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#### Abstract

Let $X$ be a $C^{\infty}$-manifold, $M$ a closed submanifold, $\Omega$ an open set of $M$. We introduce in $\S 1$ a class of domains $U$ of $X$ called $\Omega$-tuboids. They coincide with the original ones by [2] apart from an additional assumption, of cone type, at $\partial \Omega$. In $\S 2$ we take a complex of sheaves $\mathscr{F}$ on $X$ and denote by $\mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{F})$ the microlocalization of $\mathscr{F}$ along $\Omega$. We take a closed convex proper cone $\lambda$ of $T_{M}^{*} X$ and describe the stalk of $R \pi_{*} R \Gamma_{\lambda} \mu_{\rho}(\mathscr{F}) T_{M X}^{*} X$ by means of cohomology groups of $\mathscr{F}$ over $\Omega$-tuboids $U$ with profile $\gamma=\operatorname{int} \lambda^{\circ o a}$. In $\S 3$ we take $X=\boldsymbol{C}^{n}, M=\boldsymbol{R}^{n}, \Omega$ open convex in $M$ and prove that in the class of $\Omega$-tuboids with a prescribed profile there is a fundamental system of domains of holomorphy. By this tool we prove in $\S 4$ a decomposition theorem for the microsupport at the boundary $S S_{\Omega}$ by Schapira [9] (cf. also [5]).


§ 1. Let $X$ be a $C^{\infty}$ manifold, $M$ a closed submanifold, let $\tau: T X \rightarrow X$ (resp $\pi: T^{*} X \rightarrow X$ ) be the tangent (resp cotangent) bundle to $X$, and let $\tau: T_{M} X \rightarrow M$ (resp $\left.\pi: T_{M}^{*} X \rightarrow M\right)$ be the normal (resp conormal) bundle to $M$ in $X$. We note that we have an embedding $c: T M \subset M \times{ }_{X} T X$ and a projection $\sigma: M \times{ }_{X} T X \rightarrow T_{M} X$. For a subset $A$ of $X$ (resp of $M$ ) we shall define the strict normal cone of $A$ in $X($ resp $M)$ by $N^{x}(A)=T X \backslash C(X \backslash A, A)$ (resp $N^{M}(A)=T M \backslash C(M \backslash A, A)$ ) where $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the closed cone of $T X$ defined in [6]. If no confusion may arise, we shall omit the superscripts $X$ and $M$. Let $\Omega$ be an open set of $M$ and $x_{0}$ a point of $\partial \Omega$. We shall assume (1.1) $\quad N_{x_{0}}^{M}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$.

Let $\gamma$ be an open convex cone of $\bar{\Omega} \times{ }_{M} T_{M} X$ with $\tau(\gamma) \supset \bar{\Omega}$.
Definition 1.1. A domain $U \subset X$ is said to be an $\Omega$-tuboid with profile $\gamma$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(M \times{ }_{X} T X \backslash C(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega})\right) \supset \gamma . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One proves that $\theta \in T_{x_{0}} X \backslash C_{x_{0}}(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega})$ iff for a choice of local coordinates there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $x_{0}$ and an open cone $G$ containing $\theta$ s.t. $((\bar{\Omega} \cap V)+G) \cap V \subset U$. In particular :

$$
T X \backslash C(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega})=(T X \backslash C(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega}))+N(\Omega)
$$

Lemma 1.2. Let (1.2) hold. Then there exists an open convex cone $\beta \subset \bar{\Omega} \times{ }_{X} T X:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \subset T X \backslash C(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega}), \quad \beta=\beta+N(\Omega), \quad \sigma(\beta) \supset \gamma . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For a choice of coordinates on $X$ we identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \times_{X} T X \cong T M \oplus_{M} T_{M} X \ni(t, x+\sqrt{-1} y) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta \in N_{t}(\Omega),|\theta|=1$ and let $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma$ (in the sense that $\overline{\gamma^{\prime} / \boldsymbol{R}^{+}} \subset \subset \gamma$ ). Owing to (1.2) we then have for suitable $\varepsilon$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{t} X \backslash C_{t}(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega}) \supset \boldsymbol{R}^{+}\left(\theta+\left(\gamma_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\varepsilon}\right) \forall t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\left(\gamma_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\varepsilon}=\left\{y \in \gamma_{t}^{\prime}:|y|<\varepsilon\right\}$ ). One may find an open cone $\beta \subset T X$ with convex fibers such that $\forall t$ :

$$
\beta_{t} \subset T_{t} X \backslash C_{t}(X \backslash U, \bar{\Omega}), \quad C_{\theta}\left(\beta_{t},\{\theta\}\right) \supset \gamma_{t}
$$

In particular $\sigma\left(B_{t}\right) \supset \gamma_{t}$. If we replace $\beta$ by $\beta+N(\Omega)$ we get the conclusion.
Let $\gamma \subset T_{M} X, \beta \subset M \times{ }_{X} T X, \alpha \subset T M$ be open (convex) cones with $\beta=\beta+\alpha$. Then

Lemma 1.3. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma(\beta) \supset \gamma \Leftrightarrow \forall \gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma \exists \beta^{\prime} \text { open convex: }  \tag{1.6}\\
\beta^{\prime} \supset \alpha \text { and } \beta \supset \beta^{\prime} \cap \sigma^{-1}\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. In the coordinates of (1.4) and for $\theta \in N_{t}(\Omega),|\theta|=1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \beta_{t} \supset \boldsymbol{R}^{+}\left(\theta+\left(\gamma_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{\varepsilon}\right)+\alpha_{t}  \tag{1.7}\\
& \quad \supset \sigma^{-1}\left(\gamma_{t}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y ; x \in \alpha_{t},|y|<\varepsilon^{\prime} \operatorname{dist}\left(x, \partial \alpha_{t}\right)\right\}=\sigma^{-1}\left(\gamma_{t}^{\prime}\right) \cap \beta_{t}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 1.4. Condition (1.2) is equivalent, for a choice of coordinates $x+\sqrt{-1} y \in X \cong T_{M} X$ to:
(1.8) $U \supset\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y \in \Omega \times{ }_{M} \gamma^{\prime}:|y|<\varepsilon \delta_{x}\right\} \forall \gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma \quad$ and for suitable $\varepsilon$ (where $\delta_{x}=\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \wedge 1$ ).

Proof. The proof just consists in rephrasing Lemma 1.3 with $\alpha=$ $N(\Omega)$.
§2. Let $X$ be a $C^{\infty}$-manifold of dimension $n, M$ a closed submanifold of $X$ of codimension $l$, and let $T M \xrightarrow{\iota} M \times{ }_{X} T X_{\xrightarrow{\sigma}}^{\rightarrow} T_{M} X$ and $T^{*} M \stackrel{\rho}{\leftarrow} M \times_{X} T^{*} X$ $\xrightarrow{\bar{\omega}} T^{*} X$ be the natural mappings. We shall consider the families of open convex cones $\gamma \subset T_{M} X$ (or $\alpha \subset T M$ or $\beta \subset T X$ ) and closed convex proper cones $\lambda \subset T_{M}^{*} X$ (or $\nu \subset T^{*} M$ or $\mu \subset T^{*} X$ ). They are related by $\lambda=\gamma^{\circ}$ (or $\nu=\alpha^{o}, \mu=$ $\beta^{o}$ ), where $\gamma^{o}\left(\alpha^{o}, \beta^{o}\right)$ denote the polar cone to $\gamma(\alpha, \beta)$. It is immediate to prove that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma(\beta) \supset \gamma \Leftrightarrow \mu \cap T_{M}^{*} X \subset \lambda  \tag{2.1}\\
\bar{\beta} \supset \alpha \Leftrightarrow \mu \subset \rho^{-1}(\nu) .
\end{gather*}
$$

One also sees that if $\rho(\beta)$ is proper, then
$\mu$ proper $\Leftrightarrow \mu \cap T_{M}^{*} X$ proper

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { c.h. }(\mu) \cap T_{M}^{*} X=\text { c.h. }\left(\mu \cap T_{M}^{*} X\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where "c.h." denotes the convex hull. We denote by $D^{b}(X)$ the derived category of the category of complexes of sheaves with bounded cohomology. For $\mathscr{F} \in \operatorname{Ob} D^{b}(X)$ and for $\Omega \subset M$ open, we put $\mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{F})=\mu$ hom $\left(Z_{a}, \mathscr{P}\right)$ (where $\mu \operatorname{hom}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the bifunctor of $[6,7])$ and call it the microlocalization of $\mathscr{P}$ along $\Omega$. Let $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $N_{x_{0}}^{M}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$, let $\lambda$ be a closed convex proper cone of $T_{M}^{*} X$ containing $\bar{\Omega} \times{ }_{X} T_{X}^{*} X$ at $x_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{2}^{j}\left(\mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{F})_{T_{M}^{*} X}\right)_{x_{0}}=\lim _{U, B} H^{j-l}(U \cap B, \mathscr{F}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U$ (resp B) ranges through the family of tuboids with profile $\gamma=$ int $\lambda^{o a}$ (resp open neighborhoods of $x_{0}$ ).

Proof (cf. also [11]). Let us denote by $\mu$ the cones of $T^{*} X$ with $\rho(\mu) \subset N^{o}(\Omega)$ and $\mu \cap T_{M}^{*} X \subset \lambda$; by (2.2) it is not restrictive to assume the $\mu^{\prime}$ s to be proper and convex. Let $q_{j}: X \times X \rightarrow X, j=1,2$ be the projections, let $s: X \times X \rightarrow X,(x, y) \mapsto x-y$ and let $\Delta$ be the diagonal of $X \times X$. We have:

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\lambda}^{j}\left(T_{M}^{*} X, \mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{F})_{T_{M}^{*} X}\right) & =\underset{\mu}{\lim _{\mu}} H_{\mu}^{j}\left(T^{*} X, \mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{F})\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\underset{W}{\lim _{W}} H^{j-n} \boldsymbol{R} \mathscr{H o m}_{Z_{X}}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{q_{11}} Z_{W \cap(X \times \Omega)}, \mathscr{P}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for $W$ verifying $T_{4}(X \times X) \backslash C_{\Delta}((X \times X) \backslash W) \supset$ int $\mu^{o a}$, in the identification $T_{\Delta}(X \times X) \underset{s^{\prime}}{\leftrightarrows} T X$. (cf. 6, Proposition 2.3.2] as for the latter equality.)
But for a fundamental system of neighborhoods $B$ of $x_{0}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\boldsymbol{R}_{q_{11}} \boldsymbol{Z}_{W \cap(X \times \Omega)}\right|_{\beta}=\left.\boldsymbol{Z}_{q_{1}(W \cap(X \times \Omega))}[-\operatorname{dim} M]\right|_{\beta} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume (2.5) the conclusion is immediate since the sets $q_{1}(W \cap(X \times \Omega))$ are a fundamental system of $\Omega$-tuboids with profile int $\lambda^{o a}$ (cf. [11, Lemma 1.2 and 1.3]). Let us prove (2.5). We identify $T_{x} X \cong X$ and $M \times{ }_{x} T X \cong$ $T_{M} X \oplus T M$ (for a choice of a projection $X \rightarrow M$ ). Let $F \subset \subset \mu_{x}^{a}, N \subset \subset N_{x}^{M}(\Omega)$ $\forall x$ close to $x_{0}$ and put $G=F+N, G_{\varepsilon}=G \cap\{g \in G:\langle g, \theta\rangle<\varepsilon\}$ where $\theta$ is a fixed vector of $N_{x_{0}}^{M}(\Omega)$. We shall prove (2.5) with $W$ replaced by $s^{\prime-1}\left(G_{\varepsilon}\right)$. In fact set $A_{x}=q_{1}^{-1}(x) \cap s^{\prime-1}\left(G_{\varepsilon}\right) \cap(X \times \Omega)$. Let $L_{\varepsilon}$ be the plane through $x_{0}$ $+\varepsilon \theta$ with conormal $\theta$ and $L_{s}^{-}$the half-space with exterior conormal $\theta$ and boundary $L_{8}$. Then for suitable $B$ and $\forall x \in B$, we see that $A_{x}$ is an open connected set which verifies:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(y+N) \cap L_{c^{\prime}}^{\prime} \subset A_{x} \quad \forall y \in A_{x} \\
(y+N) \cap(z+N) \cap L_{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \neq \emptyset \quad \forall y, z \in A_{x},
\end{array}\right.
$$

(for a new $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ ). Hence $A_{x}$ is contractile and $R \Gamma_{c}\left(A_{x}, Z_{M}\right)=Z[\operatorname{dim} M]$.
Remark 2.2. If $\Omega$ is convex in $M \cong \boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, then we get a "global" version of Theorem 2.1: $H_{\lambda}^{j}\left(T_{M}^{*} X, \mu_{\Omega}(\mathscr{P})_{T_{M K}^{*} X}\right)=\lim _{U} H^{j-l}(U, \mathscr{P})$.
§3. We shall extend here the results of [2]. Let $C^{n}=R_{x}^{n}+\sqrt{-1} R_{y}^{n}$, let $\pi=\pi_{x}$ be the first projection $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{x}^{n}$, let $\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{n}=\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \backslash\{0\}$, and set $S^{n-1}=\dot{\boldsymbol{R}}^{n} / \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$. We shall call (convex) cone of $C^{n}$ any subset $\gamma$ of $C^{n}$ with (convex) conic $\pi$-fibers. For cones $\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}$ of $C^{n}$, we write $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma$ when $\bar{\gamma} \cap\left(R_{x}^{n}+\sqrt{-1} S_{y}^{n-1}\right)$ is compact in $\gamma$. Let $\Omega$ be an open set of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, and $\gamma$ an open convex cone of $\bar{\Omega} \times{ }_{M} T_{M} X$, We shall assume all through this section that $\Omega$ is convex. For $x \in \Omega$, we set $\delta_{x}$ def. $\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) \wedge 1$ and $\gamma_{\Omega} \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \gamma \cap \pi^{-1}(\Omega)$. We recall from § 1 that a domain $U$ is an $\Omega$-tuboid with profile $\gamma$, when $\forall \gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma \exists \varepsilon$ : $U \supset\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y \in \gamma_{n}^{\prime},|y|<\varepsilon \delta_{x}\right\}$. We shall also assume without loss of generality that $U \subset \gamma_{\Omega}$ in what follows. Note that if $\pi(\gamma) \subset \Omega$, then our definition coincides with the original one by [2].

Lemma 3.1. Let $U^{\prime} \subset U$ be $\Omega$-tuboids with profiles $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma$, and set $W^{\prime}=\pi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right), W=\pi(\gamma)$. Assume that $U^{\prime}$ has convex fibers, that $\bar{U}^{\prime} \backslash \bar{\Omega} \subset U$, and that
(3.1) For a finite open covering $\bigcup_{j} V^{\prime j} \supset \partial \Omega \cap W^{\prime}$, for open truncated cones $G^{\prime j}$ and $H^{\prime j}$ with $G^{\prime j} \subset \subset H^{\prime j}$, we have

$$
U_{V^{\prime} j}^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \Omega^{\prime} V^{\prime} j} x+\sqrt{-1} G_{\delta_{x}}^{\prime} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \Omega \cap^{\prime} j} x+\sqrt{-1} H_{\delta_{x}}^{\prime} \subset U
$$

Then for any $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ with $\gamma^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \subset \gamma$ there exists an $\Omega$-tuboid $U^{\prime \prime}$ with profile $\gamma^{\prime \prime}$ such that: $U^{\prime \prime}$ has convex fibers; $U^{\prime} \subset U^{\prime \prime}$ and $\bar{U}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \bar{\Omega} \subset U$; (3.1) holds for new $V^{\prime \prime \prime}, G^{\prime \prime \prime}, H^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

Proof. Set

$$
U^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{x \in \Omega \cup W^{\prime \prime}} x+\sqrt{-1} \text { c.h. }\left(U_{x}^{\prime} \cup\left(\gamma_{x}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{\kappa \delta_{x}}\right) .
$$

According to [2], $U^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies all requirements except over a neighborhood of $\partial \Omega$. We decompose such a neighborhood as $U_{j} V^{j}$ with $V=V^{j}$ satisfying $V \subset \subset W, \gamma_{V}^{\prime \prime} \subset V+\sqrt{-1} F^{\prime \prime} \subset \subset \gamma$. (Observe that here the $F$ 's (resp. G's) are cones (resp truncated cones).) We assume also (3.1) to be satisfied by the $V^{\prime}$ 's such that $V \cap \bar{W}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ (and neglect the other $V^{\prime} s$ ). We have

$$
U_{V}^{\prime \prime} \subset \bigcup_{V \cap \Omega} x+\sqrt{-1} c . h .\left(G_{\delta_{x x}}^{\prime} \cup F_{\delta_{x}^{\prime}}^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

Since $\bigcap_{x} c . h .\left(G^{\prime} \cup F^{\prime \prime}\right)=\bar{G}^{\prime}$, then $\forall \kappa_{1}$ and for suitable $\kappa$ and $J^{\prime}$ with $G^{\prime} \subset \subset J^{\prime}$ $\subset \subset H^{\prime}$, we have that $\overline{c . h .\left(G^{\prime} \cup F_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)} \subset J^{\prime} \cup F_{k_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$. Let $F \supset \supset F^{\prime \prime}$ with $V+\sqrt{-1} F$ $\subset \gamma$; since $U$ has profile $\gamma$, then $U \supset \bigcup_{\Omega \cap V} x+\sqrt{-1} F_{\kappa_{2} \partial_{x}}$. Thus if we take $\kappa_{1}<\kappa_{2}$ and set $G^{\prime \prime}=J^{\prime} \cup F_{\kappa_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, H^{\prime \prime}=H^{\prime} \cup F_{\kappa_{2}}$, we get

$$
\overline{U_{V}^{\prime \prime}} \backslash \bar{V} \subset \bigcup_{a \cap V} x+\sqrt{-1} G_{\delta_{x}}^{\prime \prime} \subset \bigcup_{\Omega \cap V} x+\sqrt{-1} H_{\dot{\delta}_{x}}^{\prime \prime} \subset U
$$

Reasoning by induction one immediately obtains from Lemma 3.1.
Proposition 3.2. Any $\Omega$-tuboid with profile $\gamma$ contains an $\Omega$-tuboid with the same profile $\gamma$ and with convex fibers.

Let $G$ be an open convex set of $R^{n}$ contained in $\{y:|y|<1 / 2\}$ and with $0 \in \bar{G}$. Let $S^{n-1}=\left\{\eta \in R^{n}:|\eta|=1\right\}$ and define $\sigma_{\eta G}=\sup _{g \in G}\langle g,-\eta\rangle$. We also write $\sigma_{\eta}=\sigma_{\eta G}$ and define $\widehat{G}=\bigcap_{\eta \in S^{n-1}}\left\{y:\langle y, \eta\rangle+\sigma_{\eta}-\left|y+\sigma_{\eta} \eta\right|^{2}>0\right\}$ (cf. [2]). Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{G} \subset G, \quad \text { and } \quad C(\widehat{G},\{0\})=C(G,\{0\}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Omega$ be an open convex set of $R_{x}^{n}$ and $\gamma$ an open convex cone of $\bar{\Omega}+\sqrt{-1} R_{y}^{n}$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $U$ be an $\Omega$-tuboid with profile $\gamma$. Then $U$ contains an $\Omega$-tuboid with the same profile $\gamma$ which is in addition a domain of holomorphy.

Proof. It is not restrictive to assume that $U$ has convex fibers and that $U \subset\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y: x \in \Omega,|y|<\varepsilon \delta_{x}\right\}, \varepsilon$ small. Let $\Omega$ be defined by $\phi(x)<0$ for $-\phi$ being a convex function; clearly $-\phi(x)$ is equivalent to $\delta_{x}$ over $K \cap \Omega\left(K \subset \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{n}\right)$. We also remark that $\boldsymbol{C}^{n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}, z \mapsto-\phi(\operatorname{Re} z)$ is plurisubharmonic. For $a \in \Omega \cap \pi(\gamma)$ and $\eta \in S^{n-1}$, we write $\sigma_{\eta a}=\sigma_{\eta U_{a}}\left(=\sup _{v_{\in U_{a}}}\langle y,-\eta\rangle\right)$, and let $\psi_{a \eta}(x, y) \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=}\langle y, \eta\rangle+\sigma_{a \eta}(\phi(x) / \phi(a))-\left|y+\sigma_{a \eta} \eta\right|^{2}+|x-a|^{2}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{\prime}=\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y: x \in \Omega \cap \pi(\gamma) \text { and } \psi_{a \eta}(x, y)>0 \forall a \in \Omega \cap \pi(\gamma), \text { and } \forall \eta \in S^{n-1}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $U_{x}^{\prime} \subset \widehat{U}_{x} \subset U_{x} \forall x$. Moreover :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y: \psi_{a \eta}(x, y)>0\right\}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \quad \supset\left\{x+\sqrt{-1} y:|y|<\left(|x-a|^{2}+\left(\sigma_{a \eta} \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(a)}-\sigma_{a_{\eta}}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\right)^{1 / 2}-\frac{1}{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.4) one proves as in [2] that $U^{\prime}$ is an open domain. It remains to prove that it is an $\Omega$-tuboid of profile $\gamma$. Let $W^{\prime}+G^{\prime} \subset \subset \gamma$. Fix $x_{0} \in W^{\prime \prime} \subset \subset$ $W^{\prime}$, fix $\varepsilon>0$ and define $U_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp $U_{2}^{\prime}$, resp $U_{3}^{\prime}$ ) by adding in the definition (3.3) for $U^{\prime}$ the condition $a \in W^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi\left(x_{0}\right) / \phi(a)^{2}\right) \geq \varepsilon$, (resp $a \in W^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi\left(x_{0}\right) / \phi(a)\right)<\varepsilon$, resp $\left.a \notin W^{\prime}\right)$; hence $U^{\prime}=U_{1}^{\prime} \cup U_{2}^{\prime} \cup U_{3}^{\prime}$. By the second of (3.2) one gets $\left(U_{1}^{\prime}\right)_{x_{0}} \supset G_{\kappa \delta_{x_{0}}}^{\prime}$ for suitable $\kappa$ independent of $x_{0} \in W^{\prime \prime}$. One also easily sees that $\left(U_{2}^{\prime}\right)_{x_{0}} \supset S_{k x_{x_{0}}}^{n-1}$, and $\left(U_{3}^{\prime}\right)_{x_{0}} \supset S_{\kappa d 2}^{n-1}$ for $d=\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial W^{\prime}, \partial W^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The conclusion follows.
$\S$ 4. Let $M$ be a $C^{\omega}$-manifold of dimension $n, X$ a complexification of $M, \Omega$ an open $C^{\omega}$-convex subset of $M$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{X}$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on $X$, and $\mathrm{or}_{M / X}$ the sheaf of relative orientation of $M$ in $X$. We shall deal with the complex by Schapira (see also [5]) of microfunctions at the boundary
(4.1) $\quad \mathcal{C}_{\Omega \mid X}=\mu \operatorname{hom}\left(Z_{\Omega}, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \otimes$ or $_{k / X}[n]$.

Let $x \in \partial \Omega$, let $\lambda$ be a closed convex proper cone of $\bar{\Omega} \times{ }_{M} T_{M}^{*} X$ such that $\pi(\lambda)$ is a neighborhood of $x$ in $\bar{\Omega}$ and set $\gamma=\operatorname{int} \lambda^{\circ a}$. The results of $\S 2$ and 3 give

Theorem 4.1. We have

$$
\mathscr{H}_{\lambda}^{i}\left(\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \mid X}\right)_{T_{M}^{*}} X\right)_{x}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \text { for } i \neq 0  \tag{4.2}\\
\underline{\lim _{U}} & \\
\hline
\end{array}\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \quad \text { for } i=0,\right.
$$

where $U$ ranges through the family of $\Omega$-tuboids of holomorphy of $X$ with profile $\gamma$.

We assume now that
$\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{\mid X}}\right)_{T_{M}^{*} X}$ is concentrated in degree 0
(cf. [9] and [3] for sufficient condition for (4.3) to hold). Let $\mathcal{B}_{M}$ be the sheaf of hyperfunctions on $M$, let $\iota: \Omega \hookrightarrow M$ be the embedding, and let $\Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathcal{B}_{M}\right) \stackrel{\text { def. }}{=} \iota_{*^{\prime}} l^{-1}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)$. We recall that $\pi_{*}\left(\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega_{X X}}\right)_{T_{M}^{*}}\right)=\Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)$. We also recall that for $f \in \Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)$ the microsupport at the boundary $S S_{\Omega}(f)$ is the support of $f$ identified to a section of $\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \mid X}\right)_{T_{M}^{*} x}$. We then get

Proposition 4.2. Let $f \in \Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)_{x}$ and let $\lambda_{j}, j=1, \cdots, s$ be a family of closed convex proper cones with $\bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{j} \supset S S_{\Omega}(f)$ and with $\pi\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ being a neighborhood of $x$ in $\bar{\Omega} \forall j$. Then we may find $f_{j} \in \Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)_{x}, j=1, \ldots, s$ such that $f=\sum_{j=1}^{s} f_{j}$ and $S S_{\Omega}\left(f_{j}\right) \subset \lambda_{j}$.

Proof. One sees that the property: $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{i}\left(\left(\mathcal{C}_{\Omega \mid X}\right)_{T_{M}^{*} X}\right)_{x}=0 \forall i \geq 1$, proved in Theorem 4.1, is stable under finite intersection and finite union of $\lambda$ 's. (The first is trivial while the second is an easy application of the MayerVietoris long exact sequence.) The conclusion follows at once.

Some decomposition theorem of the above type was already stated, in a different frame in [8].

Corollary 4.3. Let $f \in \Gamma_{\Omega}\left(\mathscr{B}_{M}\right)_{x}$ and let $p \in \dot{T}_{M}^{*} X, \pi(p)=x$. Then $p \notin$ $S S_{\Omega}(f)$ if and only if $f$ is a finite sum of boundary values of holomorphic functions $F_{j} \in \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(U_{j}\right)$ with the $U_{j}^{\prime} s$ being $\Omega$-tuboids whose profiles $\gamma_{j}$ verify $\gamma_{j}^{o a} \nRightarrow p$.
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