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In this note, we announce some further results we have obtained as continuation of our previous papers [3], [4] on the formal power series attached to local densities of quadratic forms over the $p$-adic field. The power series we are treating now are not the same as those considered in [3], [4]. But the main results of [3], [4] can be deduced from the results of the present paper as explained in Remark 1 below. Concerning the matrices $S$ and $T$ of the quadratic forms, we suppose now only that $S$ is even integral unimodular and $T$ is diagonal with diagonal components satisfying certain conditions on $\operatorname{ord}_{p}$. (Notations $S, T$ and others are explained below.) This is a special case, but important special case of our present problem. Details will appear elsewhere.

Let $p$ be an arbitrary prime number. For non-degenerate symmetric matrices $S$ and $T$ of degree $m$ and $n$, respectively, with entries in the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ of $p$-adic integers, we define the local density $\alpha_{p}(T, S)$ and the primitive local density $\beta_{p}(T, S)$ by

$$
\alpha_{p}(T, S)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} p^{(-m n+n(n+1) / 2) e} \# \mathscr{A}_{e}(T, S)
$$

and

$$
\beta_{p}(T, S)=\lim _{e \rightarrow \infty} p^{(-m n+n(n+1) / 2) e} \# \mathscr{B}_{e}(T, S),
$$

respectively, where

$$
\mathscr{A}_{e}(T, S)=\left\{\bar{X} \in M_{m, n}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) / p^{e} M_{m, n}\left(\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}\right) ;^{t} X S X \equiv T \bmod p^{e}\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{B}_{e}(T, S)=\left\{\bar{X} \in \mathscr{A}_{e}(T, S) ; X \text { is primitive }\right\}
$$

Let $A$ be an even integral unimodular matrix with entries in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$. That is, $A$ is a symmetric unimodular matrix with entries in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ whose diagonal components belong to $2 \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$. Then there exists a non-negative integer $r$ such that $A$ is equivalent, over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$, to

$$
\operatorname{diag} \overbrace{(H, \ldots, H}^{r}, U),
$$

where we write $\operatorname{diag}(X, Y)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & 0 \\ 0 & Y\end{array}\right)$ for two square matrices $X, Y$, and $H=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $U$ is an anisotropic even integral unimodular matrix of degree not greater than 2 . Here we make the convention that $\operatorname{diag}(H, \ldots, H$, $U)=U$ or $=\operatorname{diag}(H, \ldots, H)$ according as $r=0$ or $\operatorname{deg} U=0$. We note that $r$ is the Witt index of $A$, which will be denoted by $r(A)$. Then we define
a matrix $A^{(k)}$ by

$$
A^{(k)}=\operatorname{diag} \overbrace{(H, \ldots, H}^{r-k}, U) .
$$

This $A^{(k)}$ is uniquely determined only by $A$ up to equivalence over $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$. Further for each integers $i, j, k$ such that $1 \leq k \leq i$, put

$$
r(i, j, k)=(-1)^{k} \sum_{0 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq i-1} p^{\left(i-i_{1}\right)\left(j+i_{1}\right)} \cdots p^{\left(i-i_{k}\right)\left(j+i_{k}\right)}
$$

Then our main result is
Theorem 1. Let the notation and the assumptions be as above. Put $e_{p}=1$ or 0 according as $p=2$ or not, and $m_{0}=\min (t-1, r(A))$. Let $B_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, b_{t}\right)$ and $B_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{t+1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ with $b_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, and $e$ be an integer such that $e \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) / 2-\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{k}\right) / 2+m_{0}+1+e_{p}$ for $j=t+1, \ldots, n$, $k=1, \ldots, t$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{p}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2 e} B_{1}, B_{2}\right), A\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{m_{0}} \gamma(t,-m+n+1, i) \alpha_{p}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2(e-i)} B_{1}, B_{2}\right), A\right) \\
+\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m_{0}} \frac{1-p^{(t-i)(-m+n+i+1)}}{1-p^{-m+n+i+1}}\right) \beta_{p}\left(O_{m_{0}+1}, A\right) \alpha_{p}\left(B_{2}, A^{\left(m_{0}+1\right)}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $O_{m_{0}+1}$ is the zero matrix of $m_{0}+1$. Here we make the convention that the second term on the righ-hand side of the above equation is 0 if $r(A)=m_{0}$, and that we have $\alpha_{p}\left(B_{2}, A^{\left(m_{0}+1\right)}\right)=1$ if $n=t$.

Now for non-degenerate symmetric matrices $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}$, and $A$ with entries in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ we define a define a formal power series $R\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right)\right.$, $\left.A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ;\right. & \left.x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{e_{1} \geq \ldots \geq e_{s} \geq 0}} \alpha_{p}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{e_{1}} B_{1}, \ldots, p^{e_{s}} B_{s}\right), A\right) x_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots x_{s}^{e_{s}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by Theorem 1 we obtain easily
Theorem 2. Let $A$ be as in Theorem 1, and $B_{i}=\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{n_{1}+. .+n_{i-1}+1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.b_{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i}}\right)(i=1, \ldots, s)$ with $b_{j} \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$. For $k=1, \ldots, s$ put $m_{k}=\mathrm{min}$ $\left(n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-1, r(A)\right)$. Assume that $\left[\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) / 2\right] \geq\left[\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{j^{\prime}}\right) / 2\right]$ for any $j^{\prime} \geq n_{1}+1$ and $j \leq n_{1}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\prod_{i=0}^{m_{1}}\left(1-p^{\left(n_{1}-i\right)(-m+n+i+1)} x_{1}^{2}\right) R\left(\left(B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{m_{1}+e_{p}} x_{1}^{2_{i}} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \gamma\left(n_{1},-m+n+1, i-j\right) R\left(\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2_{j}} B_{1}, B_{2}\right), B_{3}, \ldots, B_{s}\right),\right. \\
\left.A ; x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \\
=\sum_{i=0}^{m_{1}+e_{p}} x_{1}^{2_{t}+1} \sum_{j=0}^{i} \gamma\left(n_{1},-m+n+1, i-j\right) R\left(\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2_{j}+1} B_{1}, B_{2}\right),\right.\right. \\
\left.\left.B_{3}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) \\
+\left(\prod_{i=0}^{m_{1}} \frac{1-p^{\left(n_{1}-i\right)(-m+n+i+1)}}{1-p^{-m+n+i+1}}\right) \beta_{p}\left(O_{m_{1}+1}, A\right) \frac{x_{1}^{2 m_{1}+2+2 e_{p}}}{1-x_{1}} R\left(\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{s}\right),\right. \\
\left.A^{\left(m_{1}+1\right)} ; x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{s}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here we make the convention that $R\left(\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{k} B_{1}, B_{2}\right), B_{3}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1} x_{2}, x_{3}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, x_{s}\right)=\alpha_{p}\left(p^{k} B_{1}, A\right)$ and $\left.R\left(\left(B_{2}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A^{\left(m_{1}+1\right)} ; x_{1} x_{2}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)\right)=1$ if $s=1$.

Using Theorem 2, we can prove the following theorem by induction on s .
Theorem 3. Assume that $\left[\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{j}\right) / 2\right] \geq\left[\operatorname{ord}_{p}\left(b_{j^{\prime}}\right) / 2\right]$ for any $j^{\prime} \geq$ $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i}+1$ and $j \leq n_{1}+\ldots+n_{i}$ and $i=1, \ldots, s-1$. Then $\left.R\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right) A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)\right)$ is a rational function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ over the field $\boldsymbol{Q}$ of rational numbers. Further its denominator is

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{s} \prod_{i=0}^{m_{k}}\left(1-p^{\left(n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-i\right)(-m+n+i+1)}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{k}\right)^{2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(1-x_{1} \ldots x_{k}\right)^{m_{k}^{\prime}}
$$

where $m_{k}^{\prime}=1$ or $=0$ according as $r(A) \geq n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}$ or not. In particular if $m \geq 2 n+2$, the denominator of the above power series is

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{s} \prod_{i=0}^{n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-1}\left(1-p^{\left(n_{1}+\ldots+n_{k}-i\right)(-m+n+i+1)}\left(x_{1} \ldots x_{k}\right)^{2}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{s}\left(1-x_{1} \ldots x_{k}\right)
$$

Remark 1. In [1], for non-degenerate symmetric matrices $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}$, and $A$ with entries in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$, Böcherer and Sato defined a formal power series $Q\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ by $Q\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)=\sum_{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{p}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{e_{1}} B_{1}, \ldots, p^{e_{s}} B_{s}\right), A\right) x_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots x_{s}^{e_{s}}$, and showed that it is a rational function of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. On the other hand, we define a formal power series $P\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ by
$P\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)=\sum_{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{p}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(p^{2 e_{1}} B_{1}, \ldots, p^{2 e_{s}} B_{s}\right), A\right) x_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots x_{s}^{e_{s}}$, which is a special case of the one defined in [3]. As stated in [3] and [4], the above two types of power series are related with each other. In [4], we obtained an explicit form of the denominator of $P\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.x_{s}\right)$, and therefore, of $Q\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ when $n_{1}=\ldots=n_{s}=$ 1 and $p \neq 2$. On the other hand, as easily seen, $Q\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $x_{s}$ ) can be expressed as a $\boldsymbol{Q}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right]$-linear combination of several power series defined in this note. For example, if $b_{1}, b_{2} \in \boldsymbol{Z}_{p} \backslash\{0\}$, we have $Q\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), A ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=R\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), A ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+R\left(\left(b_{2}, b_{1}\right), A ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$

$$
-R\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), A ; x_{1} x_{2}\right)
$$

Thus, by Theorem 3, we can also obtain an explicit form of the denominator of $Q\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$, and therefore of $P\left(\left(B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}\right), A ; x_{1}\right.$, $\ldots, x_{s}$ ) when $A$ is even integral unimodular and $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s}$ are diagonal, which will appear elsewhere.

Remark 2. By the above theorem we see that the denominator of $R(B, A ; x)$ is

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{\min (n-1, r(A))}\left(1-p^{(n-i)(-m+n+i+1)} x^{2}\right)(1-x)^{m^{\prime}}
$$

where $m^{\prime}=1$ or $=0$ according as $r(A) \geq n$ or not. This is a refinement of the result of [2], [6].

Remark 3. Theorem 3 can be generalized to the case where $A$ is an arbitrary non-degenerate matrix if $p \neq 2$.

Remark 4. In the above results, the condition that $B_{i}$ are diagonal is not necessary if $p \neq 2$.

Now we show that our result on the denominator of the above power series is best possible by giving a simple example. Let $p \neq 2, m=3, n=2$,
and $n_{1}=n_{2}=1$. Let $A$ be a unimodular symmetric matrix of degree 3 with entries in $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ and $b_{1}, b_{2}$ be elements of the group $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}^{*}$ of $p$-adic units. We assume that $\chi\left(b_{1} \operatorname{det} A\right)=1$ and $\chi\left(-b_{2} \operatorname{det} A\right)=-1$, where $\chi$ is the quadratic residue symbol defined modulo $p$. Then by [5] we have

$$
R\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), A ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{\left(1-p^{-2}\right)\left(1+2 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)}{\left(1-x_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-p x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

Thus the reduced denominator of $R\left(\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right), A ; x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ is $\left(1-x_{1}^{2}\right)$ $\left(1-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)\left(1-p x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)$. We note that $r(A)=1$, and therefore $m_{1}=0$ and $m_{2}=1$. Thus Theorem 3 is best possible.
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