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1. Let $l$ be a prime number, and $E_{l}=E(\{0,1, \infty\})$ be the group of higher circular $l$-units defined and studied in [1] [2] (esp. [1] §2.6). As is shown in [1], elements of $E_{l}$ are $l$-units in the maximal pro-l extension $M_{l}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mu_{l_{\infty}}\right)$ unramified outside $l$ ( $\mu_{l \infty}$ : the group of $l$-powerth roots of 1 ), and $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(E_{l}\right)$ corresponds to the kernel of the canonical representation of the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} / \boldsymbol{Q})$ in the outer automorphism group of the pro-l fundamental group of $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}-\{0,1, \infty\}$. The main purpose of this note is to prove the following

Theorem. For any $\varepsilon \in E_{l}$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}} / \boldsymbol{Q}), \varepsilon^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit.
In other words, if $\varepsilon \in E_{l}$ and $k$ is any finite Galois extension over $\boldsymbol{Q}$ containing $\varepsilon$, then the fractional ideal $(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon \mathcal{O}_{k}$ is $\operatorname{Gal}(k / \boldsymbol{Q})$-invariant $\left(\mathcal{O}_{k}\right.$ : the ring of integers of $k$ ).

The above theorem holds trivially when $l$ is a regular prime. In fact, in this case, $l$ has a unique extension in $M_{l}$ and hence every $l$-unit in $M_{l}$ has the claimed property. (To see that $l$ has a unique extension in $M_{l}$, first observe that it is so in the maximal $l$-elementary abelian extension of $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mu_{l}\right)$ unramified outside $l$; then apply the Burnside principle "a closed subgroup $D$ of a pro-l group $G$ coincides with $G$ if its image $\bar{D}$ on the Frattini quotient $\bar{G}$ of $G$ coincides with $\bar{G}$ ', to the decomposition group $D \subset \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{l} / \boldsymbol{Q}\left(\mu_{l}\right)\right)$ of an extension of $l$.) But when $l$ is irregular, $l$ does decompose in $M_{l}$; hence not all the $l$-units of $M_{l}$ can enjoy the property stated in the theorem.

In [1] (§0.2), we raised two questions (a) (b), which, in the present language, read as
(a) $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(E_{l}\right)=M_{l}$ ?
(b) Is $E_{l}$ the full group of l-units in $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(E_{l}\right)$ ?

The above theorem implies that when $l$ is irregular, $E_{l}$ cannot be the group of all $l$-units in $M_{l}$, and hence at most one of (a) (b) can have an affirmative answer. In any case, it is an interesting open question to characterize the field $\boldsymbol{Q}\left(E_{l}\right)$ and the group $E_{l}$.
2. Proof of the theorem. The proof is quite elementary. Let $v$ denote any extension to $\overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}$ of the normalized additive $l$-adic valuation $\operatorname{ord}_{l}$ of $\boldsymbol{Q}$ (so, $v(l)=1)$.

Lemma 1. If $a=b^{l} \in \overline{\boldsymbol{Q}}^{\times}$and $v(a-1)<l(l-1)^{-1}$, then $v(b-1)=l^{-1} \times$ $v(a-1)$.

Proof. Decompose $a-1$ into the product of $b-\zeta^{i}$ over all $i(\bmod l), \zeta$
being a primitive $l$-th root of 1 . Then $v\left(b-\zeta^{i}\right)<(l-1)^{-1}$ for at least one $i$. But since $v\left(\zeta^{i}-\zeta^{j}\right)=(l-1)^{-1}$ for $j \not \equiv i(\bmod l)$, we have $v\left(b-\zeta^{j}\right)=v\left(b-\zeta^{i}\right)$ for all $j$. Therefore, $v\left(b-\zeta^{j}\right)=(1 / l) v(a-1)$ for all $j$. Q.E.D.

The following lemma is crucial for proving the theorem. It is a modification of an estimation previously communicated to the author by G. W. Anderson (letter of October 19, 1987).

Lemma 2. With the notation of $[1]$, let $S \in S=S(\{0,1, \infty\})$, and assume $l \neq 2,3$. Then
(*)

$$
v(a)<l(l-1)^{-1}
$$

for any $a \in S \backslash\{0, \infty\}$.
Proof. By induction on $S$ :
IA : "Valid for $T(S)$ for all $T \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ with $T(S) \ni 0,1, \infty$ " $\Rightarrow \mathrm{IC}$ : "so for $T^{\prime}\left(S^{1 / l}\right)$ for all $T^{\prime} \in \mathrm{PGL}_{2}$ with $T^{\prime}\left(S^{1 / l}\right) \ni 0,1, \infty$ ".
First, if $S=S_{0}=\{0,1, \infty\}$, then $T(S)=\{0,1, \infty\}$ and $a=1$; hence $v(a)=0$, and (*) is satisfied.

Now let $S$ satisfy the above induction assumption IA, and let $T^{\prime}\left(S^{1 / l}\right)$ be any $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}$-transform of $S^{1 / l}$ containing $0,1, \infty$. Take any $c \in T^{\prime}\left(S^{1 / l}\right)$, $c \neq 0, \infty$. Then $T^{\prime}, c$ are of the form:

$$
T^{\prime}(t)=\frac{b_{2}-b_{3}}{b_{2}-b_{1}} \cdot \frac{t-b_{1}}{t-b_{3}}, \quad c=\frac{b_{2}-b_{3}}{b_{2}-b_{1}} \cdot \frac{b_{4}-b_{1}}{b_{4}-b_{3}},
$$

where $a_{i}=b_{i}^{l} \in S(i=1, \cdots, 4)$. (When one of the $b_{i}$ is $\infty$, the two factors, such as $t-b_{i}, b_{i}-b_{j}$, involving this $b_{i}$ should be cancelled out.) First, assume that $a_{i}$ are distinct and finite. Then by using IA for $T_{j}(S)$, where $T_{j}(t)=1-a_{j}^{-1} t$, we obtain

$$
v\left(1-a_{j}^{-1} a_{i}\right)<l(l-1)^{-1} \quad(i \neq j) ;
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(1-b_{j}^{-1} b_{i}\right)=l^{-1} \cdot v\left(1-a_{j}^{-1} a_{i}\right) \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 1. Therefore, $v\left(b_{j}-b_{i}\right)=l^{-1} v\left(a_{j}-a_{i}\right)$ for $i \neq j$. Therefore, we obtain the desired inequality IC :

$$
v(c)=\frac{1}{l} v\left(\frac{a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{2}-a_{1}} \cdot \frac{a_{4}-a_{1}}{a_{4}-a_{3}}\right)<(l-1)^{-1}<l(l-1)^{-1},
$$

by using IA for

$$
T(t)=\frac{a_{2}-a_{3}}{a_{2}-a_{1}} \cdot \frac{t-a_{1}}{t-a_{3}}
$$

When $a_{1}=a_{4}, a_{2}=a_{3}$, and are finite, the estimation of $v(c)$ will become the "worst". In this case,

$$
c=\frac{b_{2}}{b_{2}-b_{1}} \frac{b_{4}}{b_{4}-b_{3}}(1-\zeta)\left(1-\zeta^{\prime}\right)
$$

$\left(\zeta, \zeta^{\prime} \in \mu_{l} \backslash\{1\}\right.$ ). First, note that the above equality ( $* *$ ) remains valid for $i, j=1,2$ of this case. This gives

$$
v\left(b_{2}\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)=l^{-1} v\left(a_{2}\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) .
$$

But $a_{2}\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)^{-1}=T(0) \in T(S)$, for $T(t)=\left(t-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{-1}$; hence $v\left(a_{2}\left(a_{2}-a_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)$ $<l(l-1)^{-1}$ by IA. Therefore, $v\left(b_{2}\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right)^{-1}\right)<(l-1)^{-1}$. Similarly,
$v\left(b_{4}\left(b_{4}-b_{3}\right)^{-1}\right)<(l-1)^{-1}$. Therefore, $v(c)<4(l-1)^{-1}<l(l-1)^{-1}$, as $l \geq 5$.
The other cases are simpler and will be omitted.
Q.E.D.

Lemma 3. Assume $l \neq 2,3$. If $S \in \mathcal{S}, a, a^{\prime} \in S \backslash\{\infty\}$ and $a \neq a^{\prime}$, then $\left(a-a^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma-1}$ is $a$ unit.

Proof. Induction on $S$;
"valid for $S^{\prime \prime} \Rightarrow$ "valid for $T(S), S^{1 / l " .}$
(i) For $T(S)$. This is trivial, as the difference of two distinct elements of $T(S)$ can be expressed as the ratio of two elements each of which is a product of at most 3 elements of the form $s-s^{\prime}\left(s, s^{\prime} \in S \backslash(\infty)\right.$ ).
(ii) For $S^{1 / l}$ : Take $a, a^{\prime} \in S$, and $b, b^{\prime}$, with $b^{l}=a, b^{\prime l}=a^{\prime}, b \neq b^{\prime}$. Consider the element $\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma-1}$. If $a=a^{\prime}$, then $a \neq 0$, and hence $a^{\sigma-1}=$ $(a-0)^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit by the induction assumption. Hence $b^{\sigma-1}$ is also a unit (being an $l$-th root of $a^{\sigma-1}$ ). Moreover, $(1-\zeta)^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit for any $\zeta \in \mu_{l} \backslash\{1\}$. Therefore, $\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit in this case.

Now suppose that $a \neq a^{\prime}, a^{\prime} \neq 0$. Put $\beta=b b^{\prime-1}$ and $\alpha=\beta^{l}=a a^{\prime-1}$. Then $(\alpha-1)^{\sigma-1}=\left(a-\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma-1} / \alpha^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit by the induction assumption. In particular, $v\left(\alpha^{\sigma}-1\right)=v(\alpha-1)$. By Lemma 2 applied to $1-\alpha \in T(S)\left(T(t)=1-a^{\prime-1} t\right)$, we obtain $v(\alpha-1)<l(l-1)^{-1}$. Thus, Lemma 1 gives

$$
v(\beta-1)=v\left(\beta^{\sigma}-1\right)=\frac{1}{l} v(\alpha-1) .
$$

Therefore, $v\left((\beta-1)^{\sigma-1}\right)=0$ for any extension $v$ of $\operatorname{ord}_{l}$. Since $\beta-1 \in E_{\imath}$ is an $l$-unit ([1] Prop. 2.5•1.), this implies that $(\beta-1)^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit. Since $b^{\prime \sigma-1}$ is also a unit (being an $l$-th root of $a^{\prime \sigma-1}$ which is a unit by the induction assumption), $\left(b-b^{\prime}\right)^{\sigma-1}$ is unit.
Q.E.D.

Now, to prove the theorem we may assume $l$ irregular, in particular $l>3$. By Lemma 3 applied to the case $a^{\prime}=0$, we see that $\alpha^{\sigma-1}$ is a unit for all $a \in S \backslash\{0, \infty\}$. Since $E_{l}$ is generated by $S \backslash\{0, \infty\}(S \in \mathcal{S})$, the theorem follows.
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