=\|\bar{\theta}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)},\|\cdot\|_{p}=$ $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)}, c=(48)^{1 / 6}$. Then we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \nu\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& \leq \frac{3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\kappa \nu}}\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{\nu}{2}\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{\left(1+\alpha \Theta_{0}\right)^{2} c^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{\frac{6}{5}}^{2}+\frac{9 \alpha^{2} c^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{2}^{2}\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, we have from (22)
(25)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\kappa\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq 3\left\|v^{(m)}\right\|_{6} \cdot\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\| \cdot\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}+3\left\|\bar{\theta}_{t}\right\|_{\frac{6}{5}} . \\
& \left\|\Theta^{(m)}\right\|_{6}+\kappa\|\nabla \bar{\theta}\| \cdot\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{27 c^{2}}{2 \kappa}\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2} \cdot\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{27 c^{2}}{2 \kappa}\left\|\bar{\theta}_{t}\right\|_{\frac{\sigma}{5}}^{2} \\
& +\frac{3}{2} \kappa\|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|^{2}+3 \cdot \frac{\kappa}{6}\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \kappa\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$ $\leq \frac{27 c^{2}}{2 \kappa}\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2}\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2}+\frac{27 c^{2}}{2 \kappa}\left\|\bar{\theta}_{t}\right\|_{\frac{6}{5}}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \kappa\|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|^{2}$.

Adding (24) and (26), then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
+ & \frac{\nu}{2}\left(1-\frac{3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\kappa \nu}}-\frac{27 c^{2}}{\kappa \nu}\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2}\right)\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\frac{\kappa}{2}\left(1-\frac{3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}}}{\sqrt{\kappa \nu}}\right)\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}\right\|^{2} \leq f(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f(t) \equiv \frac{\left(1+\alpha \Theta_{0}\right)^{2} c^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{\frac{6}{5}}^{2}+\frac{9 \alpha^{2} c^{2}}{\nu}\|g\|_{2}^{2}$. $\|\bar{\theta}\|_{3}^{2}+\frac{27 c^{2}}{2 \kappa}\left\|\bar{\theta}_{t}\right\|_{\frac{6}{5}}^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \kappa\|\nabla \bar{\theta}\|^{2}$.
Recalling the assumption of Theorem 3.2, we put $\gamma \equiv 1-3 c^{2} \alpha\|g\|_{\frac{3}{2}} / \sqrt{\kappa \nu}>0$. Furthermore thanks to (iv) of Lemma ${ }^{2}$ 2.1, we can take $\bar{\theta}$ such that $\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{27 c^{2}}{\kappa \nu}\|\bar{\theta}(t)\|_{3}^{2} \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}$. It is important for us that $\bar{\theta}$ can be taken in common not only in $m$ but also for all $\Omega_{n}(n>1)$. We put $\delta=\min \left\{\frac{\nu \gamma}{4}\right.$, $\left.\frac{\kappa \gamma}{2}\right\}$ ( $\delta$ is independent of $m$ and $n$ ). Then we have from (27)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { 8) } \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{28}\\
+2 \delta\left(\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \leq 2 f(t) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $d_{n}$ be a diameter of $\Omega_{n}$. Owing to Poincaré's inequality, we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right)  \tag{29}\\
+\mu_{n}\left(\left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) \leq 2 f(t)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu_{n}=(4 \delta) / d_{n}^{2}$. Then we have from (29)
(30) $\quad\left\|v^{(m)}(T)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(T)\right\|^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \exp \left(-\mu_{n} T\right)\left(\left\|v^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& +2 \exp \left(-\mu_{n} T\right) \int_{0}^{T} \exp \left(\mu_{n} t\right) f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we employ Brouwer's fixed point theorem. Indeed, in (17), we take initial values $\alpha_{j, m}(0)$, $\beta_{j, m}(0)(j=1, \cdots, m)$ as $(\alpha ; \beta)=\left(\alpha_{1 m}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.\alpha_{m m}, \beta_{1 m}, \cdots, \beta_{m m}\right)$. Now we define a mapping $P: \boldsymbol{R}^{2 m} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2 m}$ as follows:
(31) $P((\alpha ; \beta))=\left(\alpha_{1, m}(T), \cdots, \alpha_{m, m}(T)\right.$,

$$
\left.\beta_{1, m}(T), \cdots, \beta_{m, m}(T)\right),
$$

then it is easy to verify the mapping $P$ is continuous. For $\lambda \in[0,1]$, we investigate possible
solutions of the equation $(\alpha ; \beta)=\lambda P((\alpha ; \beta))$. In fact, we have by (30)
(32)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|(\alpha ; \beta)\|^{2}=\lambda^{2}\|P((\alpha ; \beta))\|^{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2}\left\|U^{(m)}(T)\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|U^{(m)}(T)\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq e^{-\mu_{n} T}\left\|U^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+2 e^{-\mu_{n} T} \int_{0}^{T} e^{\mu_{n} t} f(t) d t \\
& \leq e^{-\mu_{n} T}\|(\alpha ; \beta)\|^{2}+\frac{2}{\mu_{n}}\left|\|f \mid\|\left(1-e^{-\mu_{n} T}\right),\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\quad\left\|U^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}=\left\|v^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}$ and $\left|\left||f| \|=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T} f(t)\right.\right.$. Since $\mu_{n}>0$, we obtain $\|(\alpha ; \beta)\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\mu_{n}}|\|f \mid\|$. Hence possible solutions $(\alpha ; \beta)$ stay within a some definite ball. Therefore, thank to Brouwer's fixed point theorem, there is $(\alpha ; \beta)$ satifying $(\alpha ; \beta)=P((\alpha ; \beta))$. This implies that there exists a periodic solution ${ }^{t}\left(v^{(m)}, \Theta^{(m)}\right)$ such that ${ }^{t}\left(v^{(m)}(T), \Theta^{(m)}(T)\right)=$ ${ }^{t}\left(v^{(m)}(0), \Theta^{(m)}(0)\right)$. We know by (32) the intial data which gives the periodic solution is in the ball $\left\{\left.\left\|U^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\mu_{n}} \right\rvert\,\|f\| \|\right\}$. On the other hand, from (28) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+2 \delta \int_{0}^{t}  \tag{33}\\
& \left(\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \leq\left\|v^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+2 \int_{0}^{t} f(s) d s \\
& \leq\left\|v^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(0)\right\|^{2}+2 T\|f\| \| .
\end{align*}
$$

Consequently, for m-dimensional periodic solutions ${ }^{t}\left(v^{(m)}(t), \Theta^{(m)}(t)\right)$, it holds that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+2 \delta \int_{0}^{t}  \tag{34}\\
& \quad\left(\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(s)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}(s)\right\|^{2}\right) d s \\
& \quad \leq 2\left(\frac{1}{\mu_{n}}+T\right)\| \| f \| \quad \text { for } m \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\left\{v^{(m)}(t)\right\}_{m \geq 1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left\{\Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\}_{m \geq 1}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ (resp. $\left.L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right) \quad$ and $\quad$ in $L_{\pi}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ (resp. $L_{\pi}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ ). Here a space $L_{\pi}^{\infty}(0, T$; $\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ means $\left\{u \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right) ; u(0)=\right.$ $u(T)\}$. Hence there exist subsequences $\left\{v^{(m)}\right\}$ and $\left\{\Theta^{(m)}\right\}$ (we used the same symbols) such that $v^{(m)} \rightarrow v$ (resp. $\Theta^{(m)} \rightarrow \Theta$ ) weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{\sigma}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ and weakly ${ }^{*}$ in $L_{\pi}^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ (resp. $L_{\pi}^{\infty}(0, T$; $\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$ ). Furthermore by using Lemma 2.3 (Friedrichs) and (34) we see that $v^{(m)} \rightarrow v$ and $\Theta^{(m)} \rightarrow \Theta$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$. Thanks to these facts, employing the usual argument of Galerkin's method, we can show that the limit
function ${ }^{t}(v, \Theta)$ is a periodic weak solution of $\left(P_{n}\right)$ in $\Omega_{n}$, and we skip it.

Moreover, we mention a lemma to prove Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 4.3. Let ${ }^{t}\left(v_{n}, \Theta_{n}\right)$ be a weak periodic solution for $\left(\mathrm{P}_{n}\right)$ obtained in Lemma 4.2. We put $u_{n}(x, t)=v_{n}(x, t)$ if $x \in \Omega_{n}$ and $u_{n}(x, t)=0$ if $x \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{n} ; \theta_{n}(x, t)=\Theta_{n}(x, t)$ if $x \in \Omega_{n}$ and $\theta_{n}(x, t)=0$ if $x \in \Omega \backslash \Omega_{n}$. Then $u_{n} \in L^{2}(0, T$; $V) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\theta_{n} \in L^{2}(0, T ; W) \cap$ $L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ (resp. $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ ) is bounded in $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ (resp. $L^{2}(0$, $T ; W)$ ) and in $L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $L_{\pi}^{2}(0, T$; $\left.L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ ).

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We return to (28) and integrate it on $[0, T]$, then by virtue of the periodicity of $v^{(m)}(t)$ and $\Theta^{(m)}(t)$ with period $T$ we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\nabla v^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Theta^{(m)}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) d t  \tag{35}\\
\leq \int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t \leq T\|f\|
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\delta$ and $T|||f|||$ are independent of $n$ and $m$. If we take $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (35), then we obtain by the lower semicontinuity of the norm with respect to the weak convergence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\nabla v_{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \Theta_{n}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) d t  \tag{36}\\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t \leq T\| \| f \| \quad(n \geq 1)
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the equality $v_{n}(T)=v_{n}(0)$ in $L^{2}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$ implies $v_{n}(T)=v_{n}(0)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{n}$ and because of Lemma 2.2 we see $v_{n}(t) \in$ $L^{6}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$, therefore we find $v_{n}(T)=v_{n}(0)$ as elements of $L^{6}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)$. By this fact and (36) it holds that $v_{n} \in L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$. Similarly we see $\Theta_{n}$ $\in L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}\left(\Omega_{n}\right)\right)$. Considering these results and using (36) again, it holds that for all $n \geq 1$, $u_{n} \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right), \quad \theta_{n} \in L^{2}(0$, $T ; W) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ and (note $c=(48)^{1 / 6}$ ) (37) $\frac{1}{c} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|u_{n}(t)\right\|_{6(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|\theta_{n}(t)\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}^{2}\right) d t$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}(t)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\nabla \theta_{n}(t)\right\|^{2}\right) d t \leq \frac{T|\|f|\||}{\delta}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.2. According to the uniform estimate (37), we can select subsequences $u_{n^{\prime}}, \theta_{n^{\prime}}$ and $u \in L^{2}(0, T ; V) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$, $\theta \in L^{2}(0, T ; W) \cap L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u$ (resp. $\theta_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \theta$ ) weakly in $L^{2}(0, T ; V)$ (resp. $L^{2}(0, T ; W)$ ) together with in $L_{\pi}^{2}(0, T$;
$\left.L^{6}(\Omega)\right)$ (resp. $\left.L_{\pi}^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{6}(\Omega)\right)\right)$. Now we claim that there exist subsequences $u_{n^{\prime}}$ and $\theta_{n^{\prime}}$ such that for any bounded $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega$
(38) $\quad u_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$,
(39) $\quad \theta_{n^{\prime}} \rightarrow \theta$ strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

We put $K_{j}=\bar{\Omega}_{j}$, then $\left\{K_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ form a sequence of compact sets such that $K_{1} \Subset K_{2} \Subset \cdots \rightarrow \Omega(j \longrightarrow$ $\infty)$. Here, for each $K_{j}$ we take $\alpha_{j}(x) \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with the property $0 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq 1,\left.\alpha_{j}\right|_{K_{j}} \equiv 1$, and supp $\alpha_{j} \subset \Omega_{j+1}$. We note $K_{j} \subset \operatorname{supp} \alpha_{j}$. Here and after in this proof, $\|\cdot\|_{\Omega_{j}}=\|\cdot\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)}, d_{j}=$ the diameter of $\Omega_{j}$. Then we construct a desired $\left\{u_{n^{\prime}}\right\}$ as follows. First we make a sequence $\left\{\alpha_{1}(x) u_{n}(x)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, then this forms a uniformly bounded sequence of $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Indeed, noting $u_{n}(\Gamma)=0$ and using Poincaré's inequality on $\Omega_{2}$, then we see $\left\|\alpha_{1} u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}} \leq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}} \leq \frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$ $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}$. Hence we have by (37)
(40) $\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\alpha_{1} u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{n}}^{2} d t \leq \frac{d_{2}^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|^{2} d t \leq \frac{d_{2}^{2}}{2} \frac{T|\|f \mid\|}{\delta}$. Moreover, $\left\|\nabla\left(\alpha_{1} u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\Omega_{2}} \leq\left\|\left(\nabla \alpha_{1}\right) u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}+\left\|\alpha_{1}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}$ $\leq\left(\frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|\nabla \alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}, \quad$ where $\|w\|_{\infty}$ $=\operatorname{ess} . \sup _{x \in \Omega_{2}}|w(x)|$. Therefore we have

By these estimates we find $\left\{\alpha_{1} u_{n}\right\}_{n}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Consequently, there is a subsequence $\left\{\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ which converges weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L_{0}^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$ and especially in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.3, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}-\alpha_{1} u_{1 q}\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}^{2} d t \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}\right. \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.-\alpha_{1} u_{1 q}, w_{k}\right)_{\Omega_{2}}^{2} d t+\varepsilon \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}-\alpha_{1} u_{1 q}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)}^{2} d t
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}-\alpha_{1} u_{1 q}, w_{k}\right)_{\Omega_{2}}^{2} d t
$$

$$
+4 \varepsilon C_{\alpha_{1}} \frac{T\| \| f \|}{\delta} \rightarrow 4 \varepsilon C_{\alpha_{1}} \frac{T\| \| f\| \|}{\delta}
$$

as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$, where $C_{\alpha_{1}}=\frac{d_{2}^{2}}{2}+\left(\left\|\nabla \alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \cdot \frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}+\right.$ $\left.\left\|\alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{2}$. As $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary in (42), the sequence $\left\{\alpha_{1} u_{1 p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges strongly in $L^{2}(0, T$; $\left.L^{2}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)\right)$. This implies that $\left\{u_{1 p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ converges

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(\alpha_{1} u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\Omega_{2}}^{2} d t \leq\left(\frac{d_{2}}{\sqrt{2}}\left\|\nabla \alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|\alpha_{1}\right\|_{\infty}\right)^{2} .  \tag{41}\\
& \frac{T\|\|f\|\|}{\delta} .
\end{align*}
$$

strongly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(K_{1}\right)\right)$. We repeat such an argument and we make $\left\{u_{j p}\right\}_{p=1}^{\infty}(j=1,2, \cdots)$. Choose diagonal components and denote them by $\left\{u_{n^{\prime}}\right\}_{n^{\prime}=1}^{\infty}$, then it converges on all $K_{j}$ in $L^{2}(0, T$; $\left.L^{2}\left(K_{j}\right)\right)$ sense. As for $\left\{\theta_{n^{\prime}}\right\}_{n^{\prime}=1}^{\infty}$, we can show similarly.

Making use of (38) and (39), we can prove that ${ }^{t}(u, \theta)$ is a periodic weak solution of (HCE). In fact, if we take an arbitrary test function ${ }^{t}(\varphi$, $\psi$ ), then we find a bounded domain $\Omega^{\prime}$ and a number $n_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \varphi, \operatorname{supp} \psi \subset \Omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \Omega_{n_{0}} \subset \Omega_{n}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Then, with the aid of Lemma 2.2 and (37), we have
(43) $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(\left(u_{n^{\prime}} \cdot \nabla\right) \varphi, u_{n^{\prime}}\right)_{\Omega}-((u \cdot \nabla) \varphi, u)_{\Omega}\right| d t$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\{3\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+3\|u\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\right\} d t
$$

$$
\leq 6 c \cdot\left(\frac{T\| \| f\| \|}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla \varphi\|_{3, \infty}
$$

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\|w\|_{3, \infty}=\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\|w(t)\|_{L^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}$. Similarly
(44) $\int_{0}^{T}\left|\left(\left(u_{n^{\prime}} \cdot \nabla\right) \phi, \theta_{n^{\prime}}\right)_{\Omega}-((u \cdot \nabla) \phi, \theta)_{\Omega}\right| d t$

$$
\leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\{3\left\|\theta_{n^{\prime}}-\theta\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}\right\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+3\|\theta\|_{L^{6}(\Omega)}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{3}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}\right\} d t
$$

$$
\leq 3 c \cdot\left(\frac{T\|f\| \|}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|\theta_{n^{\prime}}-\theta\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left\|u_{n^{\prime}}-u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)}^{2} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}\|\nabla \psi\|_{3, \infty}
$$

and the right hand side of (44) tends to 0 as $n^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty$. We skip the remaining terms. Thus we have shown that ${ }^{t}(u, \theta)$ is a periodic weak solution of (HCE).
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