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The purpose of this note is to outline our recent results concerning Base Point Free Theorem. Details will be published elsewhere.

Let $X$ be a non-singular projective variety with $\operatorname{dim} X=n$ over $\boldsymbol{C}$. And let $\Delta=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \Delta_{i}$ be a reduced divisor on $X$ with only simple normal crossings.

Let $\operatorname{Strata}(\Delta):=\left\{\Gamma \mid 1 \leqq k \leqq n, 1 \leqq i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k} \leqq s, \Gamma\right.$ is an irreducible component of $\left.\Delta_{i_{1}} \cap \Delta_{i_{2}} \cap \cdots \cap \Delta_{i_{k}} \neq \phi\right\}$. A divisor $R$ on ( $X, \Delta$ ) is nef and log big, if $R$ is nef and big and $\left.R\right|_{\Gamma}$ is nef and big for any member $\Gamma$ of $\operatorname{Strata}(\Delta)$ (due to Reid [8]).
§1. Known results. Theorem 1 (Kawamata-Shokurov [4]). If $L$ is a nef divisor on $X$ and $a L-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is ample for some $a \geqq 0$, then $|l L|$ is base point free for $l \gg 0$.

Theorem 2 (Kollár [5]). Notation as in Theorem 1. There is a natural number $l_{0}$ depending only on $n$ and $a$ such that $\left|l_{0} L\right|$ is base point free.

Proof. For $0<d \ll 1, a L-\left(K_{X}+(1-d) \Delta\right)$ is ample and $(X,(1-$ d) $\Delta$ ) is Kawamata-log-terminal.
Q.E.D.

Theorem 3 (Reid [8]). If $L$ is a nef divisor on $X$ and $a L-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)$ is nef and log big on $(X, \Delta)$ for some $a \geqq 0$, then $|l L|$ is base point free for $l \gg 0$.

Remark. Theorem 4 and the method of Kawamata [3, Lemma 2] imply the theorem above.
§2. Norimatsu type vanishing and main theorem. Theorem 4 (cf. EinLazarsfeld [1] and Norimatsu [7]). Let $R$ be a nef and log big divisor on $(X, \Delta)$. Then $H^{i}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+\Delta+R\right)\right)=0$ for $i>0$.

Sketchy proof. The assertion follows from the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+\right. & \left.\sum_{j<s} \Delta_{j}+R\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(K_{X}+\Delta+R\right) \\
& \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Delta_{s}}\left(K_{\Delta_{s}}+\left.\sum_{j<s} \Delta_{j}\right|_{\Delta_{s}}+\left.R\right|_{\Delta_{s}}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Q.E.D.

Main theorem. Notation as in Theorem 3. There exists a natural number $f(n, a)$ (which is $\geqq a$ ) which depends only on $n$ and $a$ such that $|f(n, a) L|$ is base point free.

Sketchy proof (Using Kawamata-Shokurov-Kollár's method [5]). We prove the theorem by induction on $n$.

Let $g: X \rightarrow S$ be the morphism defined by the linear system $|l L|$ for $l \gg 0$ (Theorem 3). There exists a Cartier divisor $L_{S}$ on $S$ such that $L=$ $g^{*} L_{s}$.

We may assume that $\Delta \neq 0$ (Theorem 2).
Put $m:=f(n-1, a)$. We consider the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(m L-\Delta_{i}\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{X}(m L) \rightarrow \mathscr{O}_{\Delta_{i}}(m L) \rightarrow 0
$$

for all $1 \leqq i \leqq s$. By Theorem $4, H^{1}\left(X, \mathscr{O}_{X}\left(m L-\Delta_{i}\right)\right)=0$ because $m L-$ $\Delta_{i}-\left(K_{X}+\sum_{j \neq i} \Delta_{j}\right)$ is nef and log big on ( $X, \sum_{j \neq i} \Delta_{j}$ ). By the induction bypothesis, $\mathrm{Bs}|m L|_{\Delta_{i}} \mid=\phi$, because $\left.a L\right|_{\Delta_{i}}-\left(K_{\Delta_{i}}+\left.\sum_{j \neq i} \Delta_{j}\right|_{\Delta_{i}}\right)$ is nef and $\log$ big on ( $\Delta_{i},\left.\sum_{j \neq i} \Delta_{j}\right|_{\Delta_{i}}$ ). Thus Bs $|m L| \cap \Delta=\phi$.

Let $Z_{S}$ be an irreducible component of $\mathrm{Bs}\left|m L_{S}\right|$. Let $k=\operatorname{codim}\left(Z_{S}, S\right)$. Taking general elements $B_{i} \in|m L|$, put $B=(1 / 2 m) B_{0}+B_{1}+\cdots+B_{k}$. Then $(X, \Delta+B)$ is $\log$ canonical outside $\mathrm{Bs}|m L|$ and $(X, \Delta+B)$ is not $\log$ canonical at the points belonging to the inverse image of the generic point of $Z_{s}$ by $g$. Let $M_{0}:=a L-\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)+(1 / 2) L$.

Take a $\log$ resolution $f: Y \rightarrow X$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{Y} \equiv f^{*}\left(K_{X}+\Delta\right)+\sum e_{i} E_{i} \quad\left(e_{i} \geqq-1\right) ; \\
& f^{*} B \equiv \sum b_{i} E_{i} ; \\
& f^{*} M_{0} \equiv A+\sum p_{i} E_{i}\left(A \text { is an ample } \boldsymbol{Q} \text {-divisor and } 0 \leqq p_{i} \ll 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $c=\min \left\{\left(e_{i}+1-p_{i}\right) / b_{i} \mid Z_{S} \subset g f\left(E_{i}\right) ; b_{i}>0\right\}$. By changing the $p_{i}$ slightly, we may assume that the minimum is achieved for exactly one index. Let us denote the corresponding divisor by $E_{0}$.

Put $W=\underset{e_{i}-b_{i}<-1}{\cup} g f\left(E_{i}\right)$.
Claim 1. $\quad 0<c<1$.
Proof of Claim 1. We prove $c>0$. If $Z_{S} \subset g f\left(E_{i}\right), b_{i}>0$ and $e_{i}=-1$, then $f\left(E_{i}\right) \subset \Delta$. But this can not occur, because $g^{-1}\left(Z_{S}\right) \cap \Delta=\phi$ from $\mathrm{Bs}|m L| \cap \Delta=\phi . \quad$ Q.E.D.

Claim 2. Put $c^{\prime}:=\max \left\{\left(e_{i}+1\right) / b_{i} \mid e_{i}+1<b_{i}\right\}$. Then $c \leqq c^{\prime}<1$ and $c^{\prime}$ is not affected by $p_{i}$ 's.

Claim 3. If $W$ does not include $g f\left(E_{i}\right)$, then $c b_{i}-e_{i}+p_{i}<1$ or $f\left(E_{i}\right) \subset \Delta$.

Proof of Claim 3. Here $e_{i}-b_{i} \geqq-1$. If $b_{i} \neq 0$, then $e_{i}-c b_{i} \geqq e_{i}-$ $c^{\prime} b_{i}>-1$. If $b_{i}=0$ and $e_{i}>-1$, then $e_{i}-c b_{i}=e_{i}>-1$. If $b_{i}=0$ and $e_{i}=-1$, then $f\left(E_{i}\right) \subset \Delta$.
Q.E.D.

Claim 4. $g f\left(E_{0}\right)=Z_{S}$. If $c b_{i}-e_{i}+p_{i} \geqq 1$ and $i \neq 0$, then $g f\left(E_{i}\right)$ does not include $Z_{s}$.

Proof of Claim 4. If $c b_{i}-e_{i}+p_{i} \geqq 1$, then $g f\left(E_{i}\right) \subset W$ or $f\left(E_{i}\right) \subset \Delta$, by Claim 3. Because $Z_{S} \subset g f\left(E_{0}\right)$ and $g^{-1}\left(Z_{S}\right) \cap \Delta=\phi, g f\left(E_{0}\right) \subset W$. Here $Z_{S}$ is an irreducible component of $W$. Thus $g f\left(E_{0}\right)=Z_{s}$. If $c b_{i}-e_{i}+p_{i} \geqq$ 1 and $Z_{S} \subset g f\left(E_{i}\right)$, then $p_{i}<1+e_{i}$, because $\Delta$ does not include $f\left(E_{i}\right)$. So $b_{i}>0$. Thus $c=\left(e_{i}+1-p_{i}\right) / b_{i}$ by the definition of $c$. Hence $i=0 . Q . E . D$.

Using Claims 1, 4, the same argument as in Kollár [5] implies the theorem.
Q. E. D. for main theorem.
§3. Appendix. Theorem 5. Notation as in Theorem 3. Let $\Gamma$ be a member of $\operatorname{Strata}(\Delta)$ and $d:=\operatorname{dim} \Gamma$. Then $\mathrm{Bs}|m L|$ does not include $\Gamma$ for all $m \geqq$ $2(d+a)$.

Remark. Blowing up with center $\Gamma$ and using Theorems 3, 4, the theorem above follows.

Theorem 6 (Kollár-Matsuki [6, 4.12.1.2]. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a birational
morphism between non-singular projective varieties. Suppose that $K_{Y}=f^{*}\left(K_{X}\right.$ $+\Delta)+\sum_{i=1}^{t} e_{i} E_{i}$ and Supp $\sum_{i=1}^{t} E_{i}$ is with simple normal crossings. Then $f\left(E_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{Strata}(\Delta)$ for all $i$ such that $e_{i}=-1$.

Remark. Iitaka's Logarithmic Ramification formula [2] implies Theorem 6.

At first the author thought that these two theorems in this section are useful to get an estimate concerning Main theorem.
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