

78. A Criterion for Multivalent Functions

By Jian Lin Li

Department of Applied Mathematics, Northwestern Polytechnical University, China
(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., Dec. 14, 1992)

Abstract: A more general criterion for multivalent functions is obtained. The result of this paper is the extension of the former results of Ozaki [1], Nunokawa [2], Nunokawa and Hoshino [3].

1. Introduction. It is well-known that if a function $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic and satisfies the condition $\operatorname{Re} f'(z) > 0$ in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, then $f(z)$ is univalent in E . Ozaki [1, Theorem 2] extended this result to the following:

If $f(z)$ is analytic in a convex domain D and $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\alpha} f^{(p)}(z)) > 0$ in D , where α is a real constant, then $f(z)$ is at most p -valent in D .

This shows that if $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and $\operatorname{Re}(f^{(p)}(z)) > 0$ in E , then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

The above result was improved as follows:

Theorem A ([2]). Let $p \geq 2$. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$(1,1) \quad \operatorname{Re} f^{(p)}(z) > -\frac{\log^{(4/e)}}{2\log(e/2)} p! \text{ in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Theorem B ([3]). Let $p \geq 3$. If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$(1,2) \quad \operatorname{Re} f^{(p)}(z) > -\frac{1 - 4\log(4/e)\log(e/2)}{4\log(4/e)\log(e/2)} p! \text{ in } E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

In the present paper, we shall give a more general theorem which extends the above results.

2. Main Result. In order to derive our main result, we need the following lemmata.

Lemma 1 ([3]). Let $p(z)$ be analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$. Suppose that $\alpha > 0$, $\beta < 1$ and that for $z \in E$, $\operatorname{Re}(p(z) + \alpha zp'(z)) > \beta$. Then for $z \in E$,

$$(2,1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 1 + 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{1 + \alpha n}.$$

The estimate is best possible for

$$(2,2) \quad p_0(z) = 1 + 2(1 - \beta) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{1 + \alpha n} z^n.$$

Lemma 2 ([4, Theorem 8]) . Let $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be analytic in E . If there exists a $(p - k + 1)$ -valent starlike function $g(z) = z^{p-k+1} + \sum_{n=p-k+2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ that satisfies

$$(2,3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(k)}(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \quad Z \in E,$$

then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Theorem. Let $p \geq m$, ($m \in \{2,3,4, \dots\}$). If $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ is analytic in E and

$$(2,4) \quad \operatorname{Re} f^{(p)}(z) > - \left(\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} A(1/k)} - 1 \right) p! \quad \text{in } E,$$

where $A(1/k) = (-1)^k k \left(\log(1/2) - \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \right)$. Then $f(z)$ is p -valent in E .

Proof. Let

$$\beta_0 = 1 - \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2^{m-1} \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} A(1/k)},$$

and define $\beta_k (k = 1, 2, \dots)$ by

$$\beta_k = 1 + 2(1 - \beta_{k-1}) A(1/k).$$

One can show that

$$(2,5) \quad (-1)^{m-1} (1 - \beta_{m-1}) = 2^{m-1} (1 - \beta_0) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} A(1/k);$$

$$(2,6) \quad |A(1/k)| \leq \frac{k}{1+k} < 1, \quad A\left(\frac{1}{1+k}\right) = - \left(1 + \frac{1+k}{k} A(1/k)\right).$$

So, $\beta_{m-1} = 0$ and for all $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, $A(1/k) < 0$, $\beta_{k+1} < 1$.

Defining the function $p_k(z)$ by

$$p_k(z) = \frac{k! f^{(p-k)}(z)}{p! z^k}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1, z \in E).$$

Then $p_k(0) = 1$ and

$$(2,7) \quad \operatorname{Re}(p_k(z) + \frac{1}{k} z p'_k(z)) = \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(k-1)! f^{(p-k+1)}(z)}{p! z^{k-1}} \right) = \operatorname{Re} p_{k-1}(z), \quad (z \in E).$$

Applying Lemma 1, we can see that if $\operatorname{Re} p_{k-1}(z) > \beta_{k-1}$ in E , then $\operatorname{Re} p_k(z) > \beta_k$ in E , where β_k is defined above. Hence,

$$(2,8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} = \operatorname{Re} p_0(z) > \beta_0 \Rightarrow \operatorname{Re} p_{m-1}(z) > \beta_{m-1} = 0, (z \in E).$$

This shows that, under the hypothesis of the theorem, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{z f^{(p-m+1)}(z)}{z^m} > 0, \quad (z \in E),$$

It is trivial that $g(z) = z^m$ is m -valently starlike in E . Therefore, from Lemma 2, we see that $f(z)$ is p -valent in E . The proof of the Theorem is complete.

Remark. If $m = 2$, then $\beta_0 = -0.62944\dots$
If $m = 3$, then $\beta_0 = -1.10907\dots$
If $m = 4$, then $\beta_0 = -1.5074\dots$

References

- [1] S. Ozaki: On the theory of multivalent functions. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku, Sec. A, **40**, 167–188 (1935).
- [2] M. Nunokawa: Differential inequalities and Carathéodory functions. Proc. Japan Acad., **65A**, 326–328 (1989).
- [3] M. Nunokawa and S. Hoshino: One criterion for multivalent functions. *ibid.*, **67A**, 35–37 (1991).
- [4] M. Nunokawa: On the theory of multivalent functions. Tsukuba J. Math., **11**(2), 273–286 (1987).